

No. 20-6222

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

OCT 07 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

BRENDAL White — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Yang Huijun/Wei Yang — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Brenda L. White
(Your Name)

4141 N. Ridgeview Drive
(Address)

INDpls, IN 46226
(City, State, Zip Code)

317-875-1957
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Is it lawful for a medical professional to injure a patient and just walk away?
2. Should a medical professional be held accountable for unreasonable treatment?
3. What should the remedy be when a patient is abused and injured?
4. Is there a standard of care instituted for medical professionals?
5. How can a strange eye examination that caused damage be recompensed?
6. Should an acupuncturist violate trust by inserting a needle into the brain/brain stem when the treatment request was solely for the lower back?
7. Should an acupuncturist violate the safety of the patient by not sterilizing the area in which the needle is to be imbedded? The area, in my head, was not sterilized. If I had an indication, at all, that she planned to put a needle in my head, I would have said, "No!" "I did not request any treatment to my head."
8. Should the acupuncturist be held accountable for violating, torturing and abusing a patient and the trust of that patient?
9. Is it lawful for acupuncturist to charge patient for violating that trust?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	ORDER
APPENDIX B	Public Docket
APPENDIX C	Online Doc. Accupuncture Needle to Brain
APPENDIX D	Complaint and request for Injunction
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

un located

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at Seventh Circuit; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 1-23-20.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

"DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW

SUMMARY:

Section 242 of Title 18 makes it a crime for a person acting under color of any law to willfully deprive a person of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

For the purpose of Section 242, acts under "color of law" include acts not only done by federal, state, or local officials within their lawful authority, but also acts done beyond the bounds of that official's lawful authority, if the acts are done while the official is purporting to or pretending to act in the performance of his/her official duties. Persons acting under color of law within the meaning of this statute include police officers, prisons guards and other law enforcement officials, as well as judges, care providers in public health facilities, and others who are acting as public officials. It is not necessary that the crime be motivated by animus toward the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status or national origin of the victim.

The offense is punishable by a range of imprisonment up to a life term, or the death penalty, depending upon the circumstances of the crime, and the resulting injury, if any."

Bill of Rights

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I am in this court because I have not received justice yet in this matter for lack of jurisdiction in previous courts, regarding treatment from this acupuncturist for my lower back. I had received treat to my lower back a number of times before, from this acupuncturist. My back had been injured and received the treatment that I asked for, I hope I did. On the last occasion, however, I requested the same treatment for my lower back and the acupuncturist stuck a needle, very deliberately, in my brain/brain stem. Immediately, I jumped. Acupuncturist told me to not to move. I immediately felt my brain tighten, like a contraction, very weird. Acupuncturist told me that more treatment is needed to reveal if she put the needle in the right place in my head. I was in shock and in discomfort because my brain had been tampered with, and it was so tight and heavy. I was scheduled for so many treatments and my brain felt the same. I had to say, no more. I was told that I had to come back to finish treatment. I never returned. My brain, since that time, swells to the extent of my cranium. So much pressure. It feels like my brain will explode. I have difficulty, at times, speaking what I am thinking. I felt the needle and I heard a sound like a tiny pig squeal or a squish awful sound. I thought, "What???? Why???? When she told me not to move, at that instance I felt the needle in my brain/brain stem, I moved. I feel this was reckless, irresponsible and inadequate care and tortuous. I feel this type of abuse should not be allowed to be ignored or overlooked by any jurisdiction, and the acupuncturist should be held accountable for this permanent damage to my brain/brain stem.

My rights were violated in major ways. I don't know if there is a brain infection or not. All I do know about this is normally, before any needle was inserted in my skin, a solution was applied first to sterilize the area, and, it was cold. No solution was applied to my head before that needle was inserted into my brain/brain stem. I also know that I still feel discomfort, the heaviness and swelling in my head. I know something is wrong and different, still.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

A federal court rendered my case lack jurisdiction. This matter should be granted because there are no definitive laws for acupuncturists. There are no regulations to keep the honor of a standard of treatment. There are no safety guidelines to ensure the health of the patients.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Brenda White

Date: 10-27-20