

20-6203
No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

rose okpealuk — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Alaska — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Alaska Supreme Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

rose okpealuk
(Your Name)

4803 White Birch Lane #3
(Address)

Anchorage, Alaska, 99517
(City, State, Zip Code)

(907) 440-1718
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Where is T.O.?

How many interpretations are there for the word "unresponsive"?

Is it effective listening or reading to be closed minded to not gather information and deliberate what a testimony unveils?

Since there are so many different interpretations for the word "unresponsive", when proficient grid locked law practitioners, and British Accredited Registry members are on record, isn't there an oath to carry out justice by getting the word "unresponsive" clarified;

Especially when the person of interest, T.O., chooses not to talk; and

Furthermore, the word "unresponsive" came from P.O.'s witness to attempt to build up P.O.'s belief in prayer to the Court — is all the more reason to reach justice by getting that clarified —

Especially when T.O., P.O., and P.O.'s witness, were all in the hearing on December 20, 2017, not needing to be ~~recessitated~~.

Why is Medicaid the constitution right now? Can we get the constitution back, please?

Because not everyone believes in medical practice, do you see how Medicaid is governing United States of America's people? Freedom

Is it a right that is protected absolutely — ^{of} Religion?

Question(s) Presented Continued:

Will you send Alaska Superior Court probate Court a United States of America Judicial System Flow Chart, Please?

Is Alaska Superior Court allowed to Order on any matter that is in active Appeal, before the Alaska Supreme Court Orders on the appealed matter?

Because on 8/8/18 is when the final Order came from the last hearing on 1/2/18, is there an administrative rule that mandates how long Courts have to decide on Orders. How ^{Supreme} Valid is the 4/10/19 Guardianship Plan Ordered by Eric Aarseth. When T.O. had it in an open Appeal, in the Alaska Supreme Court since 8/17/18 and the Alaska Supreme Court didn't Order on it until 7/24/20?

Why is there a Bureau of Indian Affairs in the United States of America when we are all under the United States of America Constitution "protecting" our right not to be discriminated by race/origin?

Why do Alaska Natives/American Indians have their own classification on everything, every where - applications for employment, applications for education, applications for funding, taxes, applications for housing, Applications for "medical treatment", etc.?

Who is more just - Una S. Gandbhir or Eric Aarseth? Why does it matter so much to Eric Aarseth that T.O. is a ward of the State of Alaska? I have never met him, so I know T.O. has never met him. But Eric Aarseth vacated Una S. Gandbhir's Order.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A 7/24/2020 Alaska Supreme Court Final Order that was sent to r.o.

APPENDIX B 8/8/2018 Alaska Superior Court Final Order

APPENDIX C 9/12/2017; 11/14/2017; 12/20/2017; 1/2/2018 log notes on Petition for Review Filed by OPA

APPENDIX D 11/26/2019 Order: Motion to Accept Late Brief Reply Brief Rejected; Appellant Reply Brief 11/6/2019; 3/11/2019 Appellant Opening Brief; 4/4/19 Notice/Certificate Required by

APPENDIX E Motions to Stay both sides, Motion to Reconsider Motion for Clarification order & Both sides

APPENDIX F 4/10/2019 Alaska Superior Court Probate Court Eric Aarseth "Guardianship Plan"

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

Appellant, Frank, Carlos v. STATE of Alaska, Appellee
NO. 3684 Supreme Court of Alaska Dec. 21, 1979

PAGE NUMBER

604 2d 1068

STATUTES AND RULES

AS 13.26.125

OTHER

Free Exercise Clause

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

[] reported at N/A; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

[] reported at N/A; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at N/A; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the lowest state court to review the merits court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at N/A; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was N/A.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 7/24/20. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

United States of America Constitution Amendment 1:
Congress shall make no laws respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

Alaska Constitution Article 1: section 4: freedom of Religion:

No law shall be made respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof.

Since United States Constitution Amendment 1 includes Freedom of speech:

Alaska Constitution Article 1: Section 5: Freedom of Speech:
Every person may freely speak, write, and publish on all subjects, being responsible for the abuse of that right.

And the USA Constitution Amendment 1 includes the right of the people to peaceably assemble:

Alaska Constitution Article 1 section 6: Assembly; Petition:
The right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government shall never be abridged

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

6/9/17 State of Alaska Office of Public Advocacy filed a Petition for Review because r.o.'s Sister was framing r.o. with pic's m.m - r.o.'s Sister took on 6/3/17, and because the State of Alaska Public Advocacy received the pictures they blew them up, after cropping out m.m. to proclaim they had a case.

6/14/17 m.m also filed her own Petition to Review based on the same matter that she got the State of Alaska Office of Public Advocacy to file a Petition for Review on 6/9/17, to hurry up the judicial process, and to try to take me down.

9/12/17 at 9:00 AM was the hearing scheduled for on 6/23/17 through an Order; and on 9/12/17, after having over 2 months to prepare their done deal case - the State of Alaska was not ready to, and m.m. did not show.

6/29/17; 10/3/17; 11/5/17 r.o. responded to the Petition for Review by filing Petitions for Review because r.o. is not a lawyer and r.o. want to Probate Court and could not find any other form to use to respond.

9/12/17
11/4/17
12/20/2017 and 1/2/2018 Were when the hearings were in Alaska Superior Court Probate Court for the Review

8/8/18 is when Alaska Superior Court Probate Court magistrate Eric Aarseth Ordered on the Review.

Statement of the Case continued

8/17/18 r.o. filed an Appeal in the Alaska Supreme Court appealing Eric Aarseth's final Order on the Review that was dated 8/8/18.

1/2/18 and 7/24/18 & 7/25/18 r.o. filed and served Motions to Dismiss t.o. guardianship appointment - it's not worth it to have government custody; for anyone in the whole world - all the government wants is Land and Control of the land and who is in it, there is no morals for government custody - they are not even allowed to read Christian edification and the Founding Fathers who wrote the United States of America Constitution were Christians enriched in Christian Edification. And because t.o. wants out of government custody Please, Kindly Reconsider Your government custody system - what did my mom do to you or any government official?

8/22/18 Alaska Superior Court Probate Court magistrate Una S. Gandbhir ordered on r.o.'s motion to stay expedited, that r.o. filed on 8/20/18. Una S. Gandbhir is the magistrate who sat in on and heard the testimony. And how swiftly was it for Una S. Gandbhir to order on r.o.'s motion to stay expedited - only 2 days

1/31/19 State of Alaska woke up and finally read the 8/22/18 Motion to Stay Expedited Order that was served to them on 8/22/18 - nearly 4 months later; and filed a Motion for Clarification locking it to get routed to Eric Aarseth.

2/26/19 Eric Aarseth Ordered on the Motion for Clarification.

3/8/18 Eric Aarseth Vacated r.o.'s 8/8/18 Motion to stay Expedited Order.

Statement of the Case Continued

5/3/19 Alaska Supreme Court Ordered on r.o.'s appeal of Eric Aarseth's Vacate, of r.o.'s stay that was ordered on by Una Sandbhir 8/11/18. And denied r.o. because in Alaska Supreme Court the Clerks are delegated to Order on Law in this land; because clerk's can perceive lower courts as the authority over comprehending there is a United States of America Judicial System flow chart or even what it means to their delegated authority to carefully consider All the facts to confidently deliberate justice.

4/10/19 Eric Aarseth Ordered or t.o. guardianship August 2019 t.o. was taken from r.o. through the State of Alaska using Eric Aarseth's 4/10/19 Guardianship Order.

September 2019 r.o. and t.o. became homeless, because the State of Alaska moved t.o. out of her home, lost t.o.'s Alaska Housing Finance Corporation Section 8 Voucher and t.o.'s Cook Inlet Housing Authority Unit. And the State of Alaska will not tell r.o. where t.o. is. Also, forfeited t.o.'s "needed" Medicaid Personal Care Services. Also havent allowed t.o. to receive her own money.

3/11/19 r.o. filed opening brief

9/6/19 r.o. filed Reply brief

11/26/19 Alaska Supreme Court Clerk Ryan Montgomery ordered on r.o.'s reply brief rejecting. Since June 2017 r.o. wrote to and sent the whole case to United States of America President Donald Trump asking for help.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Because t.o. is worth it.

Because r.o. loves t.o.

Because t.o. loves r.o.

Because r.o. and t.o. belong together, not estranged from each other.

Because the worst thing said about r.o. in closing arguments is that r.o. chooses to pray over getting consumed by medical practice, and no. only wants to preserve the sanctity of t.o.'s years left on earth.

Because keeping t.o. institutionalized, away from r.o. who is loving t.o. at all?

Because r.o. is extensively trained in CPR on her own, she knows how to assess "medical" "emergencies" to be the most optimal for t.o. to be with, because r.o. is united with Messiah Yeshua - where all life comes from.

Because the State of Alaska argued that t.o. "needed" housing ensured only to take t.o. and r.o.'s housing away - so much for "needing" it argument, and the Medicaid Personal Care Services too. Deliberated Justice?

Because Medicare And Medicaid Administrator Seema Verma aired out Medicaid's dirty laundry about Doctors incentives to not provide quality "care".

Because it is not r.o. or t.o.'s fault they are inside of Alaska Native temples of our living God

Because of Bureau of Indian Affairs existence has matured Racism in the United States of America, that Alaska Native's and American Indians, REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION Continued classified or not as an Alaska Native or American Indian, did not develop Bureau of Indian Affairs, it was developed by the USA in 1824.

Because Racism against any race is so hateful, and because USA caused racism to mature from developing Bureau of Indian Affairs People don't even want to listen to me, to help me not lose my mom.

Because Medicaid is governing USA right now, with the fake COVID19 Pandemic that Alaska Job Centers aren't open to use to help myself, schools, businesses all controlled by CDC to disown the right of the people to peacefully assemble, they caused the masses to believe everyone is diseased and want to treat others so harshly just for existing. Even at my job there was COVID19 test requirements I shot down as that can not be constitutional because we have the right to refuse treatment and not get that test ~~introduced~~ into us. Do you know Medicaid has matured people to be driven by fear? People had not heard about the right to refuse treatment. People asked me what constitution? USA or Adonai Elohim?, because they don't believe in the USA Constitution anymore. All because of Medicaid.

Reasons For Granting The Petition Continued

Because children don't need to be hindered any more being forced into occult practices, getting addicted to psychotropic medicine just because care providers don't want to engage in relationship with children they take custody of.

Because mandatory reporting is making United States of America hostile and uncomfortable to be free to share testimony of belief in Adonai Elohim, Freedom of Other Religions that are secondary to Elohim are free to practice and tell about, but Adonai Elohim makes the impossible possible, and makes all things new.

Because R.O. is grieving losing T.O. She knows T.O. is grieving missing her.

Because R.O. can imagine how it must be to lose the one of 102 justices who got equal rights for women in USA must feel; R.O. lifts you up in Adonai Elohim's throne room of Camaro Shalom

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

by R.O.

Date: October 19, 2020