

20-5703

No.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court

FILE

AUG 11

C

JAMES L. MILLER, — PETITIONER/
(Your Name) EX PARTE

vs.

TASHIMA BYBEE, and — RESPONDENT(S)
COURT REPORTERS, PICTURES JUDGES.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OF 9th C.C.A. case no. #19-56385

NONE

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JAMES L. MILLER,
(Your Name)

P.O. Box #122
(Address)

Inglewood, California 90306
(City, State, Zip Code)

(323) 399-5680
(Phone Number)

(1)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED:

[S]upreme [C]ourt [Rule] 19.5 Procedure on a
[C]ertified [Q]uestion. James I. Miller, petitioner
ex parte "would like to [K]now" that the [merits] "infra."
p. 11, lines 1-28, thru p. 12, lines 1-19 (Mink v. Kelm, 26 App. Div.
184, 41, N.Y.S. 2d, 769, 771) of the Cases (infra p. 12, lines 1-28,
thru p. 16, lines 1-6) are of 1944 importance, 28 U.
S.C.A. § 1231 (Federal Question) between citizen of the
"different" state [C]alifornia claiming (U.S. Constitu-
tion, Article 3, section (2), Arag. v. Tachibana & Hawai-
i (1991) 778 F. Supp. 1535; 28 U.S.C.A. § 1232 (2) Ver-
sity of [C]itizenship "without" [trial] or [merits]-
[Harlow], v. Penn. R. Co., W.D. Pa., (1966) F. Supp. 725
; and [does] the [original] [jurisdiction] belong
to the [S]upreme [C]ourt or and the [C]ourt of [A]-
ppeals for the state of [C]alifornia Second App-
ellate District Div. Two (appeal no. # B224254), Los
Angeles Superior Ct. no. # BC4116582 "under (28 U.S.C.A.
§ 1251 (b) (2) (3); 28 U.S.C.A. § 1254 (2); 28 U.S.C.A.
§ 1257 (a); and "with" 28 U.S.C.A. § 1291 (Tren-
ter, v. Monahan, No. D. 200, N.W. 2d, 50, 53, Supreme
Court Rule 24 (a); S.C. Rule 14.1 (a); S.C. Rule 33.1.?

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;
LIST OF PARTIES;

☒ All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceedings in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows;

(1.) Tashima, Bybee, and Watford, Circuit Judges.
Appeal No. #19-56385; D.C. No. #CV19-8909-PSG-JPR.

(2.) Virginia A. Phillip, individual and official capacity;
Doe's.; D.C. No. #CV19-8909-PSG-JPR
Central District of California, Los Angeles; ;

(3.) Phillips S. Gutierrez, et. al.
D.C. No. #CV19-8909-PSG-JPR; Central Dist.
of California, Los Angeles;

(4.) Jean P. Rosenbluth, et. al.; Central Dist. of
California, Los Angeles; D.C. No. #CV19-8909

(5.) Tashima, Bybee, and Watford, Circuit Judges,
Appeal No. #19-56319; D.C. No. #CV19-8910-AB-KS

(6.) Andre Birnyte Jr. et. al. D.C. No. #CV19-8910-AB-
-KS; Central Dist. of California, Los Angeles;

(7.) Scott S. Harris, Doe's; Central Dist. of Calif-
ornia, Los Angeles; D.C. No. #CV19-8910-AB-
KS,

(8.) Karen Stevenson, et. al.; Central Dist. of Calif-
ornia, Los Angeles; D.C. No. #CV19-8910-AB-
KS,

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;

COURT LIST OF PARTIES.

- (9.) Virginia A. Phillips, D.C. No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN
[50] Central Dist. of California, Los Angeles;
- (10.) Christina A. Snyder, D.C. No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN
[15] [48] Central Dist. of California, Los Angeles;
- (11.) George H. King, D.C. No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN
[31] [32] and [33] Central Dist. of California
Los Angeles;
- (12.) Alfred T. Goodwin, Jerome Faris, and Michelle
T. Friedland, 9th Cir. Judges, Appeal No. # 14-56597;
- (13.) Arthur Nakazato, D.C. No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN
[14] [15] Central Dist. of California, Los Angeles;
- (14.) Nru Van Dam, et. al., Appeal No. # 14-56597,
C.C.A. 9th, Vol. 110.1;
- (15.) Diarmuid F. O'Scannlain, William A. Fletcher,
and Consuelo M. Callahan, 9th Cir. Judges, Appeal
No. # 12-56895;
- (16.) William C. Conby, and Richard R. Clifton; Central
Dist. of California, Los Angeles; Appeal No. # 12-
56895;
- (17.) Amir Kashani, et. al., defendant; D.C. No. #
12-5649-CAS-AN; Central Dist. of California,
Los Angeles; Appeal No. # 12-56895; with U.S.
Supreme Ct. No. # 13-7517; and State California
Appeal No. # B224254; and L.A. Superior Ct. No. #
B1416582.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;
CONT. - LIST OF PARTIES.

(18.) L.A.C. & U.S.C. Medical Center, Gen. Hosp., et al., defendants; A.C. No. #12-5649-CAS-AN; Central Dist. of California - Los Angeles; Appeal No. #12-56895; with U.S. Supreme Ct. No. #13-7517; and State California - Appeal No. #B224254; and L.A. Superior Ct. No. #BC-416582.

(19.) Ronald M. Gould, Richard C. Tallman, and Mary H. Murguia, Circuit Judges - U.S. Supreme Ct. - Case No. #18-6106; with Scott S. Harris and 10 other Clerks for "petition for writ of certiorari" and petition for Rehearing with 39 Clerks.

(20.) Alfred T. Goodwin, Jerome Farris, and Michelle T. Friedland, Circuit Judges - U.S. Supreme Ct. - Case No. #15-6432; with Scott S. Harris and 10 other Clerks - for petition for a writ of certiorari.

(21.) Diarmuid F. O'Scannlain, William A. Fletcher, and Consuelo M. Callahan, Circuit Judges - U.S. Supreme Ct. case No. #13-7517; with Scott S. Harris, 10 other Clerks - for petition for a writ of certiorari; and petition for Rehearing on the "merits" with 39 "clerks" which was "concealed" from the Supreme Court Judges (Supra p. 3, lines 11-27).

(22.) MOLLY C. RUYER, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS -

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;

Court List of Parties:

cov. (22) Clerk - 9th C.C.A. case No. #19-56385;

(23) JOSEPH WILLIAMS, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS -
Deputy Clerk - 9th C.C.A. case No. #19-56385.

RELATED CASES

(a.) Los Angeles County Superior Court - No. #BC416582

James L. Miller, plaintiff/pro-se vs. Amir Kashani & L.A.C.

& L.A.C. & U.S. Medical Center - Gen. Hosp. Medical -

Malpractice - judgment on Mar. 24, 2010.

(b.) Court of Appeals for State of California - Second

Appellate Dist. Div. TWO - No. #B224254 - James L.

Miller, plaintiff/appellant/pro-se - vs. - Amir Kashani, et.

al, defendants/respondents - Medical Malpractice - judgment

on Mar. 24, 2010.

(c.) U.S. Fed. Dist. Ct. - Central Dist. of California - Western -

Los Angeles No. #CV12-5649-CA3-AH - James L. Miller,

plaintiff/pro-se - vs. Amir Kashani, et, al, defendants

Civil Action For Deprivation of Rights - docket No. #1 -

30 - judgment(s) 06-21-2013; James L. Miller, plaintiff/

pro-se - vs. Christina A. Snyder, et, al, doc. No. #31 - 33.

Civil Action For Deprivation of Rights - judgment 09-19-14;

James L. Miller, plaintiff/pro-se - vs. - George H. King, et

al, defendants - Notice of Motion to Compel an Officer of the

United States to Perform his (or her) duty - judgment 06-01-12

doc. No. #48; James L. Miller, plaintiff/pro-se - vs. - Christina

A. Snyder, et, al - doc. No. #50 - Civil Action (appx. H.p.)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TABLE OF CONTENTS, Page

OPINIONS BELOW. 7 (a) (b)

JURISDICTION. 9

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED. 10

STATEMENT OF THE CASE. 11-12

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT/PETITION. 18-19

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI. 20-21

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED. 1

LIST OF PARTIES. 2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED. 2

CONCLUSION. 22

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX-A: "Copy" of "OPINION" of the Court of Appeals of the

State of California-Second Appellate Dist. Div. Two. 23

APPENDIX-B: Complaint for Civil Action for Deprivation of

Rights (1.-22, pgs.) with "I. F. P. (1.-4, pgs.). 24

APPENDIX-C: Order of C.C.A. 9th No. 19-56385, Apr. 13,

2020. 25

APPENDIX-D: Statement that appeal should go forward with -

(Exh.-A). 26-36

APPENDIX-E: C.C.A. 9th - "Form 4" appeal No. 19-56385, 41.-49.

APPENDIX-F: "C.C.A. 9th Order" No. 19-56385, Dec. 3, 2019, 50-51

APPENDIX-G: Table of Authority cited of the "Writ/Petition

Cont. From page (8). 52-54

(1a)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.), 7 7 7 7 Page

APPENDIX-A, "ORDERED" RE: FILING MATERIALS -	7 (D)
ON APRIL 20, 2020 (EXEMPTION)	55-56
RELATED CASES, (CONT. FROM PAGE 8.)	57

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED;

CASES;

"Arai, v. Tachibana N. Hawai-i, (1996) 118, F. Supp.
1535"; (p.

Harlow, v. Penn. R. Co., W.D. Pa., (1960) 180, F.
Supp. 725. (p.

Doscloff, v. Fraser, D.C. Mont., (1965.) 246, F.
Supp. 211. (p.

Cannon, v. United Ins. Co. of America, D.C.S.C.
(1973.) 352, F. Supp. 1212. (p.

Kalina, v. Fletcher, U.S. Wash., (1997.) 118, S.
Ct. 502, 522. (p.

Picking, v. Pennsylvania, R. Co., C.C.A. 3. Pa.,
(1945.) 151, F.2d. 240. (p.

Kenyatta, v. Moore, S. D. Miss., (1985.) 625
F. Supp. 224. (p.

Co. Officers Assn., v. Stewart, E.D. Tex., (-
1995.) 903, F. Supp. 1029. (p.

Trenger, v. Mongeon, N.D. 200, N.W., 2d. 50, 53-
Cp.

Japan Gas Lighter Assn., v. Rowson Corp, D.C.N.
J. 257, F. Supp. 219, 224. (p.

Atkins, v. Canning, D.C., Okl., 415, F. Supp.
186, 188. (p.

Wagner, v. Metropolitan Nashville Airport Auth-
ority, C.A. Tenn., 772, F.2d (appendix-G.C.), line 17

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is 19-56382.

☐ reported at N/A; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is CV19-8909-PBG-JPR.

☐ reported at N/A; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Court of Appeals, State of California - 2nd Appellate Dist. Two appears at Appendix A to the petition and is B224254.

CV12-5649-043-AA; CV19-8909-PBG-JPR; 19-56385
☐ reported at N/A; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Apr. 13, 2020, case No. #19-56385

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Nov. 24, 2011.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A, appeal No. # B224254, 2nd Cir. Ct. of Appeals - For State of California - Second Appellate Dist. Div. 2

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a), 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (a), (b)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI:

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

United States (U.S.) Constitution (CONSY.) Amendment (Amend.)

(1) U.S. CONSY. 1st Amend.;

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the rights of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government

for a redress of grievances (No. #5 CV 119198

(a) Complaint for Deprivation of Civil Rights - with

(b) Medical Malpractice; and (c) Aggravated -

Assault; "with" case no. #6-CV12-1660; #

7-CV12-3137; #8-CV12-3601; and "with" #9

Filed (CV12-5649-CA8-AD) on June 29, 2012

"with (Summons)" [1]; Amend. Complaint

for Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights Filed

(July 31, 2012) [2]; "with" Answer to Order to

Show Cause, Order by Magistrate Judge Arthur

Nakazato, on Aug. 02, 2012 [8] [9]; "Notice

of Appeal" Filed (Dec. 15, 2012) Appeal No. #

12-56895 [19] "with" [R] Response to C.C.A. 9th

[O.S.C.] and [A] Appellant [I] Informal [B] Brief -

Appeals Doc. #11 for 9th C.C.A., on Feb. 02, 2013

; Complaint for Civil Action for Deprivation of

Rights "Lodge" on Aug. 05, 2014 [31] case name

James L. Miller, pro se v. Christina A. Snyder,

(11)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI:

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

def., case No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN [31]; Complaint for Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights - case No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN, case name James L. Miller, pro-se v. Christina A. Snyder, def. "Lodge" on Aug. 27, 2014 [32] and "Complaint for Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights with" [Notice of Motion and Motion] for New Trial, case name James L. Miller, pro-se v. Christina A. Snyder, def., case No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN, "Lodge" Sept. 08, 2014 [33]; [Notice of Appeal] "Filed" on Sept. 30, 2014 [35] [Appeal No. # 14-56597 [38]; "Filed" [Appellant] [Informal [Brief] on Mar. 02, 2015 - Appeal No. # 8 - of the 9th C.C.A.; "with" 9 copies of the "Response" to C.C.A. 9th O.S.C. [10]; [Notice of Motion and Motion for Action to Compel an Officer of the United States to perform his (or her) duty, "Received" but not "Filed" on May 30, 2017, case name James L. Miller, pro-se v. George H. Kinn, def., case No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN [48]; [Complaint for Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights "Received" but not "Filed" on Sep. 20, 2017, case name James L. Miller, pro-se v. Christina A. Snyder, def., case No. # CV12-5649-CAS-AN [50]; [Notice of Appeal] "Filed" on Oct. 11, 2017 [52]; [Appeal Court - No. # 17-56567 [54]; [Appellant] [Informal [Brief] - and "1" "F" "P" "Filed" on Dec. 26, 2017 "C.C.A. 9th Dec. No. # [3.] [4.]; [Statement] that [Appeal] should

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED
90 "Forward" [Filed Feb. 05, 2018 "C.C.A. 9th" No. 6
No. # [6]; [M]otion for Leave to Proceed in Forma
Pauperis [F.] [P.] CV11-9198; CV12-1660; CV
12-3137; CV12-3601 [all "denied" but did in "
Fact" [to] [and] "Filed" CV12-5649-CAS-AN. "Com-
plaint for Deprivation of Civil Rights on June 29, 2012
and Amended Complaint for Civil Action for Dep-
rivation of Rights, case name James L. Miller, pro-
se v. Amir Kashani, et al. case no. # CV12-5649-
CAS-AN. "Filed" on 07-31-2012 [1] [7]; [F]iled -
Complaint for Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights on
Oct. 16, 2019, case name James L. Miller, plaintiff v. Virginia
A. Phillips, Doe's defendants, case no. # CV19-8909-PSG-
J.P.R.; C.C.A. 9th Appeal No. # 19-56382; "with" "Filed"
Complaint for Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights
- also on Oct. 16, 2019, case name James L. Miller, plain-
tiff v. Scott S. Harris, Doe's defendant, case no. # CV
19-8910-AB-KS; C.C.A. 9th Appeal No. # 19-56319
I.F.P.'s - and Affidavits (Form 4's): "Filed" U.S.
Supreme Court "Petition for A. Writ of Certiorari" (Sup-
reme Ct. case no. # 18-6106) case name James L. Miller,
petitioner v. (Ronald M. Gould, Richard C. Tallman and
Mary H. Marquis); "Filed" U.S. Supreme Court "Peti-
tion for A. Writ of Certiorari" (Supreme Ct. case no. #
15-6432) case name James L. Miller, petitioner v.

(13)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

Alfred T. Goodwin, Jerome Farris, and Michelle T. Friedland); "Filed" U.S. Supreme Court - "Petition For Writ of Certiorari" (Supreme Ct. case No. #18-7517) Case name James L. Miller, petitioner v. (Diarmuid F. O'Seawnaip, William H. Fletcher, and Consuelo, M. Callahan), under U.S. Supreme Court case No. #18-6106 "Notice (Waiver)" on Oct. 26, 2018; and "Motion" for "Rehearing" on the merits on Petition for a Writ of Certiorari "Filed" on Dec. 21, 2018;

(2) U.S. Const. 5th Amend.;

No person shall be held to answer for a capital or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or Naval Forces, or in the Militia, when in actual Service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person (citizen) be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life (conspiracy), liberty (the invasion of privacy by "individual" on the "internet communication"), or property ("document") of "Medical Malpractice" cases - CV08-4945; CV08-6031; CV08-7396; CV09-3897; and all properties in U.S. Const. 1st Amend (infra p. 11 lines 1 - 28, thru p. 14, lines 1 - 22), without due process of law "infra p. 11, lines 1 - 28, thru p. 12, lines

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1.-18.), nor shall private property be taken for public use (Supra. p. 11. lines 1.-28. Thur. p. 14. lines 1.-22), without just compensation.

(3) U.S. Const. 7th Amend.;

(a) Suits at common law (Supra. p. 11. lines 1.-28. Thur. p. 14. lines 1.-25), where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars (Supra. p. 11. lines 1.-28. Thur. p. 14. lines 1.-25), the right of trial by jury shall be preserved (Supra. p. 11. lines 1.-28. Thur. p. 14. lines 1.-25), and no fact tried by jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States than according to the rules of the common-law.

(4) U.S. Const. 9th Amend.;

The enumeration in the Constitution (U.S. Federal District Court - Central Dist. of California - Western Div. - Los Angeles; U.S. Court of Appeals Ninth Circuit; The U.S. Supreme Court - Office of the Clerk's) of certain rights (Bill of Rights and Civil Rights) shall not be construed to deny or disparage (Supra. p. 11. lines 1.-28. Thur. p. 14. lines 1.-12. and "Infra." p. 13. lines 23.-28. Thur. p. 15. lines 1.-18. others ("other - such like" James L. Miller "Citizen") retained by the people (Citizen).

(5) U.S. Const. 14th sec. 1. Amend.;

All persons (James L. Miller, born (July 22,

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

1955 - Los Angeles, California) or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof (California), are citizen of the United States and of the State (California) wherein they reside, no State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge (6b Supra" p. 11, lines 1-28. 4th p. 16, lines 1-18; and "infra" p. 16, lines 1-18) the privileges or immunities of (James L. Miller,) citizen (by way of "internet communication system") of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person (nature) of life, liberty, or property (Supra. p. 11, lines 1-28. 4th p. 16, lines 1-18) without due process of law (Supra. p. 11, lines 1-28. 4th p. 16, lines 1-18); nor deny to any person (citizen) within its jurisdiction (California, United States) the equal protection of the laws.

(b.) STATUTORY;

(a.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1251(b)(2)(3).;

(b.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1257(a).;

(c.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1291.;

(d.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1331.;

(e.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1332(a).;

(1b).

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

(F.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 2072.;

Finality;

"RULES" OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

(G.) Rule 29 (1.) (2.); Filing and Service of Documents

; Special Notifications; Corporate Listing;

(H.) Rule 33 (1.) (2.); Document Preparation; Booklet For-
mat; 8 1/2" by 11" inch Paper Format;

(I.) Rule 39.;

Proceedings In Forma Pauperis;

(J.) Rule 44 (1.) (2.) (3.);

Rehearing;

"West Annotated California Codes; Civil Code of Pro-
cedure;

(K.) C.C.P. § 391 (b.) (1-4).;

Definitions.

(L.) Rule 13.1 and 13.3.;

Review on Certiorari; Time for Petitioning.

(M.) Rule 14.1 (b. i-iii.);

Content of a Petition For a Writ of Certiorari.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;
STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On April 18, 2020 James L. Miller, plaintiff/appellant "received" a "response" from the U.S. Court of Appeals - for the Ninth Circuit, case name James L. Miller, plaintiff/appellant v. Virginia A. Phillips, individual and official capacity; Doe's defendants / appellees - appeal No. # 19-56385; D.C. No. # CV 19-8909-P.S.G.-J.P.R. (Appendix - C p. 25.).

Before; Tashima, Bybee, and Wayford, Circuit Judges, said the District Court certified that this appeal is not taken in good-faith and has denied appellant (James L. Miller) leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis, "which is a [violation] of the U.S. Const. Supra p. 11, lines 1-28, 4th p. 17, lines 1-19." (Appendix - C). On Dec. 3, 2019, the U.S. Appeal Court ordered appellant (James L. Miller, plaintiff) to explain in writing why this appeal should not be dismissed as frivolous ("must see" Appendix - D, E, F.).

The Circuit Judges Tashima, Bybee, and Wayford, [S]tated upon a review of the record and responses to the court (U.S. Federal District Court - D.C. No. # CV 19-8909-P.S.G.-J.P.R. - Central Dist. of California - Western Div. Los Angeles) December 3, 2019, Order (Appendix - F, D, and E), they concluded that this appeal ("201934 Phillip & Gutierrez, et al.") is frivolous ("which" [is] a [violation] of plaintiff/appellant James-

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;

STATEMENT OF THE CASE, CONT.

L. Miller, "Supra, p. 11, lines 1-28, 4th p. 16, lines 1-19." "Without" the [review of the [Complaint] for Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights (Appendix-B)] Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis with Declaration (Affidavit) in Support, on Oct. 16, 2019, case no. #CV19-8909-PSC-JPR, "which" is in [violation] of Appellant James L. Miller, pro-se "Constitutional Rights (Supra, p. 11, lines 1-28, 4th p. 16, lines 1-18.)" with "Certification and Notice of Interested Parties."

The "Notice of Appeal" [was] "filed" on Nov. 12, 2019, case no. #CV19-8909-PSC-JPR, "with" motion and Affidavit for Leave to Appeal in Forma Pauperis, and Order on Motion for Leave to Appeal in Forma Pauperis (Appendix-I, p. 118-126.) by the "response" of 9th C.A. case no. #19-56385 [violated] Appellant James L. Miller, pro-se "Constitutional Rights (Supra, p. 11, lines 1-28, 4th p. 16, lines 1-18.)"

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI;

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION:

"Without" correction there will be "great" (exceeding the common or ordinary measure of the standard (conformity to established practice) Standard Accident Ins. Co. v. Standard Surety & Casualty Co. N.C. D.C. 53, F.2d. 119, 126. (Thomson v. Anderson, 107, 114th, 331, 153, P.2d. 665, 666.) importance "Li In justice the "withholding of evidence (United States v. Perkins, 126, F.2d. 799, 2, Commonwealth v. Russo, 177, Pa. Super. 470, 111, A.2d. 359, 2, or "denial of justice (Silver v. U.S. 7, C.C. 305, 324.) "Fraud is deception practiced by the party (M. & A. Elec. Power Co.-op. v. True, Mo. App. 480, S.W. 2d. 310, 314.) "Li In justice is the fault is a breach of a duty imposed by law (Angelo Pavone Enterprises, Inc. v. South Cent. Bell Telephone Co., La. App. 4, Cir. 459, So. 2d. 1223, 1226.) or "error (false conception or application of the law (State ex rel. Smith v. Smith, 197, or 16, 252, P.2d. 550, 555.) of the court; so grave that, if not "rectified" would result in "denial" of "fundamental" [due] [of] process (Supra. p. 11, lines 1-28; Thur. p. 17, lines 1-19.) Roberts v. State, Ind., 492, N. E. 2d. 310, 313. [S]afeguards for the "protection" of "individual" [rights] "subject-matter" (Medical Malpractice" Los Angeles County Superior Court No. # BC 416 582; Court of Appeals for the State of Calif. or via - Second Appellate Dist. Div. Two - Appeal No. # B224254; U.S. Fed. Dist. Ct. No. # CV12-5649-CAS-

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION-Cont.

AN] of the Sup (Pennyroyer, v. Neff, 95, U.S. 723, 24, L. Ed. 565.), [Due] process of law (have the "right" = [Bill of Rights] and [Civil Rights] of contro-
verting by "proof" every [material] fact (plead-
ing and practice "Haman, v. Pacific Power & Light Co.,
10 Wash. App. 785, 520, P. 2d. 481, 484.); "enforce and
protect his (James L. Miller, pro-se, / plaintiff / petit-
fender / appellant" Supra. p. 11, lines 1.-28, Thur. p. 17,
lines 1.-19,") "rights before a court (Kazubowski,
v. Kazubowski, 42, Ill. 2d. 405, 259, N.E. 2d. 282, 290,
and it forbids condemnation (U.S. Const. 5th Amend.)
nor shall any State deprive any person (James L.
Miller) of life, liberty, or property ("Supra. p. 11, lines 1.
-28, Thur. p. 17, lines 1.-19) or of any "rights" [enjoy-
ed] him (James L. Miller) Pet. v. Penn. La. App. 180,
So. 2d. 66, 69, "I shall have reasonable and substan-
tial relation to the object being sought (U.S. v.
Smith, D.C. Iowa, 249, F. Supp. 515, 516); "Fund-
amental" [Equality] of [Due] process ("Supra. 11,
lines 1.-28, Thur. p. 14, lines 1.-28) is the opportunity
to be "heard (Trinity Episcopal Corp., v. Romney, 10,
C.N.Y., 287, F. Supp. 1044, 1084.); "Due process" means
fundamental fairness and substantial - of real worth and
importance (U.S. Const.) Seale, v. Skelly Oil Co., 145, Kan.,
216, 65, P. 2d. 553, 554).

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

[S]upra, pp. 20.-21. lines (1.-28.).

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES L. MILLER
James L. Miller, pro-se

Date: Aug. 13, 2020