

No. 20-6006

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

OCT 05 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Terrance Leon Washington — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

AUSA Scott A. Lemmon / Crystal Willett — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Terrance Leon Washington
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 189
(Address)

Alberta, V.A. 23821
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. How can law enforcements execute a search and seizure with a fraudulent search warrant, and pursue a wrongful prosecution with illegally obtained evidence?
2. How can law enforcements make an arrest for allege predated offenses without establishing probable cause to further issue an arrest warrant on the detainee or arrestee?
3. How can law enforcement make an illegal arrest and secure the arrestee with a 6 million dollar bond as a strategy of entrapment forcing a defendant to plead guilty to an illegal search and seizure?
4. How can Authorities contemplate an entire wrongful prosecution on a defendant to seek a wrongful conviction?
5. How can a prosecutor file an indictment or information against a defendant 74 days after his arrest, and defense counsel not move the court for dismissal in violation Speedy Trial pursuant to 18 USC Section 3161(b) and six amendment right to an effective counsel?
6. How can Authorities violate a defendant's due process rights to pursue a wrongful prosecution?
7. How can sworn in authorities operate in bad faith?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

1. United States of America Vs. Terrance Leon Washington
Case # (7:18-cr-00039-D) Eastern District of N.C.
2. Terrance Leon Washington V. AUSA Scott A. Lemmon
Case # (5:19-HC-2295-B0) Eastern District of N.C.
3. Terrance Leon Washington V. Crystal Willett, Superintendant
of Meherrin River Regional Jail Case # (1:19-cv-01397-AJT-
IDD) Eastern District of V.A.
4. Washington V. Lemmon, et al. Case # (5:20-CT-3009-M
Eastern District of N.C.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	United States Court of Appeals for the 4 th Cir
APPENDIX B	United States District Court for the Eastern District of N.C. P.S.R.
APPENDIX C	Habeas Corpus Cases
APPENDIX D	in reference to a State Court Decision Case # 17CRS50579
APPENDIX E	Documents filed with the Supreme Court Predicting the outcome of this wrongful prosecution
APPENDIX F	Prior to the exhaustion in the lower courts.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was September 29, 2020

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Appendix D. On [REDACTED] Feb 2, 2017 Law enforcements With Brunswick Co. Sheriff's Office executed an illegal search with a fraudulent/invalid search warrant and seized illegally obtained evidence.

Appendix D. On Dec 15, 2017 Law enforcement made an illegal arrest and detainer without an arrest warrant for predated offense that were alleged to have occurred as far as 6 months prior to the arrest date without establishing probable cause to further issue an arrest warrant. Authorities then issued a \$6 million bond violating the 8th amendment against excessive bails and fines, which can be confirmed in documents submitted in civil case # 5:20-ct-03009-M located on Appendix C on the docket text in the original petition filed on 1-6-20 being #1. on docket text.

Appendix D. Law enforcement committed entrapment on defendant to force him in a position to take a plea deal instead of addressing the Feb 2, 2017 matter before a jury trial and disclosing the Constitutional violations.

Appendix C. Defense Counsel Steve B. Wright was well aware of the violations involved on the Feb 2, 2017 offense by law enforcement, and still acted ineffectively and did not move the court for a dismissal. Defense Counsel ineffectiveness has prejudice me as a defendant, and his performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness resulting in an ^{A conviction.} fundamentally unfair outcome of the proceeding.

Appendix B. 74 days after the arrest on Dec 15, 2017 on Feb 28, 2018 AUSA Scott A. Lemmon filed an 8 count indictment for the alleged offenses in which I was illegally arrested and detained without establishing probable cause to secure an arrest warrant seeking a vindictive, invalid, prosecution to secure a wrongful conviction using illegally obtained evidence from Feb 2, 2017 as related conduct to the instant offenses filed in the indictment, violation of Speedy Trial Act 1974 Section 3161(b) and statutory safeguards against postaccusation delays to gain a tactical advantage.

Appendix C. Defense Counsel Geoffrey Hobsford did not move the court for a dismissal of the information and indictment file against the defendant, falsely influencing me to enter a plea of guilty conspiring with authorities seeking a wrongful conviction. Defense Counsel's performance was ineffective, violating the defendant's 6th amendment right to a effective counsel that prejudice the defendant that would have otherwise resulted in a dismissal had he performed effectively.

Appendix B. Due Process Violation, Prosecutorial Misconduct, 4th amendment violation,

Appendix B., C., D. International Terrorism and Conspiring International Terrorism Law enforcement agents, District attorney, Defense attorneys, AUSA have all conspired together seeking improper, invalid, wrongful prosecution and wrongful convictions.

Appendix C. filed petition prose of Habeas Corpus presenting my claims to the court for a Good Cause ~~st~~ shown and Granting my petition and transferring it to the appropriate forum.

Appendix C. Filed Civil suit 01/06/2020 5:20-CT-03009-M Habeas petition 28 U.S.C. 2241 transfer to Eastern District of V.A., Substituting Respondant AUSA Scott A. Lemmon with Crystal Willett Superintendent of Meherrin River Regional Jail asking for show cause not to Dismiss action as moot.

Appendix C. Judgment of Court of Appeal for the 4th Cir Unpublished opinion of Dismissal for Procedural reasons.

Appendix A. Court Affirmed my oral argument were dispense because the fact presented to the Court in the materials were adequate.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I have successfully presented my arguments and claims in the lower courts. Despite an unpublished dismissal from the United States Court of Appeals ~~from~~ the fourth Circuit for Procedural reasons unrelated to the factual content presented to the court, I have demonstrated all the unconstitutional violations upon the government to be adequately invalid, Proving their corrupted tactics and strategy are acts of International Terrorism targeting specific groups of nationalities, and seeking wrongful, and improper prosecutions and convictions.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The United States Court of Appeals for the 4th Cir made an unpublished opinion that is not binding Precedent in this circuit, to Dismiss my 28 U.S.C. 2241 Petition for failure to comply with the Court's order for procedural reasons unrelated to the contents of the pleading, further making an Affirmed Factual Statement that "We dispense with Oral arguments because the Facts and legal contentions are Adequately Presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process. Because the district court dismissed my actions "For Procedural reasons unrelated to the contents of the Pleading," We have jurisdiction over this appeal. *Groode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, INC.*, 807 F.3d 619, 624 (4th Cir. 2015); see *Bing v. Brivo Sys., LLC*, 959 F.3d 605, 611-12 (4th Cir. 2020) (discussing factors courts consider in determining whether order is Final and appealable). I am being illegally detained and wrongfully Prosecuted Seeking a invalid and wrongful conviction.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Terrance L. Washington

Date: October 5, 2020