

No. 20-6934

IN The
Supreme Court of the United States

Fred Cartwright

Petitioner(s)

VS.

Silver Cross Hospital and Medical Centers and
Crothall Healthcare Inc.

Respondent(s)

PETITIONERS PETITION FOR REHEARING

RECEIVED

APR 28 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Pro Se Petitioners, Fred Cartwright ("Petitioner"), main pursuant to rules 44 that is associated to Rules 13 and 20 submits this reply of Petitioners' Petition for Rehearing, of the Supreme Court "Denial" of "Petitioners Motion for Leave Request for Special Consideration, Under Extraordinary and Exceptional Circumstances for an Extension of Time, Before Filing Petitioners' Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis" that was sent by via mail on November 4, 2020.

I. THE MAIN QUESTION IS WAY A ERRONEOUS "DISMISSAL" "WITH PREJUDICE" DECISION
MADE BY THE PRESIDING FEDERAL JUDGE

Petitioner would like a clear understanding of the rule of law was applied to legalize the Judges Erroneous "Dismissal" decision and the "With Prejudice" decision and the Petitioner has listed how, why and when each incident happened. It is hard for the Petitioner to focus and concentrate and the information below came from Petitioners June 26, 2019 Trial Exhibit 52 of the Plaintiffs' Motion Response to Judges' Ruling of the Termination of the Civil Case Etc.

Plaintiff did not ignore the Judge's Courts Order sent on June 24, 2019 to appear for the June 25, 2019 and June 26, 2019 deposition as Plaintiff explains in further detail. Plaintiff again didn't ignore the Court's Orders and did not properly seek a good faith continuance, because the Plaintiff never reviewed the Judge's June 24, 2019 Docket text {235} sent at 2:15pm on that day because the Plaintiff did not see the Docket Text. The Plaintiff only reviewed the Judge's Docket Text {236} sent at 4:31pm after the 2:15pm Text and the Plaintiff was excited that he was granted his oral motion to file his status report on or before June 25, 2019 because Plaintiff was still engaged in preparing the status report. When the Plaintiff opened up the electronical filing, the transactions of Docket Text {236} appeared and the Plaintiff was very tired and excited about what he was view and never viewed the above transaction Docket Text {235} to open it, due to the Plaintiff's weariness and extreme excitement the Docket Text {235} was never seen nor opened at that time. The Plaintiff only became aware of the Docket Text {235}, after Judge Blakey entered his transaction Docket Text {238} on June 25, 2019 at 4:14pm dismissing and terminating Plaintiff Civil Case and the Plaintiff was in shock and wondering what was going on and thought Judge Blakey was upset about the Plaintiff's status report and exhibits etc. The Plaintiff just woke up about 30 minutes or so, just to see if he received any transactions Docket Text from the Court and Judge Blakey regarding the status report etc. and became very ill and was feeling retaliated against all over again. The Plaintiff began frantically intensely trying to find the transaction Docket Text {235} that Judge Blakey was referring to in his Docket Text {238} for the Plaintiff to view. The Plaintiff frantically searched for Docket Text {235} and still overlooked the {235} Docket Text a few times again and was only viewed the Defendants Notice to appear for deposition and was out of my mind believing that was what Judge Blakey was referring to and The Plaintiff was thinking about what the Defendants Counsels was telling the Plaintiff due their conversation on June 7, 2019 and at that time the Plaintiff was telling the Defendants that, the Plaintiff had already followed the Courts Order to be deposed for deposition and the Court, Judge Blakey and the Defendants forced the Plaintiff to appear at the March 22, 2019, April 23, 2019 and April 24, 2019 depositions through threats of dismissing Plaintiff's Case, despite numerous of warranting from the Plaintiff regarding his mental illnesses etc. and the Plaintiff's being under Doctors, Psychiatrist and Counselors care with Doctors Orders. Plaintiff abided the Courts, Judge Blakey's and the Defendant's threatening orders and risk his life three times appearing for the Defendants depositions and Defendants are now still complaining that the Plaintiff did not answer the Defendants questions, due to the Plaintiff's mental state, physical conditions and because of that lack of memory, so they should have another shot to depose the Plaintiff for deposition despite the Plaintiff stating his physical condition is still ongoing and worsened. The Plaintiff feels by being forced the three times, the Defendants lost their golden opportunity by defaulted of not applying to the Plaintiff's Doctors Orders etc. and should have rescheduled the deposition until the Doctors, Psychiatrist and the Counselors evaluations has finalized for the Court, Judge Blakey and the Defendants viewing. The Plaintiff still would like to be depose for deposition because the Plaintiff has nothing to fear, as long as the Plaintiff be provided the opportunity to be cared for with treatments from his Doctor, Psychiatrist and Counselors and that way the Plaintiff as(mistake "ask) for the Court, Judge Blakey and the Defendants to reschedule or delay this Case for 90 days and not absorb any unnecessary costs and still get their deposition.

Back to the Plaintiff search for the Courts Orders for Plaintiff to appear for deposition on June 25, 2019. The Plaintiff were thinking about the Defendants Notice to appear for deposition and continue to overlook the other Docket Text {235} and seeing Docket Text {236} and then the Plaintiff took a break to relax. The Plaintiff once again continued search and finally opened up the June 24, 2019 Court Order Docket Text {235} and the Plaintiff became more ill once again by view the Text {235} in astonishment that the Court really did Order the Plaintiff to appear for a deposition the next day June 25, 2019, less then 24 hours way from the time Transaction Court Order Docket Text {235} was sent.

Plaintiff was in shock and could not breathe for a minute and had to lay down. After a while, the Plaintiff felt that if he would have known about the Courts Order, he would have filed to seek a good faith continuance as same as in the past. Due to the Plaintiff deadline to have his status report done and submitted June 25, 2019 and had stayed up all day Monday and Monday night June 24, 2019 until the time the status report was sent at 1:01pm Tuesday June 25, 2019.

Plaintiff also believes the Courts Orders was ill-advised and should have allowed the Plaintiff enough time to appear for a deposition and the Plaintiff was given less than 24 hours to do so. While the Plaintiff was under a deadline to have the status report done and sent the same day of the deposition and the time frame of the deposition being sent or submitted is the same reason why the Plaintiff should have not been Ordered for deposition the next day. Due to everything that has transpired such as, the Plaintiff health issues and time frame of deadline, the Plaintiff believes the deposition Court Orders should not have been issued at that time the Court had just granted less than 24 hours before the deposition.

Respectfully, the Plaintiff would not have appeared for the depositions, because the Plaintiff was not able to appear for the reasons stated above. Plaintiff states his apologies to the Court, Judge Blakey and the Defendants for the Plaintiff honest mistake of not realizing the Courts Order while enduring significant stress, anxiety and no sleep etc. and trying to complete and trying to finish up the status report the same day before or by the deadline on the days of deposition. As everyone knows, the Plaintiff would have filed a good faith continuous the same as in the past Court Orders due to the Plaintiff situations and issues etc. The Plaintiff spoke about the deposition that the Defendants wanted during our phone conversation and the Plaintiff thought that is what Judge Blakey was talking about. The Plaintiff never intends to allow for the Defendants to endure extra unfair costs of coming to Chicago, only if the Plaintiff knew of such mistake was being made, the Plaintiff would definitely have filed a good faith continuous motion.

The Plaintiff at this time ask the Court to reinstate the Plaintiff's Civil Case for the Plaintiffs sincere and truthful explanation of the course of events that has taken place.

Plaintiff also ask the Court for a continuous for 90 days for the Plaintiff to undergo continuous treatments from Plaintiff's Doctor, Psychiatrist and Counselors to be provided a complete evaluation and/or for the Plaintiff health and physical to be improved enough to complete his depositions and the 90 days continuous would not cause the Court, the Judge or the Defendants any unjust costs or inconvenience. Plaintiff had to relax to prepare this Motion and it took some time.

II. SYSTEMIC RACISM ON BLACK PEOPLE AT ITS WORST

The Petitioners emergency request a temporary delay before the Petitioner files his Petition that the Courts would award to millions of superior white Americans with and without legal counseling and whom would be provide legal counseling under similar and less similar circumstances with medical issues etc., that is not awarded to Black People. (1) I, the Petitioner are angry about the way I have been treated with Systemic Racism for a at least 66 years of my life at birth etc., (2) throughout my 5 years of working for the Respondents Toxic and Gross Discrimination until it cause the Plaintiff Damages of a collaborated, coverup, protecting, ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime Plot by the Respondents non-African Americans Plot to Kill the Plaintiff incident while making two attempts along with 12 other Separate Counts of Allegation etc. and violation of the Constitution, (3) Systemic Racism by a Police Department collaborated, coverup, protecting, ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime etc. and violation of the Constitution (4) Systemic Racism by the States Attorney's Office collaborated, coverup, protecting, ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime etc. and violation of the Constitution, (5) Systemic Racism by a EEOC's lack of service, possibly collaborated, coverup, protecting, ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime etc. and violation of the Constitution, (6) Systemic Racism by a Police Department coverup, protecting, ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime etc. and violation of the Constitution, (7) Systemic Racism by a Federal Courts Judges Gross Dereliction of Duty etc., coverup, threatening, intimidating, protecting, ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime etc. and violation of the Constitution, (8) Systemic Racism by a Magistrate Judges Gross Dereliction of Duty etc., coverup, threatening, intimidating, protecting of Colleagues and others etc., ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime etc. and violation of the Constitution, (9) Systemic Racism by a Federal Courts Appointed Legal Counsel's Gross Misrepresentation, one counsel whom made Racist remarks and Racist comments that was ignored by a Federal Judge after being advised and put on notice, being intimidated and bullied etc., by a Federal Judge, Gross Dereliction of Duty etc., coverup, protecting of Colleagues and others etc., ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime etc. and violation of the Constitution, (10) Systemic Racism by four Courts of Appeals Circuit Judges Gross Dereliction of Duty etc., coverup, protecting of Colleagues and others etc., ignoring and complicit of a Hate Crime etc. and violation of the Constitution, (11) the Jury at this point is still out on the Supreme Court for the United States and the Supreme Court has the opportunity to stop this (12) this is not retaliation by the Petitioner, the Petitioner only wanted freedom, fairness, transparency and Justice, this is not going away despite all the parties listed above has lost their way, ethics and moral principles by protecting and being complicit with Systemic unfair Justice, wrongdoing and corruption etc. The Petitioner believes he has hundreds of thousands of factual documents of evidence in every phase of the Petitioners lawsuit that's everyone involved in the Petitioners Civil Case and Appeals are fully aware of and the truth will not remain the secret of the Systemic Racist Corrupt Courts and Justice System. No one has disputed nor discredited any the Petitioners employment and all other allegations made by the Petitioner and never once attempt to invoke an immediate investigation to get the truth. The Petitioner and everyone understand, if one or two people are put under oath and a investigation begins, everything will fall apart, because no one wants to put their jobs and family lives on the line and go to jail for or to protect their colleagues or someone else.

III. BEFORE FILING "PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI"

Before the Petitioner actually filed a formal Petition document for the Petitioners "Petition for Writ of Certiorari" and the Petition was not meant to be a formal, legitimate or an official filing. Because the Petitioner called the Supreme Court before filing and spoke to one of the Clerks about the Petitioners request for time to file the Petitioners "Petition for Writ of Certiorari" and the Clerk said I, the Petitioner would have to file the "Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis" first, in order to receive an answer regarding the Petitioners request for time (now stating, approximately six months for treatment and care while following Doctors orders etc.) before actually file the Petitioner "Petition for Writ of Certiorari" etc. On November 4, 2020, the Petitioner "Petition for Writ of Certiorari" was sent by via mail and received by the Supreme Court on November 10, 2020 and sent back for corrections by another Clerk, Clara. The Petitioner address the Petition to the chief Justice, John Robert for the Supreme Court. The non-formal filing was clearly a misunderstanding. See Petitioners Appendix BB for the details.

IV. QUESTIONS ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI DEMIAL LETTER MARCH 29, 2021

Petitioner received the Supreme Court March 29, 2021 two sentence denial letter that I received on April 1, 2021 regarding the Petitioners Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, that was not meant to be filed as such, that the Petitioner has detailed in roman numeral 1 paragraph. The Petitioner would like to know;

1. On November 4, 2020 the Petitioner sent a letter to Chief Justice John Robert with a Petition for special consideration for an extension of time before Filing the Petitioners' Petition for writ of Certiorari due to the Petitioners exceptional circumstances of damages that was caused by the Respondents Extremely Malicious, Racist and Toxic behaviors (1-a) Respondents dysfunctional behaviors caused the Petitioner to lose his wife and family serious damaging harm that force the Petitioners request for time Please view Petitioners Appendices provide to the court with the Petitioners request for Rehearing (1-b) the Petitioner did not understand why what happen, happened and never received a response back from Chief Justice John Roberts.
2. Did the Chief Justice John Roberts his other colleagues of Justices understand the Petitioners medical situation after receiving the Petitioners evaluation from Petitioners 7 independents Doctors and caregivers that is unpreventable by the Petitioner
3. The Petitioner would like to know if the Petitioners Petition was voted on by the 9 Justices, (3-1) the Petition provided numerous of Appendices that contains an overwhelming amount of factual documents
4. The Petitioner needs the time and don't what more I can do but continue the help the Petitioner is receiving, that is not enough, due to the time needed and financial issues etc.
5. The Petition is having a hard time sleeping, focusing and concentrating etc.

V. PETITIONERS REQUEST FOR HUMAN DECENCY, COMPASSION AND EMPATHY

The Petitioner are still dealing with and suffering from the Petitioners ongoing medical disability conditions with medication that cause the Petitioner to severe incapable to focus and concentrate, while dealing with (PTSD) post-traumatic stress disorder issues and other ongoing issues that was caused by the Respondents damages, that has been provided in detail throughout the Civil Case 15-cv-06759, Court of Appeals Case 19-2595 and in the Supreme Court Appeal Case 20-6934 that continues in the Petitioners Petition for Rehearing" Motion. View the Petitioners (November 4, 2021revised Supplemental Brief Appendix on January 30, 2021, Appendix A, B, C-1, C-2, C-3 and C-4) and (a new independent Therapist/Counselors Felicia Acosta's evaluation of the Petitioner 1 of 3 pages Appendix B-1) and (a new independent Psychiatrist Jill Degen's evaluation of the Petitioners 1 of 2 pages Appendix B-2 Plus B-3 of 1 page Psychiatrists bill) and (Appendices BB, CC and T-5 1-page) that the Petitioner provided with this Petition for Rehearing for more details.

Petitioner has provide several Appendices vital and relevant documents

Appendix BB – Petitioners November 4, 2020 Letter to Supreme Court for the United States Chief Justice John Roberts request for special consideration for extension of time before filing a Petition for Writ of Certiorari due to exceptional circumstances of Petitioner to follow Doctors Orders, to receive treatment and care from Petitioners Psychiatrist and Therapist/Counselors.

Appendix CC – Petitioners November 4, 2020 Proof of Service & Notice of Appeals

Appendix B-1 – Petitioners fourth or fifth New Independent Therapist/Counselors updated evaluation.

Appendix B-2 – Petitioners Second New Independent Psychiatrist updated evaluation.

Appendix B-3 – Petitioners New Psychiatrist first Bill that the Petitioner is unable to Pay.

Appendix T-5 – Petitioners August 5, 2020 first page Document Letter to the United States District Court to Thomas G. Bruton.

Executed on April 22, 2021


(Signature)

No. 20-6934

IN The

Supreme Court of the United States

Fred Cartwright

Petitioner(s)

VS.


Silver Cross Hospital and Medical Centers and

Crothall Healthcare Inc.

Respondent(s)

PETITION STATE THE GROUNDS ARE LIMITED TO INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES OF
SUBSTANTIAL OR CONTROLLING EFFECT OR TO OTHER SUBSTANTIAL GROUNDS NOT
PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED

Pro Se Petitioner, Fred Cartwright ("Petitioner"), pursuant to rule 44 submits this reply
of Petitioners' Petition State the Grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of
substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented, zero
tolerance means zero tolerance for Racial Discrimination in the workplace, in the courts and in
the United States of American, in regard to the Petitioner being denied Protection from Civil
Rights Hate Crime Plot to be Killed by non-African Americans, and of Disability Act Rights
Violations of December 12, 1905 to Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full
Participation while ignoring Civil Rights Violations etc., Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Rights
protection Clause and free from Workplace Hate Crime Act 720 ILCS 5/12-7.1 etc., Human Right
Act Violations of Article 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, & 7 Federal Rights Violations and Constitutional Rights that
outlines the Bill of Rights such as 1, 7, 8, 9 & 10 etc. Violations in pursuant to



Constitution Article 1. Bill of Rights Sections 2, 3, 4, 5, 12, 13, 17, 18, 23 & 24, Federal Patient Bill of Rights, (American Disabilities Act Title I. Employment, Title II., 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq., 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102 et seq., including all other evidence Petitioner has listed throughout, provided and submitted that supports all the Petitioners undisputed, undoubted, uncontested, unchallenged and unquestionable facts which are substantial of real worth and importance in what the Plaintiff has submitted throughout the Plaintiffs Civil Case, Appellants Appeal Case in the Court of Appeals, in the Petitioners Appeals with the Supreme Court for the United States this reply of the Petitioners' constitutional rights welfare, legal rights welfare, human rights welfare, civil rights law under ADA Title 1 welfare, social welfare, Americans with Disabilities Act, disability welfare, health and welfare, treatment and care welfare, safety welfare of damages, that was caused by the Defendants, the Petitioner did his best, but unable to properly focus and concentrate considering the Petitioners ongoing mental and physical medical condition the was caused by the Respondents years of Systemic Racism and Abuse etc. The Petitioner certify that the Petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay that would be highly appreciated that would be a justifiable for human decency on other substantial grounds not previously presented of vital and relevant Appendices listed below. The Petitioner had an opportunity to be represented by Legal Counsel, but it was very disappointing for the Petitioners chances for Counsel fall through, due to the passing of the Attorney, Scott A. Schimanski, L.L.M., J.D., while the Petitioners were waiting for a return decision call.

I. BRIEF HISTORY

The Petitioner is 66 years old and was with my wife for about 40 years until I lost my job, my wife and I did not understand (PTSD) post-traumatic stress disorder that was caused by the Defendants damages and that's why we are separated, and I struggle receiving help, treatment and care etc. The Petitioner started working for the Defendants 2008 until 2013. The Petitioner

has face Racism after starting and I was working two jobs for about month and felt the racism would blow over since I quit the other job. My family was important, and this is going beyond brief, I will detail more fact over the hundreds of factual evidence of documents ten times over. I am suffering from ongoing mental and physical medical condition, while not being able to properly concentrate and focus on anything at this time. The Petitioners are resending Appendices etc. of documents dated April 22, 2021, that was provided to the Supreme Court. Please understand the Petitioner mental and physical medical condition and the emergency need to follow Doctors Order while receiving more adequate treatment and care that's limited from Petitioners Independent Psychiatrist and Therapist/Counselors and not able to properly respond to the Supreme Courts requests until the Petitioner can receive the proper treatment and care requested for the Petitioner to have an equal and fair opportunity that was requested numerous of times and long overdue. The Petitioner has provided numerous of document that details the Petitioners emergency requests in all document submitted to the Higher and Lower Courts.

The Petitioner has provided Appendices of sufficient factual evidence of documentation of the Respondents Toxic and Disturbing Systemic Racism continuous their patterns of participating in Systemic Racial Discrimination etc., for years and it continued years after the Respondents ended the Petitioners employment though retaliation and to the Respondents Tactically stopping the Petitioners from exercising his First Amendment Constitutional Rights etc. The Respondents onslaught of Systemic Dysfunctional Barbaric behaviors will continue, if the Supreme Court and other do not step in and stop their Criminal actions, Destructions of Corruptions in the Workplace for African Americans. View the Petitioners other Appendices that was provided for more details and information that supports this Petition and the Petitioners Case of illness etc.

II. PETITIONERS 13 SEPARATE COUNTS OF ALLEGATIONS

- A. Petitioner believes immediate action must be taken by invoking an prompt investigation (to save American) of (a) these types of workplace Hate Crime Plot by non-African Americans to Kill the Plaintiff, an African American and (a-1) the non-African Americans admitted to their employer to Plotting to kill the African American co-worker and (b) while the non-African American employee was being unpunished and protected by his employers (b-1) **the Plaintiff a African American was punished by being terminated by their employers, for speaking out about the Defendants Racist Actions etc.** and (b-2) the Petitioner only wanted an opportunity to work without being killed nor Plotted against to being Killed by non-African Americans, (b-3) whom have already made two attempt without the African American becoming aware of the Plot to be Killed etc. and (b-4) **African Americans must be protected be their Employers, the lower and higher Courts and the United States Government etc.** (c) while the African American co-worker, employee, victim and Plaintiff was (c-1) harassed by employers, (c-2) bullied by employers, (c-3) intimidated by employers, (c-4) documents falsified by the employers to protect their non-African Americans employee from prosecution etc., to keep the Plaintiff quiet form exposing every allegation mentioned, (c-5) state of the arts video tapes stollen, lost or destroyed, by their employers, which would have been impossible to lose state of the arts video tapes, (c-6) Constitutional Rights violated, (c-7) First Amendments Constitutional Rights Violations, (c-8) illegally falsifying documents to

terminate a African American by the employees dysfunctional action to coverup a workplace Civil Rights Hate Crime while Creating an Hostile workplace environment for African Americans, (c-9) conspiracy by employers, (c-10) obstruction of justice violation by influencing, obstructing, impeding, colluding, conspiracy and interfering with a criminal Civil Rights Hate Crime Police Officers investigation, and (d) Plaintiffs employers are believed to have engaged in another obstruction of justice by influencing, obstructing, impeding and interfering with a criminal Civil Rights Hate Crime of the Joliet States Attorney Department Officials investigation and, (d-1) the New Lenox Police Department may have also engaged in obstruction of justice by influencing, obstructing, impeding, colluding, conspiracy and interfering with a criminal Civil Rights Hate Crime investigation by the Joliet States Attorney's Departments Officials investigation (d-2) the non-compliance by the New Lenox Police Department and the Joliet District States Attorney's Department prompts invoking an immediate investigations for failure to act on an Public Official Duties (e) Police Brutalities of Killing or Plotting to Kill Incidents on African Americans should and must prompt invoking an immediate investigation at all times before the Statute of limitations run out by all Opposing Parties vicious, ruthless and dangerous attempts to obstruct, conceal, hide and destroy vital Evidence, intentionally and illegally attempts to running out the clock of the statute of limitation to deliberately avoiding exposing the truth of the Plaintiffs Civil Case 15-cv-06759 lawsuit of corruptions and stop the Defendants and others to hope to avoid prosecution etc. while denying fair justice for Petitioner and other African Americans. All the Defendants Opposing Parties must be held accountable for their actions. (f) Petitioners Medications Escitalopram, Venlafaxine, Quetiapine, Clonazepam and Trazodone Hydrochloride

III. RULES OF LAW AND PRINCIPLES APPLIED (RLPA)
WHILE VIEWING THIS SECTION VIEW APPENDIX LL-1 FOR PERFECT DETAILS AND
EXPLANATION

- A. Petitioner believes the U.S. District Court Civil Case 15-cv-06759 presided by Federal Judge John Robert Blakey's should have a Rule of Law decision Applied and Principle Applied with a reasonable and Apply the correct Rules of Law and Principles Applied (RLPA) to the "Error or Mistake" and the rule in the Federal Judge's "Erroneous Dismissal" "With Prejudice" Decision for Correction in Judgment/Decision "Without" any other discipline, punishments or prosecution to anyone in regard to the Mistake Error of the "Erroneous Dismissal" "With Prejudice" Decision and all the Petitioner would only like to proceed with the Plaintiffs Original Lawsuit, in fairness for the Plaintiffs to have its day in Court with and a Jury Trial. If that is not a possibility, the Petitioner would like the Supreme Court to "Invoke an immediate prompt investigation that everyone avoided doing for fairness and for transparency. The Petitioner would like to know if the correct RLPA was correct and Justifiable.
(a-1) Regards to Judge Blakey's Dismissal Decision, Judge Blakey clearly understood the Petitioners health and medical issues since 2016, because at that time, the Petitioner requested Doctors assistance from the Judge and the Petitioners Courts Appointed

Counsels, and the Petitioner has documents to the appointed Counsel and that can be verified Trial Exhibits and Appendices. (a-2) Judge Blakey made a questionable and Tactic statement in March 21, 2019 Docket Text: (DKT) minute entry was “Furthermore, the cursor excuse slip fails to explain how Plaintiffs; recent medication for anxiety and depression prevent him from traveling to the courthouse for his deposition or answering questions in a deposition for (what the slip only vaguely describes as) “long periods of time.” (The Judges decision was putting the Petitioners life in danger each and every time without allowing the Petitioner request for treatment, care, to follow Doctors Orders or be provided Legal Counseling who would fairly represent the Petitioner with the help of the Court and Judge and for the same reasons, of when Petitioner appeared for one deposition and was sent home by the Respondents and believed to have been approved by the Judge and the Petitioner had to go to the Doctors Office offer leaving) and Judges statement following that was “even though Plaintiff might benefit from being evaluated by a Psychiatrist, the motion and excuse slip provide no evidentiary details upon which the request for delay remains based, and provide no basis to an evaluation by any certain date (if at all), etc.” Please view the whole DKT for clarity etc. to clearly understand the Systemic disproportionate issues of a Black Man. Please understand nothing about this Complex Case can be as Brief as the Supreme Court request and that only hurts the Plaintiff of not being able to completely explain while dealing with PTSD, Stress and Anxiety etc. (b) Petitioner would like for a RLPA for the Judge Blakey’s “With Prejudice” Decision, (b-1) Because the Petitioner was not provided a fair opportunity for a person with a disability, under medication, under Doctors orders and not provided time to be treated and cared for with disregards to Professional Scholars in the medical field nor provided the Petitioner time to obtain a more adequate excuse slip or Evaluation from the Plaintiffs Doctors (b-3) as every sees and clearly understands now, following, reviewing and examining all the Petitioners Independents Professional Scholars of the medical field Evaluations should clear everybody’s mains of any doubts of the Petitioners authenticity of the Petitioners evaluations and (c) the Petitioner complete Case should be taken under consideration and not cherry-pick one side or the other by applying the Rules of Law and Principle Applied (RLPA). (e) Judge Blakey wrongfully “Partial Summary Judgment” for the Plaintiffs Sex/Gender Allegation was throughout the Plaintiff time Working for the Defendants (f) Judge Blakey made illegal Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiff “Defendants Created an Hostile Workplace Environment” Decision without the Defendants filing a motion to deny one of the Plaintiffs main Allegations because Creating an Hostile workplace Environment was throughout the Plaintiffs time working for the Defendants (g) Judge Blakey just laminated some of the Plaintiffs other Allegations without a “Partial Summary Judgment” filing to dismiss.

IV. MISREPRESENTATION AND RACIST STATEMENTS AND COMMENTS ETC. BY THE PETITIONERS COURTS APPOINTED LEGAL COUNSELS

Federal Courts Appointed Counsels for Plaintiff was (1) inexperience, unwilling or protecting the non-African Americans, powerful, high profile and wealthy individuals in defending their

African American client in Civil Case and, (2) Refusing with the opportunity to file a Civil Rights Hate Crime Lawsuits and, (3) refusing with the opportunity to file an Class Action Lawsuits on, (4) misrepresentation by Petitioners Counsels by looking for an way out of litigating Petitioners Civil Case, (5) to quickly end the case like the presiding Judge asked for, with proof of one Counsel wrongful actions and (6) Petitioners last Counsel's Amy Joan Thompson's made Racist statement and comments talking to her Client and (7) **with the presiding Judge Blakey's stamp of approval by not address the Racial problem when the Petitioner mentions the Racial issue of misrepresentation at the status hearing in front of Witnesses**, and the Petitioners request the Supreme Court invoke an immediate and prompts investigations of the Petitioners whole Civil Case 15-cv-06759 and Appeals Case 19-2595, view all Petitioners Courts Reporter Transcripts that the Petitioner was denied access to or viewing, Docketing Statements, Trail Exhibits, Appendices (8) unwilling to track down and follow up on compelling Witness out of over 75 Witness the Petitioners Counsels may have only talk to less than 10, (9) unwilling to complete interrogatories, that that Judge Blakey called recycled when they became incomplete and unfinished each time the Courts Appointed Counsels withdraw as Petitioners Counsel along with production for documents and discovery process, etc. (10) Counsel was unwilling to address or pursue numerous charges and allegations, (11) Counsels failure and unwilling to contact the 12 individuals who signed the Plaintiffs Racial Discrimination petition to see if they have been intimidate, threaten, bulled or terminated for their participation in signing the racial discrimination Petition and be part of a Class Action lawsuit (12) Plaintiffs Counsels failure to investigate and protect the 12 individuals Constitutional Rights to peacefully petition and their wellbeing while ensuring protection from harassment, bullying, intimidations and from being terminated etc. by their employers, the Defendants (13) Plaintiffs Counsels intentionally fails to pursue a Racial Class Action Lawsuit, that was in the Counsels interest to secure them a short case and the plaintiff very limited representation (14) which would be an "Conflict of interest" by Plaintiffs Courts Appointed Counsels, that prompts invoking an immediate investigation by the Supreme Court (15) Counsels intentionally refuse to investigate, or consider investigating, made no attempt to file an Civil Right Lawsuit under the Plaintiffs Constitutional Rights (16) failure to fully commit to prosecuting defendants (17) failure to address wrong doing such bullying, intimidation, abuse of duty/position etc., by the presiding Judge (Federal Judge John Robert Blakey) at the June 12, 2017 Settlement Conferences hearing (18) spent significant and valuable time looking for his client, the Plaintiff another attorney to represent the Plaintiff in his Lawsuit, while realizing and having the knowledge Counsels client cannot afford to pay one "conflict of interest" "abuse of duty" and "Illegal Misrepresentation" (19) Everything the Plaintiff and stated throughout Plaintiff Civil Case and Appeals Cases is the truth and request invoking an speedy prompt and immediate investigations, the facts of evidence has been provided for all to see within Motions, Notices Briefs, Docketing Statements, Courts Reports Transcripts and motions letters to the Federal Judge John Robert Blakey that the Plaintiff was never permitted to obtain through numerous requests, Trial Exhibits and in Appendices. (20) and Petitioner continues to fear for his life by the Defendants and all Opposing Parties and if I, the petitioner has an accident, please invoke a speedy prompt and immediate investigation into the Petitioners death.

V. UNDERSTANDING YOUR RIGHTS TO THE RULES OF LAW AND PROCEDURES

1. What are the 5 civil liberties?

Though the scope of the term differs between countries, civil liberties may include the freedom of conscience, freedom of press, freedom of religion, freedom of expression, freedom of assembly, the right to security and liberty, freedom of speech, the right to privacy, the right to equal treatment under the law and due

- Right to a jury trial

Bill of Rights

Right of trial by jury in civil cases.

Freedom of religion, speech, press, assembly, and petition.

Is the right to work a civil liberty?

Civil rights concern the basic right to be free from unequal treatment based on certain protected characteristics (race, gender, disability, etc.) in settings such as employment, education, housing, and access to public facilities.

2. Is the 14th Amendment a civil liberty?

The overwhelming majority of court decisions that define American civil liberties are based on the Bill of Rights, the first ten amendments added to the Constitution in 1791. ... Civil rights are also protected by the Fourteenth Amendment, which protects violation of rights and liberties by the state governments.

3. Is Due Process a civil right?

Civil procedural due process

As construed by the courts, it includes an individual's right to be adequately notified of charges or proceedings, the opportunity to be heard at these proceedings, and that the person or panel making the final decision over the proceedings be impartial in regards to the matter before them.

4. What exactly are civil rights?

Civil rights are personal rights guaranteed and protected by the U.S. Constitution and federal laws enacted by Congress, such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Civil rights include protection from unlawful discrimination.

5. How is the 14th Amendment used today?

In practice, the Supreme Court has used the Due Process Clause of the 14th Amendment to guarantee some of the most fundamental rights and liberties we enjoy today. It protects individuals (or corporations) from infringement by the states as well as the federal government.

6. How is due process violated?

Due process is the legal requirement that the state must respect all legal rights that are owed to a person. ... When a government harms a person without following the exact course of the law, this constitutes a due process violation, which offends the rule of law.

7. What are the two due process clauses?

Due process under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments can be broken down into two categories: procedural due process and substantive due process. Procedural due process, based on principles of fundamental fairness, addresses which legal procedures are required to be followed in state proceedings.

8. What is considered a violation of civil rights?

A civil rights violation is any offense that occurs as a result or threat of force against a victim by the offender on the basis of being a member of a protected category. For example, a victim who is assaulted due to their race or sexual orientation. Violations can include injuries or even death. ... Race.

International Bill of Rights

- The right to equality and freedom from discrimination.
- The right to life, liberty, and personal security.
- Freedom from torture and degrading treatment.
- The right to equality before the law.
- The right to a fair trial.

9. What is the most important civil right?

Civil rights are an essential component of democracy. They're guarantees of equal social opportunities and protection under the law, regardless of race, religion, or other characteristics. Examples are the rights to vote, to a fair trial, to government services, and to a public education.

10. What is difference between civil rights and civil liberties?

Civil liberties are freedoms guaranteed to us by the Constitution to protect us from tyranny (think: our freedom of speech), while civil rights are the legal rights that protect individuals from discrimination (think: employment discrimination). ... You have the right to a fair court trial. Jan 20, 2021

11. Who do civil rights apply to?

Civil rights include the ensuring of peoples' physical and mental integrity, life, and safety; protection from discrimination on grounds such as race, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, national origin, color, age, political affiliation, ethnicity, religion, and disability; and individual rights such as Personal liberty definition, the liberty of an individual to do his or her will freely except for those restraints imposed by law to safeguard the physical, moral, political, and economic welfare of others.

VI. QUESTIONABLE UNANSWERED JUDGMENTS AND DECISIONS BY THE COURTS

- A. Petitioner like a Rule of law and Principle Applied to the Plaintiffs Civil Case 15-cv-06795 that Applies to the District Court By Federal Judge John Robert Blakey "Dismiss" Decision and with the "With Prejudice" Decision.
- B. Petitioner like a Rule of law and Principle Applied to the Appellants Appeal Case 19-2595 that Applies to the Court of Appeals by Circuit Judge Amy Coney Barrett and her Colleagues Daniel A. Manion, Diane S. Sykes and Amy J. ST. Eve "final Judgment" Decision.
- C. Petitioner like a Rule of law and Principle Applied to the Petitioners Appeals Case 20-6934 that Applies to the Supreme Court by unknown "Denied" Decision.

(view Appellants previously Independent Scholars of Caregivers Evaluations and Excuse Slips provided Appendices that was completely ignored listed below,
Appendix A of Psychiatrist Evaluation,
Appendix B of Therapist/Counselors Evaluation,
Appendix C-1 of Doctors Excuse Slip,
Appendix C-2 of Doctors Excuse Slip,
Appendix C-3 of Doctors Excuse Slip and
Appendix C-4 of Doctors Excuse Slip for verification of the Petitioners medical condition by Independent Professional Scholars in the medical field of medicine etc.),

View Petitioners new Independent Scholars of Caregivers Evaluations and Psychiatrist Bill, Appendices list below.
Appendix B-1 of Therapist/Counselors Evaluation,
Appendix B-2 of Psychiatrist Evaluation,
Appendix B-3 of Psychiatrist Bill the Petitioner cannot afford to pay.

Petitioner are providing new documents listed below that support the Petitioners states the Grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

Appendix K of January 29, 2018 **SUBPOENAS** from the "**ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RIGHTS**" of the "**CHARGES**", "**COMPLAINTS**" and "**CLAIMS**" FILED against the Respondents "JUST FROM" 1/1/08 through 12/31/14, the Supreme Court are not seeing many years of Respondents Systemic Racist Dysfunctional, Barbaric behaviors.

Appendix K-2 of February 14, 2014 **Racial Discrimination document** that was provided to the Respondent by their employees, that was provided to the Petitioner for the first time through discovery from the Respondents.

Appendix U-7 of July 11, 2017 **to Brian T. Maye Regarding details of (a) the Federal Judge John Robert Blakey who was trusted by the Plaintiff at the time to fairly Mediate the Plaintiff's June 12, 2017 Settlement Conference Hearing,**

DATED: May 18, 2021.

Respectfully Submitted,



s/ Fred Cartwright

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned Petitioner, Fred Cartwright, Pro Se Party, pursuant to rule 44 hereby certifies that On May 18, 2021 that support the Petitioners states the Grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented., he caused the foregoing, submits this reply related to the that support the Petitioners states the Grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented, the Petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay be submitted to the SUPREME COURT FOR THE UNITED STATES CLERK'S OFFICE, of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION, and to APPELLEE'S SILVER CROSS HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTERS AND CROTHALL HEALTHCARE, INC.'S, and not filed electronically via the Northern District of Illinois's electronic filing system, and due to Appellant's financial difficulties a copy of the same to be served on the Appellees' (not Appendices, upon requests) by via mail and not by emails nfinkel@seyfarth.com , William.Dugan@bakermckenzie on the parties listed below:

Fred Cartwright
[NTC Pro Se]
Direct: (815) 582-3116
507 E. Cass Street
Joliet, IL 60432
Fredcart64@gmail.com

William F. Dugan (ARDC 6275793)
[COR LD NTC Retained]
BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP
300 East Randolph Street,
Suite 5000
Chicago, IL 60601-6342
Direct: (312) 861-8000
Office: (312) 861-3075
Facsimile: (312) 698-2960
Fax: (312) 698-2480
William.Dugan@bakermckenzie

Noah A. Finkel, Attorney (ARDC# 6224910)
[COR LD NTC Retained]
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive
Suite 2400
Chicago, IL 60606-6448
Direct: (312) 460-5000
Office: (312) same
Fax: (312) 460-7000
nfinkel@seyfarth.com

DATED: May 18, 2021.

Respectfully Submitted,


Js/ Fred Cartwright

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned Appellant, Fred Cartwright, Pro Se Party hereby certifies that

On April 22, 2021, he caused the foregoing, submits this reply of Petitioners' Petition for Rehearing, for at less an (6) six month extension for evaluation to be submitted to the SUPREME COURT FOR THE UNITED STATES CLERK'S OFFICE, of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION, and to APPELLEE'S SILVER CROSS HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTERS AND CROTHALL HEALTHCARE, INC.'S, and not filed electronically via the Northern District of Illinois's electronic filing system, and due to Appellant's financial difficulties a copy of the same to be served on the Appellees' by via mail and by not by emails

nfinkel@seyfarth.com , Arthur.Rooney@bakermckenzie.com on the parties listed below:

Fred Cartwright
[NTC Pro Se]
Direct: (815) 582-3116
507 E. Cass Street
Joliet, IL 60432
Fredcart64@gmail.com

Arthur James Rooney, Attorney (ARDC 6275793)
[COR LD NTC Retained]
BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP
300 East Randolph Street,
Suite 5000
Chicago, IL 60601-6342
Direct: (312) 861-2838
Office: (312) 861-3075
Facsimile: (312) 698-2960
Fax: (312) 698-2480
arthur.rooney@bakermckenzie.com

Noah A. Finkel, Attorney (ARDC# 6224910)
[COR LD NTC Retained]
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive
Suite 2400

Chicago, IL 60606-6448
Direct: (312) 460-5000
Office: (312) same
Fax: (312) 460-7000
nfinkel@seyfarth.com

DATED: April 22, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,


/s/ Fred Cartwright

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**