

8-24-20  
DATE (CONFIRMED) MAIN UNIT OFFICE INT.

The United States

Vinodh Raghubir 20-6536

+ IN CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES  
WITHIN 11th Circuit boundaries,  
inclusive but not limited to  
Ambassadors, Public Ministers,  
Consuls, Et. Al.,


Plaintiffs, Petitioners, Appellant  
ILLEGALLY FALSELY IMPRISONED  
Detainee, ILLEGALLY RESTRAINED

ORIGINAL

United States Of America, vs  
State Of Florida,  
US Attorney General,  
Florida Attorney General,  
Florida State Attorney,  
Florida Department Of Corrections,  
US Court Of Appeals 11th Circuit,  
US District Courts 11th Circuit,  
Judicial Qualifications Commission,  
The Florida Bar, 5th Dist, 1st Dist,  
New Judicial Circuit, Orange County,  
Sheriff, US Department Of Justice,  
Florida Supreme Court, F.B.C.,  
P.A.C.E.R., J.F.S.,  
Et. Al.

Defendants, Respondents, Appellees,  
ILLEGALLY DETAINERS, ILLEGALLY  
RESTRAINERS.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS  
with Demand For Jury Trial & Admissions  
See 28 USC § 1915A pursuant to  
The Habeas Corpus Act Of 1867



Vinodh Raghubir  
Illegally falsely imprisoned  
Detainee, Illegally Restrained  
Taylor EE 801 Hartsburg Springs rd  
Perry, Florida 32348  
RECEIVED  
SEP - 2 2020  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## Table of Contents

1. Title / Cover Page
2. Table of Contents
3. Jurisdictional Statement
4. Citations
5. Question
6. Argument
7. Conclusion
8. Certificate

### Jurisdictional Statement

The Supreme Court of the United States has original jurisdiction of this case under Article III of the United States Constitution -- "to all cases affecting Ambassadors, other public ministers and consuls" -- "to controversies to which the United States shall be a party" -- between a state or the Citizens thereof, and foreign states, citizens or subjects --"

### Citations

1. Blackledge v. Perry 417 US 21 94 Supreme Court 2087 40 led 2d 1974
2. Williams v. Bishop 732 F2d 285, 885.80 11th circuit 1984

### Question

Is the right to due process under Amendments 5 and 14 denied, where the captioned defendants conspire to predetermine the outcome of judicial proceedings prior to issuance of arrest warrants in ANY case, and OPERATE so, by any means possible, a vindictive, prosecution, appeal, postconviction proceeding, habeas corpus, & federal habeas corpus by --

1. Altered the verbiage of transcripts,
2. Forged signatures,
3. used perjured testimony,
4. concealed documents and evidence,
5. altered court documents,
6. submitted fraudulent documents to the courts,
7. orchestrated probable cause,
8. orchestrated denial of access to the courts,
9. actually and constructively denied counsel,
10. entered knowingly fraudulent orders,
11. hidden pleadings in closed cases,
12. illegally detained,
13. Kidnapped, Multipliciously Falsely Imprisoned,
14. Tortured,
15. Abused, Physically & Mentally,
16. Attempted Great bodily harm and Murder Multiple Times,
17. Engaged in organized fraud & racketeering,
18. Engaged in "The Conspiracy to predetermine the outcome of Judicial Proceedings", invoking inter alia, all of the above and below.
19. falsified records,
20. Stolen Property, Life, Liberty w/o Due Process Of Law,
21. Vindictively Prosecuted, Sentenced & Reviewed,

\*\*\* Every Function,

Operated Under the Knowing illegal Color Of law, and in Breach of the Express, Implied, or other contract formed by their "Oaths Of Office" in relation to the Florida and United States Constitutions, thus -

Requiring Immediate Release from such Restraint/Custody with Discharge Forever.

## Argument + Conclusion

As Intro, prior to issuance of arrest warrants, as demonstrated by \$ in all cases in and arising from 2016 et 1833, 6:18-cv-1016-ORL-374ET, 2016 et 5331, 6:18-cv-1017-ORL-18425/1844, the defendants OPERATED to deny due process of law, pursuant to "the Conspiracy to Predetermine the Outcome of Judicial Proceedings", refusing to issue a TRUE final order, thus illegally detaining, illegally restraining, in violation of the U.S. & FL. Constitution, Laws, Treaties, inclusive of Criminal Statutes, because "A judgement as to fewer than all Parties or Claims is NOT a final appealable order." Williams V. Proctor 232 F2d 885-886 11th circuit 1954.

"The Very Initiation of the proceedings, OPERATED TO DEPRIVE HIM OF DUE PROCESS OF LAW" there was an "UNCONSTITUTIONAL VINDICTIVE PROSECUTION", appeal, post conviction proceeding, habeas proceeding, federal habeas proceeding, Blackledge v. Perry 417 us 2194 Supreme Court 2092 40 led 28 1974

\* Requiring immediate release w/ Discharge Review, from Custodians, Restraints, or other.

# Certificate

1 Vinodh Raghbir, swear under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true, correct, and not meant to mislead. I also certify that a true, correct copy has been forwarded.

US Attorney General 900 Pennsylvania Ave NW  
Washington DC 20530

Re Attorney General The Capitol Telephone  
Room 3269

✓ Vinodh Raghunir 11 Heavilly Falls Ln (Imprisoned Detainee)  
Taylor ct 801 Hampton Springs rd  
4/24 Perry, Florida 32348

# SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

To Scott S Harris, Clerk  
By Michael Duagan

Re Vinodh Raghbir  
+ Citizens of the United States  
within 11th circuit boundaries,  
inclusive but not limited to  
Ambassadors, Public Ministers,  
Consuls, Et. Al.

Plaintiffs, Petitioner, Appellant

UNLAWFUL PRISONER IMPRISONED  
& MURDER, UNLAWFUL RESTRAINED

Provided to  
Okaloosa Correctional Institution

on 11-18-20Fm

for mailing, by M

Y. US Attorney General  
Florida Attorney General,  
Florida State Attorney,  
Florida Department of Corrections,  
US Court of Appeals 11th Circuit,  
US District Courts 11th Circuit  
Judicial Qualifications Commission  
The Florida Bar, St. J. A. 1st Dist.  
Gen'l Judicial Circuit, Orange County  
Orange County Sheriff, US  
Department of Justice, Florida  
Supreme Court, F.D.U.C. 1 P.A.C. & C.R.  
D.S. Et. Al.

Defendants, Respondents, Appellees  
UNLAWFUL DETAINERS  
UNLAWFUL RESTRAINTS


1. Defendants "United States of America" and "State of Florida" have  
reluctantly been removed.
2. Further to US § 1251 (b) "the Supreme Court shall have original but not  
exclusive jurisdiction of:  
(1) All actions or proceedings to which ambassadors, other public  
ministers, consuls, or vice consuls of foreign states are parties."
3. It has been 5 years attempting to submit the claims to the  
11th circuit appellate, district, and state courts.

VINDICTIVELY these courts OPERATE to deny due  
process via the methods described within the petition, entering  
orders upon the court's own version of OTHER MATTERS, NOT the  
matters of the plaintiff, other times failing altogether to file.

Vinodh Raghbir, swear under penalties of perjury  
foregoing is true, correct, and not meant to mislead.  
That a true, correct copy has been forwarded.

US Attorney General 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW  
Washington DC 20540  
Attorney General The Capitol Tallahassee FL  
32399

Y. Vinodh Raghbir Okaloosa et. 3189 Colonel Greg Mallory rd  
Crestview, Florida 32505



# SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

To: Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
By: Michael Suggan

Re: Vinodh Raghunir  
All citizens of the United States  
Within 11th circuit boundaries,  
inclusive but not limited to  
Ambassadors, Public Ministers,  
Consuls, Et. Al.

Plaintiffs, Petitioner, Appellant

WAGANY - BANSKY IMPRISONED  
DETAINERS + WAGANY RESTRAINTS

Provided to

Okaloosa Correctional Institution

on 10-06-20 PM

for mailing, by

United States of America, re  
State of Florida  
US Attorney General,  
Florida Attorney General,  
Florida State Attorney,  
Florida Department of Corrections,  
US Court of Appeals 11th Circuit,  
US District Courts 11th Circuit,  
Judicial Qualifications Commission,  
The Florida Bar, State Bar, 1st Dist.  
Grand Judicial Circuit, Orange County  
Sheriff, US Department of Justice,  
Florida Supreme Court, F.D.J.C.,  
D.A.B.C.R., S.F.S.,  
Et. Al.

Defendants, Respondents, Appellees  
WAGANY DETAINERS WAGANY  
RESTRAINTS

In regards to your correspondence dated 9.18.20, on Petition stamped recd 9.2.20,

"The petition does not show how the writ will be in aid of the court's appellate jurisdiction, what exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the court's discretionary powers, and why adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form or from any other court."

"Please be advised that the original jurisdiction of this Court under Article III of the Constitution does not extend to a suit by an individual against a state or against the United States."

Review is sought under original jurisdiction of this Court under Article III Section 2 "to all cases affecting Ambassadors, other Public Ministers and Consuls". "All citizens within 11th circuit boundaries". It appears that whilst the court may have appellate jurisdiction, it also retains original jurisdiction and a lack of jurisdiction for one reason, because "the petition does not show how the writ will be in aid of the court's appellate jurisdiction". "does not negate its original jurisdiction". See Mata v. Lujan. See pg 2 of petition.

The restraint complained of is the very operation of the entire 11th circuit down through the state courts, OPERATING TO DENY DUE PROCESS OF LAW. See Blackledge v. Perry US, 501 1991. pursuant to the CONSPIRACY TO PREDETERMINE THE OUTCOME OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS. Asheiman v. Pope 4th circuit. precluding "UNCONSTITUTIONAL VINDICTIVE PROSECUTIONS", appeals, post conviction proceedings, habeas and federal habeas proceedings.

This restraint is SHARED by all citizens within 11th circuit boundaries including but not limited to "Ambassadors, Public Ministers, Consuls".

Where the denial of due process is "PREDETERMINED" an "exceptional circumstance exists, because relief cannot be obtained for is illegally refused in ANY lower court. ONLY THE COURTS VERSIONS OF OFFER

1 of 2 < See Reverse >

MATTERS WERE EVER ADDRESSED), NOT THE MATTERS OF THE PLAINTIFF.  
Most recently the court knowingly entered a fraudulent order. i.e., alleging that there was a post conviction motion with some grounds granted in the state courts, then an evidentiary hearing transpired denying all grounds; NO SUCH ACTIONS EVER TOOK PLACE.

When a court commits felonies to which the plaintiff is a victim, the relationship changes and the court is NO LONGER A COURT. See pg 3 of petition.  
When a court DISRESPECTS the rules created by the Supreme Court, denying those constitutionally adequate protections, the court is NO LONGER A COURT.

Thus the question of "the reasons for not making application to the district court" is answered. RECEIVED

When the plaintiff was never heard At Initio, in any court ASOS # cannot become a burden or task to be heard in the court whose decisions courts must follow, but refuse to violate the knowing illegal color of law, refusing to put forth proper records.

The relief sought is release from all restraints (custodies or other) with discharge forever. See page 4 of Petition.

It is prayed, that this court exercise its jurisdiction and save our future generations & all who share its affect from the GREATEST RESTRAINT ON CIVIL LIBERTIES and RIGHTS since slavery, knowing to few, unknowing to most, as the only way to prove that the entire judicial system is NOT A SHAM via selective processing.

The petitioner awaits word from the court upon this correspondence's sufficiency. Enclosed please find the petition in its original form and the IFP.

I, Vinodh Raghubir, swear under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true, correct and not meant to mislead, I also certify that a true, correct copy has been forwarded.

US Attorney General 900 Pennsylvania Ave NW  
Washington DC 20530

FL Attorney General The Capitol  
Tallahassee FL 32399

✓ for Vinodh Raghubir Okaloosa Co. 3109 Colonel Greg Mallard  
Crest View #1 32839