

20-5340

ORIGINAL

FILED

JUL 30 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

In The  
Supreme Court of the United States

TANVEER S. MAJID,

*Petitioner,*

v.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA),

*Respondents,*

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TANVEER S. MAJID

Petitioner - *Pro Se*

18903 Abbey Manor Drive

Brookeville, MD 20833-3247

Tel: 240-753-2960

E-Mail: Planters00000@gmail.com

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

In the Spring 2013, Petitioner came across a Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) employee at the University of Maryland, College Park Career Fair who began harassing Petitioner in a manner to indicate their involvement Petitioner's harassment collectively known as gang stalking / targeting.

Petitioner prior to filing a lawsuit in U.S. District Court, Petitioner first sought help with the CIA's Office of Inspector General, U.S. Office of Special Council, Federal Bureau of Investigations, U.S. Department of Justice, and U.S. Senator Ben Cardin.

Petitioner filed a Federal lawsuit following the inability of the aforementioned Federal Agencies to help Petitioner.

The questions presented are:

- 1.) Are the Federal Courts abusing their dismissal powers?
- 2.) Are the Federal Courts ignoring Petitioner's Complaint?

---

**PARTITES TO THE PROCEEDINGS**

Petitioner Tanveer S. Majid was the Plaintiff and Appellant below. Respondents Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) were Defendants and Appellees below.

---

**RULE 29.6 DISCLOSURE**

This does not apply.

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED .....	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS .....	ii
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	v
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI.....	1
OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS.....	2
INTRODUCTION.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	7
REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.....	17
CONCLUSION .....	32

## APPENDIX

Court of Appeals Opinion .....	1a
District Court Opinion .....	2a
District Court Order .....	3a
Department of the Army, Bioeffects of Selected Nonlethal Weapons...	4a
Targeted Individuals / Gang Stalking Patents List.....	5a
Missouri House of Representatives – James O. Guest.....	6a
Human Auditory System Response to Modulated Electromagnetic Energy – Dr. Allan H. Fry.....	7a
Hearing of microwave pulses by humans and animals: effects, mechanism, and threshold – NIH.....	8a
Auditory response to pulsed radiofrequency energy – NIH.....	9a
Gang Stalked / Targeted Individuals Across the U.S.A.....	10a
F.B.I. findings about Aaron Alexis shooting.....	11a
F.B.I. Bureau Chief Ted L. Gunderson Affidavit on Gang Stalking....	12a
Private Investigator John B. Lopes Article on Gang Stalking.....	13a
U.S. Office of Special Council Hacking.....	14a
U.S. Department of Justice Response to CIA's Harassment.....	15a
F.B.I's Response to Senator Ben Cardin.....	16a
Important Aspects for U.S. Congressional Inquiry of the CIA's MKULTRA Program.....	17a

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>CASES</b>	<b>Page</b>
Johnson v. Wylie, Kogan, Bolton, Analytica (No. 18-2246).....	24
Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25 (1992).....	25
Model Penal Code Section 2.02(7) and Willful Blindness.....	33

## PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Tanveer S. Majid, respectfully petition for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit in this matter.

---

◆

### OPINIONS BELOW

The decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals is reprinted in the Appendix at 1a. The District Court's opinion is reprinted in the Appendix at 2a.

---

◆

### JURISDICTION

The Court of Appeals entered its judgment on July 27, 2020, and dismissed Petitioner's appeals for the reasons stated in U.S. District Court of Maryland – Greenbelt. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

The 14 Amendment of the United States Constitution states “No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

Petitioner is being discriminated against and is not being afforded equal protection of the laws as the Federal Courts are dismissing his Complaints despite the witnesses and evidence the Petitioner is producing.



## INTRODUCTION

Petitioner filed a civil action in U.S. District Court of Maryland – Greenbelt on 1/27/2020 for injunctive and monetary relief for the substantial emotional distress inflicted upon the Plaintiff by the Central Intelligence Agency in violation of United States Constitution



and Federal Laws. This action is brought to enforce significant and ongoing violations of the United States Constitution and Federal Laws, that is placing the Plaintiff at significant and immediate risk of harm, and now death due to June 3, 2020 visit by Montgomery County Police Officers Michael Chindblom and Beth Tabachnick “therapist” in an attempt to silence Petitioner. The District Court (Civil Action No. 8:20-CV-00233), and Appeals Court (No. 20-1276) dismissed Petitioner case by abusing its dismissal powers and ignoring Petitioner’s Complaint.

In September 16, 2013 Aaron Alexis fatally shot 12 (twelve) people and injured 3 (three) others in a mass shooting at the headquarters of the Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) inside the Washington Navy Yard in southeast Washington D.C. Aaron Alexis was also gang stalked / targeted and made several attempts to halt his targeting and gang stalking to no avail. It was the second-deadliest mass murder on U.S. military base, behind the 2009 Fort Hood shooting. Aaron Alexis was also complaining of frequency harassment as he described as Extremely Low Frequencies (ELF). This same type of frequency harassment is occurring towards Petitioner and numerous other

individuals within the United States. A FOIA from the Department of the Army details this and how it is implemented (Pg. 4a).

Petitioner has also compiled a Patent's List which lists various technologies available to attack, harass and locate an individual from a remote location (Pg. 5a).

Petitioner also acquired a letter written by James O. Guest a former Missouri House of Representative who was seeking help for another individual who was experiencing frequency harassment. (Pg. 6a).

Petitioner's website with documents on targeting / gang stalking URL is: <https://targetgangstalking.wixsite.com/maryland>

One year later Myron Mays an Assistant District Attorney for Dona Ana County, New Mexico who was also being gang stalked / targeted, sought help to no avail as Aaron Alexis and subsequently shot 3 (three) people before being killed at Florida State University. Myron Mays has documented his gang stalking / targeting via YouTube: <https://youtu.be/Asc5JhrJ9B0>.

The Petitioner has informed the Federal Courts who dismissed his Complaint that there are hundreds of other cases in Federal Court that are being dismissed, and thousands of other individuals are currently being gang stalked / targeted and their perpetrators are avoiding prosecution. The Petitioner has also informed the Federal Courts that as with Aaron Alexis and Myron Mays that another mass shooting by these gang stalked / targeted individuals is inevitable as the State and Federal Authorities are dismissing their complaints or in the alternative when they provide hard evidence they are labeled as “mentally sick” to discredit them as Private Investigator John Lopes explains in his article. The Petitioner is not a threat to anyone or anything now or in the future.

Lt. Larry Richards of the Santa Cruz Police Department in California acknowledges gang stalking / targeting as these events have made the news. See Video - Gang Stalking on Fox News:

<https://youtu.be/LZH2lIXPSFk>

On June 3, 2020 Montgomery County Police officer Michael Chindblom with Beth Tabachnick a “therapist” and 3 (three) other police officers came to Petitioner’s house in an attempt get him to drop

his law suit against the Central Intelligence Agency and commit him to a Mental Health Hospital to discredit Petitioner and kill Petitioner through medications and the Coronavirus (COVID-19) as they were following Petitioner's YouTube videos documenting the Central Intelligence Agency's targeting / gang stalking as Montgomery County Police Officers were implicated in this. The Petitioner has filed a Federal Complaint against these corrupt police officers in U.S. District Court of Maryland – Greenbelt (Case #: 8:20-CV-01517-TDC). See Video - Corrupt Police Come To My Home To Harass Me About My CIA Lawsuit: <https://youtu.be/N3OYVxscy8>

Petitioner's YouTube Channel is: Targeted Individual / Gang Stalking in Maryland. The URL to Petitioner's YouTube Channel is: <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCcuHYbxpkG7gT1LCjJnYPHQ>.

Petitioner's Website is:

<https://targetgangstalking.wixsite.com/maryland>

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit dismissal of Petitioner Complaint came off the heels of the attack of a Federal Judge Esther Salas 9 (nine) days after a gunman went to her home and killed her son and wounded her husband while the Judge was in her

basement. The Petitioner believes his Federal Complaint was dismissed as well others who have filed Federal Complaints against their gang stalking / targeting because Federal Judges are threatened, coerced and are frightened by these rogue Central Intelligence Agency employees.



### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. The Defendants, orchestrate and implement a campaign of ongoing twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven (7) days a week of harassment, stalking and surveillance, collectively known as "gang stalking" that are violating Federal Laws and the Constitution of the United States.
2. Gang Stalking involves groups of individuals (gang stalking groups) operating territorially and nationwide, and, in communication and collusion with each other, to violate the civil rights of, and disrupt, destabilize and finally destroy individuals who are put on a Stalking List for various reasons. The gang stalking groups use both intensive physical and electronic surveillance means to do this... including, but

not limited to: street theatre, in which targets of gang stalking are subjected in public to rudeness, loud speaking and personal references to themselves and their private activities by persons within the gang stalking conspiracy; [and] related flash mobbing, or the coming together of an often large group of individuals in the gang stalking conspiracy to disrupt, intimidate, harass and otherwise confuse a gang stalking victim....” (Labella v. FBI - U.S. District Court Case #: 1:11-cv-00023-NGG-LB ). The Department of Justice defines the term “stalking” means engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her safety or the safety of others or suffer substantial emotional distress.

3. The Plaintiff’s targeting of covert/overt harassment began at the University of Maryland - College Park’s Spring Career Fair in 2013 when Plaintiff submitted to employment to various organizations including the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). For unknown reasons an employee of the Central Intelligence Agency began to follow Plaintiff closely behind his back and humming in a loud manner. This Central Intelligence Agency employee is identified as an African American woman who is approximately 5’9 in height. These actions by this

employee was a demonstration of the Plaintiff's future ongoing relentless harassments, threats, stalking and surveillance that was to occur. These actions that the Central Intelligence Agency's employee demonstrated upon the Plaintiff was repeated in an exact manner by dozens of other individuals at various other locations including but not limited to Plaintiff's place of grocery shopping, dining, and places of errands.

4. Subsequent to the aforementioned demonstration of Plaintiff's initial targeting by the Central Intelligence Agency, the Plaintiff has been subjected to coordinated harassment and threats by random enlisted individuals as this occurs at Plaintiff's neighborhood in Brookeville, Maryland and outside of his neighborhood. The Plaintiff's place of residence is under surveillance by unknown surveillance technology(s), as the Plaintiff is being made aware of his covert surveillance through his electronic harassment being implemented by very low frequencies. The electronic harassment by very low frequencies are "pulsing" noises that are being directed at Plaintiff though the electromagnetic spectrum at the range of 5 kHz which are imperceptible to others.

5. The Plaintiff has been gang stalked across the state lines of Maryland as this has occurred in Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Washington D.C., Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois. The Plaintiff in an attempt to elude these harassments, threats and surveillance moved from his residence in Brookeville, Maryland to Chicago, Illinois. These harassments, threats and stalking occurred in Chicago, Illinois.

6. Frequency Harassment can scientifically be described as the Microwave Auditory Effect. This was initially discovered by Dr. Allen Frey in 1962 (Pg. 7a). *The microwave pulse, upon absorption by soft tissues in the head, launches a thermoelastic wave of acoustic pressure that travels by bone conduction to the inner ear. There, it activates the cochlear receptors via the same process involved for normal hearing* (Pg. 8a). *Effective radiofrequencies range from 2.4 to 10000 MHz, but an individual's ability to hear RF induced sounds is dependent upon high frequency acoustic hearing in the kHz range above about 5 kHz.* (Pg. 9a).

7. There are numerous other individuals residing within the United States that are experiencing some form of gang stalking and frequency harassment (Pg. 10a). A notable individual that was being targeted for



frequency harassment and gang stalking was Washington Navy Yard Shooter Aaron Alexis. In 2013 Aaron Alexis killed twelve (12) people at Washington Navy Yard and prior to the shooting he was complaining of frequency harassment and gang stalking. On his shotgun Aaron Alexis etched "ELF" an abbreviation for Extremely Low Frequencies in which he was implying his frequency harassment. The Federal Bureau of Investigations wrote him off as being "delusional" and did not investigate further into this. (Pg. 11a). This is concerning to the Plaintiff as these rogue individuals within the Central Intelligence Agency who are orchestrating these gang stalking's and frequency harassment have continued their illegal activities after the Aaron Alexis incident for no clear reason (despite any reasoning this is illegal) other than for their own enjoyment. They have continued to conduct this on numerous other individuals including myself with the due disregard for human life, U.S. laws and the U.S. Constitution. These rogue Central Intelligence Agency employees pose a threat to the United States National Security. The Plaintiff is concerned that a repeat of the Aaron Alexis incident could occur again if no action is taken to bring these perpetrators to justice as this is continuing to occur

with numerous other individuals. The Plaintiff - Tanveer S. Majid does not now and never will in the future be a threat to anyone or anything in any way shape or form.

8. A detailed affidavit by Ted L. Gunderson a retired Federal Bureau of Investigations - Bureau Chief, Senior Special Agent in Charge, Head of the Los Angeles FBI Field Office explains the aforementioned illegal activities (Pg. 12a).

9. The Plaintiff has consulted with Private Investigator John B. Lopes of The Agency, Inc. Private Investigators in Maryland and Virginia (Maryland State License # 106-2340, Virginia State License # 11-4330, District of Columbia License # 0813). John B. Lopes confirmed that these events are occurring towards Plaintiff. John B. Lopes has written a detailed article explaining the aforementioned illegal activities (Pg. 13a).

10. Plaintiff in Summer of 2017 contacted the Office of Inspector General for the Central Intelligence Agency and spoke to an individual named "Will." Will stated to Plaintiff that necessary actions would be undertaken to halt Plaintiff's targeting and gang stalking, Plaintiff's targeting / gang stalking never ceased. Plaintiff again contacted the

Office of Inspector General for the Central Intelligence Agency in Summer of 2019 and spoke to an individual named, "Nick." Nick acknowledged that Central Intelligence Agency does target individuals but referred Plaintiff to law enforcement for further action.

11. Plaintiff's computer is remotely being monitored. On November 13 – 15 2018, Plaintiff created an account with U.S. Office of Special Counsel and attempted to file a complaint against the Central Intelligence Agency. Plaintiff account was hacked into in an attempt to deter Plaintiff from filing a complaint. (Pg. 14a).

12. On November 18, 2018, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the United States Department of Justice. On February 1, 2019, the Department of Justice replied that Plaintiff does not have evidence to warrant action by their office and stated that Plaintiff has already contacted the proper authorities implying the Central Intelligence Agency's Office of Inspector General. The Plaintiff as prior stated contacted the Central Intelligence Agency's Office of Inspector General (Nick) who referred Plaintiff to law enforcement. As Department of Justice mentioned that Plaintiff does not have evidence, Plaintiff provided proper documentation proving that the illegal activities are

occurring. It is extremely difficult to provide physical evidence against the gang stalking / targeting being orchestrated against Plaintiff by rogue employees of the Central Intelligence Agency because of their expertise in leaving no tangible evidence. During this time Plaintiff was extraordinarily harassed and threatened to dissuade Plaintiff from filing a complaint (Pg. 15a).

13. On December 5, 2018 Plaintiff felt a burning sensation on his back. Plaintiff immediately realized that he was being assaulted by radio frequency as this has occurred to Plaintiff 2-3 times before and Plaintiff had difficulty figuring out what it was. Plaintiff immediately grabbed his radio frequency detector and Plaintiff's radio frequency detector registered a full alert to the exact site of Plaintiff's assault (Picture of Assault Submitted to District Court).

14. On October 11, 2019 Plaintiff contacted United States Senator for Maryland the Honorable Ben Cardin. Plaintiff submitted the same information as mentioned in line 12. On January 24, 2020, Plaintiff received a response from the Honorable Ben Cardin's office a letter from the Federal Bureau of Investigations stating that Plaintiff's complaint is not within the Federal Bureau of Investigation's

jurisdiction. This is on the contrary as the Plaintiff's complaint does fall within the Federal Bureau of Investigation jurisdiction and the Plaintiff has clearly demonstrated in his complaint of Federal Law violations and the FBI's reply falls under the Willful Blindness Doctrine. The Plaintiff is concerned as with the Aaron Alexis incident of the refusal of the Federal Bureau of Investigation to investigate these complaints and to halt these illegal activities (Pg. 16a).

15. During the time Plaintiff contacted United States Senator Honorable Ben Cardin, the Plaintiff was once again extraordinarily harassed and threatened to dissuade Plaintiff from filing a complaint, including staged vehicular collisions threats against Plaintiff. The Plaintiff this time has managed to capture some of these incidents and this is shown on his YouTube Channel.

16. Plaintiff's targeting / gang stalking is a variation of the Central Intelligence Agency's previous MKULTRA program in which the agency was supposed to have halted in 1973 (Pg. 17a).

17. The Plaintiff is currently enduring death threats to dissuade Plaintiff from filing this lawsuit.

18. Plaintiff has fully exhausted his legal remedies to solve this issue.

On January 27, 2020 the Appeals Court concurred with District Court and dismissed Petitioner's Appeal.

#### VIOLETIONS OF THE LAW

19. The Central Intelligence Agency has violated 18 U.S. Code § 2261A. Stalking.

20. The Central Intelligence Agency has violated 18 U.S. Code § 2511. The Wiretap Act.

21. The Central Intelligence Agency has violated Executive Order 13470.

22. The Central Intelligence Agency has violated 18 U.S. Code § 113. Assaults within maritime and territorial jurisdiction.

#### RELIEF

23. Order the Central Intelligence Agency to cease and desist all surveillance, harassment, threats to Plaintiff as no government body is currently taking a holistic or proactive view of Plaintiff's targeting / gang stalking.

24. Order an award for Plaintiff's damages of substantial emotional distress, continuously fearing for his safety, unanswered crimes being committed against him and disruption of his life for the past seven (7) years due to the aforementioned facts/violations of the laws in the amount of seven million dollars (\$7,000,000).

25. Grant any further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.



### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I. *Did the District and Appeals court largely ignore Plaintiff's complaint and selectively choose what to discern?*

1. The District Court cited the following: A complaint is frivolous where "it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." McLean v. United States, 566 F.3d 391, 399 (4th Cir. 2009) (quoting Neitzke, 490 U.S. at 327).

2. The Plaintiff argues the district court selectively choose what to discern and largely ignored Plaintiff's complaint. The Plaintiff has clearly demonstrated an arguable basis in law and fact specifically

stalking, harassment, intimidation and assault. The Plaintiff further argues that he supported these with documentations (attachments) that were not referenced in the district court's Memorandum Opinion. The Plaintiff submitted fifteen (15) attachments with one of them being an exhibit. Fourteen (14) attachments were ignored and only the exhibit was referenced.

*The Plaintiff submitted the following attachments in his District Court Complaint and to the Appeals Court:*

*Attachment A* – Labella v. Federal Bureau of Investigation

*Attachment B* – U.S. Department of Justice definition of “Stalking”

*Attachment C* – Dr. Allen Frey: Human auditory system response to modulated electromagnetic energy.

*Attachment D* – National Institutes of Health (NIH): Hearing of microwave pulses by humans and animals: effects, mechanism, and thresholds.

*Attachment E* – National Institutes of Health (NIH): Auditory response to pulsed radiofrequency energy.



**Attachment F** – Surveillance Survivors list of gang stalking victims. (The URL for this page has been updated to: <https://www.surveillancesurvivors.org/local-networking.html>) from what was listed on the original attachment:

<https://www.surveillancesurvivors.org/networking.html>).

**Attachment G** – Law Enforcement Shares Findings of the Investigation into the Washington Navy Yard Shootings.

**Attachment H** – Affidavit by Ted L. Gunderson a retired Federal Bureau of Investigations - Bureau Chief, Senior Special Agent in Charge, Head of the Los Angeles FBI Field Office.

**Attachment I** – Gang Stalking article by Private Investigator John B. Lopes of The Agency, Inc. (Private Investigators in Maryland and Virginia (Maryland State License # 106-2340, Virginia State License # 11-4330, District of Columbia License # 0813).

**Attachment J** – U.S. Office of Special Council website hacking.

**Attachment K** – My complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice / U.S. Office of Special Council. (Within this complaint are the 10 (ten) following attachments.

1.) Labella v. Federal Bureau of Investigation

2.) Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) – Office of Inspector

General contact information

3.) National Institutes of Health (NIH): Hearing of

microwave pulses by humans and animals: effects,

mechanism, and thresholds.

4.) National Institutes of Health (NIH): Auditory response to

pulsed radiofrequency energy.

5.) Methods of Implementation of Microwave Auditory Effect

(patents):

A.) US3393279A - Nervous system excitation device;

B.) US3647970A - Method and system for simplifying

speech waveforms.

6.) Voice to Skull Definition by U.S. Army (also known as

Microwave Auditory Effect).

7.) List of U.S. Patents

8.) Affidavit by Ted L. Gunderson a retired Federal Bureau

of Investigations - Bureau Chief, Senior Special Agent in

Charge, Head of the Los Angeles FBI Field Office.

9.) Gang Stalking article by Private Investigator John B.

Lopes of The Agency, Inc. (Private Investigators in Maryland and Virginia (Maryland State License # 106-2340, Virginia State License # 11-4330, District of Columbia License # 0813).

10.) Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) hacking evidence of Office of U.S. Special Council.

Attachment K-1 – Pictures of my Radio Frequency Assault

Attachment L – FBI's Reply to U.S. Senator Ben Cardin

Attachment M (Exhibit M) – Videos – Segments 1-4 “Vehicular Collision Threats”,

“Police Corruption Montgomery County, MD,” “Video Descriptions,” “YouTube Link.” (For Plaintiff's YouTube Link, under *ABOUT* lists Plaintiff website: <https://targetgangstalking.wixsite.com/maryland>, which has many documents to support Plaintiff's Complaint in the *DOCUMENTS* section.

Attachment N (incorrectly listed as Exhibit O on attachment) – Important aspects from the U.S. Congressional Inquiry into the

Central Intelligence Agency's MKULTRA program, as well as the entire CIA's MKULTRA Program Congressional Inquiry.

3. The Plaintiff additionally listed Violations of the Laws (his claims) in his complaint to complement Plaintiff's basis in law and fact which are the following:

1.) The Central Intelligence Agency has violated 18 U.S. Code § 2261A. Stalking.

*-This was clearly explained through Plaintiff's explanation of his stalking in the State of Maryland and across state lines in his complaint.*

2). The Central Intelligence Agency has violated 18 U.S. Code § 2511. The Wiretap Act.

*-This was clearly explained with proof shown of hacking of U.S. Office of Special Council hacking when Plaintiff tried to file an online complaint against the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) in his complaint.*

3.) The Central Intelligence Agency has violated Executive Order 13470.

*-The United States Government has a solemn obligation, and shall continue in the conduct of intelligence activities under this order, to protect fully the legal rights of all United States persons, including freedoms, civil liberties, and privacy rights guaranteed by Federal law. (Executive Order 13470, 1.1(b)).*

4.) The Central Intelligence Agency has violated 18 U.S. Code § 113. Assaults within maritime and territorial jurisdiction.

*-The Plaintiff clearly demonstrated this through his frequency attack with images of his assault (Attachment K-1) in his complaint.*

4. The District Court stated the following: "Plaintiff alleges that the CIA is subjecting him to frequency harassment" which includes being assaulted electronically through radio and microwave frequencies."

The Plaintiff argues that the District Court did not express its opinion on this as Plaintiff showed proof with pictures (Attachment K-1), and documentation from Dr. Allen Frey (Attachment C) who discovered the Microwave Auditory Effect and the National Institutes of Health articles (Attachment D, Attachment E) that clearly and simply

explains this and the Plaintiff summarized this on his complaint. The Plaintiff continues to argue that the reason for this is the district court ignored fourteen (14) of the fifteen (15) submitted attachments.

5. Plaintiff further argues that the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit upheld the dismissal of a complaint from the Western District Court of Pennsylvania by citing “We agree with the District Court that Johnson's complaint was properly dismissed under § 1915(e)(2)(B). Johnson alleged no specific actions taken by the defendants which caused her any harm.” *Johnson v. Wylie, Kogan, Bolton, Analytica (No. 18-2246)*. The Plaintiff has demonstrated with this frequency attack as well with his stalking, harassment and electronic surveillance, the defendant's harm of him and his complaint should not have been dismissed.

## II. *Did the District Court and Appeals Court abuse its dismissal powers?*

1. The District Court stated, “Plaintiff filed this complaint in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), which permits an indigent litigant to commence an action in this court without prepaying the filing

fee. To guard against possible abuses of this privilege, the statute requires dismissal of any claim that is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and (ii). When considering whether a claim is frivolous, § 1915(e)(2) grants courts “the unusual power to pierce the veil of the complaint’s factual allegations and dismiss those claims whose factual contentions are clearly baseless.” *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327 (1989).”

2. The Plaintiff argues his complaint was not filed frivolously as the Plaintiff stated in his complaint that he attempted to resolve this issue seven (7) times outside of the District Court by first contacting the FBI, then contacting the CIA Office of Inspector General (2018), U.S. Office of Special Council, U.S. Department of Justice, CIA Office of Inspector General (2019), FBI afterwards, and U.S. Senator Ben Cardin. The Plaintiff further argues that the U.S. Supreme Court held the following: “However, in order to respect the congressional goal of assuring equality of consideration for all litigants, the initial assessment of the in forma pauperis plaintiff’s factual allegations must be weighted in the plaintiff’s favor.” *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25 (1992).

3. The district court stated the following: "Text is included in the CD indicating Plaintiff's belief that these otherwise innocuous events represent people that were given his location by "Rogue US Intelligence Agency employees" to spy on Plaintiff." The district court further states "Because the complaint fails to provide any information that might lead to a reasonable conclusion that some plausible cause of action has accrued on Plaintiff's behalf, it will be dismissed pursuant to § 1915(e)(2)."

4. The Plaintiff argues the district court abused its power to dismiss his complaint, this exhibit as prior stated was only one (1) of the fifteen (15) attachments submitted to the District Court. The Plaintiff further cites the following from the U.S. Supreme Court. "Whether or not there are judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them, but a complaint cannot be dismissed simply because the court finds the allegations to be improbable or unlikely." *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25 (1992).

5. The Plaintiff further argues that the United States Supreme Court held: "Because the frivolousness determination is a discretionary one, a § 1915(d) dismissal is properly reviewed for an abuse of that



discretion. It would be appropriate for a court of appeals to consider, among other things, whether the plaintiff was proceeding pro se, whether the district court inappropriately resolved genuine issues of disputed fact, whether the court applied erroneous legal conclusions, whether the court has provided a statement explaining the dismissal that facilitates intelligent appellate review, and whether the dismissal was with or without prejudice.” Denton

v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25 (1992).

#### OTHER CASES RELATED TO TARGETING / GANG STALKING

The Appellant has researched various other cases that have been filed in U.S. District Courts that have also been dismissed, they have even been dismissed on appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals. The Appellant has shown hundreds of individuals who are being targeted / gang stalked in his complaint (Attachment F). There have also been numerous other cases where the FBI has refused to investigate or help these individuals as is with my case. In my case the Central Intelligence Agency Office of Inspector General acknowledged that they do target individuals (Nick - employee), and stated that, “these activities would not occur on U.S. soil” (Will - employee). The Appellant has also shown

to district court that Aaron Alexis in a cry for help killed twelve (12) people in the Washington Navy Yard shootings on September 16, 2013 as he was complaining of targeting / gang stalking. Yet after that incident this is still continuing as he was labeled "mentally sick" so the perpetrators would get away with this, because if the general public knew what the Central Intelligence Agency was doing there would be a massive protests and uprising against this government, as this is continuing and it is concealed (covered-up). This mass shooting is inevitably going to happen again, it's just a matter of time. Relate this to Adolf Hitler and how he was widely popular in Germany, but when it was discovered of his secret killings of millions of Jews amongst others in concentration camps, he lost his popularity and now it is illegal in Germany and certain other countries to give the Hitler Salute. Mental sickness is fabricated excuse to discredit the victim, as was with the Aaron Alexis incident. "Lawson writes that the victim is intentionally "sensitized" to the presence of the stalkers, so that he or she will know that the occurrences are orchestrated. "It is a psychological reign of terror intended to make victims look crazy and to keep them in a constant state of hyper-vigilance (anxiety and stress) concerning what

will happen next” – Private Investigator John Lopes (Attachment I).” A retired Federal Bureau of Investigation Bureau Chief - Ted L.

Gunderson wrote a sworn notarized affidavit on targeting / gang stalking and the district court gave him no value. After the Aaron Alexis incident, Myron Mays a former state Prosecutor who was complaining of targeting / gang stalking went on a shooting spree on November 20, 2014 in a cry for help (watch his YouTube videos). Despite this targeting / gang stalking is still continuing not only for myself but for thousands of other individuals.

Since the mass shootings that Aaron Alexis and Myron Mays executed this is most likely have occurred again to this date and has been most likely been covered up (perhaps you should watch the news and determine for yourself), and it is almost certain that this is going to happen again and again. On the unfortunate scenario where it is you that is in the middle of the next mass shooting of a targeted / gang stalked individual that no one is willing to help or your spouse and children are the next victims and their dead, bloody bodies will be shown on the news as was the case with the Las Vegas shooter, then the Courts will take these complaints seriously instead of dismissing

them by citing them as frivolous, failing to state a claim or outlandish. This seems to be the standards of U.S. Federal Courts with complaints of targeting / gang stalking. It is you that the American public has entrusted to uphold the law and keep them safe through your appointment of the President and confirmation of the Senate that the American public has elected to office. The Appellant - Tanveer S. Majid does not now and never will in the future be a threat to anyone or anything in any way shape or form.

*See Following Related Cases Related to Targeting / Gang Stalking.*

Labella v. Fed. Bureau of Investigation (11-CV-0023)

United States v. Williamson (Criminal Action No. 14-151)

McMahon v. Johnson (12-CV-5878)

Brown v. Express Scripts (4:17CV866)

O'Neal v. Milwaukee Wis. U.S. Dep't of Justices (18-cv-685-pp)

Brown v. Express Scripts (Case No. 4:17CV866)

Kelsey v. United States (3:18-cv-1009-J-32MCR)

McMahon v. Johnson (12-cv-5878)

Toro v. City of N.Y. (12-CV-4093)

Shelton v. Crookshank (3:17-CV-108)

Akwei v. NSA (1:92-cv-00449-SS)

This is a fraction of the thousands of lawsuits filed similar to mine.

**RELIEF REQUESTED**

1. Order the Central Intelligence Agency to cease and desist all surveillance, harassment, threats to Appellant as no government body is currently taking a holistic or proactive view of Appellant's targeting / gang stalking.
2. Order an award for Appellant's damages of substantial emotional distress, continuously fearing for his safety, unanswered crimes being committed against him and disruption of his life for the past seven (7) years due to the aforementioned facts/violations of the laws in the amount of seven million dollars (\$7,000,000).\*
3. Grant any further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

\*If this Court does not want to award Appellant damages of substantial emotional distress, continuously fearing for his safety, unanswered crimes being committed against him and disruption of his life for the past seven (7) years due to the aforementioned facts/violations of the laws in the amount of seven million dollars (\$7,000,000) which the

Appellant believes he is entitled to, at the very least Order the Central Intelligence Agency to cease and desist their targeting / gang stalking of Appellant. Because this targeting / gang stalking of Appellant is a waste of Appellant's life. As these rogue CIA individuals who are orchestrating this are apparently getting a narcissistic high during the time Appellant filed his complaint in district court by relaying to him in addition to his death threats, that his complaint will be dismissed, and now his Appeal is going to be dismissed.



## CONCLUSION

The United States District Court of Maryland – Greenbelt, as well as the United States Court of Appeals for the 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit did not care or are being coerced to dismiss Plaintiff Complaint's as they gave no credence to the innocent people being killed and tortured every day including Petitioner himself, despite the overwhelming evidence presented to them. Despite the Federal Courts reasoning's to dismiss my Complaint, they are themselves Violating the Law and U.S. Constitution as they allowing these acts to continue with impunity as

they are displaying Willful Blindness per Model Penal Code Section 2.02(7). This petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

~~ALL ATTACHMENTS (1-3, A-K) WERE SUBMITTED ON PAPER  
WITH THIS WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE CLERK~~

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th day of August, 2020.



---

Tanveer S. Majid –Petitioner, Pro se  
18903 Abbey Manor Drive  
Brookeville, MD 20833-3247  
Tel: (240) 753-2960  
Email: Planters000000@gmail.com