

No. 20-2219

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

LARRY D. ODUM PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Secr, Florida Doc, et al RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

11th Circuit Court of Appeals (Atlanta, GA)
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

LARRY D. ODUM PRO-
(Your Name) DC# 21704/6
Lake Correctional Institution
19225 U.S. Hwy. 27
(Address)

Claymont, FL 34715-9028
(City, State, Zip Code)

(352) 394-6146 (Main)
(Phone Number) PRISON
Phone

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

(1) Petitioner asserts that his Constitutional right to be free from Double Jeopardy in his Sentence has been violated.

The Petitioner is asking the Court to determine the legality of his habitual offender Sentence through the 4 separate and illegal Sentencing hearings. The petitioner continues to allege that his constitutional protections against Double Jeopardy in Sentencing have been violated through his 4 Sentencing hearings. This Court should closely examine all Sentencing in light of his multiple Sentencing hearings and increased Sentences.

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

LARRY D. ODUM
v.

11th Circuit
Case # 19-12320-D

Sec. FDO C, attorney
General, Florida

Denied
(attached)

RELATED CASES

NA/
None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	① 14th Circuit Order dated 6/12/2020
APPENDIX B	② Petitioner's Reply to Habeas Dated June 27, 2016
APPENDIX C	③ State's Response to Habeas dated Sept. 16, 2010
APPENDIX D	④ Petitioner's Habeas Petition dated 2-2-2012
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

See Petition /
REDETS

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the 5th DCA, Florida court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
☐ reported at 4 So. 3d 1258 (Fla. 5th DCA 2009); or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Fifth Amendment Claims
against Double Jeopardy
in multiple sentencing hearings.
Fifth Amend., U.S. Constitution.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner was convicted in Lake County, FL of Vehicular homicide (Count 1) and Reckless Driving causing serious bodily injury (Count 2). The Court sentenced him to a Habitual Felony offender penalty and is serving a 25 year sentence. He contends that this current sentence is illegal, was improperly enhanced and entered in direct violation of his Constitutional protections against Double Jeopardy in sentencing.

The Petitioner seeks relief from the illegally enhanced sentence which was done over 4 separate sentencing hearings.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Petitioner alleges in this Petition that Court should be granted. The Petitioner's 4 Sentencing hearings have resulted in an illegal sentence which clearly violates Double Jeopardy. The original Sentencing in March 5, 2008 was illegal and was not properly enhanced. All subsequent sentences violate Double Jeopardy. The lower Court should be limited to a 15 year, non-enhanced sentence and this Court should review the violation of Petitioner's Rights against Double Jeopardy in his sentences, see Court orders.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

LARRY D. ODUM LARRY D. ODUM, ^{PRO SE}
DE # 217046

Date: OCT. 24, 2020 Lake Central Inst.
19225 U.S. Hwy. 27
Uremont, AL 34715-
3025