

No. _____

20-6531

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES


Rogelio M. Ruiz — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

GOULD et al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ORIGINAL

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO



U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Rogelio May Ruiz
(Your Name)

CSP-SAC/B8-120/PO BOX 290066
(Address)

Revere CA 95671
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- I Why Ninth circuit committed Discrimination Racial
denying counsel interpreter for access to the courts.
- II Plaintiff Request appointment of counsel
- III any compensation for plaintiff was be in decision
of the court
- IV IF This court should to give or Allowed
an attorney ~~for~~ interpreter who is unlearned
only he speaks Spanish
- V Plaintiff Request to Reopening this case
for to go to an trial

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1-- David Baugman

2-- MURGUIA

3-- GOULD

4-- FEINERMAN

District Judge.

RELATED CASES

Ninth circuit No. 19-15439

D.C. No. 3:17-cv-00338-CRB

1.. (ocket 46)

2.. (ocket 43)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Plaintiff is not represented for no one counsel or attorney
he don't understand no court rules or terminology he can't
litigate with the court he don't know citations.

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was OCT. 2 - 2020.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: AUG. 31 - 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N (date) on 5 (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Plantiff is not represented for any lawyer or counsel as he can't litigate, or understand no court rules, citations, status or terminology

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On Febr. 12 2020, David Baugman, alcaide and GOULD,
and MURGUA Judges of circuit, and Feinerman Judge of district
they denied and dismiss appeal of plaintiff NO. 19-15439; Nom.
of tribunal of District 3: 17-cv-00339-CRB. They chose to
dismiss this case without (not) celebration of any view oral no
hearing no ~~trial~~ oral. They ignored to plaintiff that he don't
speaks no English, is not represented for particular attorney, he
don't know rules, citations of law and the courts and that he
was betrayed with lie by his public defender N. Vazquez who recom-
ended a counsel to plaintiff submitted his appeal for release of
conviction every (2) two years and they ignored that there is
errors or confusion between language. Because interpreter of plaintiff
she had trouble in to speaks clear Spanish, then plaintiff was
unable to do correctly his appeal by bad recommendation of his public
defender. Who was lied to the plaintiff recommending to him to appeal
his case every 2 two years. Then on July 14-2020 public
defender STEVEN G. KALAN request his withdraw of this case.
Plaintiff he request on ~~Sept. 7~~^{approx. 7}-2020 to N. circuit request for
appointment counsel interpreter that was denied that was denied
for N. circuit on Oct 2-2020 then on 9. 8. 2020 plaintiff motions
to N. circuit reconsideration of first motion request for counsel
and was denied for GOULD MURGUA and Feinerman

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. The Reason the Plaintiff Request his motion to be Granted is Because he was forsaken or abandoned without no counsel for performance his appeals.
2. He don't speaks no English only Spanish his TABE Score is 0.0
3. He was lied to for his public defender who escorted to him submitted his appeals every two years
4. Because Gould, Murguia and Fernerman commit discrimination racial, delay of process when they know that plaintiff he don't speak no English and he show on page showing his TABE Score is 0.0
5. Plaintiff he filed an package at this court Washington DC approximately Aug. or September 2020 written in Spanish Because he waiting the W. Circuit they granted his Request for appointment of counsel But was denied Plaintiff Request at this Honorable court that his Petition to be Granted.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Roselio May Ruiz

Date: 10-4-2020

Date 10-~~14~~¹-2020

APPENDIX A

Plaintiff he filed on (approximately) July or Final of Aug. 2020 an motion at U.S. Court of appeals For the N. circuit being denied Regarding case Conviction or Sentence of 40 years, unusual and cruel punishment violated constitutional amendment; inregarding to his counsel defender public When he decide withdraw out of this case he argue no conciderate no more bases For to appeal this case in hight courts, then plaintiff file an motion for Reconcideration on date 9-8-2020 that was denied For N. circuit on oct 2-2020, When plaintiff file timely, showing proof that plaintiff MY. Ruiz have TABE score 0.0, plaintiff he file an package of appeal on med. of Aug. 2020 writted in spanish at this court Washington D.C. and let it know about that he Request at N. circuit an attorney interpreter comply Requiriments of appeal between 90 days but was denied, N. Circuit denied appeal case conviction of the plaintiff approximately on July-Aug. 2020 they never send to plaintiff no Findings recommendations, the court of St. Clara county denied appeal case conviction to plaintiff without no trial no hearing no nothing When plaintiff argument that he was or his public defender lied to him that he should be to appeal his case For Release every 2 two years now ~~on~~ Ninth circuit argue that plaintiff fails to appeal his case ^{on} 9 nine months. every 3 months, this error was by part or responsibility of the public defender Michael Vazquez, not plaintiff Who never had no chance ~~affair~~ by performance his

APPENDIX A Date 10-4-2020

1 appeal timely and Michael Vazquez she pressure
2 and obligate down with intimidation to plaintiff plea
3 guilty because she was pregnant with 8 months
4 and she want to win this case, she intimidate to
5 plaintiff that if he want to go to the trial he can
6 get it 115 year or life in prison. plaintiff asks to
7 Mitchell Vazquez that he want by 6 or 7 times that
8 he want to go to the trial because he was no agree
9 with unusual and cruel punishment of sentence when
10 the court never show any proof at defendant and
11 he state that he never commit all counts on this charge
12 and he was responsible only of one count, or two.
13 plaintiff was discriminated, forced to sing an highest sen
14 tence, his due process was violated at denied an trial for
15 him and alot errors in his filed or archive when the
16 plaintiff state that (she) laying down herself or along in this
17 this case was by conceals (no forced) plaintiff was ^{caused} char-
18 ged with unfairly charge by use of force, is not true.
19 defendant don't have bad record is his first time in
20 prison he never commit violation only "intent" trial, or
21 attempt and was for consent, Defendant Mr. Ruiz was
22 discriminated and punishment cruelty for to be foreign
23 Mexican citizen plaintiff Request to this HONORABLE
24 COURT an appointment for counsel attorney interpreter
25 that was denied for Ninth circuit and to take further
26 legal action against judges of N. circuit or how you
27 decide; pl. Mr. Ruiz he attached two motion denied for N. cir-
28 cuit and an motion request for counsel denied for N. circuit.

APPENDIX B

on year 2007 public defender Michael Vazquez she
intimidate and forced to plaintiff plead guilty and
to sign on highest sentence without trial, no decisi
on.

APPENDIX C. (Decision of state supreme court denying Review)

on year (approximately) 2009 plaintiff he don't knowed
Where to file his appeal and he choice or decide submit
ted his appeal at supreme court of California But he
don't writ (no) know write in English and he file his
appeals for 3 three time writted in Spanish that was
rejected for clerk of supreme court of CA Frank A.
Mcguire who commit delay of process and discrimina
tion Racial against plaintiff Mr. Ruiz.

APPENDIX D

Clerk of supreme court of CA Frank A. McGuire
he Refused to Review appeals of plaintiff Because
he only speaks Spanish, no English and he file his
Appeals writted in Spanish and Frank A. he Rejec
ted his appeal of plaintiff for 3 times.

Date 10-10-2020
Page No. 3

1 ROSELIO MAY RUIZ F59761

2 CSP-SAC B8-103

3 P O Box 290066

4 Dallas CA 95671

5 U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR
6 THE NINTH CIRCUIT

proof record
denied for W. circuit

7 ROSELIO MAY RUIZ
8 PLAINTIFF

NO. 19-15439

9 CASE NO. DC. No. 3:17-cv-00338-CRB
Northern District of CA. San

10 David Toana

MOTION: Francisco

11 DAVID BAUGHMAN, Warden

REconsideration & FOR
granted Mot. Request of
counsel interpreter

12 DEFENDANT(S)

13 ~~██████~~ Honorable BOULD and MURGUIN, circuit judge &

14 and FEINERMAN Dist. Judge. Inmate Roge

15 lio Ruiz is an prisoner who don't speak English

16 his TABE Score is 0.0 he request an attor-

17 ney as his Counsel interpreter Mr. Ruiz only speak

18 Spanish, he don't can litigate or no understand

19 Rules of court or terminology inmate Ruiz he submitted

20 Request of Appointment of counsel from 7-30-2020 (per

21 Ximately) that was Denied on Aug-31-2020 Plaintiff

22 Claim that his right - civil rights of appeal was violated

23 charged with falsed counts and forced to sign an senten

24 ce Unusual criminal punishment and cruel; now he is

25 treated in retaliation, discrimination Racial and obstruction of

26 the Justice and delay of process at denied Request of
27 Counsel interpreter. Due process Rights of Federal courts
28 Date *9-8-2020

To: Ninth Circuit - Request App. of Counsel interpreter
NO: 19-15139

Hea

Access and Denial of Fundamental and procedural Rights PROOF
Under the 1st, 8th and 14th amendments Court
Access to file a Complaint in exercise of (appeal of
unusual Sentence) Federal Due process and Equal protection
Rights to court access as a poor indigent person
Cannot be abridged under the States and Federal
Constitution (Cal. Const. Art. III § 3i); (Art. I, 6 § 7-8, 13 § 15)
(Art. IV § 10). Please to ignored constitutional amendments
Plaintiff attached copy proof TABE Score is 0.0 / First request
for appointment of counsel in N. Circuit Aug. 31-2020 was denied, Request Reconsideration
on 9-8-2020 / Sincerely Regilio M. Ruiz

Date 9-8-2020

ADA/Effective Communication Patient Summary

As of: 06/24/2019 07:37

Patient Information

NAME: RUIZ, ROGELIO
CDCR: F59761

Disability Placement Program

Current DPP Code(s):
* DLT

DPP Verification/Accommodation Date:

Current Housing Restrictions/Accommodations:

Methods of Communication

SLI:

Primary Method:

Secondary Method:

Interview Date:

Developmental Disability Program

Current DDP Code:

Effective Date:

Adaptive Support Needs:

Testing of Adult Basic Education (TABE)

TABE Score: 00.0

TABE Date: 02/16/2007 00:00

Learning Disabilities

Learning Disabilities:

English Proficiency

LEP: Yes

Primary Language: Spanish

Durable Medical Equipment

Current ISSUED DME:

- * Back Braces Temporary
- * Eyeglass Frames Permanent
- * Foot Orthoses Permanent


MHSDS

MHLOC: EOP

PROOF tabe SCORE 00.0

RECEIVED
HCCAB
SEP 19 2019

APRIL BOELK
AUTOMATIC APPEALS SUPERVISOR



EARL WARREN BUILDING
350 McALLISTER STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
(415)-865-7000

Supreme Court of California

JORGE E. NAVARRETE
CLERK AND EXECUTIVE OFFICER
OF THE SUPREME COURT

June 30, 2020

*Proof Denied Archive
Be sent to archive*


Mr. Rogelio Ruiz, F-59761
CSP-Sacramento
P.O. Box 290066
Represa, CA 95671

Dear Mr. Ruiz:

No action may be taken on your letter of June 5, 2020. This court does not employ interpreters who can translate your letter. You must communicate with this office in English.

Very truly yours,

JORGE E. NAVARRETE
Clerk and
Executive Officer of the Supreme Court

By:  Olanoc, Deputy Clerk

Enclosure

1 ROGELIO MAY RUIZ F59761

2 CSP-SAC BB-109

3 P.O-Box 290066

4 Represca CA 95671

5 CALIFORNIA STATE SUPREME COURT

6 CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT

7 ROGELIO MAY RUIZ

8 PLANTIFF

Caseno:

9 Clerk:

MOTION: Request for

Counsel interpreter
and legal file of convi
ction case FF 616420

10 DEFENDANT(S)

11 Estimado Clerk, por medio de esta mocion le solicito

12 por favor enviarme un duplicado de mi archivo mi
13 cial desde caso # FF 616420 ocurrido en 6-10-2006
14 anteriormente el Clerk de esta Corte me nego estas copias
15 que estoy solicitando desde 2019, pero usted erroneamente
16 me mando copias de caso R. Mobert despues en principios
17 de Feb-marzo Someti otra solicitud de estas
18 mismas copias de mi caso y a la fecha usted no
19 me envia estos documentos Favor de enviarme estas
20 copias lo mas pronto posible. Favor de enviarme
21 mis documentos originales que erroneamente sometí a esta
22 Corte desde año 2015-2016. Mi TABE score is 0.0 solicito
23 Un interpreter counsel attorney
24 sinceramente Rogelio May Ruiz

25 F 59761

26 Date 6-5-2020

RECEIVED

JUN 10 2020

Mr Ruiz is attached
proof for ADD - communi
cation patient showing
his tabe score is 0.0

only
proof of
denied archive
or front
page, se