

Order

Michigan Supreme Court
Lansing, Michigan

February 4, 2020

Bridget M. McCormac
Chief Justice

159991

David F. Vivian
Chief Justice Pro Tempore

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

Stephen J. Markman
Brian K. Zahra
Richard H. Bernstein
Elizabeth T. Clement
Megan K. Cavanaugh
Justice

v

SC: 159991
COA: 346974
Calhoun CC: 2011-003991-FC

FRANK ALLEN LEVI HOLLAND,
Defendant-Appellant.

On order of the Court, the application for leave to appeal the May 30, 2019 order of the Court of Appeals is considered, and it is DENIED, because the defendant has failed to meet the burden of establishing entitlement to relief under MCR 6.508(D).



a0127

I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

February 4, 2020

Clerk

Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

People of MI v Frank Allen Levi Holland

Docket No. 346974

LC No. 2011-003991-FC


James Robert Redford
Presiding Judge


Douglas B. Shapiro

Mark T. Boonstra
Judges

The Court orders that the motion to waive fees is GRANTED for this case only.

The Court orders that the delayed application for leave to appeal is DENIED because defendant has failed to establish that the trial court erred in denying the motion for relief from judgment.


Presiding Judge



A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

MAY 30 2019

Date


Chief Clerk

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 37TH CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF CALHOUN

STATE OF MICHIGAN

Plaintiff,

v.

Case # 2011-3991 FC

FRANK HOLLAND,

Defendant

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGEMENT

The defendant filed a Motion for Relief from Judgment on August 21, 2018. The defendant presents the following issues for review by the court:

1. That the trial judge delivered erroneous jury instructions.
2. That the trial judge improperly cured a missing witness issue.
3. That prosecutorial misconduct prejudiced the defendant-appellant.
4. That there was a violation of the defendant-appellant's confrontation and compulsory rights.
5. That the defendant-appellant's court appointed attorney failed to protect the defendant-appellant from procedural protection.
6. That there was a breakdown of the adversarial process between prosecution and the defendant-appellant counsel.

FILED


SEP 07 2018

11-3991-00
37TH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK

Upon review of the pleadings, the court file and the appellate opinions that have been issued in this matter, this court finds that the defendant-appellants arguments are without merit.

IT IS ORDERED, that said motion is DENIED.

September 7, 2018


Judge John A. Hallacy (P42351)
37th Circuit Court

cc: Prosecutor
Court File