

No. 20-5353

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

AUG 03 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RAYMOND L. WRIGHT — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals — Sixth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

RAYMOND L. WRIGHT
(Your Name)

F.C.I. — HAZELTON — P.O. BOX 3000
(Address)

BRUCESTER MILLS, W. Va. 26525
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

AUG 13 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

DID THE COURT ERR IN ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA
OF DEFENDANT WHILE HE WAS UNDER INFLUENCE
OF THE PSYCHIATRIC MEDICINE 'RIMON' —

SEE B.D.P. MEDICAL FILE (E.C.F. No. 86 at Pg.
ID #341)

PSYCHIATRIC MEDICINE THAT IRREVERSIBLY
AFFECTED PETITIONER'S MENTAL CONDITION —

PETITIONER ASSERTS THE COURT SHOULD HAVE
WITHHELD JUDGMENT AND ORDERED PETITIONER TO
BE EXAMINED BY COMPETENT PSYCHIATRISTS

THEREFORE PETITIONER ASSERTS HE HAS BEEN
DENIED HIS RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW, AS
GUARANTEED BY THE FIFTH AMENDMENT U.S.C.

AND THEREFORE ASSERTS HE HAS BEEN DENIED HIS
RIGHTS TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL —
AS GUARANTEED BY THE SIXTH AMENDMENT ~~U.S.C.~~
U.S.C. —

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *OPINION of U.S. Court of APPEALS DENYING
Certificate of APPEALABILITY*

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C *OPINION AND ORDER of U.S. District
Court DENYING MOTION TO VACATE
UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255*

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Williams-v- Anderson, 460 F. 3d 789, 800 (6th Cir. 2006)	
Hill-v- Lockhart, 474 U.S. 52, 59 (1985)	
HARRINGTON-v- Richter, 562 U.S. 86, 105 (2011)	
Padilla-v- Kentucky, U.S. 356, 371 (2011)	
684 (1984) Strickland-v- Washington, 466 U.S. 668	
STATUTES AND RULES	

OTHER

GARDNER-v- FLA., 430 U.S. 349, 358 97 S. CT. 1197, 1205, 51 L. Ed 293 (1977)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MAY 18 - 2020

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Fifth Amendment U.S.C.
Sixth Amendment U.S.C.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE DISTRICT COURT SENTENCED PETITIONER TO 180 MOS. IMPRISONMENT AFTER PLEADING GUILTY PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. RULE 11(C)(1)(C) PLEA AGREEMENT TO (2) COUNTS OF INTERSTATE ROBBERY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 1951, (2) CTS. OF BRANDISHING A FIREARM DURING COMMISSION OF A CRIME OF VIOLENCE, 18 U.S.C. § 924(C)(1)(A)(ii) —

PETITIONER APPEALED, AND COURT OF APPEALS DISMISSED THE APPEAL AS UNTIMELY —

PETITIONER THE FILED MOTION UNDER § 2255, ARGUING INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL DUE TO FACT COUNSEL ALLOWED HIM TO ENTER A PLEA OF GUILTY WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF THE PSYCHIATRIC DRUG REMERON, THAT COUNSEL FAILED TO REVIEW PRE-SENTENCE REPORT WITH HIM PRIOR TO SENTENCING, AND FAILED TO RAISE ANY OBJECTIONS AT SENTENCING HEARING —

ON MAY 2019, THE DISTRICT COURT DENIED HIS MOTION UNDER § 2255; APPENDIX B

ON MAY 2020, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS SIXTH CIRCUIT DENIED CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY; APPENDIX A ;

IN THE INSTANT CASE PETITIONER PROSE, CONTENDS THE DISTRICT COURT AS WELL AS THE COURT OF APPEALS HAVE ERRED AND HAVE TOTALLY IGNORED WELL ESTABLISHED SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT —

CONCLUSION

ARE CLEAR, PETITIONER HAS BEEN DENIED HIS RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS, FAIR TRIAL AND EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. THE FACTS AND LAWS

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Roman Wright

Date: 7-29-2020