

20-6525

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court  
of the United States

-----

X Petition for a Writ of Certiorari  
: to NYS Court of Appeals

Gerald Aranoff,

Petitioner

-against-

Susan Aranoff,

Respondent

-----

X

State of Israel

Tel-Aviv SS:

Gerald Aranoff, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

Gerald Aranoff



Gerald Aranoff

8 Miriam Haneviah Street

Bnei Brak 51583 Israel

Phone 972-523-602370

Email: garanoff@netvision.net.il



# Contents

1	Opinions Below	1
2	Statement of the Case	3
3	Reasons for Granting the Petition	8
4	Conclusion	9
5	List of Appendices	10

## Questions Presented

The questions presented are:

Are the NYS Court of Appeals' rulings consistent with the NYS constitutional requirement to articulate statewide principles of law in the context of deciding particular lawsuits? Can the NYS courts brush aside all efforts for Petitioner a) to cancel \$25,000 fines against him and b) to cancel TIAA paying 55% of his pension to his ex-wife and c) to cancel awarding the house in 2013 to his ex-wife he divorced in 1993?

## List of Parties

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

Susan Aranoff, Respondent

498 East 18 Street, Brooklyn, NY 11226 USA

phones: 718-284-2093 917-671-7274

email: susanaranoff@gmail.com;

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Gerald Aranoff". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and address.

Gerald Aranoff, Petitioner

8 Miriam Haneviah Street

Bnei Brak 51583 Israel

Phone 972-523-602370

Email: garanoff@netvision.net.il

# 1 Opinions Below

See Appendix A and see Appendix B

1. State of New York  
Court of Appeals

Decided and Entered on the  
tenth day of September, 2020

Present, Hon. Janet DiFiore, Chief judge, presiding

Mo. No. 2020–352

Susan Aranoff,

Respondent,

v.

Gerald Aranoff,

Appellant.

Appellant having moved to the Court of Appeals in the above

cause;

Upon the papers filed and due deliberation, it is

ORDERED, that the Motion is dismissed upon the ground that the paper sought to be appealed from is not an order or judgment appealable to the Court of Appeals (see CPLR 5512).

---

John P. Asiello  
Clerk of the court

2. SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF KINGS: PART 5A

.....X

Aranoff, Susan

Index No. 54688/12

Plaintiff

–against–

Aranoff, Gerald

Defendant

.....X

The defendant, Gerald Aranoff, submitted two applications for relief to this Court, each by Notice of Motion. In his Notice of Motion for leave to Make a Motion, dated November 14, 2016, the defendant/movant requested the ability to have this Court issue a “Judgment” preventing TIAA from paying the plaintiff 55% of his pension. In his Notice of Motion for leave to Make a Motion, dated September 6, 2016, the defendant/movant sought permission from this Court to formally seek the relief of an Order “for TIAA to stop paying Susan [plaintiff] 55% of my pension”. Each application was originally returnable on September 20, 2016.

The Court notes that the applications are defective as pursuant to the Court Rules, Part J, Uniform Rules, Motions and Orders to Show Cause, §23. The

movant was required to make his application to this Court by order to Show cause but failed to do so. The plaintiff, Susan Aranoff opposed the requested relief and in opposition papers contained therein is what is denominated as a cross motion. While all the applications are defective, the Court, nonetheless, will address the issues raised.

Mr. Aranoff objects to the payment of 55% of his TIAA-CREF pension to the defendant. The papers are replete with statements, letters, and materials that have no bearing on the application or the underlying matrimonial proceeding and its resolution. The defendant's opposition lays out the long and tortured history of this case and the seemingly endless litigation she was subjected to by Mr. Aranoff. The time to object or appeal the pension award or any other award to the plaintiff has long since passed. Consequently, Mr. Aranoff's claims are time barred and at this late stage are nothing short of frivolous.

Accordingly, Mr. Aranoff's applications are denied *in toto* with prejudice. Mr. Aranoff is ordered not to file any further proceedings before this court. Mr. Aranoff is ordered not to contact this court, the clerk's office or chamber's staff as all his requests are time barred and nothing less than a waste of this court's time and resources.

As to the cross motion, while defective, the court, in any event is unable to grant relief to the plaintiff. This court does not have jurisdiction over the Social Security Administration, a Federal agency. The plaintiff is certainly free to contact the agency to enforce her rights under the Judgment of Divorce pursuant to it's rules and guidelines.

This constitutes the decision and order of the court.

E N T E R

.....

HON. ERIC I. PRUS, J.S.C.

HON. ERIC I. PRUS, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2016

## 2 Statement of the Case

1. I request permission to make this petition. I'm acting *pro se*. I request the Court to accept my papers without notarization since, in Israel, a US citizen can only get court acceptable notarizations from the US embassy by appointment, and none are available now. I request the Court to accept my papers on size A4 paper and not letter size since in Israel it's hard to obtain letter size paper. I request the Court to accept one copy from me since it's difficult for me to prepare 10 copies. My dear wife, Yemima,, is retired from her employment as a clerk at Bank Mizrachi. We were married May 9, 1993, after I divorced Susan February 17, 1993. We are blessed with 3 daughters: Hadassah, Tamar, and Sapphire Rivka. Each has a USA social security number. I ask the court to allow me to report only my income and assets, as Yemima's income and assets are not large and not relevant.
2. I seek from the Supreme Court of the United States:  
First, for a decision that NYS courts have no more control over the QDRO that tells TIAA to pay Susan 55% of my pension with no end in sight in violation of ERISA. Second, to cancel and nullify Judge Prus' awarding my house to Susan. Third, to cancel and nullify \$25,000 in fines unpaid against me (\$10,000 + \$10,000 of the late Judge Rigler and \$5,000 of the late disgraced Judge Garson).
3. The Rules of the Court state: "Review on a writ of certiorari is not a matter of right, but of judicial discretion. A petition for a writ of certiorari will be granted only for compelling reasons." I'm asking the court to accept my petition on the grounds of fraud/forgery/etc. Time limits on filing cases based on fraud/forgery etc are extremely long. Often the police and court officials show no interest in evidence of crimes of fraud/forgery. An aggrieved party may have to be patient over many years, as I am, for overwhelming evidence to emerge of crimes fraud/forgery. See <http://uswhistleblower.org/documents/The%20Worst%20Family%20Court%20Judges%20in%20New%20York%20State%20in%202018.pdf>

"24. Eric Prus (Kings County Supreme Court). Arrogant and obnoxious to litigants, Prus regularly fails to enforce stipulations entered into by the litigants in his own court. In one case, Prus had the father arrested in the courtroom and entered an order of protection where he could not even see his two daughters. In another case, he refused all applications by the

father even though he was the custodial parent. He then jailed the father for failing to follow an oral order and caused his savings to be placed into escrow for many years – ruining him in the process. His conduct is unbecoming of a judge: he recently yelled at a lawyer regarding a statement of net worth; screamed at a father about paying money; and bawled at a mother about visits. This conduct is in violation of judicial canon, Section 100.3 (B)(3) ( “A judge shall be patient, dignified and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers and others with whom the judge deals in an official capacity”). To top it all off, he regularly falls asleep during hearings.”

4. I thank God that I’m in Israel where Judge Eric I. Prus cannot do to me as he did, e.g. “In another case, he refused all applications by the father even though he was the custodial parent. He then jailed the father for failing to follow an oral order and caused his savings to be placed into escrow for many years – ruining him in the process.”
5. The late disgraced Judge Gerald Garson fined me \$5,000 on December 12, 2001. On November 14, 2001 Susan didn’t show up for a hearing and called in and asked for a month’s delay. On December 12, 2001 Gerald Garson threw Ian Anderson, my long time lawyer, out of the room and made him afraid to represent me and fined me \$5,000—all for no good reason. Judge Michael Ambrosio ruled, years later after evidence emerged of the crimes of Gerald Garson, for no good reason, that I must pay all \$25,000 fines against me before he’ll allow a re-opening of my case!
6. Overt glaring acts of forgery, lies, and judicial fraud are so manifest in my papers—whether or not I have an appealable paper is a red herring. Appendix C Email between Gerald Aranoff and Secretary to Judge Eric I. Prus and Appendix D Letter from NYS Court of Appeals May 11, 2020 and Appendix E Letter from NYS Court of Appeals June 10, 2020 make clear that the NYS Court of Appeals Mo. No. 2020-352 is not based on an appealable paper but on fraud. Susan won the house and my pension based on fraud.
7. Judge Prus Order September 25, 2015:  
 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
 COUNTY OF KINGS  
 .....X



INDEX NO. 54688/12

Aranoff, Susan

Plaintiff

-against-

ORDER

Aranoff, Gerald

Defendant

.....X

A motion having duly come on to be heard before this Court on June 30, 2015, for an Order pursuant to Section 221 of the Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law, awarding relief to the Plaintiff, and for such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and equitable.

NOW, after reading and filing the Order to Show Cause dated March 23, 2015, the exhibits annexed and proof of service thereof, in support thereof, and there being no appearance by the defendant and after due deliberations having been had thereon;

NOW, on motion of Titone & Serlin, LLP, attorneys for Plaintiff, it is ordered that:

1. The Plaintiff's motion is GRANTED to the extent that the Sheriff is Ordered to execute the deed for the premises located at 498 East 18th Street, Brooklyn, New York on behalf of the defendant, Gerald Aranoff, conveying any and all interest held in the property located at 498 East 18th Street, Brooklyn, New York by Gerald Aranoff into the name of Susan Aranoff, individually.

2. The County Clerk of the City of New York is Ordered to record the deed signed by the Sheriff on behalf of Gerald Aranoff and to issue a new deed for the premises located at 498 East 18th Street, Brooklyn, New York into the name of Susan Aranoff, individually.

3. The portion of the motion asking for costs and sanctions is hereby DENIED.

ORDERED that a copy of this Order with Notice of Entry thereof be served upon the defendant..

E N T E R

.....  
Hon. Eric Prus

Dated: SEP 25 2015

HON. ERIC I. PRUS, JSC

- (a) Clearly Judge Prus and Susan knew very well that the value of the property at 498 East 18th Street, Brooklyn, New York vastly exceeds any supposed debt I had for child support. Even with figuring the child support retroactive to when I was still in Brooklyn and Susan was behaving sweet and lovely to me.

Even with 9% compounded interest on a phony balance. Even with Susan receiving 55% of my TIAA pension from early 1994 for child support. The initial judge of my case was Judge Ambrosio. I filed complaints, to no avail, against Judge Ambrosio, Judge Garson and Judge Prus with the Commission on Judicial Conduct.

- (b) The September 10, 2013 Judgment of Divorce had the lie: “the Separation Judgment dated March 7, 1995 an original of which is on file with this Court along with a transcript of the proceedings and Order of the Supreme Court Kings County by Judge Rigler and incorporated herein by reference.” My SCOTUS docket numbers 18-7160 and 18-9390 focused on the lie of the fake/phony March 7, 1995 Rigler order of separation. Note that Judge Prus Order September 25, 2015 orders that a copy of this Order with Notice of Entry thereof be served upon the defendant. It is gross judicial misconduct that Judge Prus won’t send me a copy of the supposed March 7, 1995 Rigler order of separation. This is a critical document I have a right to see.

8. Judge Prus Final Order November 18, 2016 (see Appendix B):

- (a) Clearly Judge Prus and Susan knew very well that I divorced Susan 2/17/1993 in an Israeli Rabbinic Court with Susan plaintiff and Rabbi Aryeh Ralbag a principal. Judge Prus Final Order November 18, 2016 contains lies. It is a lie: “The papers are replete with statements, letters, and materials that have no bearing on the application or the underlying matrimonial proceeding and its resolution.” My papers were clear, brief, direct and argued well and supported with documents.
- (b) It is a lie: “The defendant’s opposition lays out the long and tortured history of this case and the seemingly endless litigation she was subjected to by Mr. Aranoff.” Susan’s package of October 21, 2016 was replete with statements, letters, and materials that have no bearing on the legal issues involved: the \$25,000 fines against me and TIAA paying her 55% of my pension. It is a lie that I subjected Susan to endless litigation. Truth that Susan subjected me to endless litigation.
- (c) It is a lie: “The time to object or appeal the pension award or any other

award to the plaintiff has long since passed.” It is a lie to call paying Susan 55% of my TIAA pension a pension award. A pension falls under the ERISA rules.

(d) It is a lie: “Consequently, Mr. Aranoff’s claims are time barred and at this late stage are nothing short of frivolous.” The legal issues outstanding are the \$25,000 fines against me and paying 55% of my TIAA to Susan in violation of ERISA.

(e) It is a lie: “The plaintiff is certainly free to contact the agency to enforce her rights under the Judgment of Divorce pursuant to it’s rules and guidelines.” Susan gained no rights under the September 10, 2013 Judgment of Divorce that she could contact the USA Social Security Administration to take from my USA social security.


9. In NYS civil court proceedings judges can threaten and intimidate me, a husband and father, and also TIAA who administers pensions. It’s so difficult for husbands and fathers and for TIAA to fight unethical judges. What’s to prevent unethical judges from sanctioning and taking away assets, unfairly, men the judges views as against feminism or whatever or don’t pay bribes?

### **3 Reasons for Granting the Petition**

The trial and jail sentence of the late Judge Gerald Garson was just the tip of the iceberg of fraud etc in NYS civil courts. The Court granting me my petition will help root out fraud in NYS civil courts.

## 4 Conclusion

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Gerald Aranoff". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Gerald" and last name "Aranoff" clearly distinguishable. It is positioned above the printed name.

Gerald Aranoff

8 Miriam Haneviah Street

Bnei Brak 51583 Israel

Phone 972-523-602370

Email: [garanoff@netvision.net.il](mailto:garanoff@netvision.net.il)

Sworn this 25th day of November 2020.