

No. 20-0642

9th Cir. # 20-15723

ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Kevin W. Dunigan, PLAINTIFF,
AKA Kevin Wayne Phillips Sr.,

v.

C.D.C.R., et. al., DEFENDANTS.

FILED

SEP 30 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI to

9th Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals

Kevin W. Dunigan 632435

C.S.P.-L.A.C.

B-1/4149

P.O. Box 8457

Lancaster, Calif. 93539

In Pro Per Specialist

JEHOVAH RAFAA TABYRAN

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Should all of plaintiffs' civil and punitive compensations be attributed to Kevin W. Dunigan, i.e. Jehovah Rafael Janyrah, while all requested attorney's fees for pro se plaintiffs encompassing 33.3% of all civil compensations with a 3.5 multiplier be attributed to Kevin Wayne Phillips Sr., i.e. Mr. Elohim Yahweh Christ?
- Also should all requested habeas corpus type pro se statutory attorney's fees also be attributed to Kevin Wayne Phillips Sr., i.e. Mr. Elohim Yahweh Christ, encompassing all requested multipliers notwithstanding all sovereign pathologists, DNA experts, Health Care Professionals with their Branch Sovereign Private Investigators including plaintiffs' technologically savvy investigative team's fees and all requested multipliers?
- Should all of plaintiffs' dual sovereign initial taxes and church tithes be paid into their respective coffers?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Duly incorporating this myriad of parties all from the initial complaint, i.e., p. 1-2(b), eg., p. 2(c-e) #31; F.R. Evid. Rule 104.; Rule 404.(b)(2)(A); Rule 401.; Rule 801.; *Bourjaily*, 107 S. Ct. at 2777-2781...

Also duly incorporating all relevant parties, *Id.*, at U.S.S.C. # 2:12-CV-3048-TLN-CKD and # 2:16-CV-0048-TLN-KSN, i.e., Cert., p. XIV - XIV(b); all upon this JUDICIAL NOTICE, F.R. Evid. Rule 104.; Rule 401.; Rule 404.(b)(2)(A); Rule 801.; *Bourjaily v. U.S.*, 483 U.S. 171, — — —, 107 S. Ct. 2775, 2777-2781 (1987); U.S. Const. Art. II §3; 28 U.S.C. §§ 516.; 547.; F.R. Evid. Rule 201.(b)(2); *United States ex. rel. Robinson Rancheria Citizens Council v. Borneo Inc.*, 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted) (collecting cases);

TABLE OF CONTENT

1	OPINIONS BELOW
2	SURROGATION
3	CONSENT + STAY. PROX. INVOLVED
4	STATEMENT OF THE CASE
5	REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION
10	CONCLUSION

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX "A": Judge William B. Shubb's March 25, 2020, adoption of Mag. Claire's F.R. (1)

APPENDIX "B": Mag.'s F.R., dated Feb. 26, 2020

APPENDIX "C": Judge William B. Shubb's Jan. 28, 2020, Adoption of Mag.'s F.R.

Duly Incorporated
Parts on Next page.

INDEX TO INCORPORATED PARTS

Plaintiffs hereby incorporate U.S.S.C. case file numbers #2:12-cv-3048-TLN-CKD and #2:16-cv-0048-TLN-KJN, to wit: Writ of Certiorari, p. II-150, Appendices "A"-thru-"P", Act I - thru-Act XXIV; and Sovereign Motion For An Extermination, p. 1-28; F.R.Evid. Rule 104; Rule 401; Rule 404.1(b)(2)(A); Rule 801; Bourjaily, 107 S.Ct., at 2777-2781; Sup. Ct. Rule 10.(a)+(c); Rule 11; 28 U.S.C. §§ 2101.(e)...

Instant Initial Complaint, p. 1-26; id, at Appendix "A", p. 1; Appendix "B", p. 1; hereafter, Id, as STAGE "I"; while the Sovereign Motion, ect., Id, at Appendix "E", p. 1-2; hereafter, Id. as STAGE "II" and simultaneously served NOTICE OF APPEAL, p. 1-24(f); hereafter, Id, as STAGE "III"; here now "JUDICIAL NOTICE" is being "Taken", see Sup Ct. Rule 10.(a)+(c); Rule 11; 28 U.S.C. §§ 2101.(e); F.R.Evid. Rule 201; U.S. Const. Art. II § 3; 28 U.S.C. §§ 516; 547; Chemical Foundation, supra, at 14-15, 47 S.Ct., at 6...

~~Appendix "D" ~~A Proof of Service~~ Jan 14, 2020~~

INDEX TO APPENDIX Cont.

Appendix "~~D~~": Magistrate's Order And Findings
And Recommendations; Dated: Dec. 19, 2019...

Appendix "E": Magistrate's Order And Findings
And Recommendations; Dated: January 8, 2020...

Appendix "~~F~~" Ninth Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals
REFERRAL NOTICE / April 24, 2020

Appendix "~~G~~" U.S.D.C. East. Dist. of Calif., i.e. Court
of Appeals No. 20-15723 ORDER / April 24, 2020

Appendix "H" Ninth Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals
ORDER / May 5, 2020

Appendix "I" Ninth Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals
Denial Summary on July 14, 2020

Appendix "J" Miscellaneous Law Library Circum-
vention Proves

INDEX TO APPENDIX Cont.

APPENDIX "K": Ninth Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals' Dismissal / Dated: Sept. 18, 2020

APPENDIX "L": Ninth Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals' MANDATE / Dated: Oct. 13, 2020

APPENDIX "M": Ninth Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals' final Mandate / Dated: Oct. 13, 2020

ADDENDUM

APPENDIX "F", Part I: Ninth Circuit's Time Schedule ORDER / Dated: April 21, 2020

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBERS

- Waiver of Sup. Ct. Rule 14.1(c); based on both the Ninth Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals and the California Supreme Courts peremptory challenges for cause and prejudices of collusive abuses of discretions, *Id.*, at STAGE "I", p. 21-26; Bus. & Prob. Code § 6068.1(a)(b)(d); 6128.(a); Code of Civ. Proc. § 170.1; 170.4; Penal Code § 186.22.(b)(1); 187.(A); P.C.N.T.S. / 53 C.F.R. § 190.; K.B.O.T.P. / 53 C.F.R. § 195.; A.I.R.S.C. / 53 C.F.R. § 196.; *Murray v. Carrier*, *supra*, at 487-488, 106 S. Ct. 2634 (quoting *Brown v. Allen*, 344 U.S. at 16, 73 S. Ct. 397).

STATUTES AND RULES

On July 19, 2020, Appellants served torpedoe Ms. Rocke, July 20, 2020, inmate request and Legal Paging Request, Appendix "J", p. 1, 3, only to have her and inmate torpedoe law library clerks circumvent (2) parties' Appendix F-thru-H and I face sheet copies. See Proof of Service, p. 1-2-of-2; and Trace In-Cell #149 and In-Prison Surveillances. 7 U.S.C. §§ 546; 547.(a); G.M.F.I.E. / 53 C.F.R. § 197.; *Maine v. Moulton*, 474 U.S. at 169-171; See also 28 U.S.C. § 2241.(a)(3); F.R.Civ.P. 60.(b)(6); *Gonzalez*, 545 U.S. at 535.

OTHER

Duly incorporate into the aforementioned search Appellants' service to torpedoe Ms. Rocke, the one of a kind inmate Request, Legal Paging Request and 9th Cir. 5 July 14, 2020, Summary Denial, served on July 20, 2020, F.R.Evid. Rule 104.; Rule 801.; *Bourjaily*, 107 S.Ct. at 2777-81. Sup. Ct. Rule 10.(a) + (c)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the opinion below.

OPINIONS BELOW

THIS CASE IS FROM FEDERAL COURTS:

The opinion of the Ninth Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals is non-existent.

Duly incorporated from a pre-existing writ of Certiorari from the U.S. Dist. Court Eastern District of California from cases # 2:12-cv-3048-TLN-CKD, exists at Cert, Appendix "B", p. 1-3; and # 2:16-cv-0048-TLN-KSN, exists at Cert, Appendix "A", p. 1-2; F.R. Evid Rule 104; Rule 401; Rule 801; Bourjaily, 107 S. Ct., at 2777-2781; both of which are unpublished.

Instant case # 2:19-cv-2501-WBS-AC, Judge William B. Shubb's, Jan. 28, 2020, adoption of Mag.'s Jan. 8, 2020 and Dec. 19, 2019, F. & R., 5d, at Appendices "A", p. 1-2; "B", p. 1-5; "C", p. 1-6...

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was October 13, 2020.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix L + M.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Dunigan v. United States, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
77882 (June 3, 2013)

Dunigan v. Hickman, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9162
(Jan. 23, 2013)

Dunigan v. Superior Court, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
83762 (Aug. 21, 2008)

Dunigan v. Superior Court, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56819
(E.D. Cal. July 23, 2008)

Dunigan v. Beard, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
(E.D. Cal. Jan. 21, 2016)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

To the Justice allotted to the 9th Circuit Court and duly exhausted Three-Justice Panel with the Powers of attorney base on Plaintiffs pro se supersedeas, A.I.B., p.3(L-M); R.E.C.(I)(a)/28 U.S.C. § 2254.1(a); C.K.M.D.F.(a)(b)(c)(d)/7 U.S.C. § 101.1(a)(b)(c)(d); ECF No. 1, p.5(g-h); F.R. Crim. P. Rule 44.; Rule 52.1(a); Fareta, 422 U.S., at 816-817 (quoting Snyder, supra, at 106.); Sup. Ct. R. 22.1.3.; 28 U.S.C. §§ 636.1(c); 2283.; 2284.1(b)(1); F.R. Crim. P. Rule 44.; Rule 52(a); Pride, 719 F.3d, at 1137; Milliken II, 433 U.S., at 280-281; 18 U.S.C. §§ 3626.1(a)(1)(B)(i-iii), 12) + (3)(E)(i-ii); Moulton, 474 U.S., at 169-170; Pride, 719 F.3d, at 1134-1135 (citing Coleman, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67943, 2009 WL 2430820, at *27); A.I.B., p.3(L-M); Verbatim, Sup. Ct. Rule 45.1.2.3.; Anderson, 470 U.S., at 571-572 (citing U.S. v. Marine Bancorporation, supra, at 615 n.13, 94 S. Ct. 2856); Sup. Ct. Rule 10.(a) + (c);

4

Cont. 4*-1

This case arises out of a state prisoners' pro se amalgamation of 42 U.S.C. 1983, with 28 U.S.C. 2241. (c)(3); 2243; 2254. (b)(1)(B)(iii) + (d)(1) + (2); clearly establishing the duly exhausted fact that "government becomes the law breaker which duly invites plaintiffs to become a law unto himself emancipating a sovereign nation for which Congress has not spoken, ECF No. 1, p. 1-5 (0), p. 6-26; requiring plaintiffs' speedy "EVACUATION PROTOCOLS" for the express purposes of enforcing a sovereign investigation into such gay liberal corrupt government and media structures for hostile quid pro quos on behalf of Barack H. Obama and Donald J. Trump, in order to try to keep plaintiffs' locked away for life while the perpetuate extortions of intellectual properties and also some political work products encompassing financial extortions with media collusion culminating in High Treason suppression, requiring plaintiffs sovereign "Blacked Out" audio/visual visitation

privileges, with dual California Closets' custom-
ized CTC Cells with Kingsize bunk beds, safe,
appliances, ect., also a personally furnished family
visiting unit and live-in (7) Seven Sovereign Health
Care Professionals with (3) each Sovereign Priv-
ate Investigators includes (2) Sovereign Patholo-
gists and (3) Sovereign DNA experts all of whom
require also (3) each Sovereign Private Investigat-
ers notwithstanding plaintiff's own Technologic-
ally Proficient Sovereign Investigative Team in
order for plaintiff to lead, plaintiff needs his
arms and protective gear for plaintiff's sov-
ereign global superseding, pro se, statutory att-
orney fees, *Id.*, at STAGE "II", p. 7-15(a); F.R. Crim.
P. Rule 44.; Rule 52.(a)(b); 28 U.S.C. §§ 1654.; 2412.(b)(1)(2)
+(d)(1)+(2); R.E.C. (I)(a) / 28 U.S.C. §§ 2254.1(a); K.E.S. (I) /
28 U.S.C. §§ 2254.2; 2255.2; D.D.F.S. v. P. (g) / 53 C.F.R.
§§ 250.(g); CKMD F (a)(b)(c)(d) / 7 U.S.C. §§ 101.(a)(b)(c)(d);
id., at STAGE "I", p. 5(g-h); *Faretta v. California*, 422
U.S., at 816-819, 45 L. Ed. 2d, at 570-573, 95 S. Ct. 2525
(citing *California v. Green*, 399 U.S. 149, 176, 20 L. Ed.
4(a)

2d. 489, 90 S. Ct. 1930 (Harlan, J., concurring).); *Morrox*
v. Superior Court (People) 30 Cal. App. 4th 1252, 1260-
1262, 36 Cal. Rptr. 2d. 210, 215-217 (2nd Dist. 1995) (quo-
ting *Olmstead v. United States* (1928) 277 U.S. 438
485, 48 S. Ct. 564, 575, 72 L. Ed. 944, 960 (Brandeis,
J., dissenting).); *Alaska Pipeline Service Co. v.*
The Wilderness Soc., 421 U.S. 240, 257-259, 44 L.
Ed. 2d. 141, 153-154, 95 S. Ct. 1612 (1975) (quoting *F.D.*
Rich Co., 417 U.S., at 129, 40 L. Ed. 2d 703, 94 S. Ct.
2157 (citing *Vaughan v. Atkinson*, 369 U.S. 527, 8 L. Ed.
2d. 88, 82 S. Ct. 997 (1962))); 18 U.S.C. § 3626. (a)(1)(B)(i)
(ii)(iii). (2); *United States v. Kimball Foods Inc.*, 440
U.S. 715, 726-728, 99 S. Ct. 1448, 1457-1458, 59 L. Ed.
2d. 711 (1979) (quoting *Little Lake Misere Land Co.*,
supra, at 593, 93 S. Ct., at 2397, quoting *Mishkin* 800)
also requiring all previously requested fees and/or
hourly rates encompassing all multipliers duly
appointing (24) Twenty-Four Elders encompassing
(2) Special Prosecutors with (4) Four Living Beings,
i.e. Church Officers, with No Media Appointees, Id.
at Act XVI, p. 2-24 (b); EVENTS "G", p. 66-71; "F",
4 (b)

p. 181-183; requires that allowances be made for all (7) Sovereign Health Care Professionals, along with any willing experts, investigators, ect., to Choose the Kings' stable and bear arms ibid, p. 4(a); ECF No. 6, p. 3-7; verbatim, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3626.(a)(1)(B)(i)(ii)(iii) - (2); R.E.C.(5)(a) / 28 U.S.C. §§ 2254.1 (a); D.N.S.S.D. / 11 U.S.C. § 191; U.C.K.P.J. / 28 U.S.C. §§ 2283.5; 2284.5; Milliken II, supra, at 280-281, 97 S.Ct. 2749; Anderson, 470 U.S., at 471-472, 84 L.Ed.2d, at 527 (citing U.S. v. Marine Bancorporation, supra, at 615, n. 13, 94 S.Ct. 2856); while for the purposes of enforcing Sovereign Street Kraft Globally, Id., at ECF No. 6, p. 1-3; verbatim encompassing the unlimited referral authority of the Ruling Class, Grand Marshalls and Court Elders, ect., for U.C.K. militia's and Great Divide militia's globally enforced right to bear and conceal arms, Id., at ECF No. 20, p. 231g-ij; F.R. Evid Rule 104; Rule 401; Rule 801; Bourjaily, 107 S.Ct., at 2777-2781; Anderson, 470 U.S., at 471-472, 105 S.Ct. 1504 (citing U.S. v. Marine Bancorp, supra, at 615, n. 13); EVERT F. 4(b)-1

p. 181-183; encompassing all dual names' securities' purchases, Id, at EVENT "F," 166-176, ect., EVENT "E," p. 610-638; p. 599-610; EVENT "F," p. 1-316; hereby updating all securities, i.e. Calls LEAP's next expirations of Jan. 2022, all the strike prices no more than \$5.00, out of the money and no more than \$1.00 inside current stocks' trading price, Id, at EVENTS "E," p. 615-616; "F," p. 166-176; duly encompassing all narcotics and Marijuana, Id, at EVENTS "F," p. 166-167; "H," p. 74; #2:11-cv-MCE-EFB, at Doc. #86, part II, p. 130; Act VII, p. 40 (b-d); U.A.L.V.D. (a)(b) / 53 C.F.R. § 300. (a)(b); S.P.S.E.A. (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R. § 304. (a)(b)(c); F.V.W.S.Q. (a)(b)(c)(d) / 53 C.F.R. § (a)(b)(c)(d); G.F.C.O.P. (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R. § 307. (a)(b)(c); P.R.F.I.C. (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R. § 308. (a)(b)(c); S.P.O.D.D. (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R. § 309. (a)(b)(c); D.C.F.S.V. / 53 C.F.R. § 301; U.S.S.V. (a)(b) / 53 C.F.R. § 302. (a)(b); C.M.F.I.C. (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R. § 700. (a)(b)(c); D.D.F.S.V. P. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f) / 53 C.F.R. § 250. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f); P.A.F.S.C. / 53 C.F.R. § 251; all CDC Cells, cell Fac. "B" BIN #1 Cell #149, nor the family visiting unit are search-

able, all rights and sovereign blacked out audio/
visual privileges are to be ~~transferred~~ to the
White House and California's governor's man-
sion for 17) Health Care Prof's can launder all
of plaintiff's' clothes, provide meals, use
White House and Gov.'s mansion as primary of-
fices during murder investigation, 14) 25' Hauler
trucks are cleared for purchase and equipment
delivery with requested toiletries purchases,
all weight lifting equipment's purchases and
deliveries from Big Sis and Dick's Sporting Goods,
Jerome's Furniture and Mathis Bros. Furniture are
furnishing vendors, Id, at EXHIBIT "F", p 200-217;
California Closets is cleared also, Id, at EXHIBIT "F",
p 41-90, p 215; Bic ink pens, paper from Wal-Mart ect.
\$500,000.00, for a pre-paid Visa card, Grand
Marshall McCrystal, to provide, C.S.P.-L.A. County, ed-
gate X-ray machines and sovereign salaried Marine
veterans, all Los Angeles residences are now
cleared for Queens' occupancies, Id, at Act X,
4(d)

p.3(h-s); Security Clearances for U.S. and Calif.
for XTREME & Political Consulting Team, ~~Id.~~ at **Act**
X, p.3(eq-s); 110' electric 16' passenger carts, 110'
power strips, with 120' extension cords, 14' 57"
Flat screens w/ U' stands, 14' RGB wires with
60' phone wires, 140' Chrome Books with wifi
access, 120' Micro Soft Surface Pros with no
wifi access for classified and EMC² storage
soft ware, i.e. Power Point, excell, windows, office,
auto cad, desk top publishing, music creation
software, all for Fac. "C" visiting room from
0600 hr. - til - 0000 hrs., at verbatim purchases, ~~Id.~~
at **Act X**, p.3(p-r); K.N.G.S.B.B. (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R.
§ 357. (a)(b)(c); all relevant areas to be Blacked
Out audio/visual surveillances, M.S.B.O.S. (a)(b)(c)
(d)(e) / 53 C.F.R. § 358. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e); Anderson, 470
U.S., at 571-572, 94 L.Ed.2d, at 527 (citing U.S. v.
Marine Bancorp, supra, at 415, n.13, 94 S.Ct. 2856);
ibid, p. 4(b-e); F.R. Evid. Rule 104; Rule 401; Rule 801;
Bourjaily, 107 S.Ct, at 2777-2781; all U.C.K. citiz-
ens are required to pay initial taxes and tithes
4(c)

ibid, p 4***-b; cleared for perpetual taxations
on sovereign x-2 (s) and x-4 (s); all willing
females may Choose the Kings' Stable, for an
allotted 120 days of whores' gear, accessories,
• 380 Caliber x/4 extra 116 round clips and GPS
panic buttons, ect; Id, at # 211-cv-MCE-EFB, at
Doc. # 86, part II, p. 123-125; ie, Kings accessories,
p. 115 (a); ie... 5x Queens limousines, p. 110-112; 5x
militia transports, p. 112-113; EVENT "E", p. 619-
620; Gov. Code § 800; Santos v. D.M.V., 5 Cal. App.
4th 537, 550-551, n. [9], 7 Cal. Rptr. 2d 10, 18 (1st Dist.
1992); Code of Civ. Proc. § 1021.5; Edgerton v. State
Personnel Bd., 83 Cal. App. 4th 1350, 1361-1363, 100 Cal.
Rptr. 2d 491, 499-500 (1st Dist. 2001) (quoting Serrano
III, supra, at 49, 141 Cal. Rptr. 315, 569 P. 2d 1303.); K.D.
M.I.T. (a) / 7 U.S.C. § 3003. (a); K.D.M.R.I. (a) / 7 U.S.C. §
3004. (a); K.D.M.I.R. (a) / 7 U.S.C. § 3002. (a); S.P.S.E.A.
(a) / 53 C.F.R. § 304. (a); S.Q.Q.V.R. (a) / 53 C.F.R. § 227. (a);
F.H.I.P.S. (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R. § 20. (a)(b)(c); loose conduct
barabments enforced by 17 Thunders; L.C.R.A.B. (a) /
53 C.F.R. § 7000. (a); L.C.R.A.B. I (a) / 53 C.F.R. § 70001. (a);

F.C.S.K. (a)(b)(c)(d) / 4 U.S.C. § 1003. (a)(b)(c)(d); POLY / 11 U.S.C. § 5.; I.D. (a)(b)(c)(d) / 28 U.S.C. § 2254.3. (a)(b)(c)(d); 2255.3 (a)(b)(c)(d); P.T. (I)(II)(III)(IV)(V)(a)(b)(c)(VI) / 28 U.S.C. § 2254.4. (I)(II)(III)(IV)(V)(a)(b)(c)(VI); 2255.4. (I)(II)(III)(IV)(V)(a)(b)(c)(VI); F.B. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) / 11 U.S.C. § 1. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e); Malachi ch. 3: 6-10; Hebrews ch. 7: 1-10; K.M.G. D.B. (a) / 53 C.F.R. § 3. (a); F.B.T.E. I, (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R. § 400. (a)(b)(c); C.S.P. A.V. (a) / 53 C.F.R. § 318. (a); requires all requested dual Security Clearances, *ibid*, p. 4 (e); encompassing all Ruling Class U.C.K. and Great Divide militias' males with both sovereign United States and California administrations, *ibid*, p. 4 (g-k); E.I.U. C.K. (a) / 4 U.S.C. § 1. (a); S.C.O.C. / 7 U.S.C. § 2.; also requires closed highways and streets for Kings' Caravan to the initial and final sovereign U.S. and Calif. administrations' Blacked Out audio/visual EMERGENCY VISIT here at C.S.P. - L.A. County, i.e. Ground ZERO 44750 60th Street West Lancaster Calif. 93534, enforcing a No Fly Zone with Air Superiority, *Id*, at Act 4(g)

I, p. 19(a-g); K.C.R.C.D.D. (a)/53 C.F.R. 5313. (a); upon
this dual U.S. and California "Take Over" pursuant
to a nation wide "No Knock Death Warrant" on
world wide criminal enforcement, *Id.*, at 50 AGE
"I", p. 3-510, p. 19-26; verbatim enforcement, F.F.N.
(a)/Sup. Ct. Rule 50. (a); 7 U.S.C. 5569. (a); Anderson,
470 U.S., at 571-572, 84 L. Ed. 2d, at 527 (citing U.S.
v. Marine Bancorporation, *supra*, at 615, n. 13, 94 S. Ct. 2856);
ibid., p. 41(a-g); 18 U.S.C. 53006A. (d)(3) + (e); 3599. (a)(2)
+ (b) - thru - (f); *Ake v. Oklahoma*, 470 U.S., at 78-
81, 84 L. Ed. 2d, at 63-64, 105 S. Ct. 1087 (quoting
Solerbee v. Balkcom, 339 U.S. 9, 12, 94 L. Ed. 604
70 S. Ct. 457 (1950)); *Harbison v. Bell*, 556 U.S.
180, — — —, 173 L. Ed. 2d 347, 361-362 (quoting
United States v. Morton, 467 U.S. 822, 828, 104 S. Ct.
2769, 81 L. Ed. 2d 680 (1984).); F.R. Evid. Rule 702.;
Rule 703.; Rule 705.; Rule 901. (b)(1-10); *Harbison*, *supra*, at
— — —, 173 L. Ed. 2d, at 362-363 (citing *ante*,
at 183, 173 L. Ed. 2d, at 354; see also *post*, at 200,
173 L. Ed. 2d, at 364 (Scalia, J., concurring in
part and dissenting in part).); jurists of

reason would not debate whether plaintiffs' sovereign United States administration does require a Classified well vetted security and intelligence transitional team for interactive sovereign positioning into vacated positions for current directives and objectives {b}orthwith upon plaintiffs' "EVACUATION PROTOCOLS" with full technological overview simultaneously to Grand Marshall McCrystal's, nation wide enforcement of a "No Knock Death Warrant" for "High Treason," *ibid*, p. 4(f-k); for specific enforcement, *id*, at Act XIX, p. 2-4, p. 18(a), p. 23-24; K.I.N.G. (I)/18 U.S.C. §§ 241.1; 242.1; 241.2; 242.2; K.I.N.G. (II)/18 U.S.C. §§ 2381.1; 2382.1; 2383.1; K.I.N.G. (III)(a)(b)/18 U.S.C. §§ 3006A (IX)(a)(b); U.C.K.N.S.A./53 C.F.R. § 9; M.O.N.Q.T./7 U.S.C. § 304; M.O.N.X.T./7 U.S.C. § 308; K.Q.N.E.F./11 U.S.C. § 50; K.Q.N.E.X./11 U.S.C. § 51; K.Q.N.A.X./11 U.S.C. § 52; K.N.Q.B.Z./11 U.S.C. § 53; K.Q.N.C.Z./11 U.S.C. § 54; K.Q.N.G.R./11 U.S.C. § 55; surrender is required for all "HELL FIRE" purifications, GROUND ZERO, *id*, at ECF No. 6, p. 71d+2, p. 15(e); all females and

4(ii)

P. R. 69; U.S. v. Yazell, 382 U.S., at 354-357
Anderson v. Bessemer City, 470 U.S., at 571-
572, 84 L.Ed. 2d 518 (citing U.S. v. Marine
Bancorporation, supra, at 615, n. 13, 94 S. Ct.
2856); F.R. Crim. P. R. 29, (a)-(b) + (c); R. 52-(a) +
Evans v. Michigan, 568 U.S., at — — —, 18
L.Ed. 2d, at 133-134 (quoting U.S. v. Ball, 163
U.S. 662, 671, 16 S. Ct. 1192 (1896).); Kotteak
supra, at 765, 66 S. Ct., at 1248; F.R. A.P. 32
F.R. Civ. P. 52.(a); 28 U.S.C. §§ 2101.(b); 2283.
2284.(b)(1); Pride, 719 F.3d, at 1134-1135 (citing
Coleman, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS, 6794
2009 WL 2430820, at * 27.); Anderson, 47
U.S., at 573-575, 105 S. Ct. 1504 (quoting Pullman
Standard v. Swint, 456 U.S., at 287, 102 S. Ct.

heterosexual males receive 1100 years while all
L.G.B.T.Q. males respecting birth nature re-
quires 1150 years for returning to hetero-
sexuals and 1250 years for transitions to fe-
males, Malachi ch. 3:1-18; Daniel ch. 12:10; all U.S.
Const. oathe violators are excluded from puri-
fications, Ezekiel ch. 21:18-27; Al-Fatihah sura 1:1-7;
Al-Kahf sura 18:83-110; requiring "Last Meals" prior
to "executions" by "firing squad" or all children
1127 twelve and under for "lethal injections" for
cremations upon deaths for "Erns" displayed
in Arlington National Tomb Memorials for all Trai-
sonous dissidents, Id, at Acts VIII, p. 17; VII, p. 8-9;
immanating from Ground Zero here at C.S.P.-L.A
County 44750 60th Street West Lancaster Calif.
93536, requires "equitable" and "exceptions" for
pauper's status, 28 U.S.C. § 1915.1a-f(1)(g), ibid, p. 500-6;
for the upper left hand corner staple and 1407
forty page limit of Sup. Ct. Rules 33.2.1a(1b); 38.1a-e);
39.1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8; expeditiously pursuant to the
plaintiffs' "strong freestanding showing" of
actual innocence in Sacto. Co. cases # 07FO6642,

1-12, p. 13-16; Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 11. (b)(3);
10 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.; U.S. v. Washington, 653
F.3d, at 1062-1063 (quoting Rodriguez v.
Mitchell, 252 F.3d 191 (2nd Cir. 2001). Gonza-
lez, 545 U.S., at 532, n.5.); Kiobel v. Millson,
592 F.3d, at 82 (citing Storey, 347 F.3d,
at 388); Rodriguez, 252 F.3d, at 197, 199;
7 U.S.C. §§ 545.; 546.; 547. (a); C.M.F.I.E./53
C.F.R. § 187.; U.C.K.F.R.T. (a) 7 U.S.C. §§ 548. (a)
Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 69.; Yazell, 382 U.S.,
at 354-357; Chene v. Gates, 27 F.3d, at
1443-1444 (citing Monell v. N.Y. City Dept.
of Soc. Serv., 436 U.S. 658, 690-691, 98 S.
 Ct. 2018 (1978).); Washington, 653 F.3d, at
1060-1061 (citing Rule 60 (b)(4) of the Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure); Yick Wo v.

Id., at EVENT "J", p. 13-58; #09F01221, Id., at EVENT
"J", p. 58-100; ECF No. 20, p. 23(c)-3; 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915.1(g);
2241.1(c)(3); 2243; 2253.1(c)(1)(A)+(B)(2)+(3); 2254.1(b)(1)(B)(i)+(ii)+(d)(1)+(2); Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie, 239 F.3d 307,
312-14 (3rd Cir. 2001); Rivas, 687 F.3d, at 547, n.42;
Schlup, 513 U.S., at 328, 115 S. Ct. 851; F.R. Crim. P. Rule 3;
Rule 4; Rule 52.1(a)+(b); F.R. Evid. Rule 404.1(b)(2)(A);
Rule 403; Rule 901.1(a); Title VI + VII of the 1964
Civil Rights Act; Rhodes v. Robinson, 380 F.3d,
at 1126-1131 (quoting Vernon, 255 F.3d, at 1127.);
Anderson, 470 U.S., at 568-571; McDonnell Douglas
v. Green, 411 U.S. 792, 799-801, 36 L. Ed. 2d 668, 676-
677, 93 S. Ct. 1817 (1973) (quoting Griggs, supra,
at 430-431, 28 L. Ed. 2d 158.); Bivens v. Six Un-
known Fed. Narco. Agents, 403 U.S. 388, 392-394,
29 L. Ed. 2d 619, 624-625, 91 S. Ct. 1999 (1971) (quo-
ting Bynum, supra, at 29, 71 L. Ed., at 522 (emphasis ad-
ded)); ibid., p. 41(a-k); (CT 766-771.) Id., at 407,
29 L. Ed. 2d, at 633 (quoting J.I. Case Co. v. Borak,
supra, at 432, 12 L. Ed. 2d, at 427; U.S. v. Standard

Oil Co., *supra*, at 307, 91 L. Ed., at 2071); *Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36, 42-46, 158 L. Ed. 2d 177, 187-189, 124 S. Ct. 1354 (2004) (quoting *King, supra*, at 165, 87 Eng. Rep., at 585.); *Id.*, at 47-49, 158 L. Ed. 2d, at 190-191, 124 S. Ct. 1354 (quoting R. Lee, Letter IV by the Federal Farmer (Oct. 15, 1787), reprinted in 1 Schwartz, *supra*, at 469, 473.); *Herrera v. Collins*, 504 U.S. 390, 404-405, 122 L. Ed. 2d 203, 219, 113 S. Ct. 853 (1993) (quoting Kuhlmann, *supra*, at 454, 91 L. Ed. 2d 364, 106 S. Ct. 2616.); *Id.*, at EXHIBIT "J", p. 58-71; F.R. Civ. P. Rule 11.(b)(3); *Kiobel v. Milson*, 592 F.3d 78, 82 (10th Cir. 2010) (citing *Storay*, 347 F.3d, at 388.); 49 C.F.R. §§ 40.25(k) & 40.29(a)(2)(b) (1999); *California v. Trombetta*, 467 U.S. 479, 488-499, 81 L. Ed. 2d 403, 104 S. Ct. 2528 (1984); *Arizona v. Youngblood*, 488 U.S. 51, 57, 58, 102 L. Ed. 2d 281, 109 S. Ct. 333 (1988); *U.S. v. Hirsch*, 360 F.3d at 861-864 (citing *Kelley*, 152 F.3d, at 885.); "Bad Faith" testimonials regarding 9-5-95 previous DNA testing and evidence custody chain held

as testimonials in case 09F01221, as well as in
initial # 06TRO59568, arrest warrant and
07F06642, arrest report, *Id.*, at EVENT "J",
p. 59-88, Appendice "A", part II, p. 1-4; "D", part I,
p. 1-10; EVENT "J", p. 13-58; to wit: the common
law maxim falsus in uno falsus in omnibus,
U.S. Const. Art. II § 3; 28 U.S.C. § 516; 547; Cal. Evid.
Code §§ 550; 664; 1280; CALJIC No. 1.30; *Craw-*
ford, supra, at 50-52, 158 L. Ed. 2d, at 192-193, 124
S. Ct. 1354 (quoting *White v. Illinois*, 502 U.S. 346,
368, 116 L. Ed. 2d 848, 112 S. Ct. 736 (1992) (Thomas,
J., joined by Scalia, J., concurring in part and
concurring in judgement)); *People v. Strong*, 30
Cal. 151, 155-156 (1866); *People v. Soto*, 59 Cal. 347 (1881); wide spread "Hell Fire" purification held
as "VOID" due to Blasphemy issues, whereas
Humans alleged orally and/or believed plaintiffs
to be a homosexual, *ibid*, p. 4(i-j); *Id.*, at EVENT
"E", at Appendix "PHI", part I, p. 20-27; Mark ch. 3: 28-
30; arbitrary, tyrannical, homosexual faction
of government held as a recalcitrant litig-

ant for the purposes of taking this "Judicial
Notice of the complete case file of Dunigan v
California Department of Corrections, et.al., * 2:05-
cv-~~1891~~ - WBS - JFM, Broxen v. Plata, 563 U.S.
—, —, —, 179 L. Ed. 2d 969, 986-987 (quoting
Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304, 311, 153 L. Ed. 2d 335,
122 S. Ct. 2242 (2002) (quoting Trop v. Dulles, 356
U.S. 86, 100, 2 L. Ed. 2d 630, 78 S. Ct. 590 (1958) (plurality opinion)).); Hutto v. Finney, 437 U.S. 678,
690-692, 57 L. Ed. 2d 522, 534-535, 98 S. Ct. 2565
(1978) (citing U.S. v. Mine Workers, 330 U.S. 258,
305, 91 L. Ed. 884, 67 S. Ct. 677.); F.R. Evid. Rule 201.
(b)(2); United States ex. rel. Robinson Rancheria Ci-
tizens Council v. Borneo Inc., 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation and internal quotation marks
omitted) (collecting cases); *ibid*, p. 4(j-n); Perkins,
supra, at — —, 133 S. Ct., at 1935-1936 (quoting
Schlup, 513 U.S., at 314, 115 S. Ct. 851.); F.R. Crim. P.
Rule 29. (a)(b) + (c); Rule 52. (a) + (b); Evans, 568 U.S., at
— —, 185 L. Ed. 2d, at 133-134, 133 S. Ct. — (quoting
U.S. v. Ball, 163 U.S. 662, 471, 41 L. Ed. 300, 16 S. Ct. 11-
92 (1896).); this instant request for a sovereign
4(n)

global sovereignty superseding (3) Three-Judge Panel with the (P)owers of attorney from which the pro se sits in supersedeous posturing of, as plaintiffs for the express purposes of fashioning the dual verbatim (100) year enhancements with "RED HOT POKER" tortures, ect., ibid, p. 4; Anderson, 470 U.S., at 571-572, 84 L. Ed. 2d, at 527 (citing U.S. v. Marine Bancorporation, supra, at 615, n. 13, 94 S. Ct. 2856); hereby duly incorporating all of the amalgamation of claims and ~~start~~ presented herein, ibid, p. 4-4(0); all as "substantial and adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further," F.R. Crim. P. Rule 44.; 28 U.S.C. § 1654.; Farett, 422 U.S., at 816-817, 45 L. Ed. 2d, at 571, 95 S. Ct. 2525 (quoting Snyder, supra, at 106, 84 S. Ct. 330 (emphasis added).); R.E.C. (I)(a) / 28 U.S.C. §§ 2254.1(a); CKMDF(a)(b)(c)(d) / 7 U.S.C. §§ 101.(a)(b)(c)(d); STAGE "I," p. 5(g-h); D.N.S.S.D. / 11 U.S.C. § 191.; U.C.K.P. J. / 28 U.S.C. §§ 2283.5 2284.5; ~~2283.5~~; 2283.; 2284.(b)(1); F.R. Crim. P. Rule 44.; F.R. Evid. Rule 702.; Rule 703.; Rule 705; Rule 901.

(b)(1-10); Act XVI, p. 2-2416; Pride, 719 F. 3d, at 1134-1135 (citing Coleman, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67943, 2009 WL 2430 920, at *27.); Moulton, supra, at 169-170, 106 S. Ct. 477 (quoting Powell, supra, at 68-69, 53 S. Ct. 55 (quoted in Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335, 344-345, 83 S. Ct. 792 (1963))); F.R. Civ. P. Rule 52.1(a); R. 56.1(a)(5)(h); Anderson, supra, at 573-575, 84 L. Ed 2d, at 528-529 (quoting Pullman-Standard v. Swint, 456 U.S. at 287, 102 S. Ct. 1781.); Preiser, 411 U.S. at 487, 494-497; Sup Ct. R. 42.1; R. 43.2.5.7.; R. 46.2.1(a) & 46.3.

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

Plaintiffs hereby seeks to vacate all stays, & at U.S.D.C., cases # 2:12-cv-3048-TLN-CKD with # 2:16-cv-0048-TLN-KON, encompassing Cert.'s file complete, 2:19-cv-2501-WBS-AC and U.S. Sup Ct.'s file for the Calif. Sup Ct. case # 5194009, Cert, p 32-58; also the Anthony A. Phillips' temporary U.S. Presidential appointment, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2101.1(e) & (f); 42 U.S.C. K. (a) / 7 U.S.C. § 1.1(a); F.R. Civ. P. Rule 60.1(b)(6); Gonzalez, 545 U.S. at 534-535; 125 S. Ct. 2641; Sup. Ct. R. 10.1(a) & (c); *ibid*, p 4-10; E.R. Evid. R. 104.; R. 901;

There is a reasonable probability that the 9th Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals has further abused its discretions in collusion with Obama and President Trump, when causing undue delays in excess of its own 9th Cir. R. 3-3.1 guidelines, *Id.*, at Appendix "F", part I, p. 1-2 Compare Appendix "I", p. 1-2 and Appendices "L & M"; with Appendix "K", *ibid.*, p. 5(e)-9; ECF No. 1, p. 19-26; requiring all Oct. 2020, G/M 602(c) on de novo, discovery for an egregious obstruction classification, *Id.*, at A.I.B., p. 3(p-5); ECF No. 1, p. 2(c-e)^{#31}, F.R. Civ. P. Rule 11.1(b)(3); Rule 60.1(b)(4) & (6); *Buck v. Davis*, *supra*, at — —, 197 L. Ed. 2d, at 8-9 (citing *Buck*, *ibid.*, p. — —, 197 L. Ed. 2d, at 21-24.); *Gonzalez*, *supra*, at 534, 162 L. Ed. 2d, at 494 (citing *Steel Co. v. Citizens for Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 94, 106, 140 L. Ed. 2d 210 (1998).); *Id.*, at 535-536, 162 L. Ed. 2d, at 494-495 (quoting *Ackermann v. U.S.*, 340 U.S. 193, 199, 95 L. Ed. 207 (1950)); accord, *id.*, at 202, 95 L. Ed.

207; *Liljeberg*, 486 U.S., at 864, 100 L. Ed. 2d 855; *id.*, at 875, 100 L. Ed. 2d 855 (Rehnquist, C.J., dissenting).); this homosexual collusion ~~was~~ "substantial and injurious to the jurists of reason's ability to assess the viability of plaintiffs' claims," *id.*, at A.I.B., p. 1-6; Compare Pet. For Reh., p. 1-39; F.R. Civ. P. Rule 52.1(a); Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act; Bus. & Prof. Code's 6068.1(a)(b)(1)(d); 6128.1(a); *Anderson*, 470 U.S., at 568-571, 84 L. Ed. 2d, at 525-527; *Barbar v. Johnson*, 145 F.3d., at 236-237 (quoting *Brecht v. Abrahamson*, ~~507 U.S. 19~~ 507 U.S. 19, 630, 123 L. Ed. 2d 353 (1993).); duly incorporating a de novo, search for the nuclear codes too transfer to Anthony A. Phillips, ECF No. 1, p. 2 (c-e)^{#31}, verbatim, *ibid.*, p. 5; with all media and In-Prison subliminal collusive interferences all hereby *id.*, as "egregious obstructions," *id.*, at ECF No. 20, p. 14(•)-14(••); along with vindictively short meal portions at Cell B-1/#149, for Oral Commands and sua sponte' enforcements

with facial recognition technology, *ibid*, p. 5-* -
to-5(*); F.R. Evid. Rule 104.; Rule 401.; Rule 801.; Bour-
jaily, 107 S. Ct., at 2777-2781; *id*, at ECF No. 1,
p. 2(c-e) #31, 7 U.S.C. §§ 545.; 546.; 547.(a); G.M.F.I.E.
/53 C.F.R. §187.; U.C.K.F.R.T.(a) / 7 U.S.C. §§ 548.(a);
M.O.N.P.Q. / 7 U.S.C. § 307.; verbatim, Anderson,
supra, at 571-572, 84 L. Ed. 2d, at 527 (citing *U.S. v.*
Marine Bancorporation, *supra*, at 615, n. 13, 94
S. Ct. 2856); *Milliken II*, 433 U.S., at 280-281,
97 S. Ct. 2749; Ezekiel ch. 37:15-20; Al-Qalam
sura 68:1-23; Preiser, 411 U.S., at 487, 494-497;
Sup. Ct. Rule 42.1.2.3.; Rule 43.2.5.7.; Rule 10.(a) + (c).

THE COLLUSIVE MEDIA ABUSE
WHILE WATCHING AND MIRRORING
PLAINTIFFS' CUSTODIAL SETTING
WITHIN A COVER-UP OF HIGH TREASON
REQUIRES AN INVESTIGATION

There's a reasonable probability COVID-19
is being embellished as was the case with
the Boeing 737 GROUNDING, *ibid*, p. 5-7;

With regard for the falsely grounded planes
of Boeings' manufactured 737(s)? All of
which alleged a computing manufacturer's
error, what is hereby required are the
(2) Black Boxes of the (2) wrecked
planes synonymous with a de novo, dis-
covery of Anne Marie Green's, early mor-
ning report playing said Black Boxes
which displayed "Allah hu Akbar" shouting
terrorists crashing one of such Boeing's
737 planes, ECF No. 1, p. 2(c-e) #31 C
K M D F (a)(b)(c)(d) / 7 u.s.c. §§ 101 (a)(b)(c)(d); ECF
No. 1, p. 5(g-h); 28 u.s.c. §§ 636 (c); 2254 (b)(1)(B)(iv);
Lorillard Corp, 444 F. 2d, at 800; F. R. Crim. P. Rule
16 (a)(1)(C); Armstrong, 517 U.S., at 471-475; Anderson,
470 U.S., at 571-572; Preiser, 411 U.S., at 487, 494-
497; Sup. Ct. R. 421; R. 432.5. 7, via CBS channel 2.

Jurists of reason would not debate whether both Chief Judges Morrison C. England Jr and Lawrence J. O'Neill, pursuant to the plaintiffs' sovereign powers of, E.I.U.C.K.(a)/7 U.S.C. § 1.(a); are hereby given "sua sponte" authorization in order to elevate 112 existing magistrates to their respective Judgeships, Bd, at ~~CASE for Consent~~, R.E.C.(D)(a)/28 U.S.C. § 2284.1.(a); C.K.M.D.F.(a)(b)(c)(d)/7 U.S.C. § 101.(a)(b)(c)(d); D.N.S.S.D./11 U.S.C. § 191; U.C.K.P.T./28 U.S.C. § 2283.S; 2284.S; STAGE "I," p 5(g-h); notwithstanding all of Chief Judge England Jr's, pre-existing responsibilities before departure for the U.S. Supreme Court, Bd, at Act XVI p. 2-24(b), p. 24(b); encompassing all elevated magistrates' U.S. citizenships with double pay funded from the R.E.V.R.D.(a)(b)/53 C.F.R. § 252.(a)(b); Quarantined Class Action funds, Bd, at EXHIBIT "E," p 507-520; Sup. Ct Rule 43.7.; while initiate the new magistrates' vetting process under the same

Heavenly Court's hiring practices for clerks, reporters and U.S. Marshalls, notwithstanding all Prosecutors and Defendants while relinq- uishing Allison Claire, Id., at 542 "Q", p. 6768-72; Act XXI, p. 50-51, verbatim, includes; STAGE "II", p. 3-7; 18 U.S.C. §§ 3626.(a)(1)(B)(i)(ii)(iii). (2); Hutto v. Finney, supra, at 710-71, 57 L. Ed 2d, at 542 (quo- ting Milliken II, supra, at 280-281, 975 Ct. 2749.); U.S. v. Marine Bancorp., supra, at 615, n. 13, 945 Ct. 2856; 28 U.S.C. §§ 631-639; F.R. Civ. P. Rule 64.(b); Rule 23.(a) (1-4) + (b)(1)(2); Rule 52.(a); Rule 56.(c)-(g) + (h); ibid, p. 5(c)-9; Hutto, supra, at 690-692, 57 L. Ed 2d, at 534-535 (quoting 18 U.S.C. § 401 (1976 ed)) (18 U.S.C. § 401). Civil contempt proceedings may yield a con- ditional jail term or fine. U.S. v. Mine Workers, 330 U.S. 258, 305, 67 S. Ct. 677.; forthcoming hostility issues coupled with these appointment remedies are all "substantial and adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further" Slack, supra, at 483-485, 146 L. Ed 2d, at 554-555; 28 U.S.C. §§ 2253 (c)(1)(A) + (B)(2) + (3); Milliken II, supra, at 280-81, 95 S. Ct.

75(b))

2749; *Pride*, 719 F.3d, at 1137; while jurists of
reason would not debate whether plaintiffs
previously having been murdered and resur-
rected from such a murder ordered at
the behest of C.S.P. - L.A. County's staff
on April 12, 2014, in Fac. "D", Bld #4 Cell #
132, by I/M Ransom, Id., at EYEART "J",
p. 241-274; 7 U.S.C. § 546; § 547(a); O.M.F.I.E./
§ 3 C.E.R. § 187; U.C.K.F.R.T.(a)/ 7 U.S.C. § 548(a);
at the direct April 9, 2014, ORDERS of C/D
Francisco, at the same location Cell's door
between 10:00 a.m. - thru- 2:00 p.m. Penal Code
§§ 186.22.(b)(1); 187.(A); F.R. Evid. Rule 104; Rule
404.(b)(2)(A); Rule 801; *Bourjaily*, 107 S. Ct. at 2777-
2781; rendering the terms and conditions
of plaintiffs' environment a hostile work
place requiring an investigation as per the
instant request, Id., at **ECF No 1**, p. 6-26, for
verbatim enforcements, *U.S. v. Marine Bancor.*

poration, *supra*, at 415, n. 13, 94 S. Ct. 2856; 18 U.S.C.
§§ 3006A.(d)(3) & (e); 3599.(a)(2)-(b)-thru-(f); *Ake*, 470
U.S., at 78-81, 84 L. Ed. 2d at 63-64; R.E.C. (I)(a) /
28 U.S.C. §§ 2254.1(a); R.E.S. (B) / 28 U.S.C. §§ 2254.2;
Complaint, p. 5 (g-h); F.R. Civ. P. Rule 69; *Yazell*,
382 U.S. at 354-357; surely this hidden
homosexual inmates' hostility is a lie and
wait for an ambush attack on plaintiffs to
preclude exposure of all the perpetually
false and erroneous convictions in Sacto. Co
cases # 07FO6642, Bd, at EVENT "J" p. 13-
58, and # 09FO1221, Bd, at EVENT "J" p. 58-
100; both free standing claims of actual
innocence, Title VI & VII of the 1964 Civil Right
Act; F.R. Crim. P. Rule 3; Rule 4; Rule 52.(a) & (b);
28 U.S.C. §§ 2241.(c)(3); 2243; 2254.(b)(1)(B)(i) & (d)(1) &
(2); *Collins*, 506 U.S. at 404-405, 122 L. Ed. 2d at
219 (quoting *Kuhlmann*, *supra*, at 454.); *Rhodes*, 380
F.3d at 1126-1131 (quoting *Vernon*, 255 F.3d at 1127.
Anderson, 470 U.S. at 568-571, 84 L. Ed. 2d at 525-

527, 105 S.Ct. 1504; Bivens, 403 U.S., at 394-396,
29 L.Ed. 2d, at 625-627 (quoting Bell v. Hood,
327 U.S., at 684, 40 L.Ed., at 944 (footnote omitted)).
Perkins, *supra*, at — — 133 S.Ct., at 1935-1936
(quoting Schlup, 513 U.S., at 316, 115 S.Ct. 951),
requiring an 8th Circuit Court of U.S. Appeals
Chief Judge to authorize a (3) Three-Judge
Panel, due to the San Francisco gay liberal
faction of the Ninth Circuit Court of U.S.
Appeals presiding through prejudicial coll-
usion, *Id.*, at EXHIBIT "E", p. 372-402; EX-
HIBIT "G", p. 23-100; upon plaintiffs' ~~request~~
release in order to pursue said investiga-
tion, *Ibid.*, p. 4-49; F.R. Evid. Rule 202; Rule 703; Rule
205; Rule 901.(b)(1-10); 28 USC SS 2101.(E), 2283;
2284.(b)(1); F.R. Civ. P. Rule 52.(a); *Pride v. Correa*,
719 F.3d, at 1134-1135 (citing *Coleman*, 2009
U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67943, 2009 WL 2430820, at
* 27); *Anderson*, 470 U.S., at 573-575, 84 L.Ed. 2d,
at 528-529 (quoting *Pullman-Standard v. Swint*,

456 U.S., at 287, 102 S. Ct. 1781.); for this peremptory challenge for cause and prejudice, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 6068.1(a)(b) & (d); 6128.1(a); Code of Civil Proc. §§ 170.1; 170.6; Penal Code §§ 186.22.1(b)(1); 187.1(A); 205; 520; K.I.N.G. (I) / 18 U.S.C. §§ 241.1; 242.1; 241.2; 242.2; K.I.N.G. (II) / 18 U.S.C. §§ 2381.1; 2382.1; 2383.1; K.I.N.G. (III)(a)(b) / 18 U.S.C. §§ 3006A.(x)(a)(b); F.I.N. (a) / Sup. Ct. Rule 50.1(a); 7 U.S.C. §§ 49.1(a); U.C.K.N.S.A. / 53 C.F.R. § 9; M.O.N.Q.D. / 7 U.S.C. § 304; R.E.V.R.T. (a)(b)(c) / 53 C.F.R. §§ 252.1(a)(b)(c); M.O.N.Q.T. / 7 U.S.C. § 308; K.Q.N.E.F. / 11 U.S.C. § 50; K.Q.N.E.X. / 11 U.S.C. § 51; K.Q.N.A.X. / 11 U.S.C. § 52; K.N.Q.B.Z. / 11 U.S.C. § 53; K.Q.N.C.Z. / 11 U.S.C. § 54; K.Q.N.G.R. / 11 U.S.C. § 55; M.O.N.X.D. / 7 U.S.C. § 308; such an investigation encompasses a global Obama/Trump HIGH TREASON, based on a myriads of hostile governmental law enforcements' vendettas, *Id.*, at EVENT "K", p. II-40; requires No Bail, ECF No. 1, p. 2(c-e) #31, Cert, Appendix "P", p. 1-8; all upon plaintiffs' **SPEEDY** Release, *ibid*, p. 4-10, *id.*, p. 5; F.R. Evid. Rule 104; Rule 404.1(b)(2)(A);

Rule 801; Bourjaily, 107 S. Ct., at 2777-81; peremptory challenges for cause and prejudice, ECF No. I, p. 20-26; and *ibid*, p. 7-8; upon Power of Attorney all High Court, Ninth Circuit and California Supreme Court's new prejudicial appointments are now on expedited, de novo, discovery between Act I - thru - Act XXII; ECF No. I, p. 2 (c-e) #31, with *ibid*, p. 4; D.N.S.S.D. / 11 U.S.C. § 191; U.C.K.P.J. / 28 U.S.C. §§ 2283.5; 2284.5; 636.(c); 2283; 2284.(b)(1); 18 U.S.C. §§ 3626.(a)(1)(B)(i-iii). (2); *Milliken II*, 433 U.S., at 280-281; notwithstanding duly incorporating pursuant to the nation wide "No Knock Death Warrant", *ibid*, p. 8; perminant sovereign Governors, Lt. Governors, Oral Commanded Secretaries of State and all State Controlers, *Id*, at Ret. For Reh., p. 35-36, and *ibid*, Appendix "A", p. 4-5; *ibid*, p. 9 (b); E.I.U.C.K. (a) / 7 U.S.C. § 1.(a); S.C.O.C. / 7 U.S.C. § 2; Micah ch. 4:11-13; Daniel ch. 2: 32-45; F.R. Evid. Rule 104; Rule 401;


Rule 801; Bourjaily, 107 S. Ct., at 2777-2781; REASON FOR GRANTING PETITION, *ibid.*, p. 5; Anderson, 470 U.S., at 571-572, 84 L. Ed. 2d., at 527 (citing U.S. v. Marine Bancorporation, *supra*, at 615, n. 13, 94 S. Ct. 2856); While a JUDICIAL NOTICE must be taken upon an invoking of all of plaintiffs' "SPEEDY" releases on a de novo, expedited, discovery, *Id.*, at both cases # 2:12-CV-3048-TLN-CKD and # 2:19-CV-2501-WBS-AC; 28 U.S.C. §§ 2101. (a); 636. (c); 2243; 2241. (c)(3); 2254. (b)(1)(B)(ii) + (d)(1) + (2); F.R. Civ. P. Rule 56. (c)(g) + (h); Rule 60. (b)(6); F.R. Crim. P. Rule 16. (a)(1)(C); Rule 52. (a)(b); Lorillard Corp., 444 F. 2d., at 800; Collins, *supra*, at 404-405, 122 L. Ed 2d., at 219; Armstrong, 517 U.S., at 471-475; Gonzalez, 545 U.S., at 534-535; Preiser, 411 U.S., at 487, 494-497; Sup. Ct. Rule 10. (a) (c); Rule 42. 1. 2. 3.; Rule 43. 2. 5. 7.; Rule 45. 1. 2. 3.; Rule 46. 1. 2. (a) + (c); *ibid.*, p. 4-9; F.R. Evid. Rule 104.; Rule 401.; Rule 801.; Bourjaily, 107 S. Ct., at 2777-2781; Jurists of reason would not debate executing all L.G.B.T.Q. males, K.I.N.G. (III) (a)(b); 18 U.S.C. § 3106A.

(X)(a)(b); along with all confidential informants, C.I.I.D.
O.(a)(b)/53 C.F.R. §§ 3122.(a)(b); *ibid*, p. 4(i); F.R. Evid R. 104.; R. 401.;
R. 801.; *Bourjaily*, 107 S. Ct., at 2777-2781; all upon the
plaintiffs' EVACUATION PROTOCOLS, as Sole
Ruler, *ibid*, p. 4-4(ii); ECF No. 1, p. 5(g-h), p. 6-20, p. 5(a), p.
2(c-e) #31, Cert., p. 61-71; U.C.K.C.T.F.(a)/53 C.F.R. §§ 999.
(a); 28 U.S.C. §§ 2283.; 2284.(b)(1); *Pride*, 719 F. 3d, at 1134-
1135; *ibid*, p. 4(j-k); F.R. Crim. P. Rule 29.(a); Rule 52.(a);
Fong Foo, 369 U.S., at 143; *Brecht*, 507 U.S., at 630;
Preiser, 411 U.S., at 487, 494-497; Sup. Ct. R. 42. 1.2.3.;
R. 43.2.5.7.-

CONCLUSION

For these reasons the writ must be granted.

Executed this 24th day of Nov. 2020, under
penalty of perjury. 28 U.S.C. § 1746.



Kevin Wayne Phillips Sr.
Mr. Elohia Yahweh Christ
PAUPERS LEVITIMUS



Kevin W. Dunigan
In Pro Per Specialist
Jehovah Rabaa Baiyrah