

No. 20-5314

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jared Stubblefield — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.  
Michael Seiser #465 RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jared Stubblefield  
(Your Name)

6011 S. Damen  
(Address)

Chicago IL 60636  
(City, State, Zip Code)

773 476 7182  
(Phone Number)



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Am I allowed to receive an "Attorney  
fee" when filing a civil action for deprivation  
of rights 42 U.S.C. § 1983?

Does 42 U.S.C. § 1988<sup>(b)</sup> apply to this filing  
in this matter?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Michael Seiser badge #4615

Kimberly M. Fox

Andrea M. Webber

Matthew F. Kennedy

Frank H. Easterbrook

Amy J. S. T. Eve

David F. Hamilton

Michael B. Brennan

Michael Y. Scudder

## RELATED CASES

In the Circuit court of cook county, ILLINOIS

Case/~~Ticket~~ complaint #: TN-666-331 TN-666-330 TN-666-328  
TN-666-329 TN-666-327

United States District court Northern District of Illinois  
Eastern Division Case #: 1:19-CV-02715

United States Court of Appeal for The Seventh Circuit  
Case #: 19-2567

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Circuit court of cook county TN-666-381	<del>22-27</del>
US District Court of Northern Illinois 1:19-cv-02715	Eastern Division 7-11
US Court of Appeals the Seventh Circuit	12-33
STATUTES AND RULES	
civil rights act	Title 42 section 1983 U.S.C.
1st amendment	Title 42 section 1988 U.S.C.
5th amendment	
9th amendment	
14th amendment	

## OTHER

## Table of contents

34 pages

Opinion below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Constitutional and statutory provisions involved	3
Statement of the case	4
Reasons for granting the petition	5
Conclusion	6

## Appendix

### Appendix A (district court)

Amended complaint	7-11
-------------------	------

### Appendix B (court of appeal)

Writ of mandamus	12-13
------------------	-------

Appeal	14-15
--------	-------

Denial motion to proceed in forma pauperis	16-19
--	-------

Docketing fee paid	20
--------------------	----

Opinion of district judge	21
---------------------------	----

Brief Summary	28-30
---------------	-------

Opinion of appeal judge	31-33
-------------------------	-------

### Appendix C (state court)

Certified statement of disposition	22-27
------------------------------------	-------

### Appendix D

Table of authorities cited	34
----------------------------	----

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_ to the petition and is  
 reported at Stubblefield v. Clerk, Cook, city, USCA 7; or, 1T-2567  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_ to the petition and is  
 reported at Stubblefield v. Clerk, Cook, city, of Chicago, 1T-cv-02715  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_ to the petition and is  
[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_ to the petition and is  
[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was March 27, 2020.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: March 27, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

### Constitutional involvement

Preamble To secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our Posterity,

Article 6

1<sup>st</sup> amendment

5<sup>th</sup> amendment nor be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law, without compensation

14<sup>th</sup> amendment section 1

### Statutory provision involvement

complaint under the civil Rights Act title 42 United States Code section 1983 and 1988(b)

Supreme Court of the United States

Jared Stubblefield

No. 20-5314

VS  
Chicago police officer  
Michael Seiser badge #4615

Cook County of Illinois States Attorney  
Kimberly M. Foxx

Cook county circuit judge  
Andrea M. Webber cook county judge

District judge of northern District of Illinois  
Matthew F. Kennelly District judge


United states court of appeal for the 7<sup>th</sup> circuit  
Judge Frank H. Easterbrook  
Judge Amy J. S.T. Eve  
Judge David F. Hamilton  
Judge Michael B. Brennan  
Judge Michael Y. Scudder

Petition for rehearing  
Writ of Certiorari

I Jared Stubblefield coming as pro sa litiagant file petition of writ of certiorari to united states supreme court. I Jared Stubblefield had a matter in state court where declaratory relief was unavailable. I went to trial at circuit court of cook county and won. Within this same matter I filed civil action for deprivation of rights 42 U.S.C. § 1983 seeking declaratory relief. I filed this matter at United States District Court Northern District of Illinois. Within in me filing I was deprived of life, liberty, and property without due process of law. My case was dismissed in district court where the judge stated that it was frivolous. So I filed an appeal with the United States court of appeal of seventh circuit. Which the appellate court agreed with the district judge. I ask the supreme court to allow me due process, so that the enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage for me. My declaratory relief is a form of attorney fee pursuit to proceedings in vindication of civil right 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b). As officers of the United Stated Supreme Court I ask that this matter be equal justice under law.

Jared stubblefield  
6011 s. Damen  
Chicago IL 60636

11/03/2020




**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

I believe my in United State <sup>counts</sup> and  
the United States Constitution to be faith

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jason Stradley". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a stylized "J" at the beginning.

Date: 11/03/2020

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

**RECEIVED**

Jared Stubblefield

JUL 16 2019

THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

(Enter above the full name  
of the plaintiff or plaintiffs in  
this action)

vs.

Chicago police  
officer # 9615  
Michael Seiser

Case No: 1:19-cv-02715  
(To be supplied by the Clerk of this Court)

Judge Kennelly

(Enter above the full name of ALL  
defendants in this action. Do not  
use "et al.")

CHECK ONE ONLY:

AMENDED COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, TITLE 42 SECTION 1983  
U.S. Code (state, county, or municipal defendants)

COMPLAINT UNDER THE CONSTITUTION ("BIVENS" ACTION), TITLE  
28 SECTION 1331 U.S. Code (federal defendants)

OTHER (cite statute, if known)

**BEFORE FILLING OUT THIS COMPLAINT, PLEASE REFER TO "INSTRUCTIONS FOR  
FILING." FOLLOW THESE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY.**

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

**I. Plaintiff(s):**

A. Name: Jared Stubblefield

B. List all aliases: \_\_\_\_\_

C. Prisoner identification number: \_\_\_\_\_

D. Place of present confinement: \_\_\_\_\_

E. Address: 6011 S. Damen

(If there is more than one plaintiff, then each plaintiff must list his or her name, aliases, I.D. number, place of confinement, and current address according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

**II. Defendant(s):**

(In A below, place the full name of the first defendant in the first blank, his or her official position in the second blank, and his or her place of employment in the third blank. Space for two additional defendants is provided in B and C.)

A. Defendant: Michael Seiser  
Title: Badge # 4615  
Place of Employment: Chicago police Department

B. Defendant: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
Place of Employment: \_\_\_\_\_

C. Defendant: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
Place of Employment: \_\_\_\_\_

(If you have more than three defendants, then all additional defendants must be listed according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

**III. List ALL lawsuits you (and your co-plaintiffs, if any) have filed in any state or federal court in the United States:**

A. Name of case and docket number: TN-666-327, TN-666-328  
TN-666-329 TN-666-330 TN-666-331

B. Approximate date of filing lawsuit: 9/20/2018

C. List all plaintiffs (if you had co-plaintiffs), including any aliases: Jared  
Stubbfield

D. List all defendants: Michael Seiser # 4615

E. Court in which the lawsuit was filed (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county): Circuit Court of Cook County ILLINOIS

F. Name of judge to whom case was assigned: \_\_\_\_\_

G. Basic claim made: Attorney fee

H. Disposition of this case (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?): all charges dismissed at trial

I. Approximate date of disposition: \_\_\_\_\_

**IF YOU HAVE FILED MORE THAN ONE LAWSUIT, THEN YOU MUST DESCRIBE THE ADDITIONAL LAWSUITS ON ANOTHER PIECE OF PAPER, USING THIS SAME FORMAT. REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY CASES YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY FILED, YOU WILL NOT BE EXCUSED FROM FILLING OUT THIS SECTION COMPLETELY, AND FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR CASE. CO-PLAINTIFFS MUST ALSO LIST ALL CASES THEY HAVE FILED.**

**IV. Statement of Claim:**

State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including names, dates, and places. **Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes.** If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

On 9/20/2018 I was pulled over and was given some <sup>(5)</sup> traffic tickets. Which I had to defend myself in court. The case was taken to Circuit Court of Cook County where I wasn't allowed to file anything in this matter. So I ask this court to allow me to file a proposal/ order to receive my attorney fee for defending this matter. I'm Asking for equal protection of the laws; And that if any fedual statue that is in conflict with my constitution rights to file a attorney fee.

Attorney Fee

\$12,000,000

V. **Relief:**

State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.

I ask the court to give me equal protection under the law; and don't allow any statutes come in conflict with my United States constitutional rights.

VI. The plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury.  YES  NO

**CERTIFICATION**

By signing this Complaint, I certify that the facts stated in this Complaint are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that if this certification is not correct, I may be subject to sanctions by the Court.

Signed this 15 day of July, 2019

Jared Stubblefield  
(Signature of plaintiff or plaintiffs)

Jared Stubblefield  
(Print name)

(I.D. Number)

6011 S. Damen  
Chicago IL 60636  
(Address)

United States District Court  
Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division

Jared Stubblefield  
Plaintiff

U.S.C.A. - 7th Circuit  
R E C E I V E D

AUG 08 2019 MAN

GINO J. AGNELLO  
CLERK

VS

case # 1:19-cv-02715

Chicago police officer  
#4615 Michael Seiser

Judge Kennelly

**Writ of Mandamus**

I Jared Stubblefield have a petition writ of mandamus on this matter. I was denied the secure the Blessings of Liberty to myself and my posterity. I was taken to court by Michael Seiser in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois where I defended myself as my own attorney. I was tried in court where I was acquitted of the charges held against me. The Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois didn't allow me to file my proposal order for my attorney fee.

I know in the United States of America This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any state to the Contrary notwithstanding (article 6 paragraph 2). The judges didn't allow me equal protection in this matter, but the constitution give me equal protection. All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person

of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws (14 Amendment). Also I have a secured liberty right to work, 1<sup>st</sup> amendment secures right to work. My 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> amendment protect me from any encroachment on my rights. The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people (9<sup>th</sup> amendment). The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people (10<sup>th</sup> Amendment).

Jared Stubblefield  
6011 S. Damen  
Chicago Illinois 60636

---

United States District Court  
Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division

**RECEIVED**

AUG 12 2019

Jared Stubblefield  
Plaintiff

THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

VS

case # 1:19-cv-02715

Chicago police officer  
#4615 Michael Seiser

Judge Kennelly

appeal

I Jared Stubblefield have a petition appeal on this matter. I was denied the secure the Blessings of Liberty to myself and my posterity. I was taken to court by Michael Seiser in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois where I defended myself as my own attorney. I was tried in court where I was acquitted of the charges held against me. The Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois didn't allow me to file my proposal order for my attorney fee. Judge Kennelly dismissed my case because he said I wasn't allowed to receive an attorney fee it a federal code that doesn't allow me to, but my 9 and 10 amendments protect my rights.

I know in the United States of America This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any state to the Contrary notwithstanding (article 6 paragraph 2). The judges didn't allow me equal protection in this matter, but the constitution give me equal protection. All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the

State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws (14 Amendment). Also I have a secured liberty right to work, 1<sup>st</sup> amendment secures right to work. My 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> amendment protect me from any encroachment on my rights. The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people (9<sup>th</sup> amendment). The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people (10<sup>th</sup> Amendment).

Jared Stubblefield  
6011 S. Damen  
Chicago Illinois 60636

---

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse  
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk  
Phone: (312) 435-5850  
[www.ca7.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov)

## CIRCUIT RULE 3(b) NOTICE

August 13, 2019

<b>Original Case Information</b>	
No. 19-2567	JARED STUBBLEFIELD, Plaintiff - Appellant  v.  CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, et al., Defendants - Appellees

Circuit Rule 3(b) empowers the clerk to dismiss an appeal if the docket fee is not paid within fourteen (14) days of the docketing of the appeal. This appeal was docketed on August 13, 2019. The District Court has indicated that as of August 13, 2019, the docket fee has not been paid. Depending on your situation, you should:

1. Pay the required \$500.00 docketing fee PLUS the \$5.00 notice of appeal filing fee to the District Court Clerk, if you have not already done so. The Court of Appeals cannot accept this fee. You should keep a copy of the receipt for your records.  
  
File a motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis with the District Court, if you have not already done so. An original and three (3) copies of that motion, with proof of service on your opponent, is required. This motion must be supported by a sworn affidavit in the form prescribed by **Form 4** of the *Appendix of Forms to the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure (as amended 12/01/2013)*, listing the assets and income of the appellant(s).
- 2.

3. If the motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis is denied by the district court, you must either pay the required \$500.00 docketing fee PLUS the \$5.00 notice of appeal filing fee to the District Court Clerk, within fourteen (14) days after service of notice of the action to the district court, or within thirty (30) days of that date, renew your motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis with this court.

If the motion is renewed in this court, it must comply with the terms of *Fed.R.App.P. 24(a)*.

If one of the above stated actions is not taken, the appeal will be dismissed.

form name: **c7\_DC\_Fee\_Notice\_Sent**(form ID: 158)

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse  
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk  
Phone: (312) 435-5850  
[www.ca7.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov)

## CIRCUIT RULE 3(b) FEE NOTICE

October 7, 2019

No. 19-2567	JARED STUBBLEFIELD, Plaintiff - Appellant  v.  CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, et al., Defendants - Appellees
<b>Original Case Information</b>	
District Court No: 1:19-cv-02715 Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division District Judge Matthew F. Kennelly Clerk/Agency Rep Thomas G. Bruton	

This court's records indicate that on October 7, 2019 the District Court denied your motion to proceed in forma pauperis.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a),

### WITHIN THE NEXT THIRTY (30) DAYS YOU MUST EITHER:

1. Pay the required \$500.00 docketing fee PLUS the \$5.00 notice of appeal filing fee (\$505.00 TOTAL) to the District Court Clerk. The Court of Appeals cannot accept this fee. You should keep a copy of the receipt for your records.
2. File a motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis with the Court of Appeals. An original and three (3) copies of that motion, with proof of service on your opponent, is required. This motion must be supported by a sworn affidavit in the form of a sworn statement listing your assets and income.

**IF ONE OF THE ABOVE ACTIONS IS NOT TAKEN WITHIN 30 DAYS FROM THE DATE LISTED ABOVE, YOUR CASE WILL BE DISMISSED, PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT RULE 3(b).**

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse  
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk  
Phone: (312) 435-5850  
[www.ca7.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov)

## ORDER

December 19, 2019

Before

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, *Circuit Judge*

AMY J. ST. EVE, *Circuit Judge*

No. 19-2567	JARED STUBBLEFIELD, Plaintiff - Appellant v. CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, et al., Defendants - Appellees
<b>Relating Case Information</b>	
District Court No: 1:19-cv-02715 Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division District Judge Matthew F. Kennelly	

Upon consideration of the **AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS**, filed on October 15, 2019, by the pro se appellant,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion for leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis is **DENIED**. The appellant has not made a potentially meritorious argument that the district court erred in dismissing the case for failure to state a claim. Appellant shall pay the required docketing fee within 14 days, or else this appeal will be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Circuit Rule 3(b).

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse  
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk  
Phone: (312) 435-5850  
[www.ca7.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov)

## BRIEFING ORDER

December 30, 2019

No. 19-2567	JARED STUBBLEFIELD, Plaintiff - Appellant  v.  CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, et al., Defendants - Appellees
<b>Originating Case Information</b>	
District Court No: 1:19-cv-02715 Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division District Judge Matthew F. Kennelly	

This court has received notice from the district court that the appellant paid the required docketing fee.

Briefing will now proceed as follows:

1. The Appellant's brief of Jared Stubblefield will be due by January 29, 2020 for 19-2567.

### NOTE:

#### Important Scheduling Notice!

Hearing notices are mailed shortly before the date of oral argument. Criminal appeals are scheduled shortly after the filing of the appellant's main brief; civil appeals are scheduled after the filing of the appellee's brief. If you foresee that you will be unavailable during a period in which your appeal might be scheduled, please write the clerk advising him of the time period and the reason for your unavailability. The court's calendar is located at <http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov/cal/argcalendar.pdf>. Once an appeal has been scheduled for oral argument, it is very difficult to have the date changed. See Cir.R. 34(e).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Jared Stubblefield,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No: 19 C 2715
	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
Clerk of Circuit Court, et al.,	)	Judge: Kennelly
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER**

It has come to the Court's attention that plaintiff has filed a motion for leave to appeal in forma pauperis. The Court denies the motion [26], because the appeal is legally frivolous. Plaintiff is seeking to recover an "attorney fee" in the amount of \$12,000,000 for successfully defending himself—without an attorney—on traffic citations in state court. Plaintiff does not allege that he was arrested, detained, or charged in violation of his constitutional rights; rather he alleges only that he is entitled to an "attorney fee" for defending himself as a matter of equal protection. That makes no sense; if he had been represented by counsel, he would not have been entitled to attorney's fees for a successful defense, so there is no conceivable equal protection violation in denying him compensation for defending himself. And plaintiff cites no other facts or law that would suggest any viable federal claim. Both the underlying lawsuit and the appeal are frivolous. The Clerk is directed to transmit a copy of this order to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit (7th Cir. Case No. 19-2567).



MATTHEW F. KENNELLY  
United States District Judge

Date: 10/7/2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Jared Stubblefield )  
Plaintiff ) Case Number: 19-cv-02715  
v. ) Judge: Kennelly  
Chicago police officer #4615 ) Magistrate Judge:  
Michael Seiser Defendant ) Appeal # 19-2567

Motion

I am filing the certified statement of disposition  
on the record on this matter.

RECEIVED

NOV 27 2019

THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

11/27/2019

Jared S

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

or

CITY or VILLAGE of Chicago

v.

Jared StubblefieldNo. TN-666-328

{

## CERTIFIED STATEMENT OF DISPOSITION


I, DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, and keeper of the records and the seal thereof do hereby certify the records of the Circuit Court of Cook County reflect that:

1. On September 20, 2018 a complaint was filed charging the Defendant with the offense of (91604A0) Improper U-Turn - BLVD

2. On April 3, 2019 the aforementioned cause was held for a hearing before the Honorable Judge Andrea Webber.  
a judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, and the order entered by the Court was Dismissed / Want of Prosecution /

I hereby certify that the foregoing has been entered of record on the above captioned case.

Dated: November 26, 2019

  
DOROTHY BROWN,  
Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois

DOROTHY BROWN, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

or

CITY or VILLAGE of Chicago

v.

Jared Stubblefield

}

No. TN-666-329

**CERTIFIED STATEMENT OF DISPOSITION**

I, DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, and keeper of the records and the seal thereof do hereby certify the records of the Circuit Court of Cook County reflect that:

1. On September 20, 2018 a complaint was filed charging the

Defendant with the offense of ( 6112000 ) Carry / Display License / Permit

2. On November 29, 2018 the aforementioned cause was held for a


hearing before the Honorable Judge Andrea Webber

a judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, and the order entered by the Court was

Dismissed / Want of Prosecution /

I hereby certify that the foregoing has been entered  
of record on the above captioned case.

Dated: November 26, 2019



DOROTHY BROWN,

Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois

DOROTHY BROWN, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

or

CITY or VILLAGE of Chicago

v.

Jared StubblefieldNo. TN-666-330

{

## CERTIFIED STATEMENT OF DISPOSITION


I, DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, and keeper of the records and the seal thereof do hereby certify the records of the Circuit Court of Cook County reflect that:

1. On September 20, 2018 a complaint was filed charging the Defendant with the offense of ( 3707000 ) Operate Uninsured Motor Vehicle

2. On November 29, 2018 the aforementioned cause was held for a hearing before the Honorable Judge Andrea Webber a judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, and the order entered by the Court was Non-Suit /

I hereby certify that the foregoing has been entered of record on the above captioned case.

Dated: November 26, 2019

  
DOROTHY BROWN,  
Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois

DOROTHY BROWN, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

or

CITY or VILLAGE of Chicago

v.

Jared StubblefieldNo. TN-666-331

## CERTIFIED STATEMENT OF DISPOSITION

I, DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, and keeper of the records and the seal thereof do hereby certify the records of the Circuit Court of Cook County reflect that:

1. On September 20, 2018 a complaint was filed charging the

Defendant with the offense of ( 3707000 ) Operate Uninsured Motor Vehicle

2. On April 3, 2019 the aforementioned cause was held for a


hearing before the Honorable Judge Andrea Webber

a judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, and the order entered by the Court was

Not Guilty /

I hereby certify that the foregoing has been entered  
of record on the above captioned case.

Dated: November 26, 2019

  
DOROTHY BROWN,  
Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois

DOROTHY BROWN, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

or

CITY or VILLAGE of CHICAGO POLICE DEPT

v.

STUBBLEFIELD JARED GNo. T N666327

## CERTIFIED STATEMENT OF DISPOSITION

I, DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, and keeper of the records and the seal thereof do hereby certify the records of the Circuit Court of Cook County reflect that:

1. On 09/20/2018 a complaint was filed charging the  
Defendant with the offense of 96414B0 ILL STPING/STD/PK 85 FT BUS


2. On 04/03/2019 the aforementioned cause was held for a  
hearing before the Honorable JUDGE 2244 WEBBER, ANDREA M

a judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, and the order entered by the Court was  
DISMISSED/WANT OF PROSECUTION

I hereby certify that the foregoing has been entered  
of record on the above captioned case.

Dated: 11/18

19

  
DOROTHY BROWN,  
Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois

DOROTHY BROWN, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Jared Stubblefield  
Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Michael Seiser badge #4615  
Defendants – Appellees

U.S.C.A. – 7th Circuit  
RECEIVED  
JAN 24 2020 DS

Appeal case No. 19-2567

Appeal judges

Frank H. Easterbrook Circuit Judge  
Amy J. S.T. Eve Circuit Judge

District court # 1:19-cv-02715

Northern District of Illinois,  
Eastern Division

District judge Matthew F. Kennelly

Circuit Court of cook county

No. TN666327

TN666328

TN666329

TN666330

TN666331

Judge 2244 Andrea M. Webber

Brief Summary

Now comes Jared Stubblefield as pro se litigants as my own counsel presenting for summary brief. In this matter I was acting for my proposal order to be granted on the bases on my equal protection under the united states constitution

On September 20,2018 I was work as an Uber driver picking up and dropping off people in the evening. Around 8:00pm same day I was pulled over by Chicago police officers for multiple tickets. I was pulled out my car taken to the police station to sign for some tickets, stopping me from making money doing Uber. I had to got to court to defend myself against the tickets. I went to trial and won in my defends. In this matter I was not allowed to file any motions or orders, but luckily, I still won.

Since I won in my defends I wanted to proposal order for my attorney fee for defending this matter. Pursuant 42 U.S.C. § 1983 & § 1988 the complaining party is liable for my attorney fee

since I won the matter. So, I filed in district court since asking to get the matter transferred pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1455 and 28 U.S. Code § 1441. Also pursuant to article 3 section 1 and 2 of the United States Constitution.

When filed in district court, District judge Matthew F. Kennelly dismissed my case saying the complaining party is immune for liable for my proposal order. But pursuant to 5<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> amendments of the United States Constitution we have equal protection under the law and nobody is immune in the court of law in the United States. 100 vol supreme court reports Owen, v. city of independence pg 445 (1980).

As I was defining myself in this matter I was working as my own attorney , and I have a constitutional right to work and contract my labor for what I see is fit for me.

Since We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America. So, I ask the court to grant my order.

January 13, 2020

Jared Stubblefield

---

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Jared Stubblefield  
Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Michael Seiser badge #4615  
Defendants - Appellees

Appeal case No. 19-2567

Appeal judges

Frank H. Easterbrook Circuit Judge  
Amy J. S.T. Eve Circuit Judge

District court # 1:19-cv-02715  
Northern District of Illinois,  
Eastern Division

District judge Matthew F. Kennelly

Circuit Court of cook county

No. TN666327

TN666328

TN666329

TN666330

TN666331

Judge 2244 Andrea M. Webber

Proposal order

Attorney fee	\$12,000,000
--------------	--------------

January 13, 2020

Jared Stubblefield

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse  
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk  
Phone: (312) 435-5850  
[www.ca7.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov)

## FINAL JUDGMENT

March 27, 2020

Before: DAVID F. HAMILTON, Circuit Judge  
MICHAEL B. BRENNAN, Circuit Judge  
MICHAEL Y. SCUDDER, Circuit Judge

No. 19-2567	JARED STUBBLEFIELD, Plaintiff - Appellant  v.  CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, et al., Defendants - Appellees
<b>Original Case Information</b>	
District Court No: 1:19-cv-02715 Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division District Judge Matthew F. Kennelly	

The judgment of the District Court is **AFFIRMED** in accordance with the decision of this court entered on this date.

form name: **c7\_FinalJudgment**(form ID: 132)

**NONPRECEDENTIAL DISPOSITION**  
To be cited only in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1

**United States Court of Appeals**  
**For the Seventh Circuit**  
**Chicago, Illinois 60604**

Submitted March 26, 2020\*  
Decided March 27, 2020

**Before**

DAVID F. HAMILTON, *Circuit Judge*

MICHAEL B. BRENNAN, *Circuit Judge*

MICHAEL Y. SCUDDER, *Circuit Judge*

No. 19-2567

JARED STUBBLEFIELD,  
*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

Appeal from the United States  
District Court for the Northern District  
of Illinois, Eastern Division.

*v.*

No. 19 C 2715

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF  
COOK COUNTY, et al.,  
*Defendants-Appellees.*

Matthew F. Kennelly,  
*Judge.*

**ORDER**

Jared Stubblefield sued the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, the City of Chicago, and the State of Illinois for preventing him from obtaining “attorney’s fees” for successfully defending himself against traffic citations in state court. (He later named the police officer who issued the traffic citations as a defendant.) He alleged that the state traffic court violated his right of equal protection by not allowing him to seek

---

\* The appellees were not served with process and are not participating in this appeal. After examining the appellant’s brief and the record, we have concluded that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. FED. R. APP. P. 34(a)(2)(C).

\$12 million in compensation for his self-representation. After twice dismissing Stubblefield's complaints with leave to amend, the district court dismissed his second amended complaint with prejudice as legally frivolous. We affirm the judgment.

On appeal, Stubblefield does not make any legal argument for disturbing the district court's judgment. But even if we generously construe his pro se appellate brief as arguing that he stated a nonfrivolous claim, he must lose. In his second amended complaint Stubblefield invoked *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), and on appeal he invokes 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On the facts alleged, he could not state a plausible claim under either theory. Stubblefield has not sued any individual federal defendants, so *Bivens* does not apply. See 403 U.S. at 395; *Small v. Chao*, 398 F.3d 894, 898 (7th Cir. 2005). And § 1983 establishes a cause of action only for the deprivation of the "rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws" of the United States. Stubblefield, however, has no right under the Constitution or other federal law to receive attorney's fees (it is unclear from whom) for defeating his traffic tickets as a pro se litigant. Simply invoking the phraseology of the Constitution in connection with these alleged facts is insufficient to state a claim. See *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (to state a claim pleading must do more than offer "labels and conclusions" or conclusory legal assertions); *Avila v. Pappas*, 591 F.3d 552, 553 (7th Cir. 2010) (simply uttering the word "Constitution" is insufficient even to establish federal subject-matter jurisdiction). The district court was correct to dismiss this case as lacking in merit.

AFFIRMED