

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Solicitor General

Washington, D.C. 20530

May 12, 2021

Honorable Scott S. Harris Clerk Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Yellen v. Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation, Nos. 20-543 & 20-544 (argued April 19, 2021)

Dear Mr. Harris:

This case, in which oral argument was held on April 19, 2021, concerns whether Alaska Native Corporations (ANCs) are eligible to receive funds made available to tribal governments under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act). The federal petitioner's reply brief stated that in response to other pending litigation, the Department of the Treasury was formulating a new allocation to tribal recipients that could result in lower payments to ANCs. U.S. Reply Br. 23 n.3; see also Tr. of Oral Arg. 30 (stating that the Treasury Department initially allocated "about 6 percent of this 8 billion dollar fund to the ANCs, which is about \$530 million," but that as a result of other litigation, "Treasury is currently in the process of reconsidering the methodology" and "that could impact the amount of funds available to go to the ANCs").

The purpose of this letter is to inform the Court that on April 30, 2021, the Treasury Department completed that process and determined a revised methodology. The Treasury Department's description of its revised methodology is attached. As that description explains, ANCs would receive approximately \$450 million under the revised methodology.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth B. Prelogar Acting Solicitor General

cc: See Attached Service List

20-0543 YELLEN, JANET L., SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE CHEHALIS RESERVATION, ET AL.

> BRADLEY G. BLEDSOE DOWNES 2332 HOWLAND HILL ROAD CRESCENT CITY, CA 95531 949-500-4092 BDOWNES@ELK-VALLEY.COM

LORI BRUNNER
QUINAULT OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL
136 CUITAN STREET
TAHOLAH, WA 98587
360-276-8215
LBRUNER@QUINAULT.ORG

PAUL D. CLEMENT KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 1301 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004 202-389-5000 PAUL.CLEMENT@KIRKLAND.COM

ERIC DAHLSTROM ROTHSTEIN DONATELLI LLP 1501 W. FOUNTAINHEAD PARKWAY SUITE 360 TEMPE,, AZ 85282 EDAHLSTROM@ROTHSTEINLAW.COM NICOLE E. DUCHENEAUX 1404 FORT CROOK ROAD SOUTH BELLEVUE, NE 68005 531-466-8792 NDUCHENEAUX@BIGFIRELAW.COM

JENNIFER BEAR EAGLE PO BOX 1204 PINE RIDGE, SD 57770 605-867-2140 JENNIFERBE@OSTLEGAL.ORG

MELISSA ANN HOLYOAK
UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
UTAH STATE CAPITOL COMPLEX
350 NORTH STATE STREET
SUITE 230
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84114-2320
801-538-9600
MELISSAHOLY-OAK@AGUTAH.GOV

HYLAND HUNT DEUTSCH HUNT PLLC 300 NEW JERSEY AVE., NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20001 202-868-6838 HHUNT@DEUTSCHHUNT.COM

RIYAZ A. KANJI KANJI & KATZEN PLLC 303 DETROIT ST. STE 400 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104 734-769-5400 RKANJI@KANJIKATZEN JONATHAN W. KATCHEN
HOLLAND & HART LLP
1029 W. THIRD AVENUE
SUITE 550
ANCHORAGE, AK 99501
JWKATCHEN@HOLLANDHART.COM

ALLON KEDEM ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP 601 MASSACHUSETTS AVE. NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001 202-942-6234 ALLON.KEDEM@ARNOLDPORTER.COM

NATALIE A. LANDRETH NATIVE AMERICAN RIGHTS FUND 745 WEST 4TH AVENUE SUITE 502 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501 907-276-0680 LANDRETH@NARF.ORG 907-276-2466(Fax)

JAMES H. LISTER
BIRCH, HORTON, BITTNER, & CHEROT, P.C.
1100 CONNECTICUT AVE., NW
1100 SUITE 825
WASHINGTON,, DC 20036
JLISTER@DC.BHB.COM

JEFFREY S RASMUSSEN
PATTERSON EARNHART REAL BIRD &
WILSON LLP
357 S. MCCASLIN BOULEVARD
SUITE 200
LOUISVILLE, CO 80027
303-926-5292
JRASMUSSEN@NATIVELAWGROUP.COM
303-926-5293(Fax)

ALEXANDER B. RITCHIE
16 SAN CARLOS AVENUE
PO BOX 40
SAN CARLOS, AZ 85550
928-475-3348
ALEX.RITCHIE@SCAT-NSN.GOV

MARGARET CROW ROSENFELD FORMAN & ASSOCIATES 4340 REDWOOD HIGHWAY SUITE E352 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903 415-491-2310 MARGARET@GFORMANLAW.COM 415-491-2313(Fax)

COLETTE ROUTEL
MITCHELL HAMLINE SCHOOL OF LAW
875 SUMMIT AVENUE
SAINT PAUL, MN 55105-3076
COLETTE.ROUTEL@MITCHELLHAMLINE.ED
U

ALAN E. SCHOENFELD
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR
LLP
7 WORLD TRADE CENTER
250 GREENWICH STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10007
212-937-7294
ALAN.SCHOENFELD@WILMERHALE.COM

KAIGHN SMITH, JR.
DRUMMOND WOODSUM
84 MARGINAL WAY
SUITE 600
PORTLAND, ME 04101-2480
207.772.3627
KSMITH@DWMLAW.COM

CHRISTINE V. WILLIAMS
OUTLOOK LAW LLC
1016 WEST 6TH AVENUE
SUITE 306
ANCHORAGE, AK 99501
907-258-2200
CHRISTINEWILLIAMS@OUTLOOKLAW.COM

LAURA WOLFF ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL 1031 WEST FOURTH AVENUE, STE. 200 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501 907-269-5100 LAURA.WOLFF@ALASKA.GOV 20-0544 ALASKA NATIVE VILLAGE CORP. ASSN. INC., ET AL. CONFEDERATED TRIBES, ET AL.

> PAUL D. CLEMENT KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 1301 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004 202-389-5000 PAUL.CLEMENT@KIRKLAND.COM

NICOLE E. DUCHENEAUX BIG FIRE LAW & POLICY GROUP, LLP 1404 FORT CROOK ROAD SOUTH BELLEVUE, NE 68005 406-493-4693 NDUCHENEAUX@BIGFIRELAW.COM

RIYAZ A. KANJI KANJI & KATZEN PLLC 303 DETROIT ST. STE 400 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104 734-769-5400 RKANJI@KANJIKATZEN

ALLON KEDEM ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP 601 MASSACHUSETTS AVE. NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001 202-942-6234 ALLON.KEDEM@ARNOLDPORTER.COM JAMES H. LISTER
BIRCH, HORTON, BITTNER, & CHEROT, P.C.
1100 CONNECTICUT AVE., NW
1100 SUITE 825
WASHINGTON,, DC 20036
JLISTER@DC.BHB.COM

JEFFREY SCOTT RASMUSSEN
PATTERSON EARNHART REAL BIRD &
WILSON LLP
1900 PLAZA DRIVE
LOUISVILLE, CO 80027
303-926-5292
JRASMUSSEN@NATIVELAWGROUP.COM

MARGARET CROW ROSENFELD FORMAN & ASSOCIATES 4340 REDWOOD HIGHWAY SUITE E352 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903 415-491-2310 MARGARET@GFORMANLAW.COM 415-491-2313(Fax)

COLETTE ROUTEL
MITCHELL HAMLINE SCHOOL OF LAW
875 SUMMIT AVENUE
SAINT PAUL, MN 55105-3076
COLETTE.ROUTEL@MITCHELLHAMLINE.ED
U

KAIGHN SMITH, JR. DRUMMOND WOODSUM 84 MARGINAL WAY SUITE 600 PORTLAND, ME 04101-2480 207.772.3627 KSMITH@DWMLAW.COM CHRISTINE V. WILLIAMS
OUTLOOK LAW LLC
1016 WEST 6TH AVENUE
SUITE 306
ANCHORAGE, AK 99501
907-258-2200
CHRISTINEWILLIAMS@OUTLOOKLAW.COM

LAURA WOLFF ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL 1031 WEST FOURTH AVENUE, STE. 200 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501 907-269-5100 LAURA.WOLFF@ALASKA.GOV

Coronavirus Relief Fund Allocations to Tribal Governments April 30, 2021

The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the "CARES Act") established the Coronavirus Relief Fund ("CRF"), reserved \$8 billion from the CRF for payments to Tribal governments, and provided that the allocation of payments to Tribal governments is to be determined by the Secretary of the Treasury in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and Tribes. As discussed further below, and in recognition of the recent federal court decisions addressing aspects of the methodology used to make prior payments, Treasury has engaged in a process of reconsidering the impact of that methodology on certain Tribes. In the course of that process, Treasury has determined to adopt a methodology pursuant to which it will reallocate a portion of the remaining, unpaid funds reserved for Tribal governments.

The amount reserved for Tribal governments from the CRF is separate from the additional \$20 billion reserved for Tribal governments from the Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Fund established by section 9901 of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021.² Those funds will be subject to a separate allocation to be announced by Treasury in the coming days.

Previous allocation

The CARES Act provides that the Tribal allocation is to be "based on increased expenditures of each such Tribal government (or a Tribally-owned entity of such Tribal government) relative to aggregate expenditures in fiscal year 2019 by the Tribal government (or Tribally-owned entity)" and "determined in such manner as the Secretary [of the Treasury] determines appropriate to ensure that all amounts" are distributed to Tribal governments.³

On May 5, 2020, Treasury adopted an allocation methodology pursuant to which 60% of the \$8 billion reserved for Tribal governments was allocated to Tribal governments based on population, specifically by reference to the population of each Tribe's formula area under the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Indian Housing Block Grant (IHBG) program, with the remainder allocated in a manner based on the number of employees of Tribal governments and Tribal enterprises and the 2019 expenditures of Tribal governments. Further information about these allocations was provided at the time by Treasury.⁴

Recent litigation

Three of the several Tribes that were assigned a population of zero, or a low population that approaches zero, for their IHBG formula areas despite having substantial enrollment have challenged the population-based disbursement of Treasury's allocation methodology in federal court. Although these lawsuits have not been finally resolved, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit found that at least one Tribe assigned an IHBG formula area population of zero was likely to succeed in its claim that the prior

¹ See section 601(c)(7) of the Social Security Act, as added by § 5001(a) of Division A of the CARES Act (42 U.S.C. § 801(c)(7).

² Pub. L. No. 117-2 (March 11, 2021).

³ See 42 U.S.C. § 801(c)(7).

⁴ See Coronavirus Relief Fund Allocations to Tribal Governments (May 5, 2020), available at https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Coronavirus-Relief-Fund-Tribal-Allocation-Methodology.pdf; Coronavirus Relief Fund Allocations to Tribal Governments (June 17, 2020), available at https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Tribal-Allocation-Methodology-for-Second-Distribution.pdf (setting the employment-based allocation at 30% and the expenditure-based allocation at 10% of the total amount reserved for Tribal governments).

methodology was arbitrary and capricious as used to calculate the disbursement to that Tribe.⁵ On remand, the district court has issued orders finding that all three plaintiff Tribes have established a likelihood of success on their challenges to the methodology and has enjoined Treasury from disbursing roughly \$21 million of the remaining funds previously allocated to Alaska Native corporations (ANCs). Treasury has since indicated its intent to reallocate a portion of the remaining CRF funds reserved for Tribal governments pursuant to a new methodology that takes these decisions into account and to begin issuing payments promptly thereafter.

Consultation process

In connection with this determination to reconsider the methodology, Treasury conducted consultations with Tribal governments, including two teleconferences with federally recognized Tribes and one teleconference with representatives from ANCs. Treasury also appreciates the submissions made by Tribes and ANCs in response to Treasury's requests for information. In these consultations, discussed further below, Tribal governments with zero or low formula area population numbers relative to their enrollment counts stated that the previous allocation did not provide sufficient funding to meet their pandemic-related needs. Tribal governments also stated that they were providing substantial assistance to members outside of their formula areas. ANCs explained the need for funding to provide services to shareholders not currently served by any federally recognized Tribe.

Basis for Reallocation

In its original decision, Treasury selected IHBG formula area population as the relevant measure of population because this measure provides several advantages. Formula areas correspond broadly to the area of a Tribal government's jurisdiction and other areas to which the Tribal government's provision of services and economic influence extend. Adjustments are made to address issues of overlapping jurisdiction, and the data are easily accessible and regularly maintained by HUD, which allowed for immediate disbursement. For many Tribes, this data, in conjunction with employment and expenditure data used to allocate the remaining 40% of the CRF funds reserved for Tribal governments, provided a reasonable proxy for estimating the increased expenditures for each Tribe. However, Treasury recognizes that, although IHBG formula area population counts may typically be helpful in estimating a Tribal government's increased expenditures, in certain instances they may prove insufficient. That is particularly true where the Tribe does not have a formula area (e.g., because it does not have a reservation or other area over which it exercises jurisdiction or is responsible for the provision of services), and therefore has a formula area population of zero. Even for Tribes that do have formula areas, their formula-area population may not provide a sufficiently accurate indication of the number of persons for whom the Tribe provides services more generally, including the many different health and social services provided during the public health emergency. For example, certain Tribes may also be providing COVID-related assistance to enrolled members living outside of Tribal lands.

For these reasons, and in recognition of court orders recognizing this potential for under-counting, Treasury has elected to reconsider its prior decision in part and reallocate a portion of the remaining, unpaid CRF funds pursuant to a new methodology that accounts for certain scenarios in which IHBG formula area population counts might prove insufficient. Where there is an especially large disparity between formula area population and enrollment figures, the difference suggests that the Tribal government has a need for funding to provide services to a significant number of people who are not reflected in its formula area population. For these Tribal governments, formula area population is less likely to be an accurate proxy for increased expenditures. This reallocation will provide additional payments when there is a substantial disparity between the Tribe's IHBG formula area population count and its Tribal enrollment count.

⁵ See Shawnee Tribe v. Mnuchin, 984 F.3d 94, 102-03 (D.C. Cir. 2021).

In order to determine which Tribes may have received a payment that significantly undercounted their potential expenditures, Treasury will compare the IHGB formula area population against enrollment data recently collected by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA). If a Tribe did not provide its enrollment data in response to BIA's solicitation, Treasury will use the enrollment data provided by the Tribe in response to Treasury's April 2020 solicitation or, if the Tribe did not respond to that solicitation, to the enrollment data available in HUD's IHBG data. The funds available for reallocation are limited and therefore only the most substantial disparities can be addressed. As described below, Treasury will calculate a population-to-enrollment ratio for each federally recognized Tribe and provide additional payments to those in the 85th percentile and above. Although the precise funding needs of Tribes cannot be determined with precision, Treasury determined that payments to Tribes above this threshold—including the three Tribes which, according to a federal court, were likely to prevail on their challenge to the original methodology—would provide additional assistance to those with the greatest need based on the circumstances discussed above while still retaining sufficient allocations for ANCs to allow them to provide assistance to their shareholders.

ANCs are not included in the reallocation calculations below and will not receive additional payments as a result of the reallocation. As stated above, Treasury is performing the reallocation to address a specific feature of the use of IHBG data. The concept of enrollment is not applicable to ANCs and, more specifically, the issues with the use of formula area population discussed above do not apply to ANCs.

Treasury acknowledges that the remaining, unpaid CRF funds reserved for Tribal governments were previously allocated to ANCs. However, under the new methodology, more than \$450 million will still be allocated to ANCs (including the funds allocated to them under the population-, employment- and expenditure-based allocations). In their consultation with Treasury, ANCs discussed the services that they provide to their Native shareholders throughout Alaska, including assistance provided as a result of the public health emergency. Treasury is mindful of the role that ANCs have in providing critical support to their members.

The question of ANCs' eligibility for CRF funding is currently before the Supreme Court. The methodology below assumes ANCs are eligible for payments from the CRF. Treasury is considering the methodology by which Treasury would reallocate remaining amounts now allocated to the ANCs in the event that ANCs are determined to be ineligible for payments from the CRF.

Reallocation Calculation

Treasury will use the following methodology to reallocate funds to certain Tribes.

Treasury will determine the set of Tribes for which Treasury will provide an additional payment by calculating each Tribe's ratio of IHBG formula area population to enrollment and then subtracting that ratio from 1. This is the Tribe's population-to-enrollment ratio. The top 15 percent of Tribes as ranked by this ratio (i.e., the 85th percentile) will be eligible for an additional payment.

Treasury will determine the amount each such Tribe will receive by first calculating the amount such Tribe would have received under an enrollment-based allocation. Treasury will do this by calculating each Tribe's pro rata share of the amount of the population-based allocation available for Tribes in the aggregate, excluding the population-based allocation to ANCs. These payments will be linearly phased out such that the Tribe with the highest population-to-enrollment ratio will receive the largest percentage of the difference between the amount it would have received under the enrollment-based allocation and the IHBG formula area population-based allocation.

Treasury will allocate specific amounts to Tribes in accordance with this methodology and will begin making payments promptly thereafter.