

20-6080

DATE 9/25/20


No. # TO BE ASSIGNED

- ① LT. FLORIDA SUPREME COURT # SC20-1207
② LT. 1ST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS # 1-D08-3116
③ LT. TRIAL COURT CASE # 2006-2901
④ LT. TRIAL COURT INJUNCTION CASE # 2006-DR--00-4182
⑤

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

1. FIRST STREET N.E.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543



⑥ DAVID RUSSELL POSEY ^{#218253} PETITIONER(S) (AND) "TRIAL JURORS"
[S.C.R. 12.4]

- A. WYLETHA DESHAWN THOMPSON - # 100131277 - 0064
B. KATHLEEN BROADERICK - # 100016223 - 0018
C. WILLIAM GERALD TAYLOR - # 100121090 - 0199
D. JAMES CHECKLEY VINCENT - # 100167289 - 0091
E. SHAUNA ELLEN SIMPSON - # 100202708 - 0324
F. KAYE EVANS HOLT - # 100203219 - 0252
G. PATRICIA BARKER KELLY - # 100054142 - 0086
H. MARILYN FAYE BOWMAN - # 100012121 - 0310

* COURT SERVICE REQUESTED ON JURORS

-VS-

⑦ STATE OF FLORIDA - RESPONDENTS

ON

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TO;

FLORIDA SUPREME COURT

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI




TABLE OF CONTENTS

- pg. 1) • COVER PAGE.
- pg. 2) • TABLE OF CONTENTS, & INDEX TO APPENDICES.
- pgs. 3) - 5) • QUESTIONS PRESENTED.
- pgs. 6) - 7) • LIST OF PARTIES.
- pg. 8) • OPINIONS.
- pg. 9) • JURISDICTION.
- pgs. 10) - 11) • CONSTITUTIONAL AND/OR STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED AND AUTHORITIES.
- pg. 12) • RELATED CASES.
- pgs. 13) - 21) • STATEMENT OF CASE.
- pgs. 22) - 23) • REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.
- pg. 24) • CONCLUSION RELIEF
- pg. 25) • APPENDIX (COVER PAGE).
- pg. 26) - 28) • EXHIBIT (A) & FINAL ORDERS.
- pg. 29) - 34) • MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, & AFFIDAVIT OR DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS.
- pg. 35) • PROOF OF SERVICE FOR RESPONDENTS.

2) INDEX TO APPENDICES

EXHIBIT A. - FINAL ORDERS ISSUED FROM FLORIDA
SUPREME COURT. - CASE # SC20-1207

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. IS IT OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE, TO STRIKE PETITIONERS TRIAL PROSECUTORS NAMES FROM THE "ROLL OF ATTORNEYS", AS TRIAL RECORD SHOWS, THEY VIOLATED DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW (AND) U.S. CONSTITUTION, AND DEFILED THE "PURITY OF THE COURT", BY COMMITTING OFFENSES OF "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" (AND) "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD" ON THE COURT, JURORS, AND PUBLIC AND PETITIONER? [CASE # 2006-2901].
2. IS IT OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE TO STRIKE THE RESPONDENTS, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL(S) NAME FROM THE "ROLL OF ATTORNEYS", AS HE VIOLATED DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS AND U.S. CONSTITUTION, BY COMMITTING OFFENSES OF "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" (AND) "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD", ON THE FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL JUDGES W' ORAL ARGUMENTS, WHEN CONFLICT WAS AT ISSUE? [CASE # 1-DOB-3116].
3. IS IT GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE TO NOTIFY JURORS, SO THEY CAN BE HEARD AND INFORMED, THEY ARE VICTIMS OF "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" AND "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD", IN TRIAL PROCEEDINGS, PROTECTING THEIR DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION?

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

4. IS IT OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE FOR THE FLORIDA BAR, A ARM OF THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, (RESPONDENTS) TO PROVIDE A.D.A. ACCOMMODATIONS (AND) EXTENSION OF SERVICES TO ALL QUALIFIED DISABLED COMPLAINANTS, FILING BAR COMPLAINTS, WHEN REQUESTED, SO DUE PROCESS CAN BE HAD?
5. IS IT OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE, THAT THE FLORIDA BAR, ARM OF THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT PROVIDE ALL EXCULPATORY AND INCULPATORY RECORDS TO COMPLAINANTS, WHEN THEIR BAR COMPLAINT IS FINALLY ISSUED DISPOSITION, SO COMPLAINANTS CAN FURTHER SEEK APPELLANT REVIEW, WITH ACCOMPANIED COMPLETE RECORD FILES, EXERCISING THEIR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS?
6. WILL THIS COURT, ISSUE "HABEAS CORPUS" RELIEF ON PETITIONER, ALTERNATIVELY, AS COURT RECORDS SHOW THAT TRIAL PROSECUTORS AND THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL, COMMITTED "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" (AND) "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD" ON JURORS, COURT(S), PUBLIC, AND PETITIONER, VIOLATING DUE PROCESS (AND) EQUAL PROTECTIONS OF THE LAWS, (I.E.) 5th, 6th, 14th AMENDMENTS TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ??

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

7. IS IT OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE, FOR THIS COURT TO EXERCISE ITS JURISDICTION AND SUPERVISORY POWERS, TO EMPANEL A "SPECIAL GRAND JURY", WHEN THE RECORD CLEARLY AND CONVINCINGLY DEMONSTRATES OFFICERS OF THE COURT, COMMITTED "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" ON THE JURY, COURT PUBLIC AND PETITIONER, VIOLATING THE 5th, 6th AND 14th AMENDMENTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION?

LIST OF PARTIES

1) ALL PARTIES DO NOT APPEAR IN THE CAPTION OF THE CASE ON THE COVER PAGE. A LIST OF ALL PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING IN THE COURT WHOSE JUDGEMENT IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS PETITION IS AS FOLLOWS.

- A. THE FLORIDA BAR (AND) ALL EMPLOYEES (E.T., AL.) 651 EAST JEFFERSON STREET TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-2300.
- B. LEAD TRIAL PROSECUTOR - DONNA MARIE MAW, FLORIDA BAR # 815322, BAR COMPLAINT # R.F.A. 19-12097, ADDRESS LAST KNOWN; YORK COUNTY COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEYS OFFICE P.O. Box 40 YORKTOWN, VA. 23690.
- C. ASSISTANT TRIAL PROSECUTOR - AMANDA GORDON BAR # 377340, BAR COMPLAINT # R.F.A. 1912096.
- D. ATTORNEY GENERAL - ASHLEY MOODY STATE OF FLORIDA PL-01 THE CAPITOL 400 SOUTH MONROE STREET TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1050
- E. SENIOR ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL - CHARLES MCCOY 1926 INDIAN HEAD DRIVE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (FLORIDA BAR # 333646, BAR COMPLAINT # R.F.A. 19-12095.
- F. FLORIDA SUPREME COURT (E.T. AL.) 500 S. DUVAL STREET TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399
- G. FLORIDA SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS - MARK INCH 501 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399.

LIST OF PARTIES cont...

H. WARDEN OF GEO INC.-(MARIONNA) 5914 JEFF ATES RD.
MILTON, FLORIDA 32583.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OPINIONS BELOW;

PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY PRAYS THAT A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO REVIEW THE JUDGEMENT BELOW.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

• FOR CASES FROM STATE COURT;

A. THE OPINION OF THE HIGHEST STATE COURT TO REVIEW THE MERITS APPEARS AT APPENDIX A TO THE PETITION AND IS "ALL WRITS", FLORIDA SUPREME COURT CASE # SC20-1207 DECIDED ON MONDAY - AUGUST SEVENTEETH, 2020 (AND) REHEARING DECIDED ON SEPTEMBER THIRD, 2020.

JURISDICTION

A. FOR CASES FROM STATE COURTS ;

THE DATE ON WHICH THE HIGHEST STATE COURT DECIDED MY CASE WAS - MONDAY AUGUST SEVENTEENTH, 2020. (AND)

B. A TIMELY PETITION FOR REHEARING WAS THEREAFTER DENIED ON THE FOLLOWING DATE. SEPTEMBER THIRD, 2020. SEE; APPENDIX A FOR BOTH DENIALS. ON CASE # SC20-1207. IN FLORIDA SUPREME COURT.

C. THE JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT IS INVOKED UNDER 28. U.S.C. §. 1257 (A) (AND) ARTICLE III SECTION #2, CLAUSE (#1, #2) OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND/OR STATUTORY
PROVISIONS INVOLVED
AND AUTHOURITYS

- A) • FIFTH AMENDMENT - U.S. CONSTITUTION.
- B) • SIXTH AMENDMENT - U.S. CONSTITUTION.
- C) • FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT - U.S. CONSTITUTION.
- D) • EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS -
CONSTITUTIONALLY GUARANTEED AND EMBODIED IN THE
14TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.
- E) • AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT (A.D.A.)
FEDERAL LEGISLATION INTENDED TO MAKE AMERICAN
SOCIETY MORE ACCESSABLE TO PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES.
- F) • FIRST AMENDMENT - PETITION FOR REDRESS
PRIEVANCE.
- G) • "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD" - FRAUD, CONSTRUCTIVE (LEGAL)
FRAUD, EXTRINSIC FRAUD, FRAUD IN FACT, FRAUD IN LAW,
FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT, FRAUD ON THE COURT, INTRINSIC
FRAUD - TAKE "JUDICIAL NOTICE" OF BARRON'S
LEGAL GUIDES, LAW DICTIONARY, SIXTH EDITION
DEFINITIONS.
- H) • "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" - TAKE "JUDICIAL
NOTICE" OF BARRON'S LEGAL GUIDES, LAW DICTIONARY,
6TH EDITION DEFINITION.
- I) • FLORIDA BAR ASSOCIATION, AS A ARM OF THE
SUPREME COURT - RULES AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING
THE BAR AND DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND/OR STATUTORY
PROVISIONS INVOLVED (AND) AUTHOURITHYS

J) • "JUDICIAL NOTICE" - DEFINITION BARRONS LEGAL GUIDE 6th EDITION - DEFINITION.

K) • JURY - AS DEFINED IN BARRONS LEGAL GUIDE, 6th EDITION.

L) • SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES RULES, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 24, 25, 28, 29, 33, 34, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 44, 45, 46, 47.

M) THE FLORIDA BAR COMPLAINTS ON ;

1. MR. CHARLES RICHEY MCCOY - BAR #333646 BAR COMPLAINT R.F.A.# 19-12095.

2. MS. AMANDA GORDON - BAR #377340 BAR COMPLAINT R.F.A.# 19-12096.

3. MS. DONNA MARIE MAW - BAR #815322 BAR COMPLAINT R.F.A. # 19-12097.

N) THE FLORIDA BAR PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS #(S).

1. W008483 - 071420 OF 07/14/2020 RECORD TYPE. ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE RECORDS ON MR. CHARLES RICHEY MCCOY AND MS. AMANDA GORDON AND MS. DONNA MARIE MAW.

RELATED CASES

- 1) TRIAL COURT CASE # 2006-2901. OKALOOSA CO. COURT-HOUSE ANNEX EXT. 1940 LEWIS TURNER BLVD. FORT WALTON BEACH, FLORIDA 32547.
- 2) INJUNCTION (CASE # 2006-DR-004182) OKALOOSA CO. COURTHOUSE ANNEX EXT. 1940 LEWIS TURNER BLVD. FORT WALTON BEACH, FLORIDA 32547.
- 3) DIRECT APPEAL - 1ST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS 2000 DRAYTON DRIVE, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399, 950. (CASE # 1-D08-3116)
- 4) POST CONVICTION 3.850 APPEAL, CASE # 1-D14-1156, 1ST D.C.A. 2000 DRAYTON DR. TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-950.
- 5) HABEAS CORPUS CASE # 2012-CA-001823 OKALOOSA CO. CIRCUIT COURT (AND) APPEAL 1ST D.C.A. CASE # 1-D15-1149
- 6) HABEAS CORPUS FEDERAL - [CASE # 3:15-CV-452-MCR-CAS] 1 PALATKA STREET PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32502.
- 7) FLORIDA SUPREME COURT (CASE # SC-19-1223) 500 DUVAL STREET TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399 (STATE-WIDE GRAND JURY CASE).
- 8) 11TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS CASES # 19-121-56 (AND) # 18-12452 (ATLANTA, GEORGIA)
- 9) UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CASE # 19-6964
- 10) FLORIDA SUPREME COURT CASE # SC20-1207, 500 DUVAL STREET TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399

STATEMENT OF CASE

LEAD PROSECUTOR AND HER ASSISTANT, IN PETITIONER(S) TRIAL, CASE # 2006-2901) DID COMMIT "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" (AND) "MULTI-FARIOUS FRAUD" UPON THE JURORS, COURT, PETITIONER AND PUBLIC. THEY DID DO IT AS AN INTENTIONAL, PLANNED SCHEME TO CONVICT, RECORD SHOW THIS. (A CAREFULL READING OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS WILL SUPPORT THIS ACCUSATION). BASICALLY, THEIR PLAN WAS TO INFORM THE JURORS (AND) COURT, THAT PETITIONER WAS GUILTY OF VIOLATING A DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INJUNCTION, CASE # 2006-DR-004182, A CHARGE THAT HAD BEEN SEVERED PRETRIAL FOR PREJUDICE, BY COURT ORDER, FROM TRIAL JUDGE. AT THE TIME PROSECUTORS VIOLATED THE JUDGES COURT ORDER, NOT TO MENTION THE INJUNCTION, AND ADVISED JURORS; PETITIONER HAD VIOLATED THE INJUNCTION, AND WAS GUILTY THERE-OF WAS THE TIME PROSECUTORS COMMITTED "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" (AND) "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD" UPON THE COURT, JURORS, (AND) PETITIONERS. PROSECUTORS UTILIZED THE FITICIOUS, UNSUPPORTED, STATEMENT, TO CONVICT PETITIONER OF ALL FELONY CHARGES IN THE INFORMATION KIDNAPPING, BURGLARY, AND AGGRAVATED ASSAULT COUNTS 1, 2, 3. THE STATEMENT WAS MADE A "FEATURE" AT TRIAL, IN CHARGING INSTRUCTIONS (AND) CLOSING ARGUMENTS. WITHOUT THE FALSE STATEMENT, CONVICTIONS FOR THE FELONIES CHARGED CASE # 2006-2901 COULD NOT BE HAD.

STATEMENT OF CASE

SIMPLY PUT PETITIONER WAS PLACED ON TRIAL FOR COUNT #4, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INJUNCTION CASE # 2006-DR-004182 MID-TRIAL, WITHOUT APPOINTED COUNSEL BY THE PROSECUTORS "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" (AND) "MULTI-FARIOUS FRAUD" (AND) VIOLATION OF THE JUDGES COURT ORDER, NOT TO MENTION THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF INJUNCTION, AS IT WAS SEVERED FOR PREJUDICE BY TRIAL JUDGE. THESE OFFENSES COMMITTED ON THE COURT, JURY (AND) PETITIONER, DID CHANGE THE OUTCOME OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS (AND) DID MAKE THE JURY, COURT AND PETITIONER VICTIM(S) OF "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" AND "MULTI-FARIOUS FRAUD". PETITIONERS DEFENSE COUNSEL OBJECTED TO THE PROSECUTORS "OPENING THE DOOR" TO THE INJUNCTION, HOWEVER THE JUDGE MADE FINDING AND RULING DURING TRIAL, DEFENSE COUNSEL "OPENED THE DOOR". THIS ISSUE WAS NEVER BROUGHT UP ON DIRECT APPEAL IN THE FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS, FLORIDA (CASE # 1-DO8-3116). HOWEVER, IT WAS BROUGHT UPON A POST CONVICTION 3.850, AND THE STATE ATTORNEY IN REPLY TO THIS GROUND, STATED THAT, THE JUDGES RULING WAS "WRONG", DEFENSE COUNSEL DIDNT OPEN THE DOOR, THE STATE DID, AS PETITIONER ARGUED DEFENSE COUNSEL WAS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, FOR OPENING THE DOOR TO THE INJUNCTION, WHEN HE MOVED TO SEVER IT, AND THAT WAS GRANTED. PETITIONERS GROUND WAS DENIED.

STATEMENT OF CASE

EVENTUALLY, PETITIONER FILED A "NOTARIZED PROBABLE CAUSE COMPLAINT AFFIDAVIT" TO THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT, REGARDING THE OFFENSES, SEE: F.D.L.E. CASE FILE [E1-73-8649-452] AT WWW.FDLE.STATE.FL.US] PHONE # (850) 410-8240. MONTHS PASSED, AND FINALLY A DISPOSITION ISSUED FROM F.D.L.E. THE DIRECTOR OF F.D.L.E. SCOTT MCINERNEY SENT PETITIONER A RE-DIRECTION LETTER, INSTRUCTING PETITIONER TO FILE A FLORIDA BAR COMPLAINT, AS THE FLORIDA BAR HAS "EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION" OF ATTORNEYS. PETITIONER DISAGREED WITH THE ARBITRARY (AND) CAPRICIOUS DECISION, FOR HIM TO REDIRECT PETITIONER TO THE FLORIDA BAR, A ADMINISTRATIVE ENTITY. THE PROSECUTORS OFFENSES WERE CRIMINAL IN NATURE (AND) DEFINITION. HOWEVER, THE FLORIDA DEPT. OF LAW ENFORCEMENT DIRECTOR USED HIS DISCRETIONARY POWERS TO REDIRECT PETITIONER'S NOTARIZED COMPLAINT FILED ON OCT. 17th, 2018 TO THE FLORIDA BAR, REGARDLESS OF THE CRIMINAL NATURE OF THE OFFENCES. FACT(S) ARE PETITIONER IS 100% DISABLED BY BRAIN INJURY AND IS ASSISTED BY "NEXT OF FRIENDS" IN ALL FILINGS, SO AT THIS TIME HE FILED SWORN BAR COMPLAINT(S) ON ATTORNEYS MAW, GORDON (AND) MCLOY ON APPROX 3/11/19 UPON ADVISE OF NEXT OF FRIEND

STATEMENT OF CASE

THE "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" AND "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD" WAS INFLICTED UPON JURORS EXERCISING THEIR HIGHEST CIVIC DUTY AS A JUROR, THE OFFENSES WERE INFLICTED UPON PETITIONER WHEN HE WAS A CITIZEN, PRE-TRIAL, AND 100% DISABLED ADULT. THE PROSECUTORS/ ATTORNEYS, WITH NO REGARD FOR THE LAW, ABUSED AND EXPLOITED PETITIONER AS A DISABLED ADULT. THE 3 BAR COMPLAINTS FILED WERE DENIED, WITHOUT ANY COMPETANT EXPLANATION OR PRODUCED EVIDENCE, AND WITHOUT LOGIC OR RECORD EVIDENCE. THE BAR COMPLAINTS ARE IDENTIFIED AS FOLLOWS;

- A) DONNA MARIE MAW - FLORIDA BAR # 815322, BAR COMPLAINT R.F.A. # 19-12097 (LEAD PROSECUTOR).
- B) AMANDA GORDON - FLORIDA BAR # 377340, BAR COMPLAINT R.F.A. # 19-12096 (ASSISTANT STATE ATTORNEY).
- C) CHARLES RICHEY MCCOY - FLORIDA BAR # 333646, BAR COMPLAINT R.F.A. # 19-12095.

TO CLARIFY THEIR POSITIONS, MAW AND GORDON WERE TRIAL PROSECUTORS CASE # 2006-2901 OKALOOSA COUNTY, FLORIDA. MCCOY WAS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL, IN DIRECT APPEAL, ORAL ARGUMENTS, CASE # 1-D08-3116. MCCOY, WAS ASSISTANTED, ALSO "OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE" AND COMMITTED "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD" UPON THE 1ST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS PANEL OF JUDGES CASE # 1-D08-3116. MCCOYS OFFENSES WERE INTENTIONAL, AND CHANGED THE OUTCOME OF DIRECT APPEAL

(16)

STATEMENT OF CASE

As they were done to change the panel of judges decision, in a question of conflict between the 2nd District Court of Appeals, "Conner" case (and) Petitioner's case. (A careful viewing of oral arguments case # 1-008-3116 of the first D.C.A. of Florida, must be done to completely understand the impact of McCoy's truths and misrepresentations, bestowed upon the panel of judges. Petitioner now had been given a "CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION" by F.O.I.E, this is so because no investigation was done, as petitioner requested the record of such investigation and received no record or reply of such, just a redirection letter. Although F.O.I.E. has discretion, "not to investigate" is a violation of due process, as they are an investigative agency. Now the bar denied all complaints, and has no record proof any investigation was completed because it has not be produced as of this date, after numerous requests with the Florida Bar, ARM of the Supreme Court. Petitioner exhausted, however exhausting. Another remedy filed a "EXTRAORDINARY WRIT" to the Florida Supreme Court, to

STATEMENT OF FACTS

EMPANEL A "STATEWIDE GRAND JURY" REGARDING THE ATTORNEYS OFFENSES. SEE; AND [CAREFULLY READ CASE # SCI9-1223 IN THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT, RELATED TO THIS CASE AT HAND] THE CASE WAS DISMISSED WITH NO REHEARING ENTERTAINED. THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA, HAD ~~THE~~ JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY TO SEAT A "STATEWIDE GRAND JURY" TO INVESTIGATE ENCLOSED ATTORNEYS, AS THEIR "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" AND "MULTI-FARIOUS FRAUD" DID TRAVEL, BY TRANSACTION AND TRANSMITTIAL, MULTI-DISTRICT, MULTI-STATE FOR PETITIONERS APPEAL(S) PURPOSES. THE "STATEWIDE GRAND JURY" LEGISLATIVE INTENT WAS DESIGNED AND INTENDED TO INVESTIGATE MULTI-DISTRICT, MULTI-STATE "FRAUD", SUCH AS IDENTIFIED HERE. IN FACT THE "FRAUDULANT" RECORDS WERE RECEIVED BY THIS COURT FOR REVIEW. THE COURTS THAT RECEIVED THE "FRAUDULANT" RECORDS WERE AS FOLLOWS;

- A) 1ST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS, FLORIDA DIRECT APPEAL CASE # 1-D08-3116 (TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA).
 - B) UNITED STATES DISTRICT FEDERAL COURT. NORTHERN DISTRICT PENNSACOLA, FLORIDA 2254 HABEAS CORPUS [CASE # 3:15-CV-452-MCR-CAS].
 - C) 11TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS COURT IN ATLANTA, GEORGIA CASE #'S #18-12452-G, AND #19-12156.
 - D) UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CERTIORARI CASE # 19-6964.
- (18)

STATEMENT OF CASE

IN ESSENCE, AT THIS POINT, THE IDENTIFIED ATTORNEYS HAVE NOT BEEN HELD ACCOUNTABLE BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA, OR "STATEWIDE GRAND JURY" OR THE FLORIDA BAR, OR THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT, FOR THEIR OFFENSES OF "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" AND "MULTI-FARIOUS" ~~FRAUD~~ PETITIONER, JURY OR THE COURT(S). THE ATTORNEYS HAVE DEFILED THE "PURITY OF THE COURT(S)" AND HAVE LEFT PETITIONER A APPEAL PROCESS THAT IS VOID OF DUE PROCESS, A NULLITY, AS THE APPELLANT RECORDS TRANSMITTED TO ALL APPEAL COURTS, ARE FRAUDULANT, INCLUDING THE RECORD FILED TO THIS COURT IN CERTIORARI CASE # 19-6964, REVIEWED BY THIS HONORABLE COURT, FOR CONFERENCE AND CONSIDERATION, AND DISPOSITION. THE FLORIDA BAR, AS A ARM OF THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT, TOTALLY IGNORED PETITIONERS REQUEST FOR A.D.A. EXTENSION OF SERVICES IN BAR COMPLAINTS ON MAW, GORDON, (AND) MCCOY WITHOUT ANY ACCOUNTABILITY FROM THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT IN CASE # SC20-1207 [A CAREFULL READING OF THIS CASE AND EXHIBITS IS NECESSARY FOR THIS HONORABLE COURT TO EXERCISE ITS JURISDICTION]. WITH KNOWLEDGE FROM THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT, THE FLORIDA BAR

STATEMENT OF CASE

HAS REFUSED TO PRODUCE ANY RECORDS OF EVIDENCE THAT A INVESTIGATION TOOK PLACE IN BAR COMPLAINTS ON MAW, GORDON, OR MCLOY. THIS COURTS JURISDICTION IS NEEDED AS IT IS OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE, ACCOUNTABILITY IS REACHED FOR OFFICERS OF THE COURT, IN ALL CASES. PETITIONER IS UNLAWFULLY (AND) ILLEGALLY INCARCERATED AS A RESULT OF THE RESPONDENTS VIOLATIONS OF HIS 5th, 6th AND 14th AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. PETITIONER FILES THIS CERTIORARI TIMELY AND ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES BOOKLET, ADOPTED SEPTEMBER 27th, 2017 EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 13th, 2017 IN GOOD FAITH AND WITH GOOD CAUSE (AND) AS A COURT OF LAST RESORT, EVIDENCED BY THE COURT FILINGS LISTED HEREIN, IDENTIFYING PETITIONER EXHAUSTING EVERY POSSIBLE REMEDY BEFORE FILING THIS EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

PETITIONER AVER AS THE FINAL STATEMENT IN THIS CASE, THAT RESPONDENTS HAVE SO FAR DEPARTED FROM THE ACCEPTED AND USUAL (STARE DECISIS) COURSE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS OR SACTIONED SUCH A DEPARTURE BY THE LOWER COURTS, AS TO CALL FOR AN EXERCISE OF THIS HONORABLE COURTS SUPERVISORY POWER AND JURISDICTION. PETITIONER AVERS THERE IS COMPELLING CONSTITUTIONAL REASONS FOR CERTIORARI ISSUANCE, SUCH AS

STATEMENT OF CASE

AS EVIDENCED BY THE COVER PAGE OF THIS CERTIORARI, PETITIONER DAVID RUSSELL POSEY HAS JOINED THE (8) JURORS AS PETITIONERS (AND) INTERESTED PARTIES AS VICTIMS (AND) REQUEST COURT SERVICE ON THESE PETITIONERS, AS THEIR ADDRESSES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESPECTED BY PETITIONER POSEY. JOINING THE JURY AS PETITIONERS, AFFORDS THEM TO BE INFORMED AND TO BE HEARD AS VICTIMS OF RESPONDENTS, THAT SUMMONED THEM FOR JURY DUTY. THE JURY WHEN SERVED, HAVE OPPORTUNITY TO REMOVE THEMSELVES AT WILL, IF WANTED - OR - REQUEST TO BE HEARD, AS THIS WILL BE THEIR FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD (AND) BE INFORMED. INFORMING THE JURY THAT THEY ARE VICTIMS IS THERE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. AT NO TIME, DID PETITIONER POSEY PLACE THEM ON THE CERTIORARI FOR PURPOSES OF QUESTIONING THEIR VERDICT AS THAT IS AGAINST FEDERAL RULES. THEY ARE PLACED AS PETITIONERS BECAUSE THEY ARE INTERESTED PARTIES AND VICTIMS OF RESPONDENTS AND SHOULD BE SO INFORMED OF SUCH, YEARS AGO BY F.D.L.E. "THE STATEWIDE GRAND JURY" AND THE FLORIDA BAR, AND FLORIDA SUPREME COURT. HOWEVER, UNFORTIONALLY, THE RESPONDENTS CHOSE TO KEEP THEIR VICTIMIZATION A "SECRET" THE CITIZENS/JURY DESERVE TO BE HEARD, SO POSEY PLACED THEM IN "GOOD FAITH" AND FOR GOOD CAUSE ON THIS WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1) IT IS OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE TO STRIKE ATTORNEYS NAMES FROM THE ROLL OF ATTORNEYS, PROTECTING THE 5th, 6th AND 14th AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION (AND) "PURITY OF THE COURTS" (AND) SANCTICITY OF THE JURY, WHEN THEY COMMIT "OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE" (AND) "MULTIFARIOUS FRAUD" ON THE JURY, COURT, AND PETITIONER. DEMOCRACY (AND) STARE DECISIS DEMANDS THAT UNIFORMITY

2) IT IS OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE TO NOTIFY THE JURY, THEY WERE VICTIMS PROTECTING THE 5th, 6th AND 14th AMENDMENTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THEIR SANCTICITY, SO THEY CAN BE HEARD (AND) INFORMED.

3) IT IS OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE, THE FLORIDA BAR, ARM OF THE SUPREME COURT, RESPONDENTS, PROVIDE A.O.A. EXTENSION OF SERVICES, WHEN REQUESTED BY QUALIFIED HANDICAP PERSONS FILING BAR COMPLAINTS.

4) IT IS OF GREAT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW (AND) EQUAL PROTECTION, UNDER THE 5th, 6th AND 14th AMENDMENTS TO PROVIDE ALL RECORDS AND FILES, SO COMPLAINTS CAN REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW, WITH A COMPLETE BLEEDING RECORD FOR APPEAL, FROM THE FLORIDA BARS DISPOSITIONS.

REASON FOR GRANTING PETITION


5) RESPONDENTS HAVE SO FAR DEPARTED FROM THE ACCEPTED AND USUAL COURSE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS, OR SANCTIONED SUCH A DEPARTURE BY THE LOWER COURTS AS TO CALL FOR AN EXERCISE OF THIS HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPERVISORY POWER AND JURISDICTION, COMPELLING REASONS FOR CERTIORARI ISSUANCE, AND/OR THIS HONORABLE COURT SUMMON A "SPECIAL GRAND JURY" TO INVESTIGATE PETITIONERS CASE. IS WARRANTED BY THE RECORD.

6) PETITIONER IS UNLAWFULLY AND ILLEGALLY DETAINED BY RESPONDENTS (AND) THIS HOW COURT HAS SUPERVISORY POWER AND JURISDICTION TO ISSUE WRITS CORPUS FOR PETITIONERS IMMEDIATE RELEASE, AS THIS COURT SEEKS JUSTICE. ALLIGNED WITH STATE DEESIS.

CONCLUSION / RELIEF

A). PETITIONER REQUEST THIS HONORABLE COURT TO ISSUE ORDER(S) TO THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT TO TRANSMIT ALL RECORDS CASE# SC20-1207 AND ALSO ISSUE ORDER TO THE FLORIDA BAR FOR ALL RECORDS, AS THEY WILL NOT PROVIDE ALL RECORDS TO PETITIONER FOR THIS CERTIORARI REVIEW, AND ALL TRANSMITTAL ORDERS NEEDED FOR RELATED CASES (AND)

B) THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI SHOULD ISSUE AND BE GRANTED AS THIS HONORABLE COURT HAS SUPERVISORY POWER AND JURISDICTION AND IT WAS FILED IN "GOOD FAITH" WITH GOOD CAUSE SUPPORTING STARE DECIS AND/OR ANY OTHER RELIEF THIS COURT DEEMS FAIR JUST AND IN THE BEST INTEREST FOR PETITIONER(S). THIS CERTIORARI PETITION, WAS FILED IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE (6) JURY MEMBERS, NOT TO HARRASS OR EMBARRAS OR INTIMIDATE THEM-OR-QUESTION THEIR VERDICT, BUT TO ALLOW THEM TO BE INFORMED AND BE HEARD, WITH RESPECT, FOR THEM BEING "VILTMIZED" WHILE IN THE CAPACITY OF SUMMONED JUROR(S) BY RESPONDENTS.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
DATE 9/25/2015. 

DAVID RUSSELL POSEY
B.R.C.R.F. BED. 13-151
5914 JEFFATES RO.
MILTON, FL. 32583