



Neutral

As of: October 19, 2020 2:13 PM Z

## *United States v. Jeffries*

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

July 24, 2020, Filed

No. 18-4081

### Reporter

2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 23569 \*

rehearing for the reasons stated in her dissent.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff-Appellant, v.  
JURMAINE A. JEFFRIES, Defendant-Appellee.

---

End of Document

**Prior History:** [\*United States v. Jeffries\*, 958 F.3d 517, 2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 14767, 2020 FED App. 141P \(6th Cir.\) \(6th Cir. Ohio, May 8, 2020\)](#)

**Counsel:** [\*1] For United States of America, Plaintiff -  
Appellant: Megan Renee Miller, Office of the U.S. Attorney,  
Cleveland, OH.

For Jurmaine A. Jeffries, Defendant - Appellee: Claire  
Roxanne Cahoon, Office of the Federal Public Defender,  
Toledo, OH.

**Judges:** BEFORE: BOGGS, BATCHELDER, and  
DONALD, Circuit Judges.

## Opinion

### ORDER

The court received a petition for rehearing en banc. The original panel has reviewed the petition for rehearing and concludes that the issues raised in the petition were fully considered upon the original submission and decision of the case. The petition then was circulated to the full court. No judge has requested a vote on the suggestion for rehearing en banc.

Therefore, the petition is denied. Judge Donald would grant