

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

*RCS*  
YANG MEI

--PETITIONER

VS.  
MAYOR AND  
CITY COUNCIL  
OF BALTIMORE

--RESPONDENT

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

NOV 23 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

PETITION FOR REHEARING

We respectfully request that The Supreme Court Of The United States

Rehear our case.

The contractor Adam Barclay owes us unfinished work for our building

rehab, got paid of \$42375.00, causing our home building 600 E.

Patapsco Ave, a commercial building, to be seized for auction by a

vacant building receiver.

The vacant building receiver did the auction sale on October 6, 2020,

during this case still in proceedings, showing contempt of court, and in

a gross inadequate low selling price of \$25000.00, to buy a commercial

building with an apartment upstairs in moving-in condition, downstairs

For business ,used to be a restaurant, having two car garage of standing

alone building. That is in violation of 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment Of The

Constitution, without just compensation and fair price. This auction is

RECEIVED

DEC 31 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

not fair and not proper, and also in violation of The Housing Act. It

Seems that the laws do not apply to them.

This sends an unhealthy message to the public.

Now , our family with four kids have been living upstairs in this building apartment since March ,2020. That is a substantial proof that this building is not a vacant building.

This building is not subjected to vacant building auction .

We request that The Supreme Court vacates this case.

We pray that The Supreme Court stop this auction and save homeowner from homeless.

Respectfully Submitted

Yang Mei / Yuhuan Luo  
Yang Mei / Yuhuan Luo  
405 E. Patapsco Ave.  
Baltimore, MD 21225  
410-355-1299

10/15/2020  
Date

No. 20-5842  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Yang Mei

V.

Mayor and City Council of Baltimore

Certification of Service

I hereby certify that on this 15<sup>th</sup> date of December, 2020, a copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR REHEARING was served via First Class Mail, postage prepaid to

Solicitor General of The United States  
Room 5616 Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
Washington, DC. 20530-0001

Yang Mei  
Yang Mei (Signature)

No. 20-5842  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Yang Mei

V.

Mayor and City Council of Baltimore

Certification of Service

I hereby certify that on this 15<sup>th</sup> date of December, 2020, a copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR REHEARING was served via First Class Mail, postage prepaid to

Micheal P. Redmond  
Baltimore City Law Department  
100 N. Holiday Street, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21202

Yang Mei  
Yang Mei (Signature)

No. 20-5842  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Yang Mei

v.

Mayor and City Council of Baltimore

Certification of Service

I hereby certify that on this 15<sup>th</sup> date of December, 2020, a copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR REHEARING was served via First Class Mail, postage prepaid to

Shea Beitler-Akman, ESQ.  
417 E. Fayette Street  
Room 355  
Baltimore, MD 21202

Yang Mei  
Yang Mei (Signature)

No. 20-5842

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Yang Mei  
V.  
MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE

CERTIFICATION

To Whom It May Concern:

This is to certify that this petition for rehearing is presented in  
Good faith and not for delay ,and to substantial grounds not previously  
Presented that our family with four kids living in second floor is  
substantial ground not subject to vacant building auction .

Under penalties of perjury, we declare that all the statements in this  
Petition for the rehearing are true, correct and accurate to the best of  
Our knowledge and belief.

Yang Mei

Signature of Yang Mei

12/15/2020

Date

Yuhuan Luo

Signature of Yuhuan Luo

12/15/2020

Date

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

December 1, 2020

Yang Mei  
405 E. Patapsco Ave.  
Baltimore, MD 21225

RE: Mei v. Mayor and City Counsel of Baltimore  
No: 20-5842

Dear Ms. Mei:

The petition for rehearing in the above-entitled case was postmarked November 25, 2020 and received December 1, 2020 and is herewith returned for failure to comply with Rule 44 of the Rules of this Court. The petition must briefly and distinctly state its grounds and must be accompanied by a certificate stating that the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

Please correct and resubmit as soon as possible. Unless the petition is submitted to this Office in corrected form within 15 days of the date of this letter, the petition will not be filed. Rule 44.6.

Sincerely,  
Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
By:

Redmond K. Barnes  
(202) 479-3022

Enclosures