No. 20-5368

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Usman Oyiboo — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Huntington Hospital Medical Center, Northshore Long Island Hospital, Michael Kopin — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Usman Oyiboo
(Your Name)

205 Seaman Neck Rd
(Address)

Dix Hills, New York 11746
(City, State, Zip Code)

631-292-9127
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

SINCE PETITIONER HAS NOT HAD A REAL JUDGE IN ALL OF THE STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS NOR CAN THEY DISPROVE LOGICALLY THAT A CHILD IS INFALLIBLY RELATED TO ITS BIOLOGICAL MOTHER ,AS WELL AS PETITIONER WAS DENIED A FULL AND FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO LITIGATE AS WELL AS THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGE ACTING AS LAWYERS FOR THE DEFENDANTS AND THE PARALLELS BETWEEN *POLLARD v.UNITED STATES,* THE TUSKEGEE EXPERIMENT AND PETITIONER'S CASE HAS BEEN 100% ESTABLISHED AND THE DEFENDANTS WERE FOUND GUILTY IN THE TUSKEGEE EXPERIMENT CASE, CAN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT FIND THE DEFENDANTS ANYTHING BUT GUILTY?

LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[ ] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

The Petitioner is Usman Oyibo, Pro se
The Respondents are Huntington Hospital Medical Center
North Shore-LIJ, Hospital and Michael Pierce, MD
Represented by Vigorito, Barker, Patterson, Nichols and Porter

RELATED CASES

- *Oyibo v. Huntington Hospital, et al.*, No. 19-cv-5328, U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. Judgment entered October 9. 2019.

- *Oyibo v. Huntington Hospital, et al.*, No. 19-3755, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second circuit. Judgment entered March 18. 2020.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX TO APPENDICES

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

STATUTES AND RULES

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[ ] For cases from **federal courts:**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts:**

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was March 18, 2020.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[✓] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: May 28, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts:**

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

4. CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS INVOLVED

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, AMENDMENT V:

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be put twice in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, AMENDMENT XIV:

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A. TIMELINE OF THE DEFENDANT HOSPITAL'S MEDICAL MALPRACTICES

On February 22, 2013 Petitioner arrived in Huntington Hospital and reported that Petitioner had gout in his toes and feet to the doctors. Defendants deliberately and irrationally dismissed gout WITHOUT CONDUCTING ANY LABORATORY TEST FOR GOUT despite recognizing Petitioner had all physical symptoms of gout, like painful joints and inflamed painful big toe, red skin around the toes, inability to walk on feet, elevated ESR, WBC, etc. This is

clearly negligence as well as medical malpractice in which the defendants are directly, completely responsible for and without any contribution to that negligence from the Petitioner. The defendant's negligence was also recognized by the defendants deliberate refusal to do the synovial joint fluid test/joint aspiration which the defendants own official hospital website specifically listed as the definitive test and standard of care for definite diagnosis of gout (Appendix I at I-1 to I-5). This is also clearly negligence as well as medical malpractice in which the defendants are directly, completely responsible for and without any contribution to that negligence from the Petitioner.

Petitioner on April 10, 2014 was medical malpractice assaulted as well as medical malpractice patient dumped out into the cold for over an hour to die by Huntington Hospital, which are not only negligences (directly and completely caused by the defendant hospital and their agents/agency without any contribution to that negligence from the Petitioner) but are the worst medical malpractices which are captured on the videos requested by the Subpoena Duces Tecum of the Petitioner. These videos infallibly proved these negligences and criminal medical malpractices happened, however Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth fraudulently refused to force the defendants to surrenders those videos/evidences and Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth revealed themselves to be lawyers for the defendants while pretending to be judges in this case, something Judge McCormack had later on recognized as being illegal and unprofessional conduct as a judge (judicial misconduct) and therefore recused himself (Appendix J at J-1). The Defendant Hospital medical malpractice assaulting Petitioner as well as medical malpractice dumping Petitioner out into the cold for over an hour to die was completely caused by the defendants reckless negligences and gross criminal medical malpractices without any

contribution to that negligence from the Petitioner.

Petitioner's wounds on his feet were from Gout as recognized by North Shore Univ. Hospital's own surgical report and medical records (Appendix I at I-6 to I-17), exposing the fraudulent concealment of Petitioner's gout, of their sister hospital, Huntington Hospital claiming Petitioner didn't have gout as well as the fraudulent psychiatric diagnosis in a very vicious attempt at discrediting the Petitioner and to protect their sister hospital from their medical malpractices in 2013 and in 2014.

. Petitioner's medical malpractice case has been extremely and viciously trivialized by the State as well as the Federal Court Judges when it is in the class of the worst and most vicious and malicious medical malpractice cases completely similar to the Tuskegee Experiment, *Pollard v United States* and the State, Appellate Court and Federal Judges are refusing to even see this as a medical malpractice, proves all of these courts, State and Federal, are obviously ignoring Petitioner and refusing to hear the Petitioner's case at all.

Petitioner is a victim of a criminal as well as an extraordinarily vicious medical malpractice where the defendant's criminal medical malpractice actions led to Petitioner almost being murdered several times, through the defendants fraudulently concealing the Petitioner's diagnosis of gout and fraudulently misrepresenting the Petitioner's gout condition as a "mysterious unknown disease" in order to deliberately and intentionally harm and kill Petitioner along with sending Hospital Security to medical malpractice assault and medical malpractice patient dump the Petitioner out into the cold for over an hour with open feet wounds to die and a malicious attack by the defendants in their Hospital's Operation Room (OR) where Petitioner was ambushed, lied to, and physically constrained/held physically down by the hospital OR staff

who engaged in a very vicious medical malpractice battery/attempted murder by hospital staff upon Petitioner, which the attempted murder action by the defendant hospital's OR, the Petitioner provided evidence and transcribed relevant parts of that vicious medical malpractice battery/attempted murder by hospital staff upon Petitioner to the state and federal courts on a compact disc (Appendix I transcribed at I-43 to I-58) .

B. STATE AND FEDERAL CASES WHERE ALL JUDGES ACTED AS LAWYERS FOR THE DEFENDANT

Petitioner's first state medical malpractice case was initiated on August 21, 2015. Petitioner also on October 13, 2016 had requested a Subpoena Duces Tecum, which requested videos of the medical malpractice assault for all to clearly see (Appendix H at H-1)). On October 27, 2016 the defendants changed law firms to Vigorito, Barker, Patterson, Nichols and Porter with Attorney Adam S. Covitt, which then he drafted a fraudulent motion to quash with the argument saying that a child (medical malpractice assault) is infallibly unrelated to its biological mother (medical malpractice super set) which is wrong (Appendix H at H-2 to H-3). On August 31, 2017 the Court severely erred in agreeing with them and when Attorney Roth passed this fraud along and reacted in a hostile and threatening matter to the Petitioner, Petitioner then submitted a motion for Judge McCormack's recusal on September 11, 2017, where Petitioner outlined that a judge who doesn't know that a child (medical malpractice assault) IS infallibly related to its biological mother (medical malpractice super set) is incapable (or unqualified) to preside over this case. On the following date of November 14, 2017 James P McCormack and his law secretary Gregg Roth granted the motion, proving they were acting as lawyers for the

defendants NOT as fair and impartial judges for the Petitioner's case (Appendix J at J-1).

The court on November 14, 2017 then proceeded to have Judge Leonard D Steinman preside over the case, but he in turn acted as a hatchetman agreeing to everything the defendants wanted, include a summary judgment motion they submitted while absolutely no discovery from them was provided in the case. Soon after on April 5, 2018 Petitioner had put in a motion to recuse himself, which Judge Steinman has, without disclosure of his conflict of interest as well as having a personal/financial interest in the proceeding, where his daughter, Hallie Steinman is employed by the defendants, stubbornly presided over the Petitioner's medical malpractice case proceedings in the Nassau County Supreme Court with absolutely no intention to fairly hear Petitioner case or allow any discovery/evidences of the medical malpractice (AGAIN A CLEAR DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS OR FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS FOR Petitioner). Even after a motion to recuse himself, where the Petitioner notified Judge Steinman's conflict of interest, Judge Steinman has extremely trivialized the Petitioner's medical malpractice case in order to protect defendants from being completely guilty of criminal medical malpractice as well as conspiring with Attorney Covitt/VBPNP Law Firm in fraudulently killing Petitioner's infallible criminal medical malpractice case in order to make sure his daughter will continue to be employed by the defendants which is both a personal and financial interest for Judge Steinman and a very strong motivation for Judge Steinman to fraudulently destroy and kill Petitioner's case to protect his own interests.

In addition Judge Steinman after the recusal motion engaged in more judicial misconduct and criminal behavior, by fraudulently concealing/covering up his original misconduct by improperly stopping and issuing a fraudulent decision to the recusal motion 5 days after it was

submitted, refusing to let defendants respond at all to the evidence of Judge Steinman being a lawyer for the defendants instead of a fair and impartial judge in the Petitioner's case, ignoring his clear conflict of interest which gave Judge Steinman a strong motivation to fraudulently destroy and kill the Petitioner's medical malpractice case by deliberately preventing the Petitioner any discovery/evidences to prove Petitioner's case and also removing evidences of his gross judicial misconduct stated by members of his own court, Nassau County Supreme Court and other Attorneys on a website therobingroom.com, where they publicly expressed not having any confidence in Judge Steinman to be a fair and impartial judge, but instead a fraudulent and corrupt judge as evidenced by the following comments made by the public (Appendix K at K-1 to K-3)

> ***"Justice Steinman issued a number of decisions that the Nassau County Bar shared with me after I personally witnessed that he is detached from realty, is self serving and financially rewards his "so-called" friends.(Court Staff dated 1/22/2017 6:38:41 PM)"***
>
> ***"Atrocious and illegal conduct, using his government position to abuse people for political gain and his own pocketbook. (12/16/2016 10:40:04 PM)"***
>
> ***"This Judge (Steinman) removed an attorney from the Courtroom, by force of a Court Officer for 10 minutes on August 12, 2016, without her property for OBJECTING on the basis of relevance. Allowed others to view her cell phone, legal documents and property (Litigant 12/13/2016 3:27:25 PM)"***
>
> ***"This person (Judge Steinman) is highly unstable. Takes personally basic matters and lashes out at lawyers before him for no reason.(Court Staff 8/25/2016 8:46:03 PM)"***
>
> ***"Justice Leonard Steinman should be criminally investigated. (7/12/2016 9:15:07 PM)"***
>
> ***"This is what we get when we vote on party lines and we know nothing about the person. This judge's (Judge Steinman) knowledge is minimal, with shallow decision, and does not know minimal procedural requirements. Stay away if you can. (Litigant 6/29/2016 5:41:03 PM)"***

JUDGE STEINMAN HAD SEVERAL OF THE STATEMENTS/EVIDENCES REMOVED FROM THEROBINGROOM WEBSITE, TO HIDE HIS BLATANT CORRUPTION, CRIMINALITY AS WELL AS HIS CONSPIRING WITH ATTORNEY COVITT/VBPNP WHILE HIMSELF IMPERSONATING/PRETENDING TO BE A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUDGE WHILE IN REALITY BEING A LAWYER FOR THE DEFENDANTS IN ORDER TO FRAUDULENTLY KILL PETITIONER'S MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASE SEE THE FOLLOWING APPENDIX K).

JUDGE STEINMAN ALSO HAS A DAUGHTER (HALLIE STEINMAN) WHO IS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANTS NORTHWELL HEALTH (NORTH SHORE LIJ) SYSTEM OF HOSPITALS AFFILIATE CALLED MAIMONIDES MEDICAL CENTER IN BROOKLYN (APPENDIX K AT K-4 TO K-10), WHICH CONSTITUTES NOT JUST A CLEAR CONFLICT OF INTEREST FOR JUDGE STEINMAN, WHO SHOULD HAVE AUTOMATICALLY EXCUSED/RECUSED HIMSELF FROM THE PETITIONER'S MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASE FROM THE BEGINNING IF HE IS A FAIR, IMPARTIAL AND UNBIASED JUDGE AND NOT A FRAUDULENT HATCHET-MAN AND A LAWYER FOR THE DEFENDANTS , BUT ALSO A VERY STRONG MOTIVATION FOR JUDGE STEINMAN TO COLLABORATE/CONSPIRE WITH THE DEFENDANTS (REPRESENTING NORTHWELL HEALTH/NORTH SHORE LIJ SYSTEM) TO FRAUDULENTLY AND ILLEGALLY DESTROY PETITIONER'S MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASE, WHICH COMPELS HIM TO EXCUSE/RECUSE HIMSELF FROM THIS CASE AND HIS FRAUDULENT DECISION BE REMOVED, OVERTURNED AND VACATED URGENTLY.

JUDGE STEINMAN ALSO CLAIMED THAT DEFENDANTS MEDICAL EXPERT, DR. MICHAEL BELMONT CONCLUDED THERE WAS "NO DEVIATIONS IN ACCEPTED MEDICAL PRACTICE", THAT MEANS THE DEFENDANTS MEDICAL EXPERT, DR MICHAEL BELMONT, CONCLUDED THAT A MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS THAT IS EQUIVALENT WITH DIAGNOSING OF GOD AS BEING "PSYCHIATRICALLY ILL" AND THAT MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ASSAULTING OF PATIENTS, MEDICAL MALPRACTICE DUMPING OF PATIENTS OUT INTO THE COLD TO DIE AS WELL AS MEDICAL MALPRACTICE BATTERY IN THE DEFENDANTS HOSPITAL'S OR ARE ACCEPTED MEDICAL PRACTICES AND ARE NOT DEVIATIONS FROM ACCEPTED MEDICAL PRACTICES, IS OBVIOUSLY GROSSLY FRAUDULENT . SEE THE FOLLOWING APPENDIX I COMPACT DISC TRANSCRIBED AT I-43 TO I-58 .

Due to the fraudulent dismissal of my case by Judge Steinman, Petitioner submitted notices of appeal for leave to the Appellate court, on March 30 and August 10, 2018. Petitioner has run into problems with the Appellate Division Second Department particularly Judges Alan D. Scheinkman, Mark C. Dillon and Ruth C. Balkin who all have also acted as lawyers for the defendants, while pretending to be fair and impartial judges in Petitioner's medical malpractice case appeal in order to deny due process for Petitioner in the appellate court and fraudulently conceal/coverup/kill Petitioner's 100% infallible medical malpractice case. Here in the Petitioner's medical malpractice case the Appellate Court Judges (particularly Judges Scheinkman, Dillon and Balkin) have deliberately ignored the Petitioner's infallible proof and evidences that Petitioner is an indigent/poor person, who has NO MONEY, NO ASSETS, NO SALARY OR INCOME WITH ZERO DOLLARS IN HIS ACCOUNT (Appendix E). Neither

the Defendants nor the Appellate Judges could disprove the infallibly sound evidences of the Petitioner being an indigent/poor person and yet the Appellate Court Judges deliberately refused to hear the Petitioner by deliberately ignoring those specific evidences and refused to grant poor person relief to Petitioner.

Petitioner's infallible amount of evidence of the merit of Petitioner's case, such as the Petitioner's previous motions with the Subpoena Duces Tecum requesting evidences of the medical malpractice assault of Petitioner by the defendant hospital and the compact disc recording of the Medical malpractice battery of Petitioner by the defendant hospital and more specific that a child (Medical Malpractice assault/patient dumping) if infallibly related to its biological mother (Medical Malpractice Superset), has also been deliberately ignored by the Appellate Court Judges i.e. the Appellate Court Judges refusing to hear the Petitioner.

In the latest action by the Appellate Court, Judge Scheinkman, Dillon, Balkin and Chambers have proven they have no intention to let Petitioner be heard by their actions and conduct and have fraudulently dismissed/killed Petitioner's 2018-05514 and 2018-10991 appeals. " Judges" Scheinkman, Dillon, Balkin and Chambers has denied poor person relief in spite of Petitioner completely fulfilling all requirements for it as the law CPLR § 1101 states, as well as very deliberately and blatantly refusing the Petitioner's clear request for the relief of consolidation of the two appeals together (2018-05514 and 2018-10991).

The Appellate judges also refused to consolidate the two appeals or list the 2018-10991 again in Petitioner's following motions for poor person relief and fraudulently allowed the 2018-05514 and 2018-10991 appeals to be dismissed/killed in spite of the consolidation request and for a 60 day extension for those 2018-05514 and 2018-10991 appeals.

By Judges Scheinkman, Dillon, Balkin and Chambers in the several motions for poor person relief, deliberately ignoring the Petitioner's request to consolidate the appeals and outright ignoring and refusing to recognize the 2018-10991 appeal in its entirety, which contained evidence of the medical malpractice battery of Petitioner committed by the defendants which was provided to show the infallible merit of Petitioner's case, there is only one clear message to this action which is Judges Scheinkman, Dillon, Balkin and Chambers and the rest of the Appellate Court Judges are clearly biased against Petitioner, and are conspiring together and are very viciously, maliciously and fraudulently sabotaging Petitioner's case and deliberately ignoring evidence provided by the Petitioner in order to prevent Petitioner and his case from being heard in the appellate court, since denying poor person relief will automatically prevent Petitioner prosecuting this appeal and automatically grant defendants a victory, despite defendants being completely guilty of criminal medical malpractice as well as proving a clear bias by Judges Scheinkman, Dillon, Balkin and Chambers against pro se Petitioner in order to protect defendants criminal medical malpractice, which is improper behavior for a judge (judicial misconduct) and deliberate fraudulent concealment by the judicial system in order to coverup the criminal medical malpractice of the defendant hospital and Judge McCormack, Attorney Roth and Judge Steinman judicial misconduct and blatant corruption which proves Judges Scheinkman, Dillon, Balkin and Chambers are acting as lawyers for the defendants NOT fair and impartial judges in Petitioner's appeal

The Appellate court judges like Judges Scheinkman, Dillon, Balkin and Chambers' bias against Petitioner who is pro se indigent Petitioner, are putting their partisan interests, including financial interests, in their profession as lawyers/judges above justice for the public and the

greater good, and are proving they are also biased against Petitioner which is improper and judicial misconduct and blatant corruption.

Due to the deliberate refusal from the state court and judges to deliver justice, Petitioner filed a federal lawsuit complaint in September 2019, along with In Forma Pauperis relief. Judge William Kuntz chose to preside over this case and dismissed my case on October 2019. However it has been just discovered by the Petitioner, the District Judge that chose to preside over Petitioner's Medical Malpractice Case, Judge William Kuntz had a clear conflict of interest, where Judge Kuntz's wife, Dr. Alice Beal is a MD an Assistant Professor, Medicine associated at SUNY-Downstate College of Medicine WHICH ON THE SUNY DOWNSTATE OFFICIAL WEBSITE https://sls.downstate.edu/registrar/catalog/clinical_affiliates.html HAVE STATED THAT SUNY DOWNSTATE ARE AFFILIATES OF NORTHWELL HEALTH (IN PARTICULAR NORTH SHORE UNIV. HOSPITAL, THE DEFENDANTS IN PETITIONER'S MEDICAL MALPRACTICE LAWSUIT (Appendix F)).

Judge Kuntz if he was a fair and impartial judge, should have recused himself due to this obvious and clear impropriety and personal bias against Petitioner's but deliberately didn't. Instead Judge Kuntz who knew his wife being affiliated with Northwell Health (North Shore Univ Hospital which Petitioner lawsuit was against) deliberately and severely prejudiced Petitioner's case and Kuntz willfully and wantonly chose to preside over Petitioner's case to be a HATCHETMAN using his office/position to benefit and further the interest of Northwell Health by fraudulently dismissing/killing Petitioner's case which infallibly proved them to be guilty of medical malpractice and also to further his own personal and financial interests like making sure his wife's job and career would be protected, since if Judge Kuntz was a fair and impartial Judge

and found the defendant hospitals guilty of Medical Malpractice it's fair to assume that Northwell Health would have retaliated against his wife and/or lost her job. This evidence of Judge Kuntz's bias against Petitioner as well as conflict of interest, which Judge Kuntz never disclosed to the Petitioner, has revealed himself to be a lawyer for the defendants pretending to be a fair and impartial judge and makes his so called judgment/decision fraudulent and illegal. Also this is a clear violation of 28 U.S. C. § 455 which states:

(a)Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.

(b)He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances:

(1)Where he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;

(4)He knows that he, individually or as a fiduciary, or his spouse or minor child residing in his household, has a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding, or any other interest that could be substant ially affected by the outcome of the proceeding;

(5)He or his spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person:

(i)Is a party to the proceeding, or an officer, director, or trustee of a party;

(ii)Is acting as a lawyer in the proceeding;

(iii)Is known by the judge to have an interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding;

(c)A judge should inform himself about his personal and fiduciary financial interests, and make a

reasonable effort to inform himself about the personal financial interests of his spouse and minor children residing in his household.

This fraudulent judgment by Judge Kuntz must be overturned and vacated immediately

Petitioner appealed this decision to the Court of Appeals 2nd circuit and applied for IFP relief before chief judge, Judge Robert Katzmann on November 2019. However Judge Katzmann denied the Petitioner's appeal on March 18, 2020, and later a motion to reconsider by the Petitioner in April 2020 on May 28, 2020 (Appendix C). Petitioner has also new evidence that shows that Judge Kuntz and Judge Katzmann are not just acquittances but are partners/friends inside and outside the courtroom. On the website https://www.centralsynagogue.org/worship/sermons/detail/jethro_shabbat_meeting_the_legal_needs_of_immigrants (Appendix G at G-13), Judge Katzmann revealed that he has worked together with Judge Kuntz:

> "...It has for me (Katzmann) been an inspiring experience to work with such devoted lawyers, anxious to help those in need. We have been guided by an outstanding steering committee: Jojo Annobil of Legal Aid, Immigration Judge Noel Brennan, Judge Chin, Peter Cobb, Peter Eikenberry, Philip Graham, Robert Juceam, William Kuntz (then in private practice and now on the district court)..."

In the 2018 Hawaii Access to Justice Conference: Addressing the Desperate Legal Needs of the Immigrant Poor (Appendix G at G-26), Judge Katzmann specifically mentions Judge Kuntz by name as a colleague:

> "...The Study Group is made up of some 70 lawyers from a range of firms; nonprofits; bar organizations; immigrant legal service providers; immigrant organizations; law schools; federal, state, and local governments; and judicial colleagues including Judge Chin and Judge Kuntz..."

Judge Katzmann has for instance been very vocal in the courts and on interviews and the

internet where he is a major advocate for the rights of immigrants and providing for them pro bono services, a form of poor person relief like IFP. for example on the website: https://vilcek.org/news/robert-a-katzmann-making-justice-accessible-to-all/(Appendix G at G-8), where Judge Katzmann is quoted saying the following:

"Access to justice should not depend on the income level of those in the system."

This quote by Judge Katzmann is incredibly ironic due to Katzmann's own deliberate and malicious refusal to grant Petitioner in this court access to justice. Petitioner in his previous request for IFP proved he doesn't have any income or money to prosecute the appeal. Judge Kuntz in his decision also recognized that Petitioner was a poor person by waiving all fees and costs for that decision ("Petitioner's request to proceed without the prepayment of fees is granted..." Appendix B at B-1). Despite these particular evidences and the Defendants not disproving them or posing any motion in opposition or any response against it, Judge Katzmann deliberately denied access to justice and this court for the Petitioner by denying IFP which is a poor person relief (similar to Pro Bono) to allow the Petitioner access to this court. Despite Judge Katzmann's appearance of eloquence, he has clearly contradicted his purported mission towards "making justice accessible for all". In addition Judge Katzmann has mentioned on this website that his parents were both immigrants (father was from Germany and his mother from Russia) so his advocating access to justice for immigrants is a clear personal bias or partiality in favor of immigrants over Natural Born Americans like the Petitioner. This is a clear violation of 28 U.S. C. § 455 which states:

(a)Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.

(b)He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances:

(1)Where he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;

The irrational and viciously stubborn refusal of Judge Katzmann to grant IFP/poor person relief to Petitioner when Petitioner has infallibly proven that he qualifies for it and even Judge Kuntz in the District Court granted it ("Petitioner's request to proceed without the prepayment of fees is granted..." Appendix B at B-1), can only make sense in light of the new evidence that Petitioner had recently discovery about Judge Kuntz's conflict of interest and Judge Katzmann protecting/refusing to punish or go against corrupt judges and instead attacks the public members who witnessed the corruption like the public witnessed and recognized Judge Katzmann did on therobingroom (Appendix G at G-2):

"Litigant
Comment #: 21789
Rating:1.0
Comments:
Judge Katzman was a on three member panel in 2008. It was a case that the CFTC denied me registration. I was a hedge fund manager and my fund was the victim of a huge multi-billion dollar copper scandal involving Sumitomo Bank, JP Morgan and others. I became a lead Petitioner in the class action lawsuit and we won a settlement. However, I had asked my regulators, the NFA and CFTC how to account for the copper losses and settlement. Katzman (and Renna Raggi) agreed with the CFTC and basically I was denied my registration for life with no hopes to ever get it back. I was so angry, I wrote a letter to Katzman and Raggi and they contacted the US Marshals and had them "intimidate, harass and threaten" me although they admitted my letter broke no laws.
In 2011, I had an issue with a District Court Judge, Denis Hurley (EDNY). He is just basically corrupt. I wrote a complaint and I said in the complaint that Katzman (now as Chief Judge) had to recuse himself because of the events of 2008. Katzman deliberately ruled anyway against me. When I followed up again with an angry letter to him, and copied the Council and the Senate Judiciary Committee, Katzman did the same exact thing and sent the US Marshals again!!!!
So, this guy Katzman is corrupt and should be removed from his position. He just thumbs his nose at Constitutional Law and cites a predisposed opinion. I mean its obvious the system is broken, but this guy should never have ever been part of it. We can all write negative things,, but

at the end of the day, unless something is done about a guy like Katzman, he is going to continue to be arrogant and violate the law 6/4/2014 1:45:50 PM"

Judge Katzmann fraudulently denied the Petitioner's previous motions in order to protect his friend and fellow Judge, Judge Kuntz of his concealment of his obvious conflict of interest and him acting as a lawyer for the defendants pretending to be a fair and impartial judge in the district court (i.e. Judge Katzmann showing a clear bias and partiality in favor of his friend/fellow judge which is appearance of impropriety and blatant corruption), just like Judge Katzmann denied this litigant on therobingroom justice in order to protect another fellow corrupt judge, Judge Denis Hurley. Again this is a clear violation of 28 U.S. C. § 455 which states:

(a)Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.

(b)He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances:

(1)Where he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceedings

Also in the case *Altitude Express, Inc. v. Zarda*, Donald Zarda filed a federal lawsuit alleging he was discriminated against by Altitude Express due to sexual orientation/sex. The 2nd Circuit under Judge Katzmann ruled in his favor and Judge Katzmann in his ruling, waxed eloquent and poetic in his determination to make sure Zarda's case was recognized as a discrimination case and acknowledged the Civil Rights Act applied for Zarda, particularly Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1) which prohibits employment discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex and national origin. Interestingly enough, Petitioner in his Motion for Reconsideration (Appendix D), the Petitioner had infallibly proved that his case is a

discrimination case and also proved his discrimination case is a racial one which is also prohibited by the Civil Rights Act, particularly Title VI, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance (including Hospitals). Title VI and Title VII both have the common base of explicitly stating discrimination of race to be illegal. Petitioner also referenced the Patient Protection Affordable Care Act (ACA) and Section 1557 which incorporated Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and also states that Section 1557 creates a private right and remedy for the violation of four federal statues prohibiting discrimination based on race, sex, age, and disability. So in effect what Petitioner has infallibly proven here is that while Judge Katzmann will recognize the law for Zarda who was discriminated due to sex which is prohibited by the Civil Rights Act, the same Judge Katzmann deliberately REFUSED to recognize the law, particularly the same Civil Rights Act for the Petitioner who was discriminated against due to race, despite that discrimination is also prohibited by the Civil Rights Act and that law clearly mean to protect members like the Petitioner on the basis of race. This is clearly prejudicial treatment, denial of due process and a clear case of bias by Judge Katzmann. This is a clear violation of 28 U.S. C. § 455 which states:

(a)Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.

(b)He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances:

(1)Where he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;

The case *Callum v. CVS Health Corp.* has recognized the Patient Protection and

Affordable Care Act (ACA) and Section 1557 (42 U.S.C. § 18116(a))creates a private right and remedy for the violation of four federal statues prohibiting discrimination based on race, sex, age, and disability. Other courts have concluded that § 1557 is indeed enforceable via an implied private right of action. See *Se. Penn. Trans. Auth. v. Gilead Sci., Inc.*, 102 F. Supp. 3d 688, 697-99 (E.D. Penn. 2015); *Rumble v. Fairview Health Serv.*, No. 14-2037, 2015 WL 1197415, at *7 n.3 (D. Minn. Mar. 16, 2015) (Nelson, J.); *Callum v. CVS Health Corp.*, 137 F. Supp. 3d 817, 845-48 (D.S.C. 2015). "Section 1557 (42 U.S.C. § 18116(a)) creates a private cause of action" to address claims of discrimination on the basis of race, color, national, origin, sex, age, or disability. *Callum v. CVS Health Corp.*, 137 F. Supp. 3d 817, 848 (D.S.C. 2015); see also *S.E. Pennsylvania Transp. Auth. v. Gilead Scis., Inc.*, 102 F. Supp. 3d 688, 698 (E.D. Pa. 2015) ("SEPTA"); *Rumble v. Fairview Health Servs.*, No. 14-CV-2037, 2015 WL 1197415, at *7 n.3 (D. Minn. Mar. 16, 2015); East, 2014 WL 8332136, at *2. The ACA's statutory text, context, and structure, along with the Final Rule, together make plain that Section 1557 claims should be subject to a single legal standard and burden of proof regardless of the basis of the alleged discrimination.

Rather, "looking at Section 1557 and the Affordable Care Act (ACA) as a whole, it appears that Congress intended to create a new, health specific, anti-discrimination cause of action that is subject to a singular standard, regardless of a Petitioner's protected class status." *Rumble*, 2015 WL 1197415, at *10 (emphasis added). See *King v. Burwell*, 135 S. Ct. at 2492 (in interpreting the ACA and Section 1557, "we must do our best, bearing in mind the fundamental canon of statutory construction that the words of a statute must be read in their context and with a view to their place in the overall statutory scheme.") (internal quotation omitted). That intent is

evident from the structure and language of the statute. Section 1557 incorporates "title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.), the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), or section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794)" to delineate "the ground[s] prohibited under" it. 42 U.S.C. § 18116(a). As another district court recently concluded, "Congress likely referenced the four civil rights statutes mainly in order to identify the 'ground[s]' on which discrimination is prohibited—i.e., race, sex, age, and disability." *Rumble*, 2015 WL 1197415, at *

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

1. PETITIONER HAS NOT HAD A REAL JUDGE IN ALL OF THE STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS NOR CAN THEY DISPROVE LOGICALLY THAT A CHILD IS INFALLIBLY RELATED TO ITS BIOLOGICAL MOTHER.

Petitioner in light of the fraudulent concealment and judicial misconduct by Judges McCormack, Steinman, Scheinkman, Balkin, Dillon, Kuntz and Katzmann where the judges were acting as lawyers for the defendants NOT fair and impartial Judges and those judges have agreed that a child or children (medical malpractice assault, medical malpractice patient dumping and medical malpractice battery) are infallibly unrelated to their biological mother (medical malpractice superset) WHICH IS WRONG and therefore Petitioner has not had a real judge in this case both state and federal and there isn't any option but finding the defendants guilty since a child or children (medical malpractice assault, medical malpractice patient dumping and medical malpractice battery) are infallibly RELATED to their biological mother (medical malpractice superset).

Petitioner had repeatedly given the Defendants time to comply with the Subpoena Duces

Tecum of the Petitioner when VBP&P Law Firm took over as the defendants counsel, and instead of complying with the legal Subpoena Duces Tecum, Attorney Covitt deliberately dragged on from October 2016 to December 16, 2016 where with the support of Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth, who were unprofessionally biased against Petitioner ever since the preliminary conference on October 11, 2016 where Attorney Roth openly declared his unprofessional bias of the Petitioner as a pro se litigant, saying to entire court and had continued to repeat in every Compliance Conference afterwards (February 17, 2017, March 30, 2017, May 25, 2017 and August 31, 2017) that Petitioner "will not win this medical malpractice case, hire a lawyer", delivered an illegal motion to quash the Subpoena Duces Tecum of the Petitioner . As it was previously infallibly proven before: The only way which the subpoena duces tecum of the Petitioner can be quashed is if a child is infallibly unrelated to its biological mother which is an impossibility (Appendix H at H-2). So therefore the Subpoena Duces Tecum of the Petitioner cannot be quashed and the defendants motion to quash should have been denied if Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth were not colluding with/in biased unfair collaboration with Attorney Covitt/defendants against Petitioner to willfully sabotage Petitioner's Medical Malpractice case , because the decision of Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth refused to recognize that a child (medical malpractice assault subset as well as medical malpractice patient dumping out into the cold to die subset) is infallibly related to its biological mother (medical malpractice super set), which leaves the only right decision to be granting the Subpoena Duces Tecum of the Petitioner, where the items requested in the Subpoena will prove the defendants are guilty of criminal medical malpractice and earned them a guilty verdict .

The only way which the subpoena duces tecum of the Petitioner can be quashed is if a

child (medical malpractice assault subset and medical malpractice patient dumping out into the cold to die subset) is infallibly unrelated to its biological mother (medical malpractice super set) which is an impossibility. Instead Judges McCormack/Attorney Roth along with Steinman, Scheinkman, Balkin, Dillon, Kuntz and Katzmann could not challenge the Infallibly of a child (medical malpractice assault subset and medical malpractice patient dumping out into the cold to die subset) being infallibly related to their biological mother (medical malpractice super set), and conveniently chose to ignore it which clearly has been the constant pattern in this case so far.

2. RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL DOES NOT APPLY TO THE PETITIONER AS PETITIONER WAS DENIED A FULL AND FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO LITIGATE AS WELL AS THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGE ACTING AS LAWYERS FOR THE DEFENDANTS..

The district court decision by Judge Kuntz seriously erred in saying that Res Judicata and Collateral estoppel barred this case from being heard in the federal court (Appendix B). Res Judicata as defined by google is "a matter that has been adjudicated by a competent court and may not be pursued further by the same parties" (Appendix M). Petitioner in his motion for Judge McCormack recusal said the following (Appendix J at J-6):

> **"It is glaringly obviously after the August 31, 2017 Compliance conference that Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth must excuse/recuse themselves from this medical malpractice case. The Judge/Attorney have told the Plaintiff that medical malpractice assault as well as medical malpractice patient dumping out into the cold to die are not medical malpractices. A judge who doesn't know or has refused to recognize that medical malpractice assault as well as medical malpractice patient dumping are not just medical malpractices but the worst medical malpractices is not capable (OR COMPETENT) or qualified to judge or preside over a medical malpractice case. Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth who have also stated that medical malpractice assault as well as medical malpractice patient dumping which are the worst medical malpractices have no connection to medical malpractice have demonstrated that Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth have no capability (OR COMPETENCE) or will/intentions to judge this medical malpractice case and by their collaboration with the defendants to deny discovery of evidence of the worst**

medical malpractices, they have shown they have no will/intention to allow Plaintiff to pick up any discovery for any part of the medical malpractice case (which Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth through denying the Subpoena Duces Tecum of the (Petitioner) which sought videos of and identities of witnesses to medical malpractice assault as well as medical malpractice patient dumping, the worst medical malpractices and the last 3 compliance conferences allowing and condoning the defendants to blatantly refuse complying with any of the Plaintiff's discovery request like the Plaintiff Combined Demands in the last 3 compliance conferences)this constitutes a blatant obstruction of justice.

These points above, combined with openly admitting an unprofessional bias against Plaintiff in the court, Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth have demonstrated no capability or will/intention to judge this medical malpractice case and must excuse himself/themselves immediately."

Judge McCormack AGREED with the Petitioner when he granted the motion, which proved that Judge McCormack, who before becoming a judge was in fact supposed to be a medical malpractice attorney for several years, was NOT COMPETENT and the court of Judge McCormack was not competent and were lawyers for the defendants NOT fair and impartial judges and by them admitting in the recusal that Judge McCormack/Attorney Roth were acting as lawyers for the defendant NOT as fair and impartial judges in this case, there was NO adjudication by a competent court and thus proved there was NO res judicata in Petitioner's case at all. Petitioner in the state court where both Judge McCormack who recused himself and Judge Steinman who had a conflict of interest in that his daughter was employed by the defendants as well as comments from the public like therobingroom (Appendix K at K-1 to K-3) such as:

- ***"Justice Steinman issued a number of decisions that the Nassau County Bar shared with me after I personally witnessed that he is detached from realty, is self serving and financially rewards his "so-called" friends.(Court Staff dated 1/22/2017 6:38:41 PM)"***

"Atrocious and illegal conduct, using his government position to abuse people for political gain and his own pocketbook. (12/16/2016 10:40:04 PM)"

"This Judge (Steinman) removed an attorney from the Courtroom, by force of a Court Officer for 10 minutes on August 12, 2016, without her property for OBJECTING on

the basis of relevance. Allowed others to view her cell phone, legal documents and property (Litigant 12/13/2016 3:27:25 PM)"

"This person (Judge Steinman) is highly unstable. Takes personally basic matters and lashes out at lawyers before him for no reason.(Court Staff 8/25/2016 8:46:03 PM)"

"Justice Leonard Steinman should be criminally investigated. (7/12/2016 9:15:07 PM)"

"This is what we get when we vote on party lines and we know nothing about the person. This judge's (Judge Steinman) knowledge is minimal, with shallow decision, and does not know minimal procedural requirements. Stay away if you can. (Litigant 6/29/2016 5:41:03 PM)"

proves Judges McCormack and Steinman were in fact lawyers for the defendants NOT fair and impartial judges who deliberately denied any res judicata ie there was no adjudication by a competent court. Hence there was and still hasn't been any res judicata in the Petitioner's case.

Also the Collateral estoppel bar is inapplicable when the claimant did not have a "full and fair opportunity to litigate" the Issue decided by the state court, *Allen v. McCurry*, 449 U.S at 101, as the Petitioner didn't not have a full and fair opportunity to litigate the matter in the state court under Judge McCormick who recused himself due to his acting as a lawyer for the defendants NOT as a fair and impartial judge and Judge Steinman's clear conflict of interest where his daughter is employed by the defendants and the comments on his corruption and judicial misconduct on therobingroom. Thus, a claimant can file a federal suit to challenge the adequacy of state procedures. However Judge Kuntz and later Judge Katzmann in the federal court have also demonstrated clearly a conflict of interest where Judge Kuntz, who knew his wife is affiliated with Northwell Health (North Shore Univ Hospital which Petitioner's lawsuit was against) deliberately and severely prejudiced Petitioner's case and Kuntz willfully and wantonly chose to preside over Petitioner's case to be a HATCHETMAN using his office/position to

benefit and further the interest of Northwell Health by fraudulently dismissing/killing Petitioner's case which infallibly proved them to be guilty of medical malpractice and also to further his own personal and financial interests like making sure his wife's job and career would be protected, since if Judge Kuntz was a fair and impartial Judge and found the defendant hospitals guilty of Medical Malpractice it's fair to assume that Northwell Health would have retaliated against his wife and/or lost her job. Judge Katzmann's irrational and viciously stubborn refusal to grant IFP/poor person relief to Petitioner when Petitioner has infallibly proven that he qualifies for it and even Judge Kuntz in the District Court granted it ("Petitioner's request to proceed without the prepayment of fees is granted..." Appendix B at B-1), can only make sense in light of the new evidence that Petitioner had recently discovery about Judge Kuntz's conflict of interest and Judge Katzmann protecting/refusing to punish or go against corrupt judges and instead attacks the public members who witnessed the corruption like the public witnessed and recognized Judge Katzmann did on therobingroom (Appendix G at G-2). Thus Petitioner awaits this United States Supreme Court for justice and to find Defendants guilty of medical malpractice.

3. THE PARALLELS BETWEEN *POLLARD v.UNITED STATES*, THE TUSKEGEE EXPERIMENT AND PETITIONER'S CASE HAS BEEN 100% ESTABLISHED AND THEREFORE DEMANDS THE DEFENDANTS BE FOUND GUILTY.

Petitioner's medical malpractice case has been extremely and viciously trivialized by the State and Federal Court Judges when it is in the class of the worst and most vicious and malicious medical malpractice cases completely similar to the Tuskegee Experiment, *Pollard v United States* and the State and Federal Court Judges are refusing to even see this as a medical malpractice, proves the State and Federal Courts are obviously ignoring Petitioner and refusing to

hear the Petitioner's case at all.

In *Pollard v. United States*, 384 F. Supp. 304 - Dist. Court, MD Alabama 1974, the Tuskegee Syphilis Experiment (overseen by Dr. Thomas Parran Jr., the Health Commissioner of New York State during the 1930's and the 6th Surgeon General of the United States, who also had overseen the Guatemala Syphilis experiment, infecting people with syphilis refusing to treat these people for syphilis and leaving them to die from Syphilis from 1948 to 1953), is a classic example of a fraudulent concealment medical malpractice case where patients infected with syphilis were deliberately not informed by their doctors of having syphilis, instead the doctors lied to the patients and claimed they had "Bad Blood", and those same doctors deliberately denied these patients penicillin for treatment of the illness, instead knowingly giving them fake treatments and test which the doctors knew these "tests" and "treatments" would not cure/do anything for the syphilis. These patients were never informed by their doctors of having syphilis despite their doctors knowing the patients had syphilis and the patients were for 40 years (1932-1972) without any treatment for the syphilis and left by those doctors to die.

THE TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS EXPERIMENT SYPHILIS IS EQUIVALENT WITH THE GOUT WITHIN THE PETITIONER'S CASE AND THE "BAD BLOOD" IN TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS EXPERIMENT IS EQUIVALENT WITH THE "FRAUDULENT MYSTERIOUS ILLNESS/DISEASE" IN THE PETITIONER'S CASE. THE HOSPITAL /DOCTORS IN THE TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS EXPERIMENT KNEW ABOUT PATIENTS HAVING SYPHILIS JUST LIKE HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL AND NORTH SHORE UNIV. HOSPITAL/DOCTORS KNEW ABOUT PETITIONER'S GOUT. HOSPITAL/DOCTORS IN THE TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS EXPERIMENT REFUSED TO

TREAT SYPHILIS OF THE PATIENTS IN THE TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS EXPERIMENT, JUST LIKE HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL AND NORTH SHORE UNIV. HOSPITAL/DOCTORS REFUSED TO TREAT PETITIONER'S GOUT. HOSPITAL /DOCTORS IN THE TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS EXPERIMENT ALLOWED THE PATIENTS OF TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS EXPERIMENT TO SUFFER UNNECESSARILY AND TO DIE FROM SYPHILIS JUST LIKE HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL AND NORTH SHORE UNIV. HOSPITAL/DOCTORS DELIBERATELY ALLOWED THE PETITIONER TO SUFFER UNNECESSARILY FROM GOUT (HUNTINGTON/NORTH SHORE DID INDIVIDUALLY AND/OR COLLECTIVELY THE FOLLOWING: MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ASSAULT, MEDICAL MALPRACTICE PATIENT DUMPING OUT INTO THE COLD TO DIE, AS WELL AS MEDICAL MALPRACTICE BATTERY OF THE PETITIONER). THE PETITIONER AND HIS FAMILY PRAISE GOD FOR PROTECTING THE PETITIONER'S LIFE MIRACULOUSLY. THEREFORE SINCE ALL OF THE PARALLELS HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED BETWEEN THE TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS CASE AND THE PETITIONER'S GOUT CASE WHERE THE HOSPITALS/DEFENDANTS IN THE TUSKEGEE SYPHILIS EXPERIMENT WERE FOUND GUILTY OF MEDICAL MALPRACTICE THE PARALLEL BETWEEN THESE TWO CASES NOW COMPELS A GUILTY VERDICT AGAINST HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL/NORTH SHORE UNIV. HOSPITAL ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER.

Defendants, Huntington Hospital and North Shore Univ. Hospital doctors/nurses/medical staff willfully, wantonly, knowingly and fraudulently lied outright repeatedly as well as deliberately to Petitioner. As Petitioner's audio recording of the Medical Malpractice

Battery/Attempted Murder infallibly proved, there was NO informed consent gotten from the Petitioner at all, NO communication of diagnosis to the Petitioner, NO communications at all to Petitioner about anything pertaining to his treatment, and NO accurate reporting of test results or procedures at all, instead Petitioner was deliberately and maliciously ambushed, lied to and attacked constantly by the hospital doctors and staff who were all fraudulently concealing the gout which the Petitioner had, on the basis of the defendants doctors very fraudulently declaring that a diagnosis of high intelligence as a "psychiatric illness" which is equivalent with diagnosing the ALMIGHTY GOD as being "PSYCHIATRICALLY ILL" since GOD has the totality of all Intelligence, which is impossible, and Judge Steinman used that infallibly wrong conclusion as the one of the bases of dismissing the Petitioner's medical malpractice case, WHICH CLEARLY DEFIES LOGIC, to fraudulently conceal Petitioner's gout.

ANY DOCTOR/MEDICAL EXPERT OR JUDGE/COURT WHO CLAIMS THAT A HOSPITAL HAS NOT COMMITTED MEDICAL MALPRACTICE AFTER THESE SEVERELY EGREGIOUS, FRAUDULENTLY GROSS NEGLIGENCES AND MALICIOUS, WORST MEDICAL MALPRACTICES (MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ASSAULT, MEDICAL MALPRACTICE PATIENT DUMPING OUT INTO THE COLD TO DIE, MEDICAL MALPRACTICE BATTERY IN THE OR AS EVIDENCED BY THE PETITIONER'S AUDIO RECORDINGS APPENDIX I OF THE COMPACT DISC) MUST SURRENDER THEIR MEDICAL PRACTICE LICENSE/LICENSE TO PRACTICE LAW AND RETIRE/DISQUALIFY THEMSELVES FROM THIS CASE.

THIS IS THE GOOD CAUSE FOR THE VACATING OF THE DISMISSAL AND GRANTING THE WRIT, SINCE THE COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES REFUSES TO

VACATE AND REINSTATE THE APPEAL, THEY ARE ONLY PROVING THE PETITIONER RIGHT ABOUT THEM BEING LAWYERS FOR THE DEFENDANTS INSTEAD OF BEING FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUDGES BECAUSE CRIMES LIKE THESE ONES COMMITTED BY THE DEFENDANTS ARE SUPPOSED TO BE PUNISHED BY THE COURTS FOR THE BENEFICIAL GOOD OF THE PUBLIC, NOT FRAUDULENTLY CONCEALED/COVERED UP/KILLED THROUGH A VICIOUSLY FRAUDULENT DISMISSAL WHICH IS A CLEAR SIGN THE DISTRICT COURT AND COURT OF APPEALS ARE TELLING THE DEFENDANTS THEY CAN COMMIT ANY VICIOUS AND EGREGIOUS CRIME ON MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND BE AUTOMATICALLY PROTECTED IN THE COURTS PARTICULARLY IN THE COURT OF APPEALS.

GOD ALMIGHTY'S GRAND UNIFIED THEOREM GAGUT $G_{ij,j}=0$ has been recognized as the ultimate investigation tools as seen by Law and Order, a dramatization of certain parts of the Law and Order system (Appendix L). Since petitioner has infallibly proved mathematically in the case that a child is infallibly related to its biological mother we are convinced that a real judge (not a lawyer of the defendants) would find the defendants guilty of criminal medical malpractice..

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Usman Dogar

Date: August 7, 2020