

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 23 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANGEL OSORNIO,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES
INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 20-15924

D.C. No. 2:20-cv-00505-DMF

District of Arizona,
Phoenix

ORDER

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, SCHROEDER and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

This court has reviewed the notices of appeal filed April 20, 2020 and May 11, 2020 in the above-referenced district court docket pursuant to the pre-filing review order entered in docket No. 19-80039. Because this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal, it shall not be permitted to proceed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291; *In re San Vicente Med. Partners Ltd.*, 865 F.2d 1128, 1131 (9th Cir. 1989) (order) (magistrate judge order not final or appealable); *Serine v. Peterson*, 989 F.2d 371, 372-73 (9th Cir. 1993) (magistrate judge's findings and recommendations not appealable; premature appeal not cured by subsequent entry of final judgment by district court); *see also In re Thomas*, 508 F.3d 1225 (9th Cir. 2007). Appeal No. 20-15924 is therefore dismissed.

This order, served on the district court for the District of Arizona, shall constitute the mandate of this court.

No motions for reconsideration, rehearing, clarification, stay of the mandate, or any other submissions shall be filed or entertained.

DISMISSED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 24 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANGEL OSORNIO,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 20-15801

D.C. No.
3:20-cv-08054-JJT-MHB
District of Arizona,
Prescott

ORDER


Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, SCHROEDER and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

This court has reviewed the notice of appeal filed April 20, 2020 in the above-referenced district court docket pursuant to the pre-filing review order entered in docket No. 19-80039. Because the appeal is so insubstantial as to not warrant further review, it shall not be permitted to proceed. *See In re Thomas*, 508 F.3d 1225 (9th Cir. 2007). Appeal No. 20-15801 is therefore dismissed.

This order, served on the district court for the District of Arizona, shall constitute the mandate of this court.

No motions for reconsideration, rehearing, clarification, stay of the mandate, or any other submissions shall be filed or entertained.

DISMISSED.



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 24 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANGEL OSORNIO,

No. 20-15817

Plaintiff-Appellant,

D.C. No. 3:20-cv-08024-DJH
District of Arizona,
Prescott

v.

MOUNTAIN HEART,

ORDER

Defendant-Appellee.

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, SCHROEDER and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.


This court has reviewed the notice of appeal filed April 20, 2020 in the above-referenced district court docket pursuant to the pre-filing review order entered in docket No. 19-80039. Because the appeal is so insubstantial as to not warrant further review, it shall not be permitted to proceed. *See In re Thomas*, 508 F.3d 1225 (9th Cir. 2007). Appeal No. 20-15817 is therefore dismissed.

This order, served on the district court for the District of Arizona, shall constitute the mandate of this court.

No motions for reconsideration, rehearing, clarification, stay of the mandate, or any other submissions shall be filed or entertained.

DISMISSED.

MF/Pro Se



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 23 2020

ANGEL OSORNIO,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES
INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 20-15924

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

D.C. No. 2:20-cv-00505-DMF
District of Arizona,
Phoenix

ORDER

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, SCHROEDER and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

This court has reviewed the notices of appeal filed April 20, 2020 and May 11, 2020 in the above-referenced district court docket pursuant to the pre-filing review order entered in docket No. 19-80039. Because this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal, it shall not be permitted to proceed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291; *In re San Vicente Med. Partners Ltd.*, 865 F.2d 1128, 1131 (9th Cir. 1989) (order) (magistrate judge order not final or appealable); *Serine v. Peterson*, 989 F.2d 371, 372-73 (9th Cir. 1993) (magistrate judge's findings and recommendations not appealable; premature appeal not cured by subsequent entry of final judgment by district court); *see also In re Thomas*, 508 F.3d 1225 (9th Cir. 2007). Appeal No. 20-15924 is therefore dismissed.