

Clerk of the Supreme Court
and to All Justices

Thomas Reid DeCarlo, 18885-076
United States Penitentiary Marion
P.O. Box 1000
Marion, IL 62959

May 23, 2021

Re: My Equal Protection Rights.

Dear Clerk and Justices,

Is it not in the Federal interest to ensure that No person be convicted or sentenced in Violation of the Federal Constitution?


My Equal Protection Rights under the 14th Amendment have been violated by this court, I am trying to strike down an act of congress in violation of the Federal Constitution and I must show that I am within the class of people with respect to whom the act is Unconstitutional and that the alleged Unconstitutional act or feature injures me, it does, I am in prison because of it.

In Louisville & N.R. Co V. Finn this court stated; "One who would attack a statute as in violation of the Federal Constitution must show that he is within the class which would be affected by the provision of the statute of which he complains."

In my last petition to this court Case No 20-6345 stated that and I even Dumbed it down to only two Questions, and you still refused to do your Duty and Responsibility and have continued to punish me for bringing the truth to light, showing your Invidious distinctions at me.

Let's look at Bond V. United States, a case that challenged the statute on the ground that it exceeds Congress's constitutional powers.

This case presents the question whether a person indicted for violating a Federal statute has standing to challenge its validity on grounds that, by enacting it, Congress exceeded its powers under the Constitution, thus intruding upon the



Sovereignty and authority of the States.

My case is the same argument except for the intruding upon the sovereignty claim and as stated I have been Injured by the former speaker's illegal and Unconstitutional actions, "In the precedents of this court, the claims of individuals -- not of government departments -- have been the principle source of judicial decisions concerning separation of powers and checks and balances," If the constitutional structure of our government that protects individual liberty is compromised, individuals who suffer otherwise justiciable injury may object, the unconstitutional action can cause concomitant injury to persons in individual cases.

The Late Justice Ginsburg with whom Justice Breyer joins concurring stated; Bond, like any other defendant has a personal right not to be convicted under a constitutionally invalid law. See Fallon, As-Applied and Facial Challenges and Third-Party Standing, 113 Harv. L. Rev. 1321, 1331-1333 (2000); Monaghan Overbreadth, 1981 Sup. Ct. Rev 1, 3 see also North Carolina v. Pearce, 395 US 711, 739 (1969) (Black J. concurring in part and dissenting in part) ("Due process ... is a guarantee that a man should be tried and convicted only in accordance with valid laws of the land.") "An offence created by [an unconstitutional law], "the court has held, "is not a crime" Ex parte Siebold, 100 US 371, 376 (1880). "A conviction under [such a law] is not merely erroneous, but is illegal and void, and cannot be a legal cause of imprisonment." Id., at 376-377. If a law is invalid as applied to the criminal defendant's conduct, the defendant is entitled to go free."

In short, a law "beyond the power of Congress," for any reason, is "no law at all." Nigro v. United States, 276 US 332-341 (1928)

My case as I have said involves a former Speaker of the House giving himself standing authority to sign enrolled bills whether or not

the House is in session, violating the Federal Constitution's Article I + VI's requirements which in turn violates my Constitutional Rights of Due process

However, this court has chosen to violate my 1st & 14th Amendment Rights as well as Article VI of the Federal Constitution and it is my belief that if I was convicted of any other crime the courts would have already ruled the Statute Unconstitutional and released me.

Every Supreme Court case that I have read has made it clear violating the Federal Constitution is Breaking the law and from my interpretation Breaking the Law is a crime or does that only apply to the Citizens and not to Congress members.

In my particular case every court is more than willing to make yourselves accomplices in willful disobedience of the Constitution that you are sworn to uphold. see *Elkins v. United States*, supra, at 223, 4 LEd 2d 1669, 80 S Ct. 1437, and stop polluting the waters of Justice.

So, I am asking this court to reconsider my 20-6845 case and allow me to continue to fight to hold this former Speaker accountable for violating the Federal Constitution's Article I and VI requirements thus violating my Constitutional Rights of Due process under valid laws.

If this court does not reconsider my last petition than you are Violating my Constitutional Rights under the 1st and 14th Amendment:

Thank you and I hope this court will correct its mistake by refusing to address my Factual complaints and I hope the Clerk has given you my Feb. 7, 2021 letter and will give you this letter and I hope this court will address my concerns in these letters.

Sincerely
Thomas Reid DeCarlo
Thomas Reid DeCarlo, 18885-076