

No. _____


20-5314

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

Jared Stubblefield — PETITIONER
(Your Name)



vs.

Michael Seiser #465 — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)


PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jared Stubblefield
(Your Name)

6011 S. Pamen
(Address)

Chicago IL 60636
(City, State, Zip Code)

773 476 7182
(Phone Number)



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Am I allowed to receive an "Attorney fee" when filing a civil action for deprivation of rights 42 U.S.C. § 1983?

Does 42 ~~U.S.C.~~ § 1988^(b) to this filing in this matter?
↑
Apply

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Michael Seiser badge #4615
Kimberly M. Fox
Andrea M. Webber
Matthew F. Kennelly

Frank H. Easterbrook
Amy J. S. T. Eve
David F. Hamilton
Michael B. Brennan
Michael Y. Scudder

RELATED CASES

In the Circuit court of cook county, ILLINOIS
Case / ~~Ticket~~ complaint #: TN-666-331 TN-666-330 TN-666-328
TN-666-329 TN-666-327

United States District court Northern District of Illinois
Eastern Division Case #: 1:19-CV-02715

United States Court of Appeal for The Seventh Circuit
Case #: 19-2567

Table of contents

37 pages

Opinion below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Constitutional and statutory provisions involved	3
Statement of the case	4-6
Reasons for granting the writ	7
Conclusion	8-9

Appendix

Appendix A (district court)

Amended complaint	10-14
-------------------	-------

Appendix B (court of appeal)

Writ of mandamus	15-16
------------------	-------

Appeal	17-18
--------	-------

Denial motion to proceed in forma pauperis	19-22
--	-------

Docketing fee paid	23
--------------------	----

Opinion of district judge	24
---------------------------	----

Brief Summary	31-33
---------------	-------

Opinion of appeal judge	34-36
-------------------------	-------

Appendix C (state court)

Certified statement of disposition	25-30
------------------------------------	-------

Appendix D

Table of authorities cited	37
----------------------------	----

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Circuit Court of Cook County TN-666-331	15-24
US District Court of Northern Illinois Eastern Division 1:15-cv-02715	4-8
US Court of Appeals the Seventh Circuit 15-2567	9-30

STATUTES AND RULES

Civil rights act Title 42 section 1983
Title 42 section 1988

5th amendment

14th amendment

1st amendment

OTHER

ISC Title 18 section 2381

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at Stubblefield v. Clerk, Cook, city; or, USCA 7
19-2567
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at Stubblefield v. Clerk Cook city of Chicago; or, 19-cv-02715
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was March 27, 2020.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: March 27, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Constitutional involvement

Preamble to secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our Posterity.

Article 6

1st amendment

5th amendment nor be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law, without compensation

14th amendment section 1

Statutory provision involvement

complaint under the civil Rights Act title 42 United States Code section 1983 and 1988(b)

Supreme Court of the United States

Jared Stubblefield

VS

Chicago police officer

Michael Seiser badge #4615

Cook County of Illinois States Attorney

Kimberly M. Foxx

Cook county circuit judge

Andrea M. Webber cook county judge

District judge of northern District of Illinois

Matthew F. Kennelly District judge

United states court of appeal for the 7th circuit

Judge Frank H. Easterbrook

Judge Amy J. S.T. Eve

Judge David F. Hamilton

Judge Michael B. Brennan

Judge Michael Y. Scudder

writ of certiorari

I Jared Stubblefield coming as pro sa litiagant file petition of writ of certiorari to united states supreme court. I Jared Stubblefield had a matter in state court where I won my case in trial. In this matter I was not allowed equal protection under the law of United States Constitution. So I filed a case in 7 district court of northern district of Illinois, which I filed civil action for deprivation of rights 42 U.S.C. § 1983. So while filing I only wanted to receive an attorney's fee for defending the matter proceedings in vindication of civil right 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b). The lower courts did not recognize my argument. In 42 U.S.C. § 1988 (b) it clearly states in proceeding to enforce a provision of section 1983 of 42 title, that the court may allow the prevailing party an attorney's fee.

Supreme Court of the United States

Jared Stubblefield

VS

Chicago police officer

Michael Seiser badge #4615

Cook County of Illinois States Attorney

Kimberly M. Foxx

Cook county circuit judge

Andrea M. Webber cook county judge

District judge of northern District of Illinois

Matthew F. Kennelly District judge

United states court of appeal for the 7th circuit

Judge Frank H. Easterbrook

Judge Amy J. S.T. Eve

Judge David F. Hamilton

Judge Michael B. Brennan

Judge Michael Y. Scudder

Complaint

I Jared Stubblefield filing a complaint as pro se litigant. I ask the court grant my petition. In the circuit court of cook county of illinois I was sue by Michael Seiser badge #4615 of Chicago police department. I defended myself as pro se litigant. While proceeding in this matter I was not allowed to file anything in the clerk's office or in the court room. I went to trial and still won in the matter. For my time and energy for defending myself I asked for an attorney's fee for defending myself. I was deprived of my liberty.

To retrieve my attorney's fee I filed civil suit under civil rights act title 42 section 1983 civil action for deprivation of rights in the United States district court of northern district of Illinois eastern division. In this United States Code it states, (Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable. For the purposes of this section, any Act of Congress applicable exclusively to the District of Columbia shall be considered to be a statute of the District of Columbia.) in the filing I explained that

United States Code title 42 section 1988 (b) proceedings in vindication of civil rights. In which it states (In any action or proceeding to enforce a provision of sections 1981, 1981a, 1982, 1983, 1985, and 1986 of this title, title IX of Public Law 92-318 [20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.], the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 [42 U.S.C. 2000bb et seq.], the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000 [42 U.S.C. 2000cc et seq.], title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.], or section 12361 of title 34, the court, in its discretion, may allow the prevailing party, other than the United States, a reasonable attorney's fee as part of the costs, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity such officer shall not be held liable for any costs, including attorney's fees, unless such action was clearly in excess of such officer's jurisdiction.) Which it states clearly about attorney's fee.

When filed my 42 U.S. Code § 1983. Civil action for deprivation of rights I had received judge Kennelly as the judge for the case. When I first filed I had the circuit court of cook county of Illinois, City of Chicago and the states attorney of cook county of Illinois defendants (people of the state of Illinois). Judge Kennelly denied my filing saying they are immune and can't be sued, so I had to re-amand my complaint putting the officers name who was on my case. Then judge Kennelly dismissed my case saying that it was frivolous matter.

Then I file a writ of mandamus to the court of appeals asking court to amend my complaint. Which they denied and they told me to file an appeal in which I did. When filing my appeal I was not able to afford the filling fee I filed a forma pauperis which judge Amy J. ST Eve and judge Frank H. Easterbrook denied. I stated in my forma pauperis I had no money and that I didn't make any since of April 2019. Luckily, I applied for a credit card to pay for my filing fee. Which I still haven't paid back.

Then in review of my filing in the appeals court I was told to right a brief summary, in the brief summary I wrote in a declarator judgement in my filing from the supreme court reports. In the *supreme court reports 100 volume I stated Owens vs City of Independence page 445 (1980)*. Which states that liability for "any person" in including "municipal corporations." Which the 14 amendment states (All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.) Then the three judges David F. Hamilton, Michael B. Brennan, and Michael Y. Scudder that sign off on their order that state I invoked a whole other case, as if they never read my brief summary or my appeal. Also saying that I never summons the defendant in the appeal in which I did. I only summons Michael Seiser badge #4615 of Chicago police department. In my written complaint it only states Michael Seiser name.

In proceeding in each court room each judge proceeded as if they are not trying to understand anything I put in this matter. The judges act as if the constitution of the united states doesn't apply. All the judges in this matter acted in form of treason by sedition, not want to be fare. I believe they caring more about profit of people that so-called serving the people of the united states more than protecting people's united states constitution rights.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION


I am not being treated ~~not~~ fairly in court,

The lower court are not relying on the constitution of the United States of America.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jared Stubblefield 

Date: June 10, 2020

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jared Stubblefield

Date: July 13, 2020

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jared Stubblefield", written over a horizontal line.