

N.D.N.Y.  
15-cv-553  
Hurd, J.  
Baxter, M.J.

United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE  
SECOND CIRCUIT

---

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of May, two thousand twenty.

Present:

Barrington D. Parker,  
Denny Chin,  
Susan L. Carney,  
*Circuit Judges.*

---

Scott Myers,

*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

v.

19-69

Patrolman Rowell, et al.,


*Defendants-Appellees.*

---

Appellant, pro se, moves to file supplemental exhibits, for judgment in his favor, summary judgment, and remand to the district court. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the appeal is DISMISSED because it "lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *see also Pillay v. INS*, 45 F.3d 14, 17 (2d Cir. 1995) (per curiam). It is further ORDERED that the motions are DENIED as moot.

FOR THE COURT:  
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

The seal of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit is circular. It features the words "UNITED STATES" at the top and "SECOND CIRCUIT" at the bottom, separated by two stars. The words "COURT OF APPEALS" are written in a smaller arc at the very bottom.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

**Final order denying**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE  
SECOND CIRCUIT**

---

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of August, two thousand twenty.

Scott Myers,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

Patrolman Rowell, Charles Bucca, Greg  
Seeley, Alan Frisbee, Donna Baeckmann,  
Michael Spitz, Terry Wilhelm, Bobby  
Haines,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

**ORDER**


Docket No:  
19-69

Appellant, Scott Myers, filed a motion for panel reconsideration, or, in the alternative, for reconsideration *en banc*. The panel that determined the appeal has considered the request for reconsideration, and the active members of the Court have considered the request for reconsideration *en banc*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion is denied.

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe,  
Clerk

The image shows a handwritten signature, "Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe", written in cursive over a circular official seal. The seal contains the text "UNITED STATES", "SECOND CIRCUIT", and "COURT OF APPEALS".

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**