

No. 20-6321

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ALEXANDER CAMERON — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

JOHN F. WALRATH — RESPONDENT(S)

FILED
OCT 23 2020
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF
APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Alexander Cameron
(Your Name)

State Farm Enterprise Unit
3600 Woods Way
(Address)

State Farm, Virginia 23160
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED
NOV - 4 2020
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Can the State Convict an innocent defendant for crime against a person that do not exist?
2. Can the State Convict an innocent^{defendant} through the Prosecutorial Misconduct of: False Forensic Scientist; False Eye Witness; Perjured testimonies; Fabrication, Destruction, and alteration of evidence, Witness Tampering, and other Brady and Giglio Violations?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

- Cameron v. Garraghty, No. CA-01-1192-AM, U.S. District Court E.D. of Virginia at Alexandria Judgment entered 10-10-2002
- Cameron v. Garraghty, No. CA-01-1192-AM, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, Judgment entered 3-12-2003
- Cameron v. Pearson, No. ~~1:06~~ 1:06-cv-01223-JCC, U.S. District Court E.D. Virginia at Alexandria Judgment entered 11-9-2006
- Cameron v. Pearson, No. 1:06-cv-01223-JCC, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, Judgment entered 3-29-2007
- Cameron v. Pearson, No. 1:08-cv-00346-JCC-JFA U.S. District Court E.D. of Virginia at Alexandria, Judgment entered 4-21-2008
- Cameron v. Pearson, No. 1:08-cv-00346-JCC-JFA U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, Judgment entered 10-9-2008
- Cameron v. Commonwealth, No. 1:08-cv-01346-JCC-IDB US District Court E.D. of Virginia at Alexandria Judgment entered 4-1-2009
- Cameron v. Commonwealth, No. 1:08-cv-01346-JCC-IDB U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, Judgment entered 10-6-2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	11
CONCLUSION.....	14

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Decision of United States Court of Appeals.

APPENDIX B Decision of United States District Court.

APPENDIX C Order of U.S. Court of Appeals Denying Rehearing

APPENDIX D Identical Blood Type "O"

APPENDIX E D.N.A. Test, dated April 21, 1997

APPENDIX F D.N.A. Test, dated June 19, 2019

APPENDIX G July 31, 2019, Microscopic Hair Comparison Results.

APPENDIX H 28 USCS 2243, n 63

APPENDIX I Constitutional Amendment(s)

APPENDIX J B-Negative Blood Type

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
California v. Trombetta 469 US 479	7
Arizona v. Youngblood 488 US 51	7
Valentine v. Senkowski 966 F.Supp 239	10
Mooney v. Holohan 294 U.S. 103	11
Miller v. Pate 386 U.S. 1, 7	11
Pyle v. Kansas 317 U.S. 213, 216	11
Alcort v. Texas 355 U.S. 28, 31-32	11
Schlup v. 513 U.S. 319	12
Lambert v. Blackwell, 962 F.Supp. 1521	12, 14

STATUTES AND RULES

Title 28 U.S.C. § 2254	4
Title 28 U.S.C. § 2244 (d)(2)	10
Rule 10 United States Supreme Court	12

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 28, 2020.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: September 14, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Amendment V to the United States Constitution is set forth in Appendix "I"

Amendment VI to the United States Constitution is set forth in Appendix "I"

Amendment XIV to the United States Constitution is set forth in Appendix "I"

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The United States District, Eastern District of Virginia "Alexandria Division" Had Jurisdiction Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 2254

On June 11 and 12, of 1987., Alexander "Cameron" an innocent black man was convicted of the Rape of White Woman/Person that do not exist. [sic]

On December 3, 1986., - (through an impermissible suggestive photo array) - Cameron, was misidentified by a woman named Ellin Walsh, - as (her) attacker - Now, this Ellin Walsh, is of the; Blood Type "B-Negative".

However, the Blood Type of the person that Cameron, was deliberately wrongly convicted of - is of the; Blood Type "O".

FACT:

Back in 1987., DNA Testing was not yet available - The Forensic Technique being used at that time, is called the; "ABO SYSTEM"

- and it was through this ABO System, that The Original Forensic Scientist, using Ellin Walsh, B-Negative Blood Type findings completely exonerated Cameron.

However, and - not being satisfied with these innocence findings - The Prosecutor and Cameron's, false attorney's conspired; thereby, changing Ellin Walsh, Blood Type from "B-Negative" to "O".

- thereby, bringing into this Trial a - (and proven to be so) - False Forensic Scientist, thereby, allowing this false forensic scientist to fraudulently testify - (before an all white jury) - that as a consequence of Cameron and Ellin Walsh, having Identical "O" Blood Types, Cameron, could not be eliminated as a possible perpetrator of said crime.

- as previously mentioned; Ellin Walsh, Blood Type is - not "O" Ellin Walsh, Blood Type is "B-Negative"

- it is this indisputable fact which constitutes the Hate Crime of a racist murder.

Now pay attention: When DNA Testing became available, and pressure came to bare - the Trial Court/Conspirator's showed their racist hand, thereby, destroying the Blood

Vials of Ellin Walsh, - and, thereby, submitting to their very own corrupt personal forensic lab fabricated evidence in Cameron's, name for DNA Testing. - Now-

Placed in it's proper criminal context: - (and you don't have to be an idiot to see) - that the Blood Vials of Ellin Walsh, were obviously destroyed in a vicious attempt to cover up this racist killing/conspiracy - for these fabricators/killer's, knew had they submitted the Blood Vials of Ellin Walsh, to the lab; Not only would Ellin Walsh, B-Negative Blood Type have exposed the fraudulent "O" Blood Type used at Trial to accommodate the fraudulent testimony of their - (and proven to be so) - False Forensic Scientist - but - and more to the criminal racist point; This B-Negative Blood Type of Ellin Walsh, would have also exposed those fabricated evidence that were DNA Tested in Cameron's, name for exactly what they are: A Malicious "Hoax"

Now - where are those B-Negative Blood Vials of Ellin Walsh, and who destroyed them?

Moreover, it is incumbent upon this Court to explain to Cameron, and the rest of the World - (to include yourselves) - exactly; what Blood Type is that - that is representative of Ellin Walsh, in those fabricated DNA test that's on file with the "corrupt" Virginia Bureau of Forensic Science?

For - Ellin Walsh, can - not be represented by B-Negative Blood Type and neither can Ellin Walsh, be represented by Blood Type "O" in those fabricated DNA test - for - it is due to said criminal fraud's committed upon the Trial Court, that these Two Blood Types have no choice but to cancel each other out, thereby, consequently leaving this Honorable Court with no choice but to grant CERTIORARI, thereby, through a Special Prosecutor launching an all out investigation to this Hate Crime that been perpetrated upon Cameron's, humanity for the past Thirty Four (34) Years.
SEE: Appendix "D"

As a consequence of the Prosecutor and Cameron's, false Attorney's being in possession of, and having full foreknowledge of Ellin Walsh, True B-Negative Blood Type, and, thereby, elected to proceed on in this Trial/Lynching with the false blood type of: "O" - (for the criminal purpose of facilitating the fraud upon the court fraudulent "IDENTICAL- O" Blood Type testimony of their own False Forensic Scientist) -

Not only constitute the obvious malicious Hate Crime of Murder and conspiracy to commit Murder based on race - but, and more importantly; As a consequence of Cameron, being convicted based on Blood Type "O" - (which isn't Ellin Walsh Blood Type) - constitute Cameron's malicious conviction to be the product of pure hate - because; as a consequence of the Blood Type "O" that Cameron, was convicted in the name of, not being the Blood Type of the alleged victim Ellin Walsh, constitute Cameron, as having been convicted for a crime against a person that: "DO NOT EXIST"

Moreover, even in light of Cameron, exposing these Racist Criminals/Killers of the Trial Court to their heinous crimes against (him), these racist killers still wish to carry out their Murder against Cameron, which, in its very essence speaks to exactly who they are, as well as their original and true intentions from the very start.

POST CONVICTION D.N.A. TESTING

1. The contents of this April 21, 1997, fabricated D.N.A. Test were litigated and satisfied in both; the United States District Court and the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. - Now -

Although, the contents of said D.N.A. Test need not be elaborated upon, it must be brought to the attention of the Court, because, it is within the recordings of this D.N.A. Test, that the destruction of Ellin Walsh, True B-Negative Blood vials is established, SEE: Appendix "E"

DESTRUCTION OF ELLIN WALSH, BLOOD VIALS;

Now- if the court would please take notice of the Second Paragraph in Appendix "E" where the destruction of Ellin Walsh, True B-Negative Blood Vials speaks for it's self.

Moreover, and once again; the destruction of Ellin Walsh, True B-Negative Blood Vials and the contents of said D.N.A. Test are inconsequential- because; Cameron, conviction is based on Blood Type "O" which isn't the Blood Type of Ellin Walsh, therefore, even if Ellin Walsh, B-Negative Blood Vials were with the tested evidence it would have only exposed the Identical "O" fraud perpetrated upon the Trial Court, thereby, leaving the following crime in fact; Cameron, was convicted for a crime against a person that DO NOT EXIST.

SEE: California v. Trombetta 469 U.S. 479 and Arizona v. Youngblood 488 U.S. 51

POST CONVICTION D.N.A. TESTING

2. On June 19, 2019, - In a frivolous attempt to authenticate said fraudulent 1997, D.N.A. Test and to circumvent an investigation being conducted by the United States Department of Justice - (DOJ) - and Federal Bureau of Investigation - (FBI) - The State once again took it upon its own initiative and conducted a replica of the previous 1997, fabricated D.N.A. Test - and just like the previous fabricated 1997, D.N.A. Test, Ellin Walsh, Blood Vials were not amongst the evidence allegedly being D.N.A. Tested - which, automatically renders said D.N.A. Test invalid and simply no good - for Ellin Walsh, D.N.A. Profile which is her Signature Card to the Original Evidence is in her destroyed blood vials; therefore, without no D.N.A. Profile on Ellin Walsh, to compare the evidence being tested against automatically renders said D.N.A. Test invalid, no good and just simply a Hoax.

Moreover, as this fabricated D.N.A. Test and the previous 1997, D.N.A. Test are one in the same; The Same Hate Crime Sentiments as depicted in Item one (1) also applies to this fabricated D.N.A. Test, "Verbatim".
SEE: Appendix "F"

NEWLY DISCOVERED MICROSCOPIC HAIR COMPARISON

3. On July 31, 2019, The Forensic Science Board-for, The Virginia Bureau of Forensic Science - Review Team-through its own investigation and review concluded that the false appearance and Testimonies of False Forensic Scientist, "Myron T. Scholberg in Cameron's Criminal Trial:" Exceeded the acceptable limits of Science.
SEE: Appendix "G"

These findings by the Forensic Science Board are the culminations of an investigation conducted by the United States Department of Justice (DOJ)- where it was concluded that Ex-FBI- Special Agent Myron T. Scholberg, is indeed a false Forensic Scientist- who for pay- deliberately falsified forensic evidence, thereby, fraudulently testifying to the same.

Moreover, in accordance with that investigation; Myron T. Scholberg, should not have participated in no Criminal Trials after 1985- (i.e. the year the Federal Government severely discipline and fired Myron T. Scholberg, from the F.B.I.).

These criminal act's, committed by the Prosecutor and Cameron's, false attorney's, were premeditated and with malicious intent and foreknowledge, for, they all knew exactly who Myron T. Scholberg, was and what he was going to do and say prior to soliciting (him) and it is this criminal act of premeditation on behalf of the Prosecutor and Cameron's, attorney's that makes this a Hate Crime equivalent to that of: Conspiracy to commit premeditated Murder based on race.

Other than these false testimonies by False Forensic Scientist Myron T. Scholberg, which had a direct impact on the jury verdict, there's nothing, absolutely nothing connecting Cameron, to this Crime.

In other words: The United States Department of Justice, The Federal Bureau of Investigation, The Virginia Bureau of Forensic Science, The Prosecutor, and Cameron's, False Attorney's; all knew beyond any shadow of a doubt that EX-FBI-Agent Myron T. Scholberg, was a false witness whose fraudulent testimony lead to the conviction of an innocent black man - Even EX-FBI-Special Agent Myron T. Scholberg, has acknowledged, - (through said Review Board investigation) - that the fraud's (he) was paid to perpetrate against Cameron, were wrong - But, yet and still even in the face of such overwhelming evidence of crimes such as the afore, Cameron, - who has always maintained is innocence remains incarcerated for a crime against a person that "DO NOT EXIST!"

- JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT -

4. In view of the obvious violent Hate Crime(s) that Cameron, has evidenced before this Court - in Cameron's, opinion this ^{Court} should look upon the Rulings of the United States District Court and the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, as malicious act's of Judicial Misconduct - which - is tantamount to the same malicious hate crimes that these rulings are shielding these Hate Crime criminals of the Trial Court from - Prosecution - because, this racist killing that continue to be perpetrated upon Cameron, is more than certain to culminate in Cameron's death if this Court do not intervene and put a stop to senseless killing.

The U.S. District Court dismissal of Cameron's Habeas Corpus must be looked upon as an egregious act of Malicious Misconduct - because, said dismissal is predicated upon the erroneous premise that Cameron, should have brought the claims that are in Cameron's, current Habeas Corpus in Cameron's, 2011, Habeas Corpus - which is impossible - because, Cameron's, claims that are in Cameron's, 2019, Habeas Corpus are based on the 2019, Post Conviction document's - i.e., Post Trial D.V.A. Test dated: June 19, 2019 and Post Trial Microscopic

Hair Comparison Review, dated: July 31, 2019, -

In other words, How is it possible for Cameron, to put something that happen in the year of 2019, into a Habeas corpus that was filed in the year of 2011?

Said another way, Cameron, can not file a claim that happen today in a Habeas Corpus that was filed Ten (10) or Twenty (20) year's ago.

Moreover, this order of the U.S. District Court is in direct violation of the: Tolling Provisions of § 2244 (d)(2) section, where said (d)(2) section tolls the limitations period during the time which a properly filed application for State Post Conviction or other Collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending. SEE Appendix "H"

It is both from the plain meaning of the statute and the legislative history of the act which imposed the New Standard - (the ANTI-TERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT OF 1996) - that the One Year period limitations does not begin to run until after direct review has been completed and State Post-Conviction review has been exhausted.

SEE: Valentine V. Senkowski 966 F. Supp. 239.

Therefore, due to the State Post Conviction DNA Test and the Post Conviction Microscopic Hair Comparison Review of 2019, Cameron's, Habeas Corpus should have been adjudicated on its merits, and the fact that the U.S. District Court choose to look the other way - constitute a dereliction of duty based on race - which - automatically constitute Judicial Misconduct. - and:

Finally, even without none of the above, the content's of Cameron's, Habeas Corpus depicts a vicious racist killing of an innocent black man, which - automatically makes Cameron's, Habeas Corpus free of any style of Procedural Bar - because - Cameron, has produced indisputable evidence of (his) innocence and that (he) has spent the last Thirty Four (34) year's of (his) life in prison for a crime against a person that: "DO NOT EXIST!" - Now, why would anyone with an ounce of integrity not look into such a violation of humanity? - because in the eyes of these white folks, Cameron, is ^{not} seen as human - Nevertheless, the Misconduct must stand.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

5. These criminal act's of Prosecutorial and Trial Counsel Misconduct(s) - (via conspiracy) - were not trivial or "technical," but all, in one degree or another, inevitably led to the creation of a wholly unreliable record of a manufactured guilt.

Fortunately, due to the quantum of proof that Cameron, has marshalled in on this case being so heavy - this court should no longer entertain any doubt as to the merit of Cameron's, claim(s) to habeas relief.

Simply Put: In view of the extraordinary crimes committed in Cameron's, case the Court would be doing Cameron, and the Court a disservice to concern its self with any laws to the contrary:

Since the Supreme Court decision in Mosley v. Halohan, 294 U.S. 103, 55 S.Ct 340, 79 L.Ed. 791 (1935), it has been firmly established that the Prosecution's knowing use of perjured testimony, or of fabricated evidence, as well as its failure to take remedial measures to mitigate the damaging effects of such testimony and evidence, violates the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause's - See, e.g. Miller v. Pate, 386 US 1, 7, 87 S.Ct 785, 788, 17 L.Ed 2d 690 (1967) (habeas relief granted where prosecution misrepresented a pair of "bloody" shorts that were actually covered with paint Pyle v. Kansas 317 US 213, 216, 63 S.Ct. 177, 178-79, 87 L.Ed. 214 (1942) (habeas corpus granted where conviction was obtained on perjured testimony and on suppressed favorable evidence); Alcorta v. Texas 355 U.S. 28, 31-32, 78 S.Ct 103, 104-5, 2 L.Ed. 2d 9 (1957) (habeas relief granted where the prosecution knowingly allowed it witness to testify falsely regarding his romantic relationship with the victim).

As evidenced in Cameron's, habeas corpus to the U.S. District Court there is absolutely much more Prosecutorial and Trial Counsel Misconduct other than what is depicted in this petition, There are several more false material witness - all the Commonwealth's material witnesses perjured themselves "literally all of them" - video evidence of innocence - Fingerprints Evidence of innocence and the constitutional violation continue on into the hundreds "Literally"

In other words, "literally" all of the evidence which the Commonwealth used to convict Cameron, was either perjured, altered or fabricated.

The Commonwealth also through its judicial misconduct is attempting to perpetrate a fraud upon this Court, with its dismissal that is in concert with its misconduct, such total contempt for due process of law demands serious sanctions.

As The Court adhered to - (in Lambert v. Blackwell) - the principle that habeas corpus is, at its core, an equitable remedy -

Schlup, 513 US at 319, 115 S.Ct. at 863, In this case these equitable considerations preclude our leaving the decision whether to retry Lisa Lambert in the hands of those who created this gross injustice. In view of the ancient maxim that "equity delights to do justice, and not by halves" (FN46) to give Ms. Lambert, full relief in these circumstances we can do nothing to benefit or empower those who so wronged her.

In sum allowing the Commonwealth to proceed again against Cameron, after what the Commonwealth has done to (him) to date, would be; to brow beat Justice O'Connor's location from Herrera, a "constitutionally intolerable event." - Please;

SEE: 962 F.Supp. 1521, Lambert v. Blackwell, (E.D. Pa. 1997)

- Considerations Governing Review on Certiorari, as Set Forth in Rule 10, -

Although, in said Rule of this Supreme Court, it is Cameron's responsibility to convince this Court through a conflict juxtaposition of other appellate courts rulings why this Court should grant Certiorari; Such a juxtaposition is basically impossible - for - it is do to unprecedented racist criminality involved in Cameron's case - that this Court must entertain taking the unprecedented step of looking pass such a juxtaposition - for - there are no appellate court case's in recorded history that involves the unbelievable, blatant racist criminal act of the - Prosecutor in tandem with the defense attorney's - changing the B-negative Blood Type of the alleged victim, Ellin Walsh, from B-negative Blood Type to Blood Type "O" -

for the additional criminal purpose of bringing their own False Forensic Scientist into this Trial/Lynching - thereby, allowing this False Forensic Scientist to fraudulently testify: - (before the all white fixed jury) - that as a consequence of Cameron, and the alleged victim/Ellis Walsh, having identical "O" Blood Types, Cameron, could not be eliminated as a possible perpetrator of said crime. - now couple this incomprehensible act with the additional incomprehensible act of Ex-FBI-Special Agent Myron T. Scholberg's deliberate sought out False presence, which has been proven beyond any shadow of a doubt by: The United States Department of Justice; The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) an investigation conducted by The Virginia Bureau of Forensic Science, all have certified this racist crime perpetrated upon Cameron, by the Prosecutor and false attorney's to True - Such incomprehensible act of savagery just boggles the mind. This act alone constitute Cameron's freedom, but yet and still racism and hate won't allow it: SEE: Appendix "J"

As evidenced in the afore - (Item 5) - Cameron, did indeed attempt such a juxtaposition, However, in the afore case's no one deliberately committed over "literally" one Hundred felony criminal act's - Therefore, Cameron's, Case has no equal/rival to juxtapose; and, therefore, as a consequence of these racist criminal act's on behalf of the prosecutor, defense attorney's and the False Forensic Scientist(s), this court must certify Cameron's, Case as one that is beyond "Extraordinary"

- for - the unthinkable acts of insanity involved in Cameron's, case borders on the paranormal brink of the unexplained - for - barr some-kind of paranormal explanation; the prosecutor, defense attorney's and the False Forensic Scientist(s) cannot explain why they committed these inconsolable racist crime's against Cameron, - which, is why - (as they are sure to attempt in this court) - Operate behind the scenes - (in this court) - through their corrupt connections for an additional free pass of impunity. - now;

As so elegantly demonstrated Cameron's, Case has no rival, which, constitute Cameron's, case as being unprecedented and Extraordinary, which, automatically places Cameron's, Case in the less than One Percental (1%) of case's to be granted certiorari.

Therefore, Cameron, absolutely pray that this Honorable Court grant Certiorari, thereby, bringing an end to these racist criminals of the Trial Court over due impunity, thereby, in that midst sending a message to all these racist criminals of the Trial Court, and world of jurisprudence that such criminal behavior will not be tolerated within our American Justice System, thereby, making an example out of these racist criminals/Killer's of the trial court - to do otherwise is to give birth to an environment that's contrary to the law this Court took an oath to protect.

CONCLUSION

6. Finally, as clearly depicted in this petition and more so in the legal action(s) submitted to the U.S. District Court and the U.S. Court of Appeals: a serious Hate Crime has been committed and is currently still in motion, therefore, Cameron, ask that this Honorable Court to find this as an extraordinary case in which the principles of comity that inform the requirement of exhaustion and procedural default, must give way to the imperative of correcting a fundamentally unjust incarceration. To explain why we are not requiring total exhaustion of these claims and why we may excuse any procedural default, it is helpful to explain the rationale for the two doctrines. In a word, the two doctrines rest on comity.

SEE: 962 F.Supp. 1521, Lambert v. Blackwell, (E.D. Pa. 1997)

Wherefore, Cameron, whom has always maintained his innocence, is the victim of a racially motivated malicious conviction for a crime against a person that "DO NOT EXIST." Pray, this Honorable Court grant Certiorari under the Extraordinary/Unprecedented doctrine, thereby, releasing Cameron, from Prison Today.

Respectfully Submitted,

Alfonso Cameron

Date: October 19, 2020