No. 20-5289

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Justin W. Sanderson — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Ohio S. Court — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Ohio S. Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Justin W. Sanderson
(Your Name)

2500 S. Avon Belden Road
(Address)

Grafton, Ohio 44044
(City, State, Zip Code)

Ø
(Phone Number)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether this present petition should be liberally construed by this court as a petition for Writ of Mandamus to the court with directions, or as an original request for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.

2.Whether this court should literally contrue the present petition as a request under Gondeck V. Pan American World Airways, Inc., 382 U.S 25 (1965), and grant relief accordingly, where Justin W. Sanderson stands alone in not receiving relief from a void judgement of conviction.

3.Whether there can be a finality of a judgement of conviction rendered against a criminal defendant, where the criminal court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to render the judgement of conviction against the criminal defendant.

LIST OF PARTIES

[X] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[ ] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

JURISDICTION

The Ohio Supreme Court dismissed petition of Habeas Corpus on July 7,2020.

See Appendix A.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Justin W. Sanderson's 5th,6, 8th, and 14th constitutional amendments have been and are now being violated.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Montgomery County Common Pleas Court, Trail court case # 2017-CR-2588 lacking any subject matter jurisdiction, because there was no first filed complaint ever, sentenced petitioner to 43 years in the Ohio prison system without jurisdiction to do so.
The trial court sentenced me case# 2017-CR-2588 minus subject matter jurisdiction. I petitioned to the Ohio Supreme Court for the writ Writ of Habeaus.With total disregardmy petition was dismissed on the day on the day and date reference Appendix A. See Appendix A

REASON(S) FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

U.S.S CT. RULE 10 (C); A state court or a United States court of appeals has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be settled by this Court, or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decicions of this court.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Justin W. Sanderson

Date: July 13, 2020