

APPENDIX A

Description: supreme court (del) denial order

, pages — 1

SUPREME COURT
FILED

MAY 27 2020

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

S260723

Deputy

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

En Banc

In re MARLON BLACHER on Habeas Corpus.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

CANTIL-SAKAUYE

Chief Justice

APPENDIX

B

Description : Petition for a writ of habeas corpus

pages — 53

①

Marlon Blacher
#650077 (Vietnam)
Post Office Box 5002
Calipatria, the State of California
near [92233]-9998

the Supreme Court
(Cal. Const. Art. VI §§ 1, 2, 10.)

In re,

no.

Marlon Blacher,

NOTICE REGARDING INABILITY
TO PROVIDE SET AMOUNT
OF COPIES AND PETITION

On Habeas Corpus.

To: Clerk, the Supreme Court (See Cal. Const. Art. VI §§ 1 & 2.)

NOTICE HEREBY ISSUE, Marlon Blacher, hereinafter "Petitioner", who bring the herewith presented Petition for a writ of habeas corpus to be heard before the aforesaid Supreme Court, is burdened by CDCR regulations, local prison rules, and underground rules unduly enforced by the prison's staffing persons which places limits on the Petitioner's ability to obtain copies of said petition for a writ of habeas corpus, as well as availability of envelopes sufficient for mailing large presentments, THEREFORE, the Petitioner hereby respectfully move for relief from satisfaction of the designated amount of copies of said petition.

Date: the 7th day of Feb. 2020.

Marlon Blacher

Marlon Blacher, All Rights Res.

(2)

Marlon Blacher
% 650077 (Vietnamis)
% Post Office Box 5002
Calipatria, the State of California
near [92233]-9998
Pro-Se

the Supreme Court
(Cal. Const. Art. VI §§ 1, 2, 10.)

In re,

no.

Marlon Blacher,

On Habeas Corpus.

STATEMENT OF CIRCUMSTANCES
JUSTIFYING REVIEW BEFORE
SAID Supreme Court

Marlon Blacher, hereinafter "Petitioner", hereby present an assertion of circumstances justifying the bringing of the herewith presented Petition for a writ of habeas corpus to be heard before the aforesaid Supreme Court, instead of the pertinent superior court and/or court of appeal, and such circumstances include:

1. the Petitioner is competent to state the matters set forth herein;
2. the Petitioner has personal knowledge regarding said matters;
3. all the facts stated herein are true, correct, complete, and certain to the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, understanding, and belief;
4. the Petitioner is one living, sentient, free-born, and neutral man known as Marlon Blacher and the Petitioner is not ~~known~~ ^{validly} known as/by

③ any assemblage of all-capital-letters, nor any capital letter conjoint with numbers, and the Petitioner does not accept, claim, own nor possess any number(s);

5. the Petitioner is one who on the 6th day of December, ¹⁹⁷⁰~~2000~~ is born - alive on the portion of "the land" (Art. VI, clause 2, Constitution of the United States) where the Union state known as "Illinois" is located and since such time has never ceased to exist physically, nor civilly, nor politically, nor socially, whereby such birth evidence that the Petitioner is a National of the United States of America;

6. Commencing Feb., 2009, and continuing on to the present, the Petitioner is held deprived, without the Petitioner's consent, of enjoyment of the Petitioner's "Inalienable Rights" by STATE OF CALIFORNIA, via its CDCR, based on judgment entered in some action by some all-capital-letter, and therefore fiction/fraud, court (neither Cal. Const. nor any positively enacted statute of the State that express jurisdiction for the courts, mention any all-capital-letter court and whereby it is settled that the Constitution prescribes what courts and officers exercise judicial power (see Bank of Woodland v. Sherhaus 1894 62 Cal. 320.) then clearly such all-capital-letter court is a fiction/fraud court) and whereby the plaintiff that bring said action is some all-capital-letter entity/association/whatever it is that is without ability to actually appear before said court; not the party which the pertinent statute name to bring such action, and that fail to evidence (by the facts/circumstances it present re said action) it suffer any "injury in fact" (Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Env'tl Servs. Inc., 528 U.S. 167, 180-81.) / is beneficially interested re said action, which evinces that said judgment is unduly entered absent/exceeding lawful and valid subject-matter and venue jurisdiction;

7. CALIPATRIA STATE PRISON is the instrument STATE OF CALIFORNIA/CDCR presently use to impose said deprivation upon the Petitioner and at such prison discriminatory/selective enforcement, whereby the so-called "Correctional Peace Officers" that staff said prison exclusively enforce laws, regulations, rules against the men held imprisoned by means of said prison

while continuously, maliciously, and willfully declining to enforce positive 4
law of the State, and CDCR's formally adopted regulations and rules against
the persons that staff CDCR, whom collusively act against the neutral
Petitioner as enemies by a continuing, malicious, and willful conspiracy
against the rights of the Petitioner;

8. on or about the 16th day of January, 2020, the Petitioner mail
the herewith presented Petition for a writ of habeas corpus w/its support-
ing presentments so that the matters expressed via said Pe-
tition can be heard before the superior court of the State of Cali-
fornia county of Imperial; and on or about the 27th day of January, 2020,
said Petition is returned to the Petitioner with a document attached
(said document is herewith presented and marked EXHIBIT "I".) that indicate
a declining to file and hear said Petition notwithstanding that it is settled,
the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States protects the
right to petition the government for a redress of grievances, which
includes the right of access to the courts (See White v. Lee (9th Cir. 2000)
227 F.3d 1214; and People v. Garcia 165 Cal. App. 4th 1120.);

9. the aforesaid document name some all-capital-letter court (see
EXHIBIT "I".) but neither Cal. Const., nor the pertinent statutes, ex-
press/prescribe jurisdiction for any all-capital-letter court and, thus,
said all-capital-letter ~~court~~ ^{court} (see id.) cannot possibly be lawful/valid
(see Bank of Woodland id.); and the Petitioner did not bring said Petition
to be heard before any all-capital-letter court (see said Petition 1.)
whereby said Petition ~~bring~~ involve/concern matters of constitutional
matters and the all-capital-letter courts that STATE OF CALIFORNIA
statling persons habitually impose (viat armis) upon the Petitioner are not
valid courts of law/equity and therefore are not fit, and do not have
standings, to decide constitutional questions;

10. a person that staff said all-capital-letter court decline to file
said Petition on the basis that the Petitioner assant In re, Morlon'
Blascher, On Habeas Corpus, at the caption of said Petition and some

5
requirement that substituted service on a district attorney be executed (See EXHIBIT "I".) but it is settled that said In re caption is appropriate for a Petition for a writ of habeas corpus;

8. in consequence of said deprivation of the Petitioner's "Inalienable Rights" (Cal. Const. Art. I § 1.) the Petitioner is disabled (ui et armis) commercially, financially, and economically by STATE OF CALIFORNIA whereby the Petitioner is ~~one~~ referenced "indigent" (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 15 § 3138.) and is thus compelled (ui et armis) to execute substituted service via CDCR's indigent mailing procedures (See id. at (h).) which allow such service upon the courts, the office of the Attorney General, and VCLB (See id.) but not upon the district attorney, so that the service requirement imposed by the aforesaid all-capital-letter court (See EXHIBIT "I".) is impossible for the Petitioner to satisfy and it is settled that the law never requires impossibilities (See The Civil Code § 3531.); and a court has power to adapt rules of rules of procedure to serve the ends of justice, where technical forfeitures would unjustifiably prevent a trial on the merits (See Bollinger v. National Fire Ins. Co.; 25 Cal. 2d 399.); and

9. As evinced by the recent cases brought by the Petitioner to be heard before the Supreme Court, referenced by nos. 5257780 and 5259314, the all-capital-letter superior courts and courts of appeal unduly taking jurisdiction de facto over such cases that the Petitioner bring to be heard unjustifiably prevent a trial on the merits re said cases, which make it so that said Supreme Court is the only chance of enjoying actual means of recourse / remedy at the state level.

Marlon Blacher, i.e. "the ^{Petitioner} ~~undersigned~~", do hereby solemnly certify and attest, on the ^{Petitioner's} ~~undersigned's~~ unlimited-liability and by penalty of perjury by positive law of the State of California (See an act approved September 28, 1850, Volume 9, statutes at large, page 521.), that the Petitioner issue this Statement of circumstances with sincere intent, that the Petitioner is competent to state the matters set forth herein, that the contents of said Statement of circumstances are true correct, complete, and certain, admissible as evidence, not misleading, and the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth whereby this certification execute on the 7th day

6

of February, 2020, on the portion of "the land" (Article VI; clause 2, Constitution of the United States.) where Calipatria, the State of California, is located and in time of peace.

x Markus Blaschke, All Rights Reserved.

⑦

EXHIBIT

11 2 11
/



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF IMPERIAL
939 W. MAIN STREET
EL CENTRO, CA 92243

8

Name: Marlon Blacher

CDC# G50077

The Court is unable to process your Writ for one or more of the following reasons:



Respondent's name may be incorrect/ missing. (Please name facility, Warden, or party in which you are petitioning against) the prison you were sent to initially at the time of sentencing.



The Petitioner must sign & date the Verification and Proof of Service.



The person/facility confining petitioner must be named or described within the petition.



We do not accept documents with WHITE OUT.



The petitioner must use Judicial Council Form MC 275.



We file originals only. (Original signatures) All Original Petitions / Exhibits filed remain with File. They are not returned.



A copy of the petition and a proof of service must be submitted to the District Attorney's Office in the County in which prison is located at least 24 hours before filing. The original Proof of Service filed with the Court at the time of filing must show you served the D.A. by writing the address on the proof of service (see address below) and list the document served.

District Attorney
940 W. Main Street Ste #102
El Centro, CA 92243

PLEASE MAKE THE CORRECTIONS AS INDICATED
AND RETURN THE PETITION TO US FOR FILING.

C. Vazquez

Court Services Assistant II

January 27, 2020

DATE

9

Name: Marlon Blacher

Address: 40 post office box 5002
Calipatria, the state of California
near 92233-9998

C/O CDC or ID Number: 650077 (Vietnamis)

the Supreme Court

(Court)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

In re
Petitioner n.b.
Marlon Blacher
An Habeas Corpus
Respondent n.b.

No. _____
(To be supplied by the Clerk of the Court)

IMMEDIATE ACTION AND EVIDENTIARY
HEARING HEREBY REQUESTED

INSTRUCTIONS—READ CAREFULLY

- If you are challenging an order of commitment or a criminal conviction and are filing this petition in the Superior Court, you should file it in the county that made the order.
 - If you are challenging the conditions of your confinement and are filing this petition in the Superior Court, you should file it in the county in which you are confined.
-
- Read the entire form *before* answering any questions.
 - This petition must be clearly handwritten in ink or typed. You should exercise care to make sure all answers are true and correct. Because the petition includes a verification, the making of a statement that you know is false may result in a conviction for perjury.
 - Answer all applicable questions in the proper spaces. If you need additional space, add an extra page and indicate that your answer is "continued on additional page."
 - If you are filing this petition in the superior court, you only need to file the original unless local rules require additional copies. Many courts require more copies.
 - If you are filing this petition in the Court of Appeal, file the original of the petition and one set of any supporting documents.
 - If you are filing this petition in the California Supreme Court, file the original and 10 copies of the petition and, if separately bound, an original and 2 copies of any supporting documents.
 - Notify the Clerk of the Court in writing if you change your address after filing your petition.

Approved by the Judicial Council of California for use under rule 8.380 of the California Rules of Court (as amended effective January 1, 2018). Subsequent amendments to rule 8.380 may change the number of copies to be furnished to the Supreme Court and Court of Appeal.

10

This petition concerns:

- ☐ A conviction ☐ Parole
☐ A sentence ☐ Credits
☐ Jail or prison conditions ☐ Prison discipline

☒ Other (specify): Continuing conspiracy against rights (see 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242) and The Penal Code 2112.5)

1. Your name: Marlon Blacher
 2. Where are you incarcerated? "CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON"
 3. Why are you ^{held?} in custody? ☒ Criminal conviction ☐ Civil commitment

Answer items a through i to the best of your ability.

a. State reason for civil commitment or, if criminal conviction, state nature of offense and enhancements (for example, "robbery with use of a deadly weapon").

Murder, robbery, and burglary

b. Penal or other code sections: 187, 211/212.5(c)/664, 459/460(b)

c. Name and location of sentencing or committing court:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

d. Case number: 25-080631-5

e. Date convicted or committed: the 18th day of December, 2008.

f. Date sentenced: the 14th day of February, 2009.

g. Length of sentence: 25 years-to-life.

h. When do you expect to be released? UNKNOWN

i. Were you represented by counsel in the trial court? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, state the attorney's name and address:

by the sense of the term "representation" as defined by Black's Law Dictionary 1415, (1), (9th ed.) and the attorney acting to such "representation" (i.e.) is Linda Fullerton, Point Richmond, California.

4. What was the LAST plea you entered? (Check one):

☒ Not guilty ☐ Guilty ☐ Nolo contendere ☐ Other: _____

5. If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you have?

☒ Jury ☐ Judge without a jury ☐ Submitted on transcript ☐ Awaiting trial

6. GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

Ground 1: State briefly the ground on which you base your claim for relief. For example, "The trial court imposed an illegal enhancement." (If you have additional grounds for relief, use a separate page for each ground. State ground 2 on page 4. For additional grounds, make copies of page 4 and number the additional grounds in order.)

Persons staffing STATE OF CALIFORNIA act to perpetrate continuing conspiracy against the right to petition for a redress of grievances, constituting an ongoing impairment of contractual obligations and violation of the right to due process of law. See Amendment XIV Constitution of the United States; Title 42, United States Code, section 1981 and 1982; Cal. Const. Art. 1 § 7(c); Amendment 1 Constitution of the United States, in part, by the undue granting of titles /ability to violation of Article 1 § 8, clause 3 Constitution of the United States.

a. Supporting facts:

Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law. If you are challenging the legality of your conviction, describe the facts on which your conviction is based. If necessary, attach additional pages. CAUTION: You must state facts, not conclusions. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel, you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do and how that affected your trial. Failure to allege sufficient facts will result in the denial of your petition. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.) A rule of thumb to follow is, *who* did exactly *what* to violate your rights at what time (*when*) or place (*where*).

STATE OF CALIFORNIA is some corporation/trust/association/partnership/whatever it is that presently masquerade as the de jure State of California referenced in Volume 9, Statutes at Large, page 452; willfully and maliciously continuously perpetrate deceit upon the public, and that hijack all the instruments of said de jure the State of California to embezzle the funds of the Public Trust, from said de jure the State of California, and distribute said funds amongst the persons that staff said STATE OF CALIFORNIA, hereinafter "RICO person", as lost for the continuously perpetrated depriving selected groups of people of settled rights/privileges/immunities which said staffing persons perpetrate; said deprived people are selected by RICO person via the persons that staff it, based on RICO person perception of said deprived people's disability/gender/Nationality/race or ethnicity/religious/political class, said lost is compensation for said deprivation, that RICO person sponsor the persons that staff it to perpetrate, whereby said staffing persons (see the attached pages.)

b. Supporting documents:

Attach declarations, relevant records, transcripts, or other documents supporting your claim. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal. 4th 464, 474.)

See EXHIBIT "A" - "E".

c. Supporting cases, rules, or other authority (optional):

(Briefly discuss, or list by name and citation, the cases or other authorities that you think are relevant to your claim. If necessary, attach an extra page.)

See the herewith presented Memorandum of Points and Authorities, re: Article 1 § 8 Constitution of the United States, Amendments 1 and XIV, id. Title 42, United States Code, sections 1981 and 1982. Cal. Const. Art. 1 § 1, 3(a), 7, 9, and 26. Assembly Bill 2533 (2018-2019)

Use said last to engage in interstate commerce; Marlon Blacher, hereinafter "Petitioner", is the man who bring this petition for a writ of habeas corpus and is one of said people selected by RICO person to perpetrate its conspiracy against rights. Petitioner is one living, sentient, neutral man who on the 6th day of December, 1976, is born free on the portion of "the land" (Article VI, clause 2, Constitution of the United States.) where the Union state known as Illinois is located and since such birth to the present the Petitioner has never ceased to exist. The Petitioner is a National of the United States of America and RICO person engage silent, undeclared, war against the neutral Petitioner who is not a belligerent and who does not pose any actual/imminent threat to any man, woman, child, person, property, nor democratic and legitimate system.

RICO person, by means of the aforesaid hijacking, misappropriate California Department of Corrections, hereinafter "CDCR", to facilitate the aforesaid unjust war it engage against the Petitioner. To obtain mercenaries for the continuance of the aforesaid unjust war RICO person enter into agreement/alliance/compact/confederation/treaty with CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL PEACE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION, hereinafter "CCPOA", and unduly render such mercenaries independent of and superior to the civil power re their CDCR activity; such circumstances evince the sponsorship and encouragement for said mercenaries to perpetrate crimes against the people held deprived of liberty by means of CDCR, whereby said mercenaries reference said deprived people by the term "imate" (some fiction/fraud disability/gender/Nationality/race-ethnicity/religion/political class that RICO person slanderously/libelously impose, vi et armis upon said deprived people, including the Petitioner) and said crimes are perpetrated selectively/discriminatorily upon the basis of such reference, which such people that said mercenaries perceive as "African-American"/"black" are the primary targets for such criminal conspiracy, and whereby absolute impunity de facto is unduly extended to said mercenaries re said criminal conspiracy.

CCPDA persons collusively, continuously, maliciously, and willfully support the aforesaid continuing crime perpetration by means of abstracting justice; perpetrating cover-ups; actively aiding/abetting any given crime(s) perpetration; imposing coercion/intimidation upon the aforesaid deprived people, including the neutral Petitioner; preventing/obstructing administrative review re any given issue, among other hezantly perpetrated unjust acts, to further and promote the private, state staffing, persons Supremacy (Neo-Communism), ideology that RICO person sponsored CCPDA persons continuously, collusively, maliciously, and willfully embrace and act upon. The persons whom staff Cal.'s office of the Attorney General, and the various District Attorneys offices throughout the State of California, act upon a fraudulently concealed agreement, unduly structured by RICO person, with CCPDA which the sum of such agreement is that such attorneys will not investigate and prosecute any CCPDA person re the aforesaid continuing crime conspiracy and because of such agreement CCPDA persons are encouraged to act against the neutral Petitioner as one who has no rights, where-by in consequence the Petitioner has suffered theft of the Petitioner's belongings (perpetrated by CCPDA persons), damage to the Petitioner's belongings (perpetrated by CCPDA persons), physical injury stemming from physical attacks upon the Petitioner that are perpetrated by CCPDA persons; use of the phrase "inmate-lover", an off-shoot of the Jim Crow Crow era phrase "nigger-lover", is commonly used amongst CCPDA crowd, in like manner as said "nigger-lover" phrase is used, and such base and vulgar practice, that is widely embraced throughout said CCPDA crowd, evinces the illegitimacy of such persons as officers of law under Cal.'s Constitution and the laws made in pursuance thereof.

Under such plainly undemocratic, unlawful, and illegitimate circumstances does RICO person perpetrate its continuing deprivation of the Petitioner's enjoyment of the Petitioner's un-

alienable and inalienable rights, without the Petitioner's consent, whereby Petitioner is a man who has never ceased to exist since the aforesaid birth of the Petitioner, and the Petitioner is not, nor has the Petitioner ever been a resident of RICO person, nor the aforesaid State of California. As a pretext to deceive the public into the belief that the aforesaid depriving (Viet arms) the Petitioner of enjoyment of the Petitioner's unalienable and inalienable rights transpire in accord with all the requirements set by positive law RICO person completely deprive the Petitioner of access to the valid, constitutional, courts of the State of California making only some fraud court system, that always act to uphold said jurisdictionally deficient deprivation imposed upon the Petitioner to continue the involuntary servitude/peonage/slavery RICO person impose upon the Petitioner, available to the Petitioner so that no actual means of recourse and remedy, regarding all the aforesaid unjust circumstances imposed upon the Petitioner, is available to the Petitioner.

Pursuant to the aforesaid circumstances CCPAA persons C. Espitia, D. Pollard, and S. Lopez act collusively to deprive the Petitioner of the right to petition the government for a redress of grievances, via CDCR's so-called "appeal" process, based on the aforesaid non-consensually imposed (Viet arms) "inmate" term and based on such CCPAA persons privately held, discriminatory, objectives against people whom are referenced "African-American"/"black" (such as RICO person, CDCR, and CCPAA reference the Petitioner). CDCR, by act of Ralph Diaz (director) / W.L. Montgomery CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, warden, place the aforesaid Espitia, Pollard, and Lopez into position to continuously perpetrate the aforesaid manner of deprivation to arbitrarily and constructively suspend CDCR's so-called "Right to Appeal" regarding issues that such persons would rather conceal.

On or about the 24th day of September, 2019, the Petitioner present the pertinent documents designated for administrative review to seek recourse and remedy regarding the undue failing to render the indigent supplies,

set by AB 2533 (2018) and now codified by enactment of statute, at the CDCR location referenced CALIPATRIA STATE PRISON, hereinafter "CAL" (see EXHIBIT "A"), but the aforesaid CCPDA persons Espitia and Pollard reject said documents on the basis that the language written by the Petitioner on said documents "involve multiple issues that do not derive from a single event, or are not directly related and cannot be reasonably addressed in a single response due to this fact." (EXHIBIT "B"). The aforesaid administrative review documents (see EXHIBIT "A") seek recourse and remedy regarding the unjustifiable and continuous failing to discharge the obligation, that AB 2533 (2019) set, here at CAL (see id.), which clearly can be reasonably addressed in a single response, namely act in compliance with said AB 2533 (something that has yet to transpire, even to the date of this present Petition), but said Espitia and Pollard continuously abuse the rejection option to maliciously and willfully abridge the Petitioner's right to petition the government for a redress of grievances, and to continue the arbitrary deprivation of said indigent supplies. (See EXHIBIT "B").

On or about the 24th day of November, 2019, the Petitioner present the documents pertinent to administrative review for the purpose of petitioning the government for a redress of grievances regarding the aforesaid Espitia and Pollard depriving the Petitioner of the right to petition for a redress on the matter (see EXHIBIT "C"). but again, said Pollard unduly act to abridge the Petitioner's right to petition for a redress. (See EXHIBIT "D"). In rejecting the aforesaid documents Pollard even act to cancel the documents and the Petitioner challenge such arbitrary cancellation (see EXHIBIT "C" 2.) and said Pollard then reject the Petitioner's cancellation challenge (see EXHIBIT "D"). In rejecting the Petitioner's aforesaid challenge to the cancellation the aforesaid Pollard assert multiple contentions (see id.) including that the Petitioner's language include "pointless verbiage that would make the reviewer unable to identify the issue under appeal" (Id. 2.) and the Petitioner issue notice to said Pollard that the Petitioner has drafted said "appeal" to the best of the Petitioner's knowledge, understanding, and capacity (see id. 1-2.), again, present said documents for admin. review but Pollard reply with yet another arbitrary rejection. (see id. 4.)

In response to this rejection the Petitioner write a communication on the notice (See id.), rewrite the administrative review presentment in an effort to appease the arbitrarily imposed (Viet armis) whim of the aforesaid Pollard (See the EXHIBIT "E" 2-3.) but then the aforesaid CCPDA person S. Lopez join the continuing conspiracy to abridge the Petitioner's right to petition the government for a redress of grievances and arbitrarily reject said presentment. (See id. 1.) Because of the aforesaid fraudulently concealed agreement, for unduly extended impunity, that CCPDA and the office of the Attorney General (Cal.) enter into, the aforesaid Espitia, Lopez, and Pollard brazenly and consistently act to ongoing insurgency of the Constitution's² (United States and Cal.) right to petition clauses, whereby it is settled: impunity invites offenders to ever worsening offenses; the impunity unduly extended to said Espitia, Lopez, and Pollard only encourage said persons to delve deeper into the abyss. CAL is misappropriated by VICD person as an instrument for whomever of its mostly Hispanic staffing persons that embrace/harbor anti-"African-American"/"black" discrimination preference to liberally exercise such preference with impunity.

The foregoing detailed insurgency to the right to petition the government for a redress of grievances and the right to remain free from discrimination is capable of repetition throughout CDCR because of the presently established and undisclosed impunity granted to CCPDA persons, whom wholly control CDCR; such persons throughout CDCR act to the same manner of constructively suspending CDCR "Right to Appeal" by continuing, willfully, and malicious abuse of the rejection/cancellation procedure to arbitrarily deprive ~~the~~ the right to petition for a redress of grievances. Exacerbating the aforesaid unconstitutional abridgement of the Petitioner's right to petition the government for a redress of grievances is the continuing, actual/imminent, threat to the health, safety, and even life of the Petitioner posed by CCPDA persons, whom enjoy absence

of actual oversight and accountability re the hostile aggressions perpetrated against the Petitioner and therefore habitually act as enemies against the Petitioner and the Petitioner's unalienable and inalienable rights; for instance, on or about the 26th day of December, 2019, at CAL, CCPDA person Villa, whom staff CAL fac. D bldg. 3 as a so-called "Correctional Peace Officer", impose (Viet arms) dialogue upon the neutral Petitioner, who is peaceably walking toward the prison's library for a scheduled law library appointment, and during such dialogue Villa, whom is unduly staffing said bldg.'s observation tower pursuant to a post change defacto that Villa and the "Officer" that hold such post assignment perpetrate, speak a threat to the Petitioner that Villa will make the Petitioner leave CAL in a body bag, and because of the aforesaid continuing constructive suspending of CDCR "Right to Appeal", no administrative recourse/remedy is actually available to the Petitioner.

The entire state apparatus, by collusive action of the several persons whom staff the legislative, executive and judicial branches of government that have been hijacked by RICO person, operate to further, promote, and protect the criminal enterprise that is known as CDCR, with knowledge that CCPDA persons establish a systemwide "good-ole-boy network" throughout CDCR for the purpose of encouragement of ongoing coercion/intimidation (perpetrated to further and promote the private state staffing person supremacy; neo-communism, ideology that CCPDA persons collusively politicize) of the neutral, civilian, people, including the Petitioner, that are held deprived of enjoyment of liberty, safety, happiness, and privacy by means of CDCR. No remedy, for the foregoing circumstances, is known and available to the Petitioner save for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus. Under RICO person government de facto its granting CCPDA persons the title "Peace Officer", which places such persons independent of and superior to the Constitution of the United States and the laws made in pursuance

thereof, as well as Cal.'s Const. and the laws made in pursuance thereof, regarding their dealings with the neutral, civilian, Petitioner who is a National of the United States of America, it is evident that a title/nobility is unduly granted to CCPOR persons.

7. Ground 2 or Ground 2 (if applicable):

Petitioner is arbitrarily and continuously deprived of the items designated by AB2533 (2019) to plain violation of the right to due process of law (See Amendment XIV Constitution of the United States and Cal. Const. Art. I § 7(a).), and natural/human rights. (See Amendment IX Constitution of the United States), all applicable to Petitioner (See e.g. Title 42, United States Code, sections 1981 and 1982.)

a. Supporting facts:

During the year 2019 Assembly Bill 2533, which set obligations upon CDCR to render designated supplies to the people held deprived of liberty by means of CDCR that are referenced "indigent", is considered and approved by Cal.'s legislature and take effect during the beginning portion of the year 2019, whereby even to the very date of the present Petition for a writ of habeas corpus CCPA persons that staff CAL act collusively to deprive the Petitioner of said supplies, notwithstanding that even CDCR's own Regulations command CCPA persons to act in accordance with legislative enactments; CCPA persons perpetrate said plainly unlawful deprivation based on such persons' perception of the Petitioner's disability/gender/Nationality/race or ethnicity/religion/political class pursuant to the sponsorship and warfare circumstances that are detailed in Claim 1. As a matter of presently enforced underground rule de facto throughout CDCR only one small "PIA" bar of soap and one roll of toilet paper, per week, is rendered to the man held deprived of liberty by means of CDCR, whereby said soap has never been sufficient to last for the Petitioner to clean himself and the Petitioner's clothes for the whole week and CCPA persons have never rendered any additional soap when said bar is extinguished (generally around mid-week) and the Petitioner is the notice to CCPA persons of such inadequacy and request another bar of soap. Save for the humanity and mercy shown by various prisoners whom have resources to purchase soap from the prison's store the Petitioner is without the means to keep himself, and the clothes that the Petitioner wear, clean throughout any given week, which is (See the attached pgs.)

b. Supporting documents:

See EXHIBIT "A" - "E".

c. Supporting cases, rules, or other authority:

See the herewith presented Memorandum of Points and Authorities, n.b. Amendments IX and XIV Constitution of the United States, Title 42, United States Code, sections 1981 and 1982, Cal. Const. Art. I § 5, 7, and also Assembly Bill 2533 (2018-2019).

contrary to even CDCR formally adopted regulations.

The aforesaid toilet paper is of substandard design which make it so that one must use more off the roll, during each bowel movement, than what is used off of most average consumer industry toilet paper rolls; whereby in the many instances when the toilet paper roll is extinguished before the end of the week CCPOA persons decline to render any additional toilet paper and the Petitioner is left without toilet paper to clean up with after bowel movements exacerbating the toilet paper inadequacy problem is that sometime during the month of November, 2019, an outbreak of what CDCR staffers conclude is salmonella spread throughout CAL, because of tainted food rendered in the dining halls therein, and the Petitioner is one who is sickened by said salmonella and to the very date of this present Petition the Petitioner's digestive system remain adversely effected, evidenced by the continuing increased frequency of bowel movements, from said salmonella infection. The aforesaid soap and toilet paper inadequacy has been the cause for the Petitioner to suffer from skin rash, and such inadequacy is contrary to even CDCR's own formally adopted regulations.

Also, the aforesaid Assembly Bill 2533 compel CDCR staffing persons to render sufficient paper and pen for drafting pleadings to commence/continue court cases but here again the CCPOA persons, that control CDCR by staffing it, fail to render such supplies, seemingly to disperse the portion of the budget appropriated for such supplies amongst themselves, and the persons that staff Cal.'s office of the Attorney General support such arbitrary insurgency to said Assembly Bill 2533. Here at CAL only four (4) sheets of blank white paper per library visit, whereby at best one will enjoy a maximum of three (3) such visits per seven (7) day week and such is clearly not sufficient for the drafting of adequate pleadings. Because of the aforesaid unlawful failing to render sufficient paper and pens (pens are only sold by means of the prison's canteen) the Petitioner has been unable to accomplish adequate/effective pro-se litigation, namely re the 1983 civil suit the Petitioner presently handle pro-se (See Blacher v. Buffalo et al., USDC central district of California) wherein multiple

instances the Petitioner is without sufficient paper to complete the pleading filed in said case.

Deodorant, a necessary item re maintaining personal hygiene is not rendered to those referenced "indigent". Instead of toothpaste, toothpowder is rendered to those referenced "indigent", notwithstanding that said toothpowder dries the Petitioner (One who is referenced "indigent" by CDCR) lip area to the point of creating blisters and considering the recent discovery linking talcum powder to infection of cancer it is possible that said toothpowder put the Petitioner at risk of becoming infected by cancer.

CCPDA persons habitually embezzle/misappropriate portions of the public fund channelled to CDCR for items designated to directly benefit the men, women, and children held deprived of liberty by means of CDCR and such embezzlement/misappropriation is perpetrated for the private benefit and gain of CCPDA persons, it is very probable that said embezzlement/misappropriation scheme is the basis upon which the aforesaid failing to render the indigent supplies transpire. The Petitioner is without any known means of remedy save for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus.

Further unjustifiable failing to render the supplies designated by the aforesaid AB2533 is a failing to render adequately constructed combs whereby the combs that are render bend and do not move through the Petitioner's hair as the Petitioner attempt to comb it; failing to render anti-bacterial hand cleaning products to the prisoners, although such is liberally given to the staffing persons to sanitize their hands, and such lack of access to said products unduly make the Petitioner, whom suffer from kidney disease, more susceptible to infection from flu and other viruses; and failing to render adequate toothbrushes for effectively cleaning teeth whereby such toothbrushes are approx 3 inches in length, including the handle, and in order to clean the teeth toward the back of the Petitioner's mouth the Petitioner has to have the Petitioner's hand, which is without any access to anti-bacterial hand cleaning products, in the

Petitioner's mouth which unduly places the kidney disease suffering Petitioner at risk for becoming infected by flu and other viruses.

No actual enforcement of positive law, established regulations and rules, against CCPDA persons transpire and therefore CCPDA persons habitually act toward the Petitioner in plain, willful and malicious violation of such laws, regulations, and rules, which evidences that such CCPDA persons have not duly taken and subscribed the requisite "Oath of office" (Cal. Const. Art. XX § 3.) and therefore cannot possibly be valid "Peace Officers" but perpetrate continuing unlawful impersonation of an officer, to engage silent, undeclared war against the neutral, civilian, Petitioner who is a National of the United States of America. Many of the CCPDA persons here at CAL, whom the Petitioner regularly encounter, clearly exhibit symptoms/elements of varying forms of anti-social disorder and capriciously exercise such toward the Petitioner in many instances, and notwithstanding that the Petitioner has issued notice of such to persons such as Ralph Diaz (CDCR director), Xavier Becerra (Cal, Attorney General), and W.L. Montgomery (CAL warden); no relief/remedy/remedy is rendered to Petitioner, evidencing that the aforesaid state sponsored conspiracy reaches the highest level of color of government imposed by RICO person, and the Petitioner is at continuing risk of losing life/limb from the contact that RICO person, CDCR, and CCPDA persons impose upon the Petitioner.

23

8. Did you appeal from the conviction, sentence, or commitment? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, give the following information:
- a. Name of court ("Court of Appeal" or "Appellate Division of Superior Court"):
FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
- b. Result: conviction upheld c. Date of decision: don't know
- d. Case number or citation of opinion, if known: don't know
- e. Issues raised: (1) Legally insufficient theory
(2) Erroneous instructions
(3) Erroneous refusal of defense requested instructions
- f. Were you represented by counsel on appeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, state the attorney's name and address, if known:
Danaynn Pritz, West Lake Village, California
9. Did you seek review in the California Supreme Court? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, give the following information:
- a. Result: review denied b. Date of decision: don't know
- c. Case number or citation of opinion, if known: don't know
- d. Issues raised: (1) Legally insufficient theory
(2) Erroneous instructions
(3) Erroneous refusal of defense requested instructions
10. If your petition makes a claim regarding your conviction, sentence, or commitment that you or your attorney did not make on appeal, explain why the claim was not made on appeal (see *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759):
N/A
11. Administrative review:
- a. If your petition concerns conditions of confinement or other claims for which there are administrative remedies, failure to exhaust administrative remedies may result in the denial of your petition, even if it is otherwise meritorious. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925.) Explain what administrative review you sought or explain why you did not seek such review:
Administrative review is made unavailable to me.
N/A
- b. Did you seek the highest level of administrative review available? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Attach documents that show you have exhausted your administrative remedies. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)
12. Other than direct appeal, have you filed any other petitions, applications, or motions with respect to this conviction, commitment, or issue in any court, including this court? (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 and *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)
☒ Yes If yes, continue with number 13. ☐ No If no, skip to number 15.

24

- 13 a. (1) Name of court: the superior court, county of Contra Costa - the Supreme Court
(2) Nature of proceeding (for example, "habeas corpus petition"): habeas corpus petition
(3) Issues raised: (a) insufficient evidence
(b) prosecutorial misconduct
(4) Result (attach order or explain why unavailable): petition denied (order either lost or stolen)
(5) Date of decision: don't remember
- b. (1) Name of court: _____
(2) Nature of proceeding: _____
(3) Issues raised: (a) _____
(b) _____
(4) Result (attach order or explain why unavailable): _____
(5) Date of decision: _____
- c. For additional prior petitions, applications, or motions, provide the same information on a separate page.

14. If any of the courts listed in number 13 held a hearing, state name of court, date of hearing, nature of hearing, and result:

N/A

15. Explain any delay in the discovery of the claimed grounds for relief and in raising the claims in this petition. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

N/A

16. Are you presently represented by counsel? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, state the attorney's name and address, if known:

17. Do you have any petition, appeal, or other matter pending in any court? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain:

USDC central district, 1983 case.

18. If this petition might lawfully have been made to a lower court, state the circumstances justifying an application to this court:

SEE THE HEREWITH PRESENTED STATEMENT OF CIRCUMSTANCES
JUSTIFYING REVIEW BEFORE SAID Supreme Court

I, the undersigned, say: I am the petitioner in this action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing allegations and statements are true and correct, except as to matters that are stated on my information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Date: Feb. 07, 2020

► Muler Bl All Rights Reserved.
(SIGNATURE OF PETITIONER)

PETITION FOR RELIEF

(25)

Marlon Blachery i.e. "Petitioner", is without remedy/remedy save by writ of
of habeas corpus.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner respectfully move before the superior court of
the State of California, County of Imperial, that said court:

1. issue a writ of habeas corpus;
2. declare the rights of the parties;
3. order CCP&A persons, and other CDCR staffing persons, to cease and
desist the arbitrary constructive suspension of CDCR "Right to Appeal";
4. order CDCR and its staffing persons to faithfully discharge the oblig-
ations set by AB 2533 (2018-2019);
5. order removal/release of the Petitioner from RICO persons, CDCR, and
CCP&A persons contact/control/confinement/custody/captivity/bondage/imprisonment/
influence/intimidation/etc.; and
6. order any further relief/remedy/remedy that said court deem neces-
sary to adequately and effectively cure the violations imposed upon the
Petitioner, including ordering grant of amnesty to the Petitioner.

Date: the 16th day of January, 2020.

Marlon Blachery, All Rights Reserved

In re,

Marlon Blacher,

On Habeas Corpus.

(26)

no.

AFFIDAVIT TO
VERIFY PETITION

Marlon Blacher, the undersigned Affiant, hereinafter "the Undersigned", do hereby solemnly certify and attest on the Undersigned's unlimited-liability that:

1. the Undersigned is competent to state the matters set forth herein;
2. the Undersigned has personal knowledge regarding said matters;
3. all the facts stated herein are true, correct, complete, and certain to the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, understanding, and belief;
4. all the facts stated throughout the herewith presented Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus are true, correct, complete, and certain to the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, understanding, and belief; and
5. all the herewith presented EXHIBIT are true and correct items of the indicated sources.

Marlon Blacher, i.e. "the Undersigned", do herewith solemnly certify and attest, on the Undersigned's unlimited-liability and by penalty of perjury by positive law of the State of California that the Undersigned issues this Affidavit with sincere intent, that the Undersigned is competent to state the matters set forth herein, that the foregoing is true, correct, complete, and certain, admissible as evidence, not misleading, and the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth to the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, understanding and belief, whereby this certification execute on the portion of "the land" (Article VI, clause 2, const. of the United States.) where Calipatria, the State of California is located, in time of peace, and on the 16th day of January, 2020.

Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved.

(27)

EXHIBIT

"A"

Description: "appeal" documents

pages - 3

28

Side 1

Received CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019

IAB USE ONLY

Institution/Parole Region: Log #: Category:

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

You may appeal any California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) decision, action, condition, policy or regulation that has a material adverse effect upon your welfare and for which there is no other prescribed method of departmental review/remedy available. See California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.1. You must send this appeal and any supporting documents to the Appeals Coordinator (AC) within 30 calendar days of the event that lead to the filing of this appeal. If additional space is needed, only one CDCR Form 602-A will be accepted. Refer to CCR 3084 for further guidance with the appeal process. No reprisals will be taken for using the appeal process.

Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.

WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.

Name (Last, First):

Blacher, Marlon

CDC Number:

98650077 (Visiting) D 3 209

Unit/Cell Number:

Assignment:

N/A

State briefly the subject of your appeal (Example: damaged TV, job removal, etc.):

AB 2533 (2019)

A. Explain your issue (If you need more space, use Section A of the CDCR 602-A): The issue here is that I, Marlon Blacher, hereinafter "the Undersigned", am systematically deprived of the indigent supplies set by Cal. Assembly Bill 2533 (2019) and such deprivation surely implicate the (See "CDCR 602-A")

B. Action requested (If you need more space, use Section B of the CDCR 602-A): The sought action here is: the aforesaid indigent supplies due to the Undersigned be rendered to the Undersigned, forthwith; and the sum certain expressed by The Civil Code § 52 (b)(2) be rendered to the Undersigned, forthwith.

Supporting Documents: Refer to CCR 3084.3.

☒ Yes, I have attached supporting documents.

List supporting documents attached (e.g., CDC 1083, Inmate Property Inventory; CDC 128-G, Classification Chrono):

"CDCR 22" form (ygl22prod)

☐ No, I have not attached any supporting documents. Reason:

[Inmate/Parolee] Signature: Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved. Date Submitted: 09-24-19

☐ By placing my initials in this box, I waive my right to receive an interview.

C. First Level - Staff Use Only

Staff - Check One: Is CDCR 602-A Attached? ☒ Yes ☐ No

This appeal has been:

☐ Bypassed at the First Level of Review. Go to Section E.

☒ Rejected (See attached letter for instruction) Date: 10/1/19

☒ Cancelled (See attached letter) Date: 11/12/19

☐ Accepted at the First Level of Review.

Assigned to: _____ Title: _____ Date Assigned: _____ Date Due: _____

First Level Responder: Complete a First Level response. Include Interviewer's name, title, interview date, location, and complete the section below.

Date of Interview: _____ Interview Location: _____

Your appeal issue is: ☐ Granted ☐ Granted in Part ☐ Denied ☐ Other: _____

See attached letter. If dissatisfied with First Level response, complete Section D.

Interviewer: _____ Title: _____ Signature: _____ Date completed: _____
(Print Name)

Reviewer: _____ Title: _____ Signature: _____
(Print Name)

Date received by AC: _____

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

SCREENED OUT OCT 01 2019

SCREENED OUT NOV 04 2019

SCREENED OUT OCT 07 2019
SCREENED OUT OCT 18 2019

Cancelled NOV 12 2019

AC Use Only
Date mailed/delivered to appellant ____/____/____

Received CAL Appeals SEP 30 2019
Received CAL Appeals OCT 07 2019
Received CAL Appeals OCT 18 2019
Received CAL Appeals OCT 28 2019
Received CAL Appeals NOV 12 2019
Received CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019
Received CAL Appeals DEC 24 2019

IAB USE ONLY

Institution/Parole Region:

Log #:

Category:

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Attach this form to the CDCR 602, only if more space is needed. Only one CDCR 602-A may be used.

Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.

WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.

Name (Last, First): <u>Blacher, Marlon</u>	CDC Number: <u>40652077</u>	Unit/Cell Number: <u>D 3-209V</u>	Assignment: <u>N/A</u>
---	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------

A. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section A only (Explain your issue): United States and California Due Process Clauses; R. Arias d/b/a associate warden, W.L. Montgomery d/b/a warden, CDCR, and STATE OF CALIFORNIA bear liability here. The
fore said Assembly Bill establishes that people/persons held imprisoned
via CDCR and whom are deemed indigent no such imprisoned have neces-
sary hygiene supplies, writing supplies, notary services, etc. due to
them and the Undersigned is one of such people who has been held
imprisoned via CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON commencing on or about the 18th
day of July, 2019, and throughout such imprisonment the Undersigned
has been deprived of the due writing implement that is necessary
to accomplish pro-se litigations of the Undersigned's Active cases
pending before the courts and of adequate legal pleading paper and
writing, notwithstanding that the Undersigned consistently request
such. Further, as a matter of local procedure only one small bar of
inadequate soap (that does not lather as normal soap does) per week
is rendered to the Undersigned, which such soap is unduly without
any anti bacterial properties, and in light of the fact that this prison
operate without the option of weekly laundry services for state
issued and personal clothing the inadequate... (there is not enough space).

Inmate/Parolee Signature: Marlon Blacher All Rights Res. Date Submitted: 09.24.19

B. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section B only (Action requested):

Cancelled NOV 12 2019

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

SCREENED OUT NOV 04 2019

SCREENED OUT OCT 07 2019

Inmate/Parolee Signature:

Date Submitted:

SCREENED OUT OCT 01 2019

SCREENED OUT OCT 18 2019

Received CAL Appeals SEP 30 2019

Received CAL Appeals OCT 17 2019

Received CAL Appeals OCT 18 2019

Received CAL Appeals OCT 28 2019

Received CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019

Received CAL Appeals DEC 24 2019

Received CAL Appeals SEP 30 2019

Received CAL Appeals OCT 07 2019

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW, ITEM OR SERVICE
CDCR 22 (10/09)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

Received CAL Appeals OCT 18 2019

SECTION A: INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST

NAME (Print): (LAST NAME) (FIRST NAME) Blackman, Madelon		CDC NUMBER: 46650077	SIGNATURE: [Signature]
HOUSING/BED NUMBER: 9A-211	ASSIGNMENT: N/A	HOURS FROM 11 TO 4	TOPIC (I.E. MAIL, CONDITION OF CONFINEMENT/PAROLE, ETC.): Transfer to minimum security

CLEARLY STATE THE SERVICE OR ITEM REQUESTED OR REASON FOR INTERVIEW:

Request for interview with staff member [Name] regarding [Reason]. I am requesting an interview with [Name] to discuss my current assignment and housing situation. I have been in the facility for [Duration] and am having difficulty adjusting to the environment. I am requesting an interview to discuss my concerns and seek assistance in resolving them.

METHOD OF DELIVERY (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) **NO RECEIPT WILL BE PROVIDED IF REQUEST IS MAILED**

☒ SENT THROUGH MAIL: ADDRESSED TO: [Address] DATE MAILED: 10/18/19
☐ DELIVERED TO STAFF (STAFF TO COMPLETE BOX BELOW AND GIVE GOLDENROD COPY TO INMATE/PAROLEE):

RECEIVED BY: PRINT STAFF NAME: J. [Name]	DATE: 10-19-19	SIGNATURE: [Signature]	FORWARDED TO ANOTHER STAFF? (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO
---	-------------------	---------------------------	--

IF FORWARDED - TO WHOM:	DATE DELIVERED/MAILED:	METHOD OF DELIVERY: (CIRCLE ONE) IN PERSON BY US MAIL
-------------------------	------------------------	--

SECTION B: STAFF RESPONSE

RESPONDING STAFF NAME:	DATE:	SIGNATURE:	DATE RETURNED:
------------------------	-------	------------	----------------

SECTION C: REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR REVIEW

PROVIDE REASON WHY YOU DISAGREE WITH STAFF RESPONSE AND FORWARD TO RESPONDENT'S SUPERVISOR IN PERSON OR BY US MAIL. KEEP FINAL CANARY COPY.

SIGNATURE:	DATE SUBMITTED:
------------	-----------------

SECTION D: SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW

RECEIVED BY SUPERVISOR (NAME):	DATE:	SIGNATURE:	DATE RETURNED:
--------------------------------	-------	------------	----------------

SCREENED OUT OCT 01 2019

SCREENED OUT OCT 07 2019

SCREENED OUT NOV 04 2019

SCREENED OUT OCT 18 2019

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

Cancelled NOV 12 2019

Distribution: Original - Return to Inmate/Parolee; Canary - Inmate/Parolee's 2nd Copy; Pink - Staff Members Copy; Goldenrod - Inmate/Parolee's 1st Copy.

31

EXHIBIT

"B"

Description: rejection notices

pages - 5

Received CAL Appeals OCT 18 2019

State of California
CDC FORM 695
Screening For:
CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals
CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

32

Received CAL Appeals OCT 28 2019
Received CAL Appeals NOV 12 2019

Received CAL Appeals DEC 24 2019
Received CAL Screening at the FIRST Level

Tuesday, October 1, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

MAIL, Indigent Mail, 09/30/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01613

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

Your appeal has been rejected pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.6(b)(8). Your appeal involves multiple issues that do not derive from a single event, or are not directly related and cannot be reasonably addressed in a single response due to this fact. You may resubmit the unrelated issues separately using separate appeals. Be advised that you are still subject to the submission of one non-emergency appeal every 14 calendar days.

Your appeal concerns issues of Inadequate Indigent Writing Supplies and Inadequate Hygiene Supplies; these are separate and independent from each other and require to be filed on individual appeal forms. You will need to submit only one issue per appeal and include only supporting documents that support your appeal issue. Documents that only restate the issue on appeal, are duplicates of documents already attached to the appeal, or that would raised new issues not related to your appeal issue and/or would require you to submit a separate appeal will not be accepted. Include this CDC Form 695, Screening Notice, when resubmitting your appeal.

☐ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator
☒ C. Espitia, AGPA
Appeals Coordinator
CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

Marlon Blacher, i.e. "the Undersigned", seek administrative review here regarding the unjustifiable failure to meet the obligations imposed by AB 2533 (2019), which is presently binding on CDCR and the prisons therein, and such failure is the sole issue here. Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

SCREENED OUT OCT 07 2019
SCREENED OUT OCT 18 2019

SCREENED OUT NOV 04 2019

Cancelled NOV 12 2019

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

Received CAL Appeals OCT 18 2019

Received CAL Appeals OCT 28 2019

CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

Received CAL Appeals NOV 28 2019

Received CAL Appeals DEC 2 4 2019

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level

Monday, October 7, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

MAIL, Indigent Mail, 10/07/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01613

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

Your appeal has been rejected pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.6(b)(8). Your appeal involves multiple issues that do not derive from a single event, or are not directly related and cannot be reasonably addressed in a single response due to this fact. You may resubmit the unrelated issues separately using separate appeals. Be advised that you are still subject to the submission of one non-emergency appeal every 14 calendar days.

As noted on the CDCR 695 Screening Notice dated 10/01/19, you must separate your issues, as each issue would need to be assigned to two different departments for a response. Correct and resubmit. Include this CDCR 695 Screening Notice when resubmitting this appeal.

☐ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator

☒ C. Espitia, AGPA

Appeals Coordinator

CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

Administrative review is hereby sought for address of only one issue, that is the failure to satisfy the binding obligations of Cal.'s AB 2533 (2014), the Undersigned does not understand this "multiple issues" pretext for rejection because any perceived "multiple issues" are derived from the non-compliance with AB2533 (2014) and is directly related to such.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

Cancelled NOV 12 2019

SCREENED OUT OCT 18 2019

SCREENED OUT NOV 04 2019

Cancelled JAN 8 2020

Received CAL Appeals OCT 28 2019

State of California

CDCR Form 695 NOV 12 2019

Screening For: CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

34

Received CAL Appeals DEC 2 4 2019

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level

Friday, October 18, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

MAIL, Indigent Mail, 10/18/2019


Log Number: CAL-D-19-01613

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

Your appeal has been rejected pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.6(b)(8). Your appeal involves multiple issues that do not derive from a single event, or are not directly related and cannot be reasonably addressed in a single response due to this fact. You may resubmit the unrelated issues separately using separate appeals. Be advised that you are still subject to the submission of one non-emergency appeal every 14 calendar days.

As noted on the CDCR 695 Screening Notices dated 10/01/19 and 10/07/19, you must appeal your issues on separate CDCR 602 forms, as each issue would need to be assigned to two different departments for a response. Failure to follow these instructions may result in cancellation of this appeal.


☐ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator
☒ C. Espitia, AGPA
Appeals Coordinator
CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

Because the Undersigned draft this administrative review presentation to seek
Remedy to the Undersigned failure to satisfy the obligation established by AB2533
(2019) which is one issue with various related examples, the Undersigned does not
comprehend what is being demanded by Espitia here, so full disclosure is sought.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

SCREENED OUT NOV 04 2019

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

Cancelled NOV 12 2019

Received CAL Appeals NOV 12 2019

Received CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019

CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level

Monday, November 4, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

MAIL, Indigent Mail, 10/28/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01613

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

Your appeal has been rejected pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.6(b)(8). Your appeal involves multiple issues that do not derive from a single event, or are not directly related and cannot be reasonably addressed in a single response due to this fact. You may resubmit the unrelated issues separately using separate appeals. Be advised that you are still subject to the submission of one non-emergency appeal every 14 calendar days.

You appealing two different issues: Indigent Writing Supplies and the Inadequacy of Hygiene Supplies; these are two separate issues independent from each other and need to be filed individually on separate CDCR 602 forms. You are allowed to appeal one issue per CDCR 602 form. Again, failure to follow the instructions given will result in cancellation of this appeal.

☐ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator
☒ C. Espitia, AGPA
Appeals Coordinator
CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

"Indigent Writing Supplies and... Hygiene Supplies" are both matters subject
of said AB 2533 and the subject of this "appeal" is the unlawful failing to satisfy said AB
2533, thus, one issue with multiple incidents are addressed. Further, whereby you enforce
your 1 "appeal" within 24 days rule, it is impossible to address each individually.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

Cancelled NOV 12 2019

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

Received CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019

State of California
CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

Received CAL Appeals DEC 2 4 2019

36

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level

Tuesday, November 12, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

MAIL, Indigent Mail, 11/12/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01613

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

Your appeal has been cancelled pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.6(c)(3). You continue to submit a rejected appeal while disregarding appeal staff's previous instructions to correct the appeal.

As noted on the CDCR 695 Screening Notices dated 10/01/19, 10/07/19, and 11/04/19 your appeal needed to be addressed on separate CDCR 602 forms, as each issue would need to be assigned to two different departments for a response. You failed to follow the instructions given, resulting in the cancellation of this appeal.


☒ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator
☐ C. Espitia, AGPA
Appeals Coordinator
CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

37

EXHIBIT

" C "

Description: Administrative review documents

pages — 4

IAB USE ONLY	Institution/Parole Region:	Log #:	Category:
FOR STAFF USE ONLY			

You may appeal any California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) decision, action, condition, policy or regulation that has a material adverse effect upon your welfare and for which there is no other prescribed method of departmental review/remedy available. See California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 15, Section 3084.1. You must send this appeal and any supporting documents to the Appeals Coordinator (AC) within 30 calendar days of the event that led to the filing of this appeal. If additional space is needed, only one CDCR Form 602-A will be accepted. Refer to CCR 3084 for further guidance with the appeal process. No reprisals will be taken for using the appeal process.

Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.

WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.

Name (Last, First): <u>Blacher : Marlon</u>	CDC Number: <u>%650077 (Vietnam)</u>	Unit/Cell Number: <u>%013209U</u>	Assignment: <u>AV/AV-6. Voc. Auto Body</u>
--	---	--------------------------------------	---

State briefly the subject of your appeal (Example: damaged TV, job removal, etc.):

Constructive suspension of the right to appeal

A. Explain your issue (If you need more space, use Section A of the CDCR 602-A): The issue here is that C. Espitia and Ballard work collusively to constructively suspend the "right to appeal" (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 15 § 3084.1.) and in essence abridge my right to petition the government for a (See "CDCR 602-A")
B. Action requested (If you need more space, use Section B of the CDCR 602-A): The remedy/Remedy hereby sought is: that I, Marlon Blacher, obtain discharge/redemption/release from CDCR so-called "custody"/"custody" (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 15 § 3084.1) because of CDCR's incompetence to accomp- (See "CDCR 602-A")

Supporting Documents: Refer to CCR 3084.3.

☒ Yes, I have attached supporting documents.

List supporting documents attached (e.g., CDC 1083, Inmate Property Inventory; CDC 128-G, Classification Chrono):

Cancelled "appeal"
"CDCR 22" form (original + attachment)

☐ No, I have not attached any supporting documents. Reason: _____

Inmate/Parolee Signature: Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved Date Submitted: 11-24-19

☒ By placing my initials in this box, I waive my right to receive an interview.

RECEIVED CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019
RECEIVED CAL Appeals DEC 24 2019
STAFF USE ONLY

C. First Level - Staff Use Only		Staff - Check One: Is CDCR 602-A Attached? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
This appeal has been:			
☐ Bypassed at the First Level of Review. Go to Section E.			
☒ Rejected (See attached letter for instruction) Date: <u>NOV 27 2019</u> Date: _____ Date: _____			
☐ Cancelled (See attached letter) Date: _____			
☐ Accepted at the First Level of Review.			
Assigned to: _____		Title: _____ Date Assigned: _____ Date Due: _____	
First Level Responder: Complete a First Level response. Include Interviewer's name, title, interview date, location, and complete the section below.			
Date of Interview: _____		Interview Location: _____	
Your appeal issue is: ☐ Granted ☐ Granted in Part ☐ Denied ☐ Other: _____			
See attached letter. If dissatisfied with First Level response, complete Section D.			
Interviewer: _____ (Print Name)		Signature: _____ Date completed: _____	
Reviewer: _____ (Print Name)		Signature: _____	
Date received by AC: _____			
Cancelled JAN 02 2020		AC Use Only Date mailed/delivered to appellant ____/____/____	

SCREENED OUT NOV 27 2019

39

IAB USE ONLY	Institution/Parole Region:	Log #:	Category:
FOR STAFF USE ONLY			

Attach this form to the CDCR 602, only if more space is needed. Only one CDCR 602-A may be used.

Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded. WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.

Name (Last, First):	CDC Number:	Unit/Cell Number:	Assignment:
Blacher : Marlon	650077(Victoria)	40 D 3 209 U	Vec. Auto Body

A. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section A only (Explain your issue): address of grievance, sundry
and continuously using the office of the appeals coordinator as an
instrument to deprive me, arbitrarily, of such right (See 18 U.S.C.
§§ 241 and 242.) On the 24th day of Sept., 2019, I, Marlon Blacher, pre-
sented administrative review documents concerning the unjustifiable
failing to satisfy/discharge the matters established by Assembly
Bill 2533 (2013-2019), which is referenced by "Log Number: CAL-D-19
-61613", whereby Espitia continuously abuse the rejection procedure
by absurdly and fraudulently asserting the, "appeal involves multiple
issues that do not derive from a single event, or are not directly
related..." notwithstanding that the subject-matter of such
"appeal" detail articles stipulated in said Assembly Bill that this
prison's administrators, namely W.L. Montgomery, are unjustifiably
declining to render and such declining violate clearly established
CDCR policy (see e.g. DCM § 14050.1.) The operative presumption here
is that Ralph Diaz has established the organizational structure for Es-
pitia and Pollard's continuing conspiracy against my right to petition
(see id. at § 11A10.1.) Subsequent to Espitia's multiple arbitrary
rejections Pollard then arbitrarily cancel... (not enough space left)
Inmate/Parolee Signature: Marlon Blach All Rights Reserved Date Submitted: 11-24-19

Received CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019
Received CAL Appeals DEC 24 2019
STAFF USE ONLY

B. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section B only (Action requested): list such lawfully; that discharge/satisfaction
of the matters cited by The Penal Code § 147 be completed, re Espitia and Pollard
forthwith; that the sum certain established by the matters cited at The Civil
Code § 52 (b)(2) be rendered to me, forthwith; and that further remedy/remedy
that is just, to/toward me, to adequately cure the violation have be ren-
dered, forthwith.

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

Inmate/Parolee Signature: Marlon Blacher All Rights Reserved

Date Submitted: 11-24-19

Received CAL Appeals NOV 13 2019
Received CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019
Received CAL Appeals DEC 24 2019

40

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW, ITEM OR SERVICE
CDCR 22 (10/09)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

SECTION A: INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST

NAME (Print) (LAST NAME)	(FIRST NAME)	CDC NUMBER	SIGNATURE
Blacher	Marlon	650077 (Inmate)	Marlon Blacher, A.D.R.
HOUSING/BED NUMBER	ASSIGNMENT	HOURS FROM <u>N</u> TO <u>A</u>	TOPIC (I.E. MAIL, CONDITION OF CONFINEMENT/PAROLE, ETC.)
4D3 209U	N/A		emergency appeal

CLEARLY STATE THE SERVICE OR ITEM REQUESTED OR REASON FOR INTERVIEW

On or about the 1st day of October, 2019, I, Marlon Blacher, present the pertinent admin. review documents in accordance with CDCR's "Emergency appeal" procedure/process but to the date of this present no reply/response to such has been rendered to me. Full disclosure, re this matter, is hereby sought.

METHOD OF DELIVERY (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) **NO RECEIPT WILL BE PROVIDED IF REQUEST IS MAILED**

☒ SENT THROUGH MAIL: ADDRESSED TO the office of the appeals coordinator DATE MAILED 10-06-19
☐ DELIVERED TO STAFF (STAFF TO COMPLETE BOX BELOW AND GIVE GOLDENROD COPY TO INMATE/PAROLEE)

RECEIVED BY: PRINT STAFF NAME	DATE	SIGNATURE	FORWARDED TO ANOTHER STAFF?
D. Gonzalez	10-06-19	Blacher	(CIRCLE ONE) YES ☒ NO ☐
IF FORWARDED - TO WHOM	DATE DELIVERED/MAILED	METHOD OF DELIVERY	
Appeals Coordinator	10-06-19	(CIRCLE ONE) IN PERSON ☐ BY US MAIL ☒	

SECTION B: STAFF RESPONSE

RESPONDING STAFF NAME	DATE	SIGNATURE	DATE RETURNED
C. Espitia ADPP	10/8/19	A	10/8/19

See attached CDCR 695 Screening Notice for Instructions.

SECTION C: REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR REVIEW

PROVIDE REASON WHY YOU DISAGREE WITH STAFF RESPONSE AND FORWARD TO RESPONDENT'S SUPERVISOR IN PERSON OR BY US MAIL. KEEP FINAL CANARY COPY.

I, Marlon Blacher, initially present this form seeking requisite disclosure regarding the processing of admin. rev. docs. but "STAFF RESPONSE" indicate something off "Instructions", and because said admin. rev. docs. concern my petitioning for a release of grievances then said "Instructions" is the giving of legal advice, unsolicited and impermissible pursuant to Cal. Code Regs. tit. 15 § 3405.

SIGNATURE	DATE SUBMITTED
Marlon Blacher, A.D.R.	the 16th day of October, 2019.

SECTION D: SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW

RECEIVED BY SUPERVISOR (NAME)	DATE	SIGNATURE	DATE RETURNED
D. POLLARD	11/4/19	D. Pollard	11/4/19

YOU ARE ALLEGING, IN YOUR APPEAL, C/O POCHA COMPROMENTED AND HARASSED YOU; HOWEVER, YOU DID NOT DESCRIBE WHAT WAS SAID OR WHAT ACTION WAS DISPLAYED TOWARDS YOU. WHEN FILING A STAFF COMPLAINT, YOU MUST PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE MISCONDUCT THAT OCCURRED.

Cancelled JAN 02 2020
SCREENED OUT NOV 20 2019

SCREENED OUT NOV 27 2019

Distribution: Original - Return to Inmate/Parolee; Canary - Inmate/Parolee's 2nd Copy; Pink - Staff Members Copy; Goldenrod - Inmate/Parolee's 1st Copy

Received CAL Appeals NOV 26 2019
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW, ITEM OR SERVICE
CDCR 22 (10/09)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

41

SECTION A: INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST

NAME (Print): (LAST NAME)	(FIRST NAME)	CDC NUMBER:	SIGNATURE:
Blacher	Marlon	4650077(Vietnam)	Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved
HOUSING/BED NUMBER:	ASSIGNMENT:	HOURS FROM 8:15 TO 3:30	TOPIC (I.E. MAIL, CONDITION OF CONFINEMENT/PAROLE, ETC.):
40D3209U	Atta Vac. Auto Body		Abid. "Right to Appeal"

CLEARLY STATE THE SERVICE OR ITEM REQUESTED OR REASON FOR INTERVIEW:

On or about the 28th day of October, 2019, I, Marlon Blacher, present an "appeal" for ~~admission~~ admin. rev. regarding the continuing conspiracy to obstruct my access to the courts and said "appeal" is presented in accordance with the "Emergency appeal" (tit. 15 § 3084.9(a)) procedure due to the present risk of having my active case dismissed, it has been more than 3 days and I have not obtained receipt of any notice re said "appeal". Full disclosure re this matter is hereby sought.

METHOD OF DELIVERY: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) **NO RECEIPT WILL BE PROVIDED IF REQUEST IS MAILED**

☒ SENT THROUGH MAIL: ADDRESSED TO: Espitia, AGPA

DATE MAILED: 11/04/19

☐ DELIVERED TO STAFF (STAFF TO COMPLETE BOX BELOW AND GIVE GOLDENROD COPY TO INMATE/PAROLEE):

RECEIVED BY: PRINT STAFF NAME:	DATE:	SIGNATURE:	FORWARDED TO ANOTHER STAFF?
D. Gonzalez	10.04.19	D. Gonzalez	(CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

IF FORWARDED - TO WHOM:	DATE DELIVERED/MAILED:	METHOD OF DELIVERY:
Espitia, AGPA	10.04.19	(CIRCLE ONE) IN PERSON BY US MAIL

SECTION B: STAFF RESPONSE

RESPONDING STAFF NAME:	DATE:	SIGNATURE:	DATE RETURNED:
Espitia, AGPA	11/6/19	[Signature]	11/6/19

Your appeal was received on 10/30/19 and rejected on 11/1/19. See attached copy of CDCR 695 Screening Notice dated 11/1/19. Your appeal with note was mailed to you on 11/1/19. Check your housing unit staff for any mail.

SECTION C: REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR REVIEW

PROVIDE REASON WHY YOU DISAGREE WITH STAFF RESPONSE AND FORWARD TO RESPONDENT'S SUPERVISOR IN PERSON OR BY US MAIL. KEEP FINAL CANARY COPY.

The "appeal" is presented pursuant to the "Emergency appeal" (tit. 15 § 3084.9(a)) procedure but as evidenced by said "CDCR 695" it is not processed accordingly and that is the reason. Further, tit. 15 § 3084.5(a)(3) stipulate "When an appeal is not accepted, the inmate shall be notified of the specific reasons for the rejection or cancellation" not "check your housing unit staff for any mail."

SIGNATURE:	DATE SUBMITTED:
Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved	the 10th day of Nov., 2019.

SECTION D: SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW

RECEIVED BY SUPERVISOR (NAME):	DATE:	SIGNATURE:	DATE RETURNED:
D. FOUARD	11/13/19	D. FOUARD	11/13/19

The attached CDCR 695 gives you the specific REASON FOR THE REJECTION/CANCELLATION AS OUTLINED IN CDCR 3084.6

42

EXHIBIT

"D"

Description: rejection notices

pages - 4

State of California
CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

43

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level

Wednesday, November 20, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

PROGRAM, Policy & Procedures, 11/13/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01919

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

Your appeal has been rejected pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.6(b)(3). You have exceeded the allowable number of appeals filed in a 14 calendar day period pursuant to CCR 3084.1(f). Pursuant to CCR 3084.4 you are advised that this appeal is considered misuse or abuse of the appeals process. Repeated violations may lead to your being placed on appeal restriction as described in CCR 3084.4(g).

Your last appeal was received in the Calipatria State Prison Inmate Appeals Office and accepted for processing on 11/05/19; this appeal was also received in the appeals office on 11/13/19. You may not submit a new appeal within 14 calendar days of the previously filed appeal. You may resubmit this or any other appeal only after a 14 calendar day period has expired from one submitted appeal to another.

In addition, your appeal concerns multiple issues. You will need to submit only one issue per appeal and include only supporting documents that support your appeal issue. Documents that only restate the issue on appeal, are duplicates of documents already attached to the appeal, or that would raised new issues not related to your appeal issue and/or would require you to submit a separate appeal will not be accepted.

Also, our appeal is obscured by pointless verbiage in such manner that the reviewer cannot be reasonably expected to identify the issue under appeal. You need to state only the facts regarding the issue being appealed. If you feel the need to cite regulation, state only the

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

I, Marlon Blacher, have drafted this "appeal" to the best of my knowledge, understanding, and capability and I cannot discern any "multiple issues", nor any "pointless verbiage"; therefore, is administrative review/remedy/Remedy available to me here? Furthermore, because of the presently

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED
SCREENED OUT NOV 27 2019

Cancelled JAN 0 2 2020

State of California
CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

(44)

regulation or rule number (i.e. CCR 3000, PC 5002, DOM 62010, etc.) the appeal responder is aware of the rules and/or can conduct his/her own investigation of the rules. This minimizes the chances of obscuring your appeal issue with pointless verbiage that would make the reviewer unable to identify the issue under appeal. Include this Screening Notice when resubmitting this appeal.

D. Pollard
☒ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator
☐ C. Espitia, AGPA
 Appeals Coordinator
 CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

established structure and organization of CDCR whereby "STAFF" act
to willful and malicious violation of positive law and CDCR regulations with
impunity "STAFF" create several issues within any given 14 day time & therefore several
"appeals" must be presented within any given 14 day period.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

45

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level

Wednesday, November 20, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

PROGRAM, Policy & Procedures, 11/13/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01919

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

AO Other

Your appeal has been reviewed by the Appeals Coordinator and the Warden/Chief Deputy Warden (Hiring Authority) in order to determine if your appeal met the requirements to be classified as a Complaint Against Staff. Upon the conclusion of the Hiring Authority's review, it has been determined that your appeal does not meet the criteria to be classified as a Staff Complaint; therefore, your appeal was classified as a Program Appeal issue.


☒ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator
☐ C. Espitia, AGPA
Appeals Coordinator
CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

SCREENED OUT NOV 27 2019

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

State of California

CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

46

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level

Wednesday, November 27, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

PROGRAM, Policy & Procedures, 11/26/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01919

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

Your appeal has been rejected pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.6(b)(9). Your appeal issue is obscured by pointless verbiage or voluminous unrelated documentation such that the reviewer cannot be reasonably expected to identify the issue under appeal.

Your appeal concerns multiple issues. You will need to submit only one issue per appeal and include only supporting documents that support your appeal issue. Documents that only restate the issue on appeal, are duplicates of documents already attached to the appeal, or that would raised new issues not related to your appeal issue and/or would require you to submit a separate appeal will not be accepted. Your appeal is obscured by pointless verbiage in such manner that the reviewer cannot be reasonably expected to identify the issue under appeal.

You need to state only the facts regarding the issue being appealed. If you feel the need to cite regulation, state only the regulation or rule number (i.e. CCR 3000, PC 5002, DOM 62010, etc.) the appeal responder is aware of the rules and/or can conduct his/her own investigation of the rules. This minimizes the chances of obscuring your appeal issue with pointless verbiage that would make the reviewer unable to identify the issue under appeal. Include this Screening Notice when resubmitting this appeal.

☒ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator☐ S. Lopez, Staff Services Analyst (A)☐ C. Espitia, AGPA

Appeals Coordinator

CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

There is only one issue hereby presented, there is no "pointless verbiage" here with expressed and every term, phrase, and sentence has been placed using effort, discretion, selection, and thought; nevertheless, the "appeal" has been redrafted in an attempt to "dumb down" as you suggest, the language used.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

Cancelled JAN 0 2 2020

State of California

CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

47

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level

Wednesday, November 27, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

LEGAL, Cancelled Appeal, 11/26/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01962

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

RO Other

Your appeal is obscured by pointless verbiage in such manner that the reviewer cannot be reasonably expected to identify the issue under appeal. You need to state only the facts regarding the issue being appealed. If you feel the need to cite regulation, state only the regulation or rule number (i.e. CCR 3000, PC 5002, DOM 62010, etc.) the appeal responder is aware of the rules and/or can conduct his/her own investigation of the rules. This minimizes the chances of obscuring your appeal issue with pointless verbiage that would make the reviewer unable to identify the issue under appeal. Your appeal concerns multiple issues. You will need to submit only one issue per appeal. Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e) an appeal may be filed regarding the cancellation decision for Appeal Log #CAL-D-19-01613. Include only supporting documents that support your appeal issue. Documents that only restate the issue on appeal, are duplicates of documents already attached to the appeal, or that would raise new issues not related to your appeal issue and/or would require you to submit a separate appeal will not be accepted. Include this CDC Form 695, Screening Notice, when resubmitting your appeal.

☒ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator

☐ S. Lopez, Staff Services Analyst (A)

☐ C. Espitia, AGPA

Appeals Coordinator

CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

State of California

CDC FORM 695

Screening For:

CDC 602 Inmate/Parolee Appeals

CDC 1824 Reasonable Modification or Accommodation Request

(48)

RE: Screening at the SECOND Level

Wednesday, November 27, 2019

BLACHER, G50077

D 003 2209001U

STAFF COMPLAINTS, Staff Vltl Constnl/Civil/DuePr, 11/26/2019

Log Number: CAL-D-19-01962

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

AO Other

Your appeal has been reviewed by the Appeals Coordinator and the Warden/Chief Deputy Warden (Hiring Authority) in order to determine if your appeal met the requirements to be classified as a Complaint Against Staff. Upon the conclusion of the Hiring Authority's review, it has been determined that your appeal does not meet the criteria to be classified as a Staff Complaint; therefore, your appeal was classified as a Legal Appeal issue.

☒ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator☐ S. Lopez, Staff Services Analyst (A)☐ C. Espitia, AGPA

Appeals Coordinator

CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

49

EXHIBIT

" E "

Description: admin reviews docs. and rejection notice

pages — 3

50

RE: Screening at the FIRST Level
Thursday, January 2, 2020

BLACHER, G50077
D 003 2209001U


STAFF MISCONDUCT, Supervisorial Review, 12/24/2019
Log Number: CAL-D-20-00001

(Note: Log numbers are assigned to all appeals for tracking purposes. Your appeal is subject to cancellation for failure to correct noted deficiencies.)

The enclosed documents are being returned to you for the following reasons:

Your appeal has been cancelled pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.6(c)(3). You continue to submit a rejected appeal while disregarding appeal staff's previous instructions to correct the appeal.

You have failed to follow instructions to correct the noted deficiencies to your appeal as indicated on Screening Notices dated 11/20/19 and 11/27/19. You filed two Staff Complaints (Log# CAL-D-19-1919 & CAL-D-19-1962) which were both reviewed by the Hiring Authority in order to determine if your appeals met the requirements to be classified as a Complaint Against Staff. Upon the conclusion of the Hiring Authority's review, it had been determined that your appeals did not meet the criteria to be classified as a Staff Complaint. On or about 11/27/19 the Appeals Coordinator conducted a personal interview with you to ascertain your understanding of the appeal process and instructions provided. You indicated you understood. You have resubmitted this appeal, a Complaint on Staff/Warden/Appeals Office which was received on 12/24/19, still not in compliance with previous notices to correct noted deficiencies. Therefore, your appeal has been cancelled. Do not resubmit this appeal.


☐ D. Pollard, Appeals Coordinator
☒ S. Lopez, Staff Services Analyst (A)
☐ S. Thind
☐ C. Espitia, AGPA
Appeals Coordinator
CAL

NOTE: If you are required to respond/explain to this CDCR Form 695, use only the lines provided below.

Be advised that you cannot appeal a rejected appeal, but should take the corrective action necessary and resubmit the appeal within the timeframes specified in CCR 3084.6(a) and CCR 3084.8(b). Pursuant to CCR 3084.6(e), once an appeal has been cancelled, that appeal may not be resubmitted. However, a separate appeal can be filed on the cancellation decision. The original appeal may only be resubmitted if the appeal on the cancellation is granted.

NOTE THIS CDCR 695 IS A PERMANENT APPEAL ATTACHMENT AND IS NOT TO BE REMOVED

31

IAB USE ONLY	Institution/Parole Region:	Log #:	Category:
FOR STAFF USE ONLY			

You may appeal any California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) decision, action, condition, policy or regulation that has a material adverse effect upon your welfare and for which there is no other prescribed method of departmental review/remedy available. See California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 15, Section 3084.1. You must send this appeal and any supporting documents to the Appeals Coordinator (AC) within 30 calendar days of the event that led to the filing of this appeal. If additional space is needed, only one CDCR Form 602-A will be accepted. Refer to CCR 3084 for further guidance with the appeal process. No reprisals will be taken for using the appeal process.

Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.

WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.

Name (Last, First):	CDC Number:	Unit/Cell Number:	Assignment:
Blacher : Marlon	4650077612-3	46D 3209U	Voc. Astro Body

State briefly the subject of your appeal (Example: damaged TV, job removal, etc.):

abridgement of the right to petition for redress
A. Explain your issue (If you need more space, use Section A of the CDCR 602-A): The issue here is that the persons staffing the office of the appeals coordinator at this prison act collusively to obstruct my access to CDCR's "Appeal" process and such undue act constitutes abridging the (see "CDCR 602-A")
B. Action requested (If you need more space, use Section B of the CDCR 602-A): The action sought in consequence of the violation detailed here includes that the afore said abridgement perpetrators be removed from office pursuant to the notices cited by The Penal Code § 147; (see "CDCR 602-A").

Supporting Documents: Refer to CCR 3084.3.

☒ Yes, I have attached supporting documents.

List supporting documents attached (e.g., CDC 1083, Inmate Property Inventory; CDC 128-G, Classification Chrono):

Cancelled "Appeals"
"CDCR 22" forms

☐ No, I have not attached any supporting documents. Reason : _____

Inmate/Parolee Signature: Marlon Blacher All Rights Reserved Date Submitted: 12-18-19

☒ By placing my initials in this box, I waive my right to receive an interview.

Received CAL Appeals DEC 24 2019

STAFF USE ONLY

C. First Level - Staff Use Only		Staff - Check One: Is CDCR 602-A Attached? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
This appeal has been:			
☐ Bypassed at the First Level of Review. Go to Section E.			
☐ Rejected (See attached letter for instruction) Date: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____			
☒ Cancelled (See attached letter) Date: <u>11/2/20</u>			
☐ Accepted at the First Level of Review.			
Assigned to: _____		Title: _____ Date Assigned: _____ Date Due: _____	
First Level Responder: Complete a First Level response. Include Interviewer's name, title, interview date, location, and complete the section below.			
Date of Interview: _____		Interview Location: _____	
Your appeal issue is: ☐ Granted ☐ Granted in Part ☐ Denied ☐ Other: _____			
See attached letter. If dissatisfied with First Level response, complete Section D.			
Interviewer: _____ (Print Name)		Title: _____ Signature: _____ Date completed: _____	
Reviewer: _____ (Print Name)		Title: _____ Signature: _____	
Date received by AC: _____			
AC Use Only Date mailed/delivered to appellant ____ / ____ / ____			

Cancelled JAN 02 2020

IAB USE ONLY	Institution/Parole Region:	Log #:	Category:
FOR STAFF USE ONLY			

Attach this form to the CDCR 602, only if more space is needed. Only one CDCR 602-A may be used.

Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded. WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.

Name (Last, First):	CDC Number:	Unit/Cell Number:	Assignment:
Blacher : Marlon	46500766...	40D3204U	Voc. Auto Body

A. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section A only (Explain your issue): Right to petition the govern-
ment for a redress of grievances and, presumably Xavier Becerra
(Cal. Attorney Gen.), Ralph Diaz (CDCR Director), and the person acting
in capacity as warden at this prison collusively direct such abridg-
ing. An example of the aforesaid undue abridgement transpire
upon my attempt to petition for a redress of the unlawful failing
to discharge the obligations, imposed upon CDCR by AB 2533
(2018-2019), here at this prison, whereby the aforesaid appeals
coordination office staffing persons repeatedly abuse CDCR's
"appeal screening" procedure by rejecting the duly presented
documents upon a false /fraudulent premise and then, subsequent-
ly, cancelling (without legitimate cause and justification) said
documents; such plainly unconstitutional acts are perpetrated
to arbitrarily deprive me of the property that the aforesaid
AB 2533 set as due to me, which is accomplished via
biased upon the STATE staffing person supremacy /nationalist/neo-
communism, ideology that the overwhelming majority of CDCR's
so-called "Correctional Peace Officers" further impose, and promote
targeting, with selective /discriminatory emphasis... (not enough space)...
[Inmate/Parolee] Signature: Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved Date Submitted: 12-18-19

Received CAL Appeals DEC 24 2019

STAFF USE ONLY

B. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section B only (Action requested): that a decree /order /proclamation commanding a
cease and desist of said abridgement, issue forthwith; and that the sum certain set
by the matters cited by The Penitentiary Civil Code, § 52 (b) (2) be rendered to me,
forthwith.

[Inmate/Parolee] Signature: Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved Date Submitted: 12-18-19

53
CERTIFICATE OF SUBSTITUTED SERVICE

Marlon Blacher, hereinafter "the Undersigned", certify that the Undersigned is presently held deprived of enjoyment of the Undersigned's "Inalienable Rights" (Cal. Const. Art. I §1.) by STATE OF CALIFORNIA, via its CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON location and in consequence of such undue deprivation substituted service is the only means of process of service presently available to the Undersigned and on the 7th day of February, 2020, the Undersigned forward the following ~~communications~~ ^{presentments} by placing a true and correct copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully discharged, by what is referenced "U.S. mail" and on the portion of "the land" (Article VI, clause 2, Const. of the United States) where said prison is located:

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS w/ PETITION FOR RELIEF, AFFIDAVITS, and EXHIBIT "A" - "E"; NOTICE REGARDING INABILITY TO PROVIDE SET AMOUNT OF COPIES AND PETITION; and STATEMENT OF CIRCUMSTANCES JUSTIFYING REVIEW BEFORE SAID SUPREME COURT w/ EXHIBIT "F";

Said presentments mailed to;

the Supreme Court
(See Cal. Const. Art. VI §§ 1, 2, and 10.)
c/o 350 McAllister Street
San Francisco, the State of California
near [94103] - 94108

Marlon Blacher, i.e. "the Undersigned", do herewith certify and attest, by penalty of perjury by positive law of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Certificate execute on the 7th day of February, 2020, on the portion of "the land" (Article VI, i.d.) where California, the State of California, is located and in time of peace.

Marlon Blacher

Marlon Blacher, All Rights Reserved

1 In re,
2 Marlon Blacher,
3 On Writ of Certiorari.

Case no.

AFFIDAVIT TO VERIFY THE
PETITION

4
5 Marlon Blacher, the undersigned Affiant, hereinafter "the Undersigned",
6 do hereby solemnly certify and attest on the Undersigned's unlimited-liability
7 that:

8 1. the Undersigned is competent to state the matters set forth herein;
9 2. the Undersigned has personal knowledge re said matters;
10 3. all the facts stated throughout this Affidavit are true, correct, com-
11 plete, and certain to the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, understanding,
12 and belief;

13 4. all the facts stated throughout the herewith presented Petition for
14 a writ of certiorari, expressed throughout all its components, are true, cor-
15 rect, complete, and certain to the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, under-
16 standing, and belief;

17 5. APPENDIX A comprise a true, correct, and complete copy of an order
18 entered in response to a Petition for a writ of habeas corpus brought by the
19 Undersigned to be heard before the constitutionally prescribed "Supreme
20 Court" (Cal. Const. Art. VI § 1.) for full, fair, adequate assessment/consideration
21 of the matters brought for such via the present Petition for a writ of certiorari;
22 and

23 6. APPENDIX B comprise a true, correct, and complete copy of said
24 Petition.

25
26 Marlon Blacher, i.e. "the Undersigned", do herewith solemnly certify
27 and attest, on the Undersigned's unlimited-liability and by penalty of perjury by
28 positive law of the United States of America, that the Undersigned issue

Exhibit

10 ~~A~~ 11

Description: Peti

1 this Affidavit with sincere intent, the Undersigned is competent to state the
2 matters set forth herein, the foregoing is true, correct, complete, and certain,
3 admissible as evidence, not misleading, and the truth, the whole truth, and
4 nothing but the truth to the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, understand-
5 ing, and belief, and whereby this Certification execute on the 16th day of
6 July, 2020, on the portion of "the land" (Article VI, clause 2, Const. of the
7 United States -) where San Diego, the State of California, is located in pacata
8 solo.

9

Meir Blau