

No. 20-5755

ORIGINAL

FILED

SEP 08 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

Joseph Lee Jones - Petitioner
(Your name)

✓ 5

Google, LLC, inc - Respondent

ON petition For AWRst of CERTIORARI TO

U.S. District Court (Topeka) and Denver 10th circuit
(name of court THAT LAST RULED on merits of your case)
petition for writ of certiorari

Joseph Lee Jones (aka JL-2076)
your name

Douglas Co. Jail

Address

3601 E. 25th

Lawrence, Kansas 66046

Question(s) presented

①st Can Three strikes Provision in 28 U.S.C. 1415(g) in forma pauperis (IFP) status violate The Right of access to courts, because it is part of the right of petition protected by the First Amendment, and/or also free speech.

②nd The inability to Appeal Rulings and Judgements in this situation where Claims of Judicial misconduct and Failures to sanction google Attorney For lies Does This:

(A) Leaves only U.S. Supreme Court as a Forum to Address BLACK box Society behaviors by Google to close Google plus to the public and Charge money to further Access google plus via Gsuite, google play apps and applications, specifically IEEE-Collabratec®

(B) Leaves only U.S. Supreme Courts power to police Human Rights violations of Ineffective Remedies of Law as the European Council Requires of NON-STATE actors and Auditors of Artificial Intelligent Systems

③rd the inability to ReFile case in shavree County Court Due to Covid-19 closing, and the Defendants Assertion that Cases Can ONLY Be Filed in SANTA CLARA California Federal Court, As is NOW (as of 2017) (see google terms of Service) (tos)

(A) don't Apply to KSA SO-638^{(a) Jurisdiction}
^{(b) venue}

(B) So the Retro Active application on the (tos) to accounts created prior to 2017, circumvent and Forgo Rights and Remedies that the (KCIPA) Kansas Consumer Protect Act provide as unwaivable

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ ALL parties appear in the Caption of the Case on the Cover page.
- ☐ ALL parties don't appear in the Caption of the Case on the Cover page. A List of all parties to the proceeding in the Court whose Judgement is the Subject of this petition is as follows:

Related Cases

(see SHAWNEE County District Court Topeka, KS
Case 2020-CV-61)

(See U.S. District Court Topeka Case 5:20-3072-SAC)

(See 10th Circuit Court of Appeals DENVER 20-3087)

(See U.S. Supreme Court Case 19-8857)
5:20-3056-SAC

(See U.S. District Court of Topeka Case 5:20-cr-03177-SAC
5:20-cr-03177-HLT)

Table of Contents

Opinions Below _____ 1

Jurisdiction _____

Constitutional provisions and statutory involved _____

Statement of the case _____

Reasons for granting the writ _____

Conclusion _____

INDEX to Appendices

Appendix A Exhibits

11 B

11 C

11 D

11 E

11 F

TABLE of Authorities cited

Case	page number
Council of Europe MST-NET (2016) 96 Rev 3 Final Effective Remedy Article 13 of the ECHR	

Council of EU May 2019 10 steps to protect human rights
9 - Remedies

Statutes and Rules

- ①. Rule 20, 28 USC, § 1651(a)
- ②. Rule 19, 28 USC, § 1254(2)
- ③. Rule 18
- ④. Rule 17 other Jurisdiction

other
28 USC 1251

Springer, link Article

Criminal Justice, artificial intelligent systems and

Human Rights (published February 20th 2020.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from Federal Courts:

the date on which the U.S. Court of Appeals decided my case was 07-08-2020

☐ No petition for Rehearing was timely filed

☐ A timely petition was denied
Appeal on following:

and a copy of the order Appendix

☐ A extension of time to file

The Jurisdiction of this
Court is invoked under
28 U.S.C, 1254(1)

STATEMENT OF the case

private sector actors (ie, Google) have a Ethical duty to Adherence to open Source/open access A.I. developement, not Just in America, but inter nationally,

The Closing of Google plus and Mr. Jones' URL www.google.com/+JoeJones21176 and its continued profiting From Mr. Jones DATA, by requiring IEEE-Collabrate[®] users to connect to Joseph Jones #427041 Robotics Development plat Form by Google+ tools and apps, without contract or payment to Mr. Jones.

U.S. Court Topeka never Ruled on the Google terms of Service Requiring users to bring Litigation ONLY in SANTA Clara Federal Court to which would Require in Forma pauper 1915(g)

So In this way Google has blocked effective Remedy of Law to Address Artificial Intelligence human Rights issues.

And there fore The Google terms of Use Violate the First Amendment of the Constitution and other inter national human Rights.

Lastly Google is a Black Box Society that is the worlds biggest Artificial Intelligence due to its world wide Connected Servers,

Black box Society Algorithms have the power to delete and block information on the internet,

Mr. Jones is a independant Freelance A.I. Researcher and Fractal math Developer with a Neuro morphic computer model.

constitutional AND STATUTORY provisions involved

- ① (K.C.P.A.) the Kansas Consumer Protection Act 50-623 et. seq.
specifically K.S.A. 50-638(a) Jurisdiction (b) venue
to bring cases in Shawnee County District Court Topeka, KS.
- ② First Amendment of the United States,
Freedom of expression, thought, religion, assembly, association
- ③ Article 21 and 22 of the ICCPR
- ④ Article 18 and 19 of the ICCPR
and 18 6 F L DHR
- ⑤ (see accessnow.org)
Human Rights in the AGE of A.I.,
November, 2018
- ⑥ 28 U.S.C. § 1254 (2) (or) Rule 19,
procedure on Certified Question
- ⑦ Rule 18, Appeal from U.S. District Court
(or) Rule 10 Review on certiorari
- ⑧ Rule 11, 28 USC 2101 (e).
Immediate determination of
(IFP) in forma pauperis

Reasons For GRANTING the Petition

① The Transmaking project of the European Council have Funded these Adults of Open Access, inter National Research and its my Duty to Effectively Shape and Co-CREATE this stuff,

② The 2017 Google (Terms of Service) has a FLAW, specifically the inability to bring legal Actions, by ALL Auditors whom are protected Citizens even prisoners,

③, I have Asked Attorney General Derickschmidt of Kansas, attention the Consumer Protection Division to give a Amicus CURIE brief and mediate my KCPA claims and they say they are not my Lawyer and Don't do their Job to enForce the (KCPA),

Conclusion

Where Fore Mr. Jones has No Effective Remedy of Law, the U.S. Supreme Court should grant Cert and/or/also Review or whatever is available

The petition for writ of Certiorari should be granted.

Respect Fully Submitted
by Joseph Lee Jones
Date: 07-15-20