


SUPREME COURT

ORIGINAL

of the

UNITED STATES

RE: JOSEPH W. PEEPLES III
v. UNITED STATES
NO. 20-6580




9 PGS / EXHIBIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING

28 U.S.C. § 1746

I Joe W. Peoples III Do Declare
(CERTIFY, VERIFY, OR STATE) IN THESE UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA (IT'S TERRITORIES,
POSSESSIONS, OR COMMONWEALTHS) THAT
THE FOREGOING IS TRUE + CORRECT.

DATED: FEBRUARY 2ND 2021



SIGNED X

Joe W. Peoples III
Joe W. Peoples III
pro se

REASONS WHY

- ① My FIRST CONCERN IS MY DUE PROCESS IN REGARDS TO SUPREME COURT RULES GOVERNING "WRIT OF CERTIORARI"

ON 8-31-20 THIS COURT ACKNOWLEDGED POSSESSION OF MY WRIT BY U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL. ON 12-9-20 I WAS SENT SHORT LETTER FROM CLERKS OFFICE AND ONE ENCLOSURE PURSUANT TO Rule 12.3. ACCORDING TO THAT RULE I AS "COUNSEL or BAR" AT BOTTOM OF THIS PAPER WAS TO BE NOTIFIED BY WAIVER FORM OR RECEIVE A "BRIEF IN OPPOSITION."

CONCERNED BECAUSE MY ADDRESS AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS ENCLOSURE WAS INCOMPLETE, (MISSING MY INMATE #) I SENT TWO LETTERS/ MOTIONS TO CLERK (12-17-20 + 1-14-21) AGAIN REQUESTING A COMPLETE COPY OF MY WRIT AFFORDED TO ME AS AN INDIGENT PRISONER UNDER 28 U.S.C. §2250: AND ALSO INFORMING THIS COURT THAT I HAVE RECEIVED NO WAIVER OR REPLY BRIEF.

*
PLEASE
provide
copy
OF
CASE
OR
DEU
28 U.S.C.
§2250
MOTION
*

AS PRO SE COUNSEL I HAD LEGAL RIGHT TO THIS NOTIFICATION AND RIGHT TO RESPOND.

② Secondly I need to make this High Court Fully Aware that this case before you is CRIMINAL AND I AM TOTALLY WITH STRONG PROOF PROVIDED BY THIS CASES OWN CERTIFIED DOCKET, THAT YOU ARE PRESIDING OVER A FRAUDULENT, PERJURED DOCKET INVOLVING VERY SIGNIFICANT CIVIL RIGHTS AND GUARANTEED 4TH AND 14TH U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

I PETITIONED THIS Supreme Court Hoping THAT YOUR HONORS WOULD UPHOLD YOUR SWORN OATH OF OFFICE TO ABIDE BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND SEE TO IT THAT EQUAL JUSTICE UNDER COLOR OF LAW INVOLVING SIGNIFICANT RIGHTS TO ALL PERSONS ALIKE.

UNFORTUNATELY IT SEEMS AS THOUGH THAT MEMBERS OF THIS COURT HAVE CHOSEN TO PROVIDE SYSTEMIC COVER OF OBVIOUS NATURE CONCERNING THE DOCKET OF RECORD HERE.

PLEASE ALLOW ME TO EXPLAIN!

FOR ONE JUSTICES YOU ARE NO DOUBT FACTUALLY AWARE BY OBVIOUS DOCKET IN THIS CASE THAT; THERE WAS A WARRANTLESS ARREST OF THIS CITIZEN IN A "DISTANT FEDERAL DISTRICT" IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK UNDER FEDERAL LAW BY ONE FEDERAL AGENT WITH NO VERIFYABLE PROBABLE CAUSE OR EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES ACCORDING TO ALL TESTIMONY OF THIS ROUGE AGENT JOHN BOKAL.

JUSTICES YOU ARE NO DOUBT FACTUALLY AWARE BY OBVIOUS DOCKET IN THIS CASE THAT; ACCORDING TO F.R.C.P. RULE 5(C) I SHOULD HAVE BEEN PROVIDED A JUDICIAL (IMPARTIAL) DETERMINATION THAT THE SEIZURE OF THIS FREE CITIZEN IN TRAVEL WAS JUSTIFIED BY SWORN AFFIDAVIT, IN DISTRICT OF ARREST.

JUSTICES YOU ARE NO DOUBT AWARE FACTUALLY BY OBVIOUS COURT DOCKET THAT THIS DID NOT HAPPEN AND RULE 5C2A WAS UNPRECEDENTLY (IN 2ND CIRCUIT) VIOLATED BY FBI AGENT CHRISTOPHER FIORITO. YOU LOOKED AT A FRAUDULENT WARRANT ISSUED AFTER ARREST AND A BLANK AFFIDAVIT AND INDICTMENT AND NO ORAL ARGUMENTS.

WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, HOWEVER REMAINING STAUNCHLY DEDICATED TO DETERMINE CORRUPTION IN THIS COURT. DO ANY OF YOU ACTUALLY BELIEVE THAT AGENT FIORITO AND WRITER WAS (NO DOUBT) DISPATCHED APPROX 150 MILES USING A GOVERNMENT VEHICLE ON TAX PAYER DOLLAR RECORDING GAS MILEAGE AND MEALS TO TAX PAYER AS SO MANY OTHER AGENTS HAVE DONE BEFORE; AS THEY HAVE DONE ALSO TO RETRIEVE A DETAINEE FROM A DISTANT DISTRICT WITH NO SUMMONS, WRIT, WARRANT OR CRIMINAL COMPLAINT?

JUSTICES WITH ALL DUE RESPECT DO ANY OF YOU BELIEVE THAT ANY PRIVATE JAIL OR PRISON IN AMERICA WOULD ALLOW ANYONE EVEN THE PRESIDENT OF THE U.S.A. TO WALK INTO THEIR JAIL AND WALK-OUT WITH NO WRIT OF BODY FOR THE FIRST TIME EVER?

JUSTICES YOU ARE NO DOUBT AWARE FACTUALLY BY COURT DOCKET #4 THAT WARRANT WAS ISSUED AFTER ARREST, AND THAT WARRANT IS LITTERED WITH FRAUDULENT INFO. WARRANT ISSUED AFTER ARREST!

③ THIRDLY JUSTICES YOU ARE SEEMING TO IGNORE OBVIOUS PERJURY AND FRAUD ON CERTIFIED COURT DOCKET CONCERNING THE UNPRECEDENTED BLANK JURAT ON THE SWORN AFFIDAVIT AND PERJURY BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE FELDMAN ON RECORDS (DOCK #48) SWEARING UNDER OATH THAT HE AND AGENT SIGNED A COVER PAGE THAT WAS INCORPORATED ON TOP OF THE STAND ALONE AFFIDAVIT.

JUSTICES YOU ARE AWARE THAT THE SWORN TESTIMONY OF THIS COURT IS THAT THE AGENT FELTMAN APPEARED LIVE IN FRONT OF JUDGE FELDMAN, CLERK AND A.U.S.A. WITH SWORN AFFIDAVIT, AND WAS SWORN TO IN FRONT OF JUDGE AND COURT. SO HOW FOR THE FIRST TIME UNPRECEDENTLY THAT IT DOES NOT GET SIGNED AND SWORN TESTIMONY IS THAT A COVER PAGE WAS SIGNED RIGHT THERE AT THAT MOMENT IN HIS PRESENCE, BUT ONLY A CARBON COPY + PASTE COVER PAGE EXIST. YOU DONT SIGN A COVER PAGE OR SIGN ONE IF THE AFFIDAVIT IS THERE. 14TH AMENDMENT
4TH AMENDMENT

JUSTICES WHY ARE YOU IGNORING THE OBVIOUS LIE AND DENYING ME THE 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHT ~~TO~~ LIKE ALL OTHERS BEFORE ME (OVER 200,000 CURRENT FEDERAL PRISONERS) TO HAVE VERIFYABLE IMPARTIAL PROBABLE CAUSE BY SWORN AFFIDAVIT SIGNATURE ON ACTUAL AFFIDAVIT?

WHY ARE YOU ALLOWING THIS? I HAVE 14TH AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS. I PROVIDED YOU PROOF OF DYLAN MILLER; SAME COURT SAME AGENT SAME CLERK. HIS AFFIDAVIT SIGNED, HIS INVOICEMENT SIGNED & STAMPED/SEALED. MINE, NEITHER.

ALL I ASKED FOR WAS TRANSPARENCY AND DUE PROCESS AND FOR SOME REASON THE HIGHEST COURT OF THE LAND AND PROTECTORS OF GUARANTEED CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS HAVE SPIT ON MY RIGHTS WITHOUT ANY EXPLANATION IN UNPRECEDENTED FASHION. YOU ARE LOOKING AT FRAUDULENT FORGED DOCUMENTS PLAIN SIGHT AND SEVERAL VIOLATIONS OF F.R.C.P. AND CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEED RIGHTS BY PLAIN SIGHT. WHY IGNORE MY CIVIL RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS?

CLOSING

"OCCASIONALLY WE FIND OURSELVES SO FAR DOWN THE RABBIT HOLE THAT LOGIC APPEARS UPSIDE DOWN, IT IS TRUE THAT FAITHFUL APPLICATION OF THE LAW MAY LEAD TO ODD RESULTS."

"BUT JUDGES ARE ALSO REQUIRED TO EXERCISE RESTRAINT, VOIDING AN ACT OF CONGRESS (4th AMEND + 14th AMEND) IS EXTRAORDINARY EXERCISE OF JUDICIAL POWER."

HONORABLE
J GORSUCH
Supreme Court Justice

WHY ME? WHY DENY ME A SIGNATURE ON MY SWORN AFFIDAVIT AND COVER-UP OBVIOUS PERJURY AND VIOLATIONS OF LAW? IT'S LEGALLY WRONG THAT YOU DID NOT EVEN HOLD ORAL ARGUMENTS OR ISSUE WRITTEN RULING ON AN UNPRECEDENTED ISSUE WHERE NO CASE LAW EXISTS.
F.R.C.P. LAWS VIOLATED 3, 4, 5, 5.1, 6 + 7

U.S. CONSTITUTION VIOLATED 4th, 5th, 6th + 14th

WHY THIS BLACKMAN?

TO GIVE YOU THE SMOKING GUN I ATTEMPTED TO FORCE FBI AGENT FIORITO + BOKAL ALONG WITH BINGHAMTON FAIL EMPLOYEES TO GIVE SWORN UNDER OATH TESTIMONY AS TO THE DISPATCH AND RETRIEVAL OF MY BODY ILLEGALLY FROM N.D.N.Y., AND DETERMINE IF THE FRAUDULENT WARRANT WAS POSSESSED AND USED TO ILLEGALLY REMOVE MY BODY.

Peeples v. FIORITO ET AL

N.D.N.Y. 3:19cv00868-TJM-TWD

TO ONLY BE THREATENED AND STONE WALLED.

THIS HIGH COURT HAS BLATANTLY ALLOWED FRAUD + COVER UP TO EXIST WITHOUT EVEN AFFORDING ME ORAL ARGUMENTS ON UNPRECEDENTED GUARANTEED CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS, CIVIL RIGHTS, AND PLAIN ERROR (Rule 52B) SIGNIFICANT RIGHTS.

Joe W. Peeples

Other Orders3:19-cv-00868-TJM-TWDPeeples v. Fiorito et al

CASE-LIST-MJ,PRO SE

U.S. District Court

Northern District of New York - Main Office (Syracuse) [NextGen CM/ECF Release 1.5 (Revision 1.5.3)]

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 1/19/2021 at 4:45 PM EST and filed on 1/19/2021

Case Name: Peeples v. Fiorito et al

Case Number: 3:19-cv-00868-TJM-TWD

Filer:

Document Number: 21(No document attached)

Docket Text:

TEXT ORDER: Court has reviewed plaintiff's letter request (Dkt. No. 20) regarding status of claim. Court has received amended complaint filed on 12/10/2020 which is being reviewed for sufficiency pursuant to 28 USC 1915. A decision will be issued in due course. **SO ORDERED** by Magistrate Judge Therese Wiley Dancks on 1/19/2021. (Copy served on pro se party via regular mail)(sg)

3:19-cv-00868-TJM-TWD Notice has been electronically mailed to:**3:19-cv-00868-TJM-TWD Notice has been delivered by other means to:**

Joe W. Peeples, III
40425-048
USP POLLOCK
U.S. PENITENTIARY
Inmate Mail/Parcels
P.O. BOX 2099
POLLOCK, LA 71467