

No. 20-6035

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

OCT 02 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington D.C.

Randy Williams — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

South Carolina Workers — RESPONDENT(S)
compensation commission

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Randy Williams
(Your Name)

5132 Antique Cir
(Address)

Florence SC 29506
(City, State, Zip Code)

843-664-9443
(Phone Number)

① Question Presented

Background

Article III, section 1 of the constitution establishes the supreme court of the united states. Currently, there are nine justices on the court. Before taking office, each justice must be appointed by the president and confirmed by the senate. Justices hold office during good behavior, typically for life.

The constitution states that the supreme court has both original and appellate jurisdiction. Original jurisdiction means that the supreme court is the first and only court to hear a case. The constitution limits original jurisdiction cases to those involving disputes between states or disputes arising among ambassadors and other high-ranking ministers. Appellate jurisdiction means that the court has the authority to review the decisions of lower courts. Most of the cases the supreme court hears are appeals from lower courts.

The petitioners are not satisfied with the decision of a lower court. They must petition the U.S. supreme court to hear their case. The petitioners are asking the supreme court to review their case. Because the petitioners believe that errors are involved in this case, because this case has been settled and closed, the errors are involved in this case. The petitioners believe the court made errors that the petitioners is dissatisfied with, which is part of reasons why errors are involved in the first place.

②

Question Presented

That why the petitioners asking the supreme court to grant the petition motion to hear this case with appointed counsel. Because the plaintiffs are disability impairments, which isn't fair to the petition who is poor and can not hire a lawyer or attorney. Why can't disability petition get a fair hearing as good as a person who not disability and rich? All the petition asking is reviews the case of original legal doctrines established of July 25, 2008 on exhibit A.

Randy Miller

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

See attached

Related case

This case is related to work-related, that has been agreed, signed and settled. The statute of limitation has been expired more than three years. See copy exhibit A. This court got powers of original jurisdiction and appellate jurisdiction

Appendix A

The petition is complete to the best of his knowledge, that there is a fundamental error or errors in the decision of state court of appeals. The decision in the petition case seems unfair and inequitable. The petitioner does have some options because the petitioner is disabled, which it not fair to the petitioner's rights of constitutional rights, civil right act and human rights disability discrimination act. The petitioner alleges there is errors in the opinions of the court of appeals, that why the petitioner filing consider matters expects for the petitioner's civil case. All civil case have the right to one appeal. This means, that the petitioner can draft a letter to the state board of appeals explaining why the decision should be changed. Because there is errors in this case, why the petitioner is asking the court to grant matter for considers the errors of this case. How fair is this to a disabled petitioner who is disabled, have disability issues to fight white collar paradox by himself. This is the reasons, why the petitioner is file this matter in this court. Because, which skews the analysis and results on a erroneous finding of procedural defaults by the court, there is a reasonable possibility of a cure by re-examine see copies of exhibit B copy. The petitioner believes the court got misunderstanding that the petitioner are trying to explain in his complaints, which is based on him to explain, because of his disability issues the petitioner file motion for review with reconsideration.

Appendix B

There never been any state trial court in the first place, Because the source has already made the decisions and ordered, so the bottom lines is, There is errors in state trial court on wrong issues of the laws on their's decision of the case, That why the petition are asking the court to reverse that decision, Because there is errors in this case of the decisions, The petition submit briefs to the court, that may be granted the oral argument. The petition are file motion for reconsideration on this case, Because of errors in the decision of the case.

Appendix C

Supreme court Petition for review
Supreme court Petition for review information
on petitions for review, Filed in the state supreme
court and asking for a review of a decision made
by the court of appeals can be found here. Available
information includes; list / results of petitions for
reviews considered by the supreme court, Previous
supreme court decisions on petitions for reviews.
The petition like motion for reconsideration,
because there is errors in the court's deci-
sions of this case.

Appendix D

The court denies the petition for rehearing and re-hearing en banc, no judge requested a pull under Fed. R. App. P. 35 on the petition for rehearing en banc, see the copy on front pages. The petition like motion for reconsideration because there is errors in the decisions by the court's decisions.

VIII Appendix A

Petition for writ of certiorari

introduction

Federal district courts are authorized to hear both civil and criminal matters and enter judgments in civil and criminal proceedings; Authority which defines a court of general jurisdiction.

This poses no particular problem - except that the district court encroached in any Federal judicial district throughout the free associated compact states of the union, such as the district court of first instance is exercising jurisdiction beyond the borders fixed by the constitution for court of general jurisdiction, in geographic area fixed by the constitution exclusively for court of limited jurisdiction.

Willful disobedience of a constitution by judges of the inferior courts sworn to uphold it, according to this court (Ex parte United States, 1900), is a crime and a punishable retribution and impairs the existence of the government.

The within extract is petitioner's effort to ~~avoid~~ avoid being defrauded of his property under color of law, office, and authority by a legislative officer of a territorial court of general jurisdiction - the judge of the district court of first instance and say what exactly, no one else is willing to say in order to help this honorable court avert calamity for us all.

Randy Williams

American International Group Inc

American Home Assurance Company

McGraw-Hill, Gerdelt & Carrell Inc

Country Healthcare workers camp server

Appendix B

20-1338E-19-2221

IX opinions below

The petition believes, The lower court made errors with this case, Because this case has been agreed, signed and settled by the original single commissioner on July 25, 2008. And the statute of limitation has been expired. There is legal doctrines established is presented at the time, And the petition believes the lower court overlooked and disregarded the petition's disability issues. And knew The petition got disability issues that filed this complaints is going to be a problem with the petition. Because of his disability issues that going to be falls to the petition, That why the petition are asking for grants to appointed counsel for this case, That why the petition is presented this court the main original copy of legal doctrines established, That the petition believes the lower court made errors on this case, See the evidences. And also believes, The lower judge denying my case, Because the petition did not know how to file answers and questions to the case, Not because the petition don't have a case. It all about The petition don't know how file answers and questions on the court documents.

Appendix B

~~Appendix B~~ x

Jurisdiction

The petition filed this case on time, this court have powers of jurisdiction to hear this case, because of article III section 1 of the constitution established, there is both jurisdiction of original jurisdiction and appellate jurisdiction, the state filed on July 28, 2020. And the petition was granted at the time, this court should hear the case, see documents, Article III section 1 of the constitution established the jurisdiction legal ability to hear a case of the supreme court. The court has original jurisdiction a case is tried before the court over certain cases. eg suits between two or more states and or cases involving ambassadors and other public ministers.

~~xx~~ Appendix XI

~~Appendix XI~~ constitutional and statutory provisions involved

Article II of the US constitution provides in relevant part that each state shall appoint in such manner as the legislature thereof may direct, a number of electors, equal to the whole number of senators and representatives to which the states may be entitled in the congress, the electors shall meet in their respective states and vote by ballot for president and vice president, they shall name in their ballots the person voted for as president and in distinct ballots, the person voted for as vice president, and they shall make distinct lists of all persons voted for as president and of all persons voted for as vice president and of the number of votes for each, which lists they shall sign and certify and transmit sealed to the seat of the government of the United States, RCW 29-19 §6. 340 (2016) provides in relevant part, That any elector who votes, for a person or persons not nominated by the party of which he or she is an elector is subject to a civil penalty of up to one thousand dollars. Additional relevant constitutional and statutory provisions are reproduced in the appendix, See copy exhibit A

Appendix D one

Factual Background

~~The~~ statement of the case
The stipulated at the hearing on June 9, 2008.
This matter arises from the petitioner's compensable
work-related injuries dated on October 3, 2005.
In which the petitioner sustained seriously burns
and physical injuries, see attached, Indemnity
benefits for this petitioner were awarded permanent
and total disability awards on July 25, 2008, with
life time medicals benefit and others, Pursuant to
decisions and ordered by the original single
commissioner Susan S. Barber on date July 25,
2008, see attached, Thereby, the defendants and
its counselors agreed, signed and settled this
case, Accordingly, The same known, The original
single commissioner made the laws are the
legal doctrines established by the judicial
precedents rather than by a statute, In other
words, the defendants and its counselors auth-
oritative agreed, signed and settled this case
under the worker's compensation act, which the
same are also known as the case laws, And know
the original single commissioner has made the
laws are based on the legal principle "stare
decisis". Which all parties agreed, signed and
settled this matter, And thus the three years
passed, the statute of limitation has expired. See
attached appendix D one

①

Appendix D two

The source knew, the case is settled and knew the statute of limitation is expired more than three years, And knew the defendants and its counselors agreed, signed and settled this case, And also knew, the petition is disability impairment, The source knew, the defendants, its counselors, ~~and~~ nurse case manager was plays the role of white collar predators against the petition's settlement agreement rights, By taking advantage of the petition's rights, that is entitled to the petition under the worker's compensation act, The source did not protect the petition's rights under the worker's compensation act, And knew the defendants and its counselors admitted the violation of the worker's compensation act, The source knew, that this case was settled and the statute of limitation has passed, For another single commissioner can overrule and modify over the original single commissioner's decision and order, Because the statute of limitation is expired, Not only that, another commissioner can not overrule or modify over the original single commissioner's decision and order, Because in the same status, same case and same issues, Because the case has been settled and agreed with from started with, The petition believes, he being occupied by the source's commissioners, then being protected by the source, Because the petition believes, the source betray the petition's entitled for white collar predators.

Appendix D two

Harry W. Allen

Oct 2, 2020

①

Appendix D Three

The source knew the legal doctrines established has been settled and the petitioner is entitled to the relief under the workers compensation act. See attached appendix D one, And that the petitioner's injuries had been diagnosed and settled for the relief in future, And it related already, why go through another diagnosis for same injuries, that already diagnosed in the first place, when the defendants and its counselors are already signed and settled the case with the same injuries of Oct 3, 2015 accident related. The source is professional in law, And the petitioner is ~~entitled~~ entitled to the relief, And let those white collar parasites take advantage of disability petition who got history of disability issues, And knew the petitioner need surgery since 2015 and 2016, And with holding medical records since 2015 and 2016 until Sept 10, 2019 at the hearing with source's commissioner. See ~~that~~ that case shows, How always the source is see attached appendix D three, The evidences shows on dates of 2015 and 2016, That the defendants and its counselors, And include the nurse case manager with holding the medical records since 2015 to 2019. By delays and refusal the petitioner's surgery since 2015 and 2016, And still don't approve the surgery now. The source knew, the defendants, its counselors and nurse case manager are with holding the evidences and made the

①

Appendix D three

petition suffered in ~~the~~ ^{the} years over the years
see attached of pro-Rex evidence, The pet-
ition has failed for motion to reconsider for
the evidence. The chairman ~~is~~ T. Scott
Beck refused, because the petition blames
the source for the change of the petition's
entitled settlement agreement, that why T.
Scott Beck are against the petition, because
the source are the blame for let the white
collar pro class take advantage of the
benefits and his rights of workers com-
pensation act, see attached appendix D
three, the defendants and it's counselors are
still continues failed provided the peti-
tion the ordered cure spite numerous orders,
And still are continues violation the acts,
The source still failed to take legal
actions, And violated the petition's
constitutional rights, civil rights act, Due
of process right, human rights eligibility
discrimination act and others under the
worker's compensation act. Ken 2/11/20
Oct 2, 2020

⑥ Appendix E

Reasons for granting for petition

The petition complete to the best of his knowledge. There is a fundamental error or errors in the opinions of the court of appeals which skews the analysis and results or an erroneous finding of procedural defaults by the court. There is a reasonable possibility of a cure by means that fails this is to the petition. Because the petition has alleged the disability impairments that fails is that to the petition? The court should know this about fails to the petition. Because the petition is disability, and there are major history disability issues with other physical and mental impairments, the defendants and its counselors know this about fails to the petition which the court failed to protect the petitioner's rights under the workers compensation act law. And due process rights, that why the petition came to the supreme court. Because the source didn't clear rights by the petition. Because the petitioner doesn't trust the source, because the petition believes the source and the defendant's counselors are working together against the petition. That why the petition filed in the court with federal court in the first place. Because the petitioner knew he can not trust the source for justice. Because the source are working with the defendant's counselors, and can not trust the source for justice, the petitioner got the rights to defense. His rights of settle work agreement under the workers compensation act law. And should be grants in this court.

② Appendix E

Reasons for granting the petition

to hear this matter civil rights And should be matters to appointed attorneys in this civil rights matter case, should there be a right to a free attorneys in civil rights cases too? The defendants and it's counsel is the one started all this big trouble, so the petitioner should be granted for this lawsuit and grant for appointed attorneys against the wrong doing for taking advantages of the disabled petition in the first place. And still what actions now. Where is the rights for the disability's rights? The petitioner prays, that the court see, where the petitioner are try his best to complete to the best of his knowledge to explain to the court of wrong doing that the defendants and it's counsel has done to the petitioner. The petitioner are prays for this motion for this lawsuit against the defendants and it's counsel and others, May God bless you for take time out to look at the facts that you

David Williams

9-16-2020

CONCLUSION

see copys behind this copy

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Randy Williams

Date: ~~9-16-2020~~ Oct 2, 2020

① Appendix F

Conclusion

Theory, Bottom line is, that the defendants and its counselors has agreed, signed and settled the settlement agreement. Accordingly, The statute of limitation has passed. What right do the defendants and their's counselors have after thus the three years statute of limitation has been expired. For worker's compensation commissioners to overturn anything on the agreement. No commissioners can not overturn anything on the original settlement agreement. Because the statute of limitation has passed since. After three limitations passed, the scwcc's commissioners was wrongs for taking advantage of the statute of limitation. And knew the statute of limitation has expired after three years. And took advantage of the petitioner's constitutional rights and civil rights. Theory, The defendants and its counselors knew the petitioner is disabled physical and mental impairments with many disability issues. That the defendants and its counselors has took advantages of the petitioner's disabled and harms the petitioner. By hurts the petitioner from ~~intentional~~ negligence intentional torts. The scwcc's commissioners failed to protected the petitioner's rights under the worker's compensation act. That was promised to him on July 28, 2008 by original shrink commissioner on July 28, 2008. See exhibit A. The petitioner should be grants for motions to have lawsuit in this court. Because the petitioner are poor and cannot afford a lawyer or attorney. And the petitioner can not defend his

② Appendix F

Conclusion

rights, Because of his disability issues The petitioner believes that is the reason, why the defendants and its counselors are taking advantage of the petitioner, Because the petitioner is disability and poor, that it is easy for the defendants and its counselors to take advantage of the petitioner. Because the petitioner is disability and no protect to help defend the petitioner's rights. That is the reason why that is not fair to the petitioner. For some body like experiences insurance companies and its counselors take advantage of disabled petitioner for no good reasonable basis. Just because the petitioner is disability impairment that they can take advantage of the petitioner. Because the petitioner is sick, poor and disabled and which is not fair to the petitioner. And why the petitioner are prays to the court that they motion and grants the petitioner a experiences attorney or lawyer to handle this case against the defendants, its counselors and others. Xerdy Wulter
9-16-2020