

20-5557

No. 20-1252

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

AUG 17 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Earl Crownhart — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Strive (Mesa Developmental Services) — RESPONDENT(S)
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Earl Crownhart
(Your Name)

1250 Biggk Cliff Apartments
(Address)

Apt 11

Grand Jct Co, 8501
(City, State, Zip Code)

(970) 986-7959
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

on July 21, 2020 The United States Court of Appeals Had Matter over A Strive (Mesa Developmental Services) Case. Appellant Earl Crownhart Filed on July 8, 2020 A Jurisdiction to Show Cause Challenging Claims That Strive Violated Appellant(s) Eighth Amendment Cruel And Unusual Punishment Claim. And Fourteenth Amendment Mail Property Due Process Claim see 28 U.S.C. § 1331. The Court lacked Due Process with in the Jurisdiction on MAY 28, 2020 Appellant Earl Crownhart Filed A timely Responce Before JULY 30, 2020 MR Crownhart Responce Had to Be filed Responce Before JULY 29, 2020 Under time less Filing OF Statue OF limations See 2254(i) Case(s) Appellant Crownhart was timely Filed Under Statue OF limations low The United States court OF APPEALS Dismissed Due process Under The Fourteenth Amendment.

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Earl Clowhart v. Strive (Mesa
Developmental Services)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	439 F.3d 1236, 1239	1
JURISDICTION	28 U.S.C. § 2107(a)	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	28 U.S.C. § 1331	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	28, 2020 case filed in U.S. dist Court	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	Exhausted judicial review	
CONCLUSION	respectfully submitted on 8/17/2020	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Filed in The United States district court
APPENDIX B	Filed in The United States Court of Appeals
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

United States v. Winston

172 U.S. 303 (1899) The United States Supreme Court ruled Section three of so called Defined Marriage violates Fifth Amendment Equal Protection laws.

STATUTES AND RULES

28 U.S.C. 1331 United States Constitution laws And Treatys of The United States.

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix F. 3 d to the petition and is

☒ reported at Briscoe, Hartz And Matheson; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix F. 2 d to the petition and is

☒ reported at Lewis T. Babcock; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 7/21/2020.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

under 28 U.S.C. 1331 The Fourteenth Amendment was Invoked under 28 U.S.C. 2254 (1) And the Judgment under 28 U.S.C. § 2107 (a) was Appealed within (30) thirty Days of Judgment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON MAY 28, 2020 I APPELLANT
Earl Crownhart filed A Case in
United States District Court
Against Stive (Mesa Developmental
Services) For violated my Eighth
Amendment Right To Civil And Unusual
Punishment. And forthwith Amendment
For Mail Property Rights pursuant
To 28 U.S.C. § 1331 Judge Lewis
T. Babcock Dismissed my Complaint

ON JULY 21, 2020 I APPELLANT Earl
Crownhart filed Appeal in United
States Court of Appeals. Judge
Briscoe, HARTZ AND MATHESON
Circuit Judges Dismissed my Appeal
Now seek relief in United States
Supreme Court For review.


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Under Due process of Law Appellant
Earl Crownhart Has Exhausted
Judiciary Review of All Court(s)
And Comes Before The States
Highest U.S. Supreme Court to
Have Civil Rights Be reviewed
To Have Case Reheard And A possible
OVER TURNED.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 8/17/2020