

20-5331
No.

ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Michael Alan Bruzzone, *Petitioner*

and

**Intel Corporation and ARM Inc., and pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3) the parent
Japanese headquartered and United Kingdom domicile Softbank ARM Holdings**

Respondents

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *in FORMA PAUPERIS*

The petitioner seeks leave to proceed in *forma pauperis*.

Petitioner is previously granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in these courts; United States District Court in Northern California District, San Francisco Phillip Burton and pursuant this Petition Oakland Ronald Dellums Court House; California State 6th Appellate, San Jose Court House; Santa Clara County Superior Court of California, San Jose Downtown Court House.

Petitioner's declaration in support of this motion is here attached.



Michael Alan Bruzzone in pro se
August 6, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF FEE WAIVER	2 - 7
INCOME and TOTAL	7
EMPLOYMENT	8
FINANCIAL	11
MONTHLY EXPENSE and TOTAL	11
LEGAL AID	12
28 U.S.C. § 1746 Statement of the Petitioner	13
EXHIBITS; poverty line	14
COURT FEE WAIVER FORM	15 - 19

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION PROCEED FORMA PAUPERIS

I, Michael Bruzzzone, am petitioner in the above titled case. In support of motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, notify impoverishment, on federal and States witness status, one among the results of my 270 month enlistment by federal attorneys in non-paid discovery aid and academic studies roles support 15 U.S.C. § 5 antitrust investigations at 18 U.S.C § 1962c cognizable § 1964c.

Petitioner Bruzzzone is the Federal Trade Commission attorney enlisted discovery aid at 15 U.S.C. § 5, 15 pursuant FTC v Intel Corporation in support Docket 9288, May 1998 through 2001, and Docket 9341 begins summer 2008.

Blacklisted by corporate defendants and targeted in associate 15 U.S.C. §§

1, 2, group boycott Petitioner's sole career option, unconscionable to expose others on retaliations, provides FTC v Intel Corp. Docket 9341 consent order monitoring in this FTC attorney enlisted assignment begins 2010 and continues currently.

Petitioner in December 2008 is contractually designated by U.S. Attorney Northern California District and United States Department of Justice 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729, 3730(b)(1)) relator original source of the \$41.5 billion Intel Inside® and subset federal government (GSA) procurement overcharge theft on cartel channel administered 'metered flow' consumer discriminatory pricing. Price fix is cost to Intel Corporation boxed microprocessors and those integrated into a computer case. Presents a structured brand fee reward for monopoly channel 'essential toll way' preferred access; Intel horizontal channel dealer bridge 'abutment' entry points facilitate dealer bridging tied to horizontal channel bridge 'abutment' sale's exit points. Entry and Exit Abutments meter channel processor flows. When sales are reported to Intel by exit points are paid an Intel Inside® tying channel value, averaging \$10 cost to processors, is cost offset within end buyer's Intel processor and Intel processor in computer purchase price.

Bruzzone is contractually guaranteed a federal bounty of 30% pursuant Intel Inside® processor in box and computer 'price fix' procurement overcharge recovery; estimated ~ \$2 billion with a \$350 million settlement offer extended.

Through 25 years Petitioner performs multidisciplinary academic science

and studies roles; economics, general systems, paralegal, antitrust case research, produces federal and States reports and as a result is placed into destitution by defendant's and corporate political relations for doing the job federal and State attorneys enlisted Petitioner to do.

Petitioner is pillared by defendant and their associates in industry and society, as an example too others the power of an illicit cartel intent to conceal Sherman Act, Clayton Act, racketeering, industrial espionage and the severe economic effects of such on nation, society, States, industry and citizens.

Petitioner at U.S. Constitution 9th amendment is recognized by Congress of the United States at 15 U.S.C §§ 5, 15 in 1998, and 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(1) in 2008. Petitioner is acknowledged by 31 States Attorneys General as relator, expert or witness. On letter is United States House of Representatives and Senate designee "recovery for the people".

Federal civic service begins May 1998 enlisted by Bureau of Competition attorney Mr. Robert Cook as discovery aid supporting attorney Mr. Jeffrey Lin. A multidisciplinary analyst role includes general systems and production micro economics analysis supporting Federal Trade Commission v Intel Corp. 15 U.S.C. § 5 incipient antitrust investigation known as Docket 9288. Late 2008 on 2007 FTC inquiry by Congressman Mr. Bobby Rush, then Chair subcommittee commerce, trade and consumer protection, parallels a referral by then FTC consulting economist Professor Frederic Mike Scherer, Harvard Kennedy School

of Government, to then FTC Chair Mr. William Kovacic. Bruzzone is then referred to Bureau of Competition attorneys Ms. Karen Espaldon, Ms. Ella Krainsky and Mr. Kent Cox. Petitioner supports FTC v Intel Corp. 15 U.S.C. § 5 investigation known as Docket 9341. Performs consent order monitoring currently on agreement with case chief litigator FTC attorney Mr. Robbie Robertson.

Beginning 1999 Petitioner supports the parallel States Attorneys General Departments of Consumer Protection investigations, includes California Department of Justice investigation of Intel Corp. consumer price fix system known as Intel Inside®. Currently Petitioner is recognized by 31 states as relator, witness or expert.

In March 1999 Petitioner ascertains on Intel Corp. system's structure does infringe 15 U.S.C. §§ 1, 2. Ten years following is validated "avoidable consumer cost" charge pursuant EUCC COMP / C-3 / 37.990 Intel Corp. decision of May 13, 2009. Is affirmed as EU judgment June 12, 2014 pursuant Court of 1st Instance Intel Corp. appeal decision T-286/09. Through this entire 21 year period Bruzzone's expert qualification and federal witness status is under direct and indirect assault by defendant's network of executives, stakeholders, political placements include jurists, detectives and informants.

Through 21 years of civic service Bruzzone is devastated financially by actors operating in cartel sub group crime, network trade *association and* Judges sending Petitioner in circles thought political and or federal investigative stall.

Discoveries substantiate Petitioner group boycott in electronics trade and interstate commerce at 15 U.S.C. §§ 1, 2 and United States Constitution 1st on 18 U.S.C §§ 1512, 1513 retaliation parallel judicial denial 15 § 15 remedy at Constitution 5th and 14th to hear evidence of said group boycott, civil libel, a property matter that is federal contract interference ex post facto by a federal Judge, State interference in federal civil rights denied confrontation and equal protection to hear on facts and law these matters of controversy.

Kept near bankruptcy is perpetuated by corporate defendant(s) on State punishments discoveries revealing FRCP 60(b)(3) acts of defendants attorneys and their law enforcement consultant(s) to destroy federal, prepare and present misrepresented and false evidence include known FBI and Secret Services affiliates. Subject 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001a, 1519, California Penal Code §§ 132, 134, on conduct attempt to disqualify a federal and states witness falsely portraying delusional, incompetent, frivolous, vexatious and criminally harassing. Parallels judicial neglect said 28 § 455(a)(b)(1)(5)(iv) bias, prejudice, disabling at FRCP 60(d)(3) mechanics of justice process to hear said CPC §§ 132, 134 constructions include “vexatious” at California Civil §§ 1571, 1573 raising that question CCC § 1574. U.S. Constitution 5th and 14th denials include by judge(s) of United States Court Northern and Eastern California Districts chartered to address cases of controversy, on fact and law by federal statute; 15 U.S.C. § 15, 18 U.S.C. § 1964c, and in State Court by judges of Santa Clara County Superior chartered to address

civil disputes on fact and law by state statute. Matter tolls a civil rights violation at 42 U.S.C 1981(a)(b) "lawful class" on State at § 1983 and certain defendants at 42 U.S.C. § 1985(1)(2)(3) conspiracy to conceal subject 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242.

So marked on these unusual forms of punishment over 21 years, Petitioner is pressed into deficiency these forms of network targeting are historically well known. Denied institution of common law on fact, over 25 years, Bruzzone is unable to pay the costs of this case or to give security, have acted as my own legal representative for twelve years, have utilized the technique of opposing attorney enlistment as representatives of discovery findings to their clients lacking whole remedial effect, negation abounds, and am entitled redress. In support of this application I provide the following information:

INCOME

1. I presently receive California Unemployment benefit of \$366 per month following dismissal from Raley's Supermarket where I also suspect private detective involvement in dismissals. Unemployment ends Sept. 15, 2020.
2. I currently have no employment prospect other than Federal Trade Commission attorney enlisted assignment monitoring FTC v Intel Corp. Docket 9341 consent order that pays nothing however includes substantial administrative, reports, mailing and extraordinary litigation costs.
3. Parallel FTC service, I've attempted employment as technology industry writer producing a monthly e-newsletter covering Intel Corp. antitrust and

cartel case matters that is known among the observing and concerned audiences. Primary audience is States Departments of Consumer Protection and 82 consumer related price fix actions v Intel Corp. Unable too secure subscriptions for this service, I assume cost of production to circulate the monthly e-newsletter. Biannually I pay the hard costs to produce and post its Congress Briefs. Supports Federal Trade Commission and United States Dept. Justice transparency associated with FTC Docket 9341 consent order monitoring I'm responsible, and solely capable, on 28 year's industry case understanding and the history of targeted retaliation as said unconscious-able to expose others.

4. I am a single individual and there are no other contributors to my monthly earnings.
5. I have no sources of income from real property, interest, dividend, gifts, alimony, child support, pension at 61 years and just qualify for Social Security. Have no disability, unemployment payments, public assistance other than PG&E discount and California State Medi-Cal program for poverty line citizens; exhibits attached.
6. My total monthly cash flow is negative.

EMPLOYMENT

7. In the last three years I've performed part time work in non benefit paying positions for Hertz Corporation and Raley's Supermarket.

8. Prior to Hertz Corp. I've had no sustainable career employment since October 1998, follows enlistment as discovery aid supporting FTC Docket 9288 in May 1998.
9. Late 2008 I'm referred back into Bureau of Competition to support in discovery aid role FTC v Intel Corp. Docket 9341. I continue currently as Docket 9341 consent order monitor.
10. Enlisted federal service, a job I recommend to no one, supports direct constituents include Federal Trade Commission attorneys, and United States Department of Justice Civil and Criminal Division Chiefs, and United States Attorneys, concerns through 25 years economic discovery by this federal analyst; > \$1.2 Trillion in non accounted / non reported Intel microprocessor production values by Intel Corp. employees. Per Securities Exchange Commission a 25 year false line item cost certification identified by this analyst in Intel Corp. 10Ks, confirmed by EUCC, and a stock market rigging system known as supply signal cipher. Pursuant Intel Corp. Legal Department a 25 year failure to comply with Intel Corp. 1993 USDOJ antitrust compliance requirement. Pursuant 82 consumer actions concerns Intel Inside® line item cost false certification identifies \$41,500,500,000 in direct PC end buyer price surcharges recovers cartel channel administrative cost for registered metering of Intel branded PCs routed across state lines by United States Post and civil commercial

transport. Pursuant to industry concerns in excess \$1.2 Trillion in Intel Corp. processor production values (\$300,000,000 suspect non accounted cost) dumped at industry sales price below measures of Intel Corp. cost includes into 2019.

11. Prior to Federal Trade Commission civic service industry employers include Orchid Technology Inc., Arche Technology Inc., Cyrix Corp., NexGen Corp., ARM Inc. Advanced Micro Devices Inc., Samsung Corp., Intel Corp. in a failed 1997 antitrust consultancy role I'm thrown under the bus, thereafter Integrated Device Technologies Inc. where my annual salary plus bonus in 1998, at age 39, reaches \$130K.
12. December 28, 2008 former United States Attorney in Northern California District Mr. Joseph Russoniello recognizes this federal analyst on history of industry witness status as 31 U.S.C. § 3730 relator original source of Intel Inside® PC price fix procurement overcharge from United States federal government and General Services Administration.
13. On May 21, 2014 said 31 U.S.C. § 3729 commission on explicit federal contract to steward matter is withdrawn ex post facto by a United States District Judge William Alsup in the face of confirming proofs; U.S. Attorney non intervention letter of December 2008 defines recovery reward pursuant commission to recruit private attorney; December 13, 2010 U.S. Attorney e-letter confirming commission; May 21, 2011 U.S. Department

of Justice correspondence by post confirming commission; May 21, 2011 U.S. Department of Justice letter post to Senator Feinstein confirms commission, October 7, 2014 ORDER acknowledgment by United States Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit “Michael Bruzzone Relator Original Source v Intel Corp and ARM Inc.” is typical of the prospective economic interference that has placed Petitioner into financial lack, that is ruin at my age and tenure.

FINANCIAL

14. Wells Fargo Bank, Checking Account < ~ \$600, no savings.
15. Bank of America, California Unemployment account debit card ~ \$4000
16. No physical property, assets or items of extraordinary value.
17. No one owes me money.
18. I own outright a 2000 Pontiac Firebird automobile.

MONTHLY EXPENSES

19. I pay no rent put up in family property from which I maintain an office for Intel Corp. and industry monitoring, Intel field studies, research, content development, federal reports and publications.
20. Utilities are split between my family and I pay home electric utility.
21. Food, clothing, laundry, dental, vehicle maintenance and cost of operation equal my monthly net income.

TOTAL MONTHLY EXPENSES ~ \$943.00 *and growing on litigation costs*

LEGAL AID

22. Pursuant controversy legal aid is unavailable withheld by some members of the BAR on their tacit threat of blacklist affects other members of the BAR.
23. Libeled by a federal judge “not relator” causes question among attorneys, stalls remedies, aids and abets theft too continue.
24. I do not expect major changes to monthly income and expenses.
25. I do not expect to pay any attorney for service in connection with this case including completion of this form. Into future is entirely dependent on private attorney false claims act representation per December 28, 2010 U.S. Attorney commission to steward through federal recovery as Congressional agent and steward.
26. I do not expect to pay for any administrative law services, such as a paralegal, through 18 year’s necessity self taught law, paralegal specific to my individual and related matters document associate network aid to abet direct theft from PC end buyers, federal and states government at 18 USC §§ 2, 3, 4, 371, 641, 1001a(1)(2)(3), 1961. Law enforcement stall or continued investigation conceals fourteen added federal statute violations by Intel Corporation; 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1503, 1505, 1510, 1511, 1512, 1513, 1516, 1519, 1956, 1957, 1958, 1961, 1962c, 1964c, 31 USC § 3729 theft from federal government. Raises 18 U.S.C §§ 1831 and 2382 question

concerns a novel form of economic espionage through 25 years disables
Commerce at Article 1, § 8, clause 3.

27. I am my case expert, no other attorney is expected to step up to my
individual civil core matters on 21 years of federal antitrust and individual
civil expertise difficult to duplicate, or on nascent Constitution law that
expertise is beyond my financial ability too procure as attorney service.
28. 42 U.S.C. § 1988 is claimed should attorneys step up to represent in
conjunction or independent 31 U.S.C. § 3729 commission.

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct to the
best of my knowledge, this motion is made in good faith, contents of this
declaration are pertinent on the unusual conditions seeking this fee waiver, type
face and form here are correct to the best of my ability pursuant Rules of Court,
declaration word processor count the body of this declaration is 2461, electric
utility and Cal Medical exhibits validating poverty line follow;.

Date: 8.6.2020


Michael Alan Bruzzone in pro se

Exhibit; utility company poverty line discount and Medi-CAL validation.


CARE/FERA

Account Number

1323288164-2

Service Address

3766 VIA VERDI, RICHMOND, CA, 94803



About PG&E	>	PG&E Newsroom	>	Accessibility	>	HELP	>
(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/about-pge.page)		(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/media-newsroom/media-newsroom.page)		(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/company-information/diversity-and-inclusion/accessibility/accessibility-regulation)		(https://pgesupport.zendesk.com/hc/us)	
Company Information	>	For Our Business	>	Partners	>	CONTACT PG&E	>
(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/company-information/company-information.page)		(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/our-business-partners/business-to-business.page)		(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/company-information/regulation/regulation-privacy)		(http://www.pge.com/en_US/resident-service/help/contact-pge-landing/contact-us.page)	
Community	>	Partners	>	Regulation	>	CONNECT WITH PG&E	
(http://www.pge.com/en_US/resident-service/help/contact-pge-landing/contact-us.page)		(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/company-information/regulation/regulation-privacy)		(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/company-information/privacy-policy/privacy.page)		(https://www.facebook.com/pacificgasandelectric)	
Workshops & Events	>	Careers	>	Terms & Conditions	>	(https://www.linkedin.com/company/pacific-gas-and-electric-company)	
(http://www.pge.com/en_US/business-choices/training-centers/training-centers.page?)		First Responder Resources	>	(http://www.pge.com/en_US/about-pge/company-information/disclosure/disclosure-page?)		(http://www.youtube.com/user/pgevideo)	
		(http://www.pge.com/mybusiness/first-responder/index.shtml)				(https://www.instagram.com/pacificgasandelectric)	
						(http://www.pgecurrents.com/?WT.ac=Home_Currents-Feb16)	

**AFFIDAVIT OR DECLARATION
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

I, MARCEL BIZUZZONE, am the petitioner in the above-entitled case. In support of my motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, I state that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of this case or to give security therefor, and I believe I am entitled to redress.

1. For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	Average monthly amount during the past 12 months		Amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Self-employment	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Interest and dividends	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Gifts	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Alimony	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Child Support	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Unemployment payments	\$ <u>366</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$ <u>1200</u>	\$ _____	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ _____
	<u>PWA</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
	<u>CAWES ACT</u>			
Other (specify): _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Total monthly income:	\$ <u>1566</u>	\$ _____	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ _____

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross monthly pay
RALEYS SUPER MARKET		JUN-SEPT 2018	\$ 1000 -
			\$
			\$

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross monthly pay
DOES NOT APPLY			\$
			\$
			\$

4. How much cash do you and your spouse have? \$ 4600 -
Below, state any money you or your spouse have in bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Financial institution	Type of account	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
WELLS FARGO	CHECKING	\$ -600 -	\$
BANK OF AMERICA		\$	\$
CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT		\$ -4000 -	\$

5. List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

☐ Home
Value _____

☐ Other real estate
Value _____

☐ Motor Vehicle #1
Year, make & model 2000 PONTIAC
Value 15000 - FIREBIRD

☐ Motor Vehicle #2
Year, make & model _____
Value _____

☐ Other assets
Description NONE OF ANY VALUE
Value \$10,000 - RENTERS INS. POLICY W/FARMERS.

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you or your spouse money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money

N/A

Amount owed to you

\$

Amount owed to your spouse

\$

7. State the persons who rely on you or your spouse for support.

N/A

Name

Relationship

Age

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, or annually to show the monthly rate.

You

Your spouse

Rent or home-mortgage payment
(include lot rented for mobile home)

Are real estate taxes included? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Is property insurance included? ☐ Yes ☐ No

PROVIDED BY my FAMILY

Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone)

\$ 60- \$

Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)

\$ \$

Food

\$ 500- \$

Clothing

\$ \$

Laundry and dry-cleaning

\$ 40- \$

Medical and dental expenses

\$ \$

	You	Your spouse
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments)	\$ 20-	\$
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	BAZT/IBUS	\$
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
Homeowner's or renter's	\$ 15-	\$
Life	\$	\$
Health	\$	\$
Motor Vehicle	\$ 158-	\$
Other: _____	\$	\$
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
(specify): _____	\$	\$
Installment payments		
Motor Vehicle	\$ NA	\$
Credit card(s)	\$	\$
Department store(s)	\$	\$
Other: _____	\$	\$
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$	\$
Regular expenses for operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed statement)	\$ 150-	\$
Other (specify): OFFICE SUPPLIES / POSTAGE	\$	\$
Total monthly expenses	\$ 943-	\$

9. Do you expect any major changes to your monthly income or expenses or in your assets or liabilities during the next 12 months?

☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, describe on an attached sheet.

LITIGATION COSTS
PUBLICATION COSTS

10. Have you paid - or will you be paying - an attorney any money for services in connection with this case, including the completion of this form? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, how much? _____

If yes, state the attorney's name, address, and telephone number:

11. Have you paid—or will you be paying—anyone other than an attorney (such as a paralegal or a typist) any money for services in connection with this case, including the completion of this form?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, how much? _____

If yes, state the person's name, address, and telephone number:

12. Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the costs of this case.

SEE ATTACHED

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: AUGUST 6, 2020


(Signature)