

20-6492

CALVIN JAMES (PETITIONER)

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (RESPONDENT)REPLY BRIEF

RECEIVED

FEB 23 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.MEMORANDUM FOR CALVIN JAMES (PETITIONER)  
REPLY BRIEF TO UNITED STATES OPPOSITION BRIEFI AM FILING THIS ACCORDING TO RULE 12.2 AND  
15.6 OF RULES OF UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

- RESPONDENT APPEARS TO FILED THEIR BRIEF IN OPPOSITION 60 DAYS AFTER CASE WAS PLACED ON DOCKET DEC 1, 2020 WHICH VIOLATES RULE 15.3 OF U.S. SUPREME COURT AND NEITHER did COURTS INFORM PETITIONER (ALL PARTIES)) OF ANY GRANTS OF EXTENSION.
- RESPONDENT ARGUES THAT MY RELIEF CLAIM WASN'T RAISED AS AN ISSUE ON MY APPEAL. COURTS ARE SHOWING PREJUDICE INTENT. ON APPELLEE'S BRIEF (PAGE 33) IT STATES DIST. COURT ERRED PLAINLY BY NOT INSTRUCTING JURY ON THIS NEW REQUIREMENT - AS THIS ERROR

IS PLAIN AND GROUNDS FOR REVERSAL AND THE OUTCOME OF MY TRIAL DEFINITELY WOULD HAVE BEEN DIFFERENT HAD GOVT. PROVED BOTH ELEMENTS ((SEE RULE 24, 1(a) OF U.S. SUPREME COURT)), ALSO ((SEE MOLINA-MARTINEZ VS. UNITED STATES, 136 S. CT. 1338, 1343 (2016))). GOVT. DIDN'T PROVE KNOWINGLY POSSESS. CALVIN JAMES WAS NEVER IN POSS. OF ANY CONTRABAND. (I.E; FIREARM)

— GOVT. CONTENTS THAT I DIDN'T ARGUE MY/A REHAIF CLAIM IN MY REPLY BRIEF. GOVT. IS SHOWING INTENT TO INTURE OR OPPRESS AS 18 U.S.C. 24122 PROHIBITS. IN MY REPLY BRIEF PGS. 3-12 I ARGUED THAT ACCORDING TO 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) TO ESTABLISH THE VIOLATION GOVT. "MUST PROVE" THAT DEFENDANT KNEW HE POSSESSED WEAPON AND THAT HE BELONGED TO A RELEVANT CATEGORY OF PROHIBITED PERSONS. REGARDLESS IF I RAISE A CLAIM OF REHAIF OR NOT. I ARGUED THAT IN SUSTAINING A 18 U.S.C. § 922(g), § 924(e) (2) CONVICTION GOVT. "MUST PROVE" ALLELEMENTS. GOVT. ONLY PROVED MY PROHIBITED PERSON STATUS— GROUNDS FOR REVERSAL.

— GOVT. NEGLECTS REHAIF CLAIM WAS/ARE NOT MY ONLY GROUNDS(S) RAISED AS AN ISSUE ON THIS "WRIT." COURTS MUST REVIEW ALL ARGUMENTS, QUESTIONS

PRESENTED 2ND CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS.

— GOVT. CLEARLY USES THEIR LEGAL OR JUDICIAL LEVERAGE TO APPLY TYRANNY OR OVERLOOK THE FACT THAT THERE ARE 14 STATUTES AND FED. R. CRIM. P. AND 10 CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS AS CONSTITUTION BEING SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND. THIS CERTIORARI SHOULD BE GRANTED DUE TO THE MISAPPLICATION OF JUSTICE AND ILLEGAL AND INSIDIOUS BEHAVIOUR.

— COURTS AND RESPONDENT MUST REVIEW MY CLAIM CAREFULLY. I AM DRAWING AN ACT OF CONGRESS INTO QUESTION AND THAT 28 U.S.C. § 2403(2) MAY APPLY, WITH REGARD TO § 922 (SEE PAGE C); QUESTIONS PRESENTED #9 ON PETITIONERS CERTIORARI.)) 18 U.S.C. § 922 HAS NO TERM OF IMPRISONMENT AND MY INDICTMENT ONLY READS § 922(G) ("INDICTMENT # CR418-205") AND ONLY CHARGE I WAS CONVICTED FOR AT TRIAL.

THIS WHOLE PROSECUTION VIOLATES 14<sup>TH</sup>  
AMEND. OF U.S. CONST. "EQUAL PROTECTION"  
CLAUSE AND MY RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL.  
THIS PETITION SHOULD AND "MUST" BE  
GRANTED.

Signed *Calvin James*  
CALVIN JAMES  
2/8/2021