

#20-5236

---

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

Russell Rope,

*Petitioner,*

vs.

Facebook, Inc., Apple, Inc., Alphabet, Inc., Twitter, Inc.,  
JPMorgan Chase & Co., & John Does 1 to 10,

*Respondents,*

On Petition for Rehearing  
Petition for Extraordinary Writ  
Specifically for Writs of Mandamus & Prohibition  
The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit &  
The United States District Court for the Central District of California  
#18-55782 & #2:17-cv-04921 + SCOTUS #19-5616

---

**AFFIDAVIT & CERTIFICATION OF A PARTY UNREPRESENTED BY COUNSEL**

---

Petitioner, Russell Rope, seeks [Emergency] Petition for Rehearing of Extraordinary Writ(s) for the above entitled case number 20-5236. **Briefly and distinctly stated, this Petition is necessary, not limited to based on grounds not previously presented, which can be elaborated upon as necessary, but also because constitutional due process rights are being violated, life is in danger, and humanitarian rights of citizens are at stake. Denying the previous Petition(s) were grave errors that must be corrected immediately upon receipt of Petition is presented in great faith and not for delay. Grant this justice.**

  
**/s/ RUSSELL ROPE 10/17/20**  
*Petitioner & Plaintiff In Pro Per*  
(310) 663-7655

**#20-5236**

---

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

---

Russell Rope,

*Petitioner,*

vs.

Facebook, Inc., Apple, Inc., Alphabet, Inc., Twitter, Inc.,  
JPMorgan Chase & Co., & John Does 1 to 10,

*Respondents,*

On Petition for Rehearing  
Petition for Extraordinary Writ  
Specifically for Writs of Mandamus & Prohibition  
The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit &  
The United States District Court for the Central District of California  
#18-55782 & #2:17-cv-04921 + SCOTUS #19-5616

---

**IN RE RUSSELL ROPE. [EMERGENCY] PETITION FOR REHEARING**

---

**Russell Rope**

#1607 POB 1198  
Sacramento, CA 95812  
(310) 663-7655

*Petitioner In Pro Per*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

★ CASE SUMMARY.....	3
★ LIST OF PARTIES.....	4
★ QUESTIONS PRESENTED..... ○ & RELIEF SOUGHT	5
★ INDEX TO APPENDICES.....	9
★ TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED.....	10
★ OPINIONS BELOW.....	12
★ JURISDICTION.....	12
★ CONSTITUTIONAL & STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	13
★ STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	14
★ REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT(s).....	17
★ CONCLUSION.....	21

## **CASE SUMMARY**

### *Obstruction of Justice Must Be Terminated*

This is a Petition for Rehearing; of the Petition for Extraordinary Writ, and a response to what was added to the docket a couple of days ago (on the 15th), but with the 132 (the 13th) obstruction "number hack" date (when the decision was supposed to be made at conference on the 9th) for the outrageous denial corresponding not only to the date for final denial on the original Petition for Writ of Certiorari case # 19-5616, but also to a repetitive pattern of obstruction in the lower courts and a similar pattern of racketeering activity identified with evidence attached to the original Complaint. This is a gross injustice and it must be corrected. The same pattern of 132 number hacks has been stalking Petitioner with Respondent Does interposing both "substantial" and "controlling effects" on not limited to business is money, physical health, property, and liberty.

## **LIST OF PARTIES**

*Requires Option to Amend if Necessary*

**A. PETITIONER:** Russell Rope is highly educated, incredibly knowledgeable, credibly experienced, and a most talented genius with control of one thing being a flawless mind and perfect mental health demanding your respect, which starts with commanding JUSTICE is success with this Petition.

### **B. RESPONDENTS:**

- Facebook, Inc. is located in Menlo Park, CA.
- Apple, Inc. is located in Cupertino, CA.
- Alphabet, Inc. is located in Mountain View, CA.
- Twitter, Inc. is located in San Francisco, CA.
- JPMorgan Chase & Co. is located in New York, NY.
- John Does Possibly to be Amended (Mentioned in FAC & Sealed Exhibit 52)

Facebook, Apple, Alphabet, Twitter, & Chase, being the only official Respondents, have not changed on paper because there has not really been a proper opportunity to amend additional corporations. Individual John Does, including Jane Does and said additionally problematic entities have not been dismissed in any court. The pursuit of justice does not end with obstruction. The Court should recognize Petitioner's indomitable spirit and legal experience in ordering conflict resolution now, so there does not have to be another wave of legal action, or as many as it takes to achieve inevitable justice.

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

*Whether Petitioner Needs to Start Filing New Cases Plural*

*(with Arrest Warrants Attached)*

★ Whether The Court will honor The Constitution and applicable law by granting relief?

- If not, then in as much specific detail as humanly possible; why were all previous Petitions denied and how did each Justice vote on them?

**RELIEF SOUGHT – *is not all or nothing; more like everything or as much as possible:***

★ SCOTUS Takeover by Granting Not Limited to One of These Options for Progress:

- Writ for Local (in Los Angeles) Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”)
- Or Temp. Relocate Petitioner to Washington DC; Through Trial @ SCOTUS
- Or Preferably Writs for Award of The Proposed Relief Sought from ADR

★ *Plus Original Relief that does Not Directly Involve Respondents as Follows*

**Immediately Requested Writ(s) for Relief from Government Entities:**

★ Writ of Mandamus for CalVCB to Provide \$100,000,000 of Victim Compensation

★ Writ of Mandamus for SSA to Provide Choice of Custom New Confidential SS#

Post Victory @ This Point Pending Possible Secure Name Change TBD

★ Writ of Mandamus for CIA to Release of Information

- To Petitioner Any & All Information Pertaining to Petitioner &/or This Cas
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Exemption from Pacer Fees
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Electronic Filing Access @ SCOTUS
- ★ Writ of Prohibition to Terminate Obstruction; Recusal of Previous District Judges
- ★ Writ of of Mandamus for Reversal of Quashed Subpoenas (Served By The Court)
- ★ Writ of Prohibition for “Any & All Law Enforcement” to Terminate Obstruction
- ★ Writ of Mandamus to DOJ for Restoration of Right to Bear Arms
  - & For Preferable Federal / International CCW / Security Clearance
- ★ Writ of Mandamus to LASD for Return of Small Pistol & Issuance of CCW Permit
  - LASD to Provide Cash for Equal Replacement if Destroyed
- ★ Writ of Mandamus to Superior Court of California for Termination of both Cases #ZM025125 and #ZM029514 &/or Anything Mental Health Related
  - Copy of All Records, Reports, Transcripts, Evidence, etc. to be Delivered to Petitioner & Then Permanently Destroyed
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for International Security & Investigation/Support from Secret Service In Direct Communication with Petitioner; 18 USC §§ 3056 & 1030

- ★ Writ of Prohibition for Terminate Obstruction @ 9th Circuit
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Appointment of Pro Bono (Assistant/Stand By) Counsel To Assist Petitioner in Either ADR & Discovery @ Central District or @ SCOTUS.
  
- ★ *Plus Relief or Progress Towards Relief From Damages & Punitive as Follows*

**Immediately Requested Writ(s) for Discretionary Relief from Non-Government Entities:**

- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Transfer of Domain Name “rise.com”
  - From [Any Party] to Petitioner; or for Their Arrest Under RICO
  
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Fair Sale or Property Title Transfer (because “The Feds” allegedly seized it) of Real Estate Known as “The Mountain” of BH
  - Located @ 1652 Tower Grove Dr., Beverly Hills, CA 90210
  - or From [Any Party] to Petitioner Upon Legal Victory
    - or Paid for by Respondents
    - Read the rest of this Petition and see Appendix A



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### **RICO - 18 USC § 1962(a)(c)**

- 18 USC § 1964 – Civil Remedies
- Johnson v. GEICO Cas. Co., 516 F. Supp. 2d 351 (D. Del. 2007)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 1-4, 70-74

### **RICO/Civil Conspiracy - 18 USC §§ 1962(a)(b)(c)(d) & 1349**

- Doctors' Co. v. Superior Court (1989) 49 Cal.3d 44, citing Mox Incorporated v. Woods (1927) 202 Cal. 675, 677-78.)' (Id. at 511.) & (Allied Equipment Corp. v. Litton Saudi Arabia Ltd., supra, 7 Cal.4th at 510-11.)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 74-76

### **FRAUD - PEN § 470, 18 USC § 1001, CIV § 1710, CIV § 3294**

- Computer Fraud - 18 USC § 1030 (a)(2)(c) & (a)(4), 18 USC § 1030(b), 18 USC § 1030(g)
- Computer Fraud & Abuse Act (CFAA) § 1030(a)/(c)(4)(A)(i)(I)-(V)
- 18 USC §1961 Definitions (1)(B)(5)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 77-79

### **Fraud by Wire, Radio, or Television - 18 USC § 1343**

- Manual of Model Criminal Jury Instructions for the District Courts of the 8th Circuit 6.18.1341 (West 1994)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 81-82

### **Mail Fraud: 18 USC Ch. 63 & Other Fraud Offenses § 1341**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 81-83

### **Criminal Threats - PEN § 422**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 84-86

### **Obscene, Threatening, & Annoying Communications - PEN § 653m**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 86-87

### **Stalking - PEN § 649(.9)**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 87-89

### **Assault & Battery - PEN §§ 240 & 242**

- Lowry v. Standard Oil Co. of California (1944) 63 Cal.App.2d 1, 6—7 [146 P.2d 57]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 89-90

**Espionage - Economic & Personal - 18 USC § 1831**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 91-92

**Theft of Trade Secrets - 18 USC §§ 1832 & 1836**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 92-94

**Obstruction of Justice - 18 USC §§ 1510, 1513, & 1985**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 94-96

**False Imprisonment - 1240-1: PEN §§ 210.5, 236; 42 USC § 1983**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 98-99

**Perjury - 18 USC § 1621; CPC § 118(a)**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 99-101

**Robbery & Theft/Burglary - 18 USC § 2113; PEN §§ 211, 484, & 458**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 101-103

**Attempted Murder (Assault & Battery) - 18 USC §§ 1113 & 113**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 103-105

**Defamation - CIV §§ 44(a)(b); 45-46**

- Smith v. Maldonado (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th 637, 645 [85 Cal. Rptr. 2d 397]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 106-107

**Unfair Competition - CBPC § 17200-17210**

**Intentional Interference with Economic Relations**

- Unfair Competition Law (UCL) 288. CBPC § 17200 et seq.
- (UCL) (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200)
- Levine v. Blue Shield of California, 189 Cal. App. 4th 1117, 1136 (2010)
- Schwartz v. Provident Life & Accident Ins. Co., 216 Cal. App. 4th 607, 611 (2013)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 107-108

**Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress - Civil Tort**

- Hughes v. Pair (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1035, 1050—1051 [95 Cal.Rptr.3d 636, 209 P.3d 963]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 108-113

**Cybersquatting - ACPA @ USC 15 § 1125(d)**

- Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act: 15 USC § 1125(D) Sec. 1125
- False Designations of Origin, False Descriptions, and Dilution Forbidden
- [Fraudulent Misrepresentation]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 111-113

**EEO Violations 42 USC § 2000e-2(a)**

- Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Cited in FAC at Pages 113-114

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
EMERGENCY PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT(s)**

*To be Granted for the Best Reason*

Petitioner respectfully demands, by law and based on emergency, that several writs issue for expedited progress in this collective case, for any and all relief SCOTUS can provide.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

*Facts Above & Throughout*

Opinions below the fact this case is meritorious and Respondents are truly malicious.

**JURISDICTION**

*Not Limited To Rule 44(2) & The Constitution*

★ Jurisdiction of SCOTUS @ 28 USC §1254(1)

★ Article III, Section II of The Constitution

- ★ **Judicial Review @ Marbury v. Madison (1803)**
- ★ **The Judiciary Act of 1789 SCOTUS Jurisdiction to issue Writs of Mandamus**
- ★ **Article VI of The Constitution establishes the Constitution as the Supreme Law**
- ★ **The Fourteenth Amendment & DUE PROCESS and 28 USC § 1651**
- ★ **SCOTUS Rule 20: "Extraordinary Writ authorized by 28 U. S. C. §1651(a)..."**

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

*Due Process Is All That Really Matters*

- ★ **First Amendment:** "freedom of speech... of the press.... right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress"
- ★ **Second Amendment:** "...the right of the people to keep and bear Arms"
- ★ **Fourth Amendment:** "...against unreasonable searches and seizures..."
- ★ **Fifth Amendment:** "nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property...**DUE PROCESS**"
- ★ **Sixth Amendment:** "...and to have the assistance of counsel for his defence..."
- ★ **Eighth Amendment:** "Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted."
- ★ **Fourteenth Amendment:** "**DUE PROCESS** of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.
- ★ **Case Law: Exception To Res Judicata:**

"The United States Supreme Court has stated for at least ninety years that only 'in

Justices could be replaced with artificial intelligence. The Courts are supposed to be lenient towards pro per technicalities, not looking for bogus excuses to deny vital rights and then refusing to discuss them for what reason? This case is absolutely not frivolous, so was the evidence not considered by technicality?

Not that it should matter, and not limited to because Petitioner was waiting for an order for a new social security number before completing the name change process at the DMV (now might have to change the name again because of ID theft, bank fraud, and dangerously libelous records), but it may be relevant to let The Court know that the ridiculous delays in justice resulted in Petitioner finally acquiring a REAL ID under his most legal name "Russell Rope" and that anyone with his previous last name is more than suspect of criminal racketeering as previously identified under seal. Unprovoked daily violations have not stopped.

The stalking, computer-fraud-espionage, and attacks have been incessant and whatever lies are permitting the intolerable are only being used to steal business assumingly under false pretenses. Some recent gross violations include not only SBA Disaster Loan fraud obstructing deserved public funding to replace stolen technology necessary to conduct business, but the screen watching losers sunk so low as to delay, for months, and then steal instead of deliver the replacement camera/smart-phone ordered from the frauds at Wish dot com. There was a serious fraud problem with several stimulus check

investments, some of which is connected to more grand violations, but this is not the time to delve into detail. There are many grounds not previously presented yet worth reporting, but preference is to keep the eye on the prize and move away from what is simply not safe to talk about at this juncture.

Shady obstruction regarding everything filed in all three levels of federal courts is a fact, but not worth getting into right now if at all; ultimate justice is more important than any of the petty obstructors. The Court can decide how or if and when to deal with incompetent Does, but the Respondents must pay or said Does, responsible executives, and corrupt authorities should all be sent on an indefinite vacation to federal prison.

What is the treble damage karma for people trying to take all of the Petitioner's life?

*\* Hint: \$100,000,000,000; rise.com; & The Mountain*

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

*THE LAW. Why Not?*

**WHY WRIT(S) SHOULD ISSUE:** Legally The Man Who Created & Owns The Plan

This is not a game or some get rich quick scheme. Petitioner was an award winning visual artist and developer, then a successful entrepreneur, the first college graduate of his generation of his extended family, and has been on the same track since childhood.

Dumb Does are literally trying to take Petitioner's life. Success is inevitable and undeniable where the claims are as real as the scars. Apparently some unreal people do not have a modern enough reading comprehension level to recognize real. The attached art and architecture is another example of how Petitioner's brilliant mind works on a new practice, such as law, so logically that an illiterate person can see the truth in another light on top of previous presented evidence.

Appendix "A" as in Architecture includes some renderings of Petitioner's technical real estate development plans for projected use of some legal winnings. Petitioner had never used architecture software before watching a bunch of tutorials, the equivalent to reading the legal rules, then sitting down and building the modern sculpture from scratch without any help. That does not mean Petitioner does not want to give or receive help. This development would certainly require a team to complete, unlike pro se legal work despite being obstructed from acquiring representation; point being good things could then very possibly include hiring that team to build more permanent and job creating shelters for the homeless as Petitioner is serious about giving back; "Philanthropreneur."

If Petitioner can breeze through this very complicated technical design work on such an advanced level of initial attempt, and post fabricated mental health framework; what or who is to say he cannot keep his sanity and equally file a perfect lawsuit defending his life on the first try? ( 2014 > 2017 < FAC ) Sometimes you only get one shot, the original

filings were good enough if not flawless. Life is not a video game with a cheat code for infinite lives. One turn is too many for obstruction of justice. There is no excuse. The innovative pursuit has always required and demonstrated a sincere and much deserved need and potential use for funds. Petitioner has survived on efficiency and the only money problems he has ever had are all a result of the combination of insider bank robbery plus false imprisonments that accounted for disruption of perfect credit. Petitioner had always been the most successful businessman amongst his large peer network leading up to the first recognizable pattern of racketeering activity. Money wise knows that it is a tool and how to use it to make more of it. Unlike a money burning joker, Petitioner has always worked hard, paid dues and debts, and the financial framework alone is insulting enough to put the bank out of business.

This is not just about the money though. The domain name is how Petitioner was going to make the money on his own, which is why Respondents were spying and defrauded him of what at the time and still is a life's work; additionally, the one-of-a-kind undeveloped residential property known as "The Mountain," or "The Crown Jewel" at time of discovery, and formerly "The Vineyard," is what Petitioner would have spent a big chunk of change on, where some spying trapping Respondents Does lured him into the claim and have been trying to defraud him of it and everything else because they are fake and trying to make names for themselves. Real estate planning by delusional racketeers has been playing into the false hierarchical scheme of fraudsters and their



insane egos to the point where one dumb billionaire Doe, who was named under seal, exploited Petitioner's idea for a "modern fortress" before trying to pawn off the neighboring Trousdale (Beverly Hills) house on a slightly lower elevation with a slightly less awesome view, to Petitioner, but most probably with plans to upgrade and move another dumb falsely entitled Doe onto the better lot next door. Nobody wants to play the fool, and no legitimate genius should tolerate playing subordinate to a criminal who ranks with goons and all of whom possess intellectually inferior minds. These things, including the nonphysical such as "intellectual property" and "justice," are real, they matter, and are worth fighting for; preferably by law and in court.

Last but not least, this is about family, but not from the poor perspective of people with limited or framed vision. Petitioner's future family, opposed to the criminal families trying to use obsolete frameworks as false justification to obstruct justice and steal from Petitioner, which is also stealing from and obstructing the wife Petitioner would love to have and children you better believe of whom Petitioner is going to be the best father. Respondents have already disrupted Petitioner's family, business, and basically his entire life. That disruption can cost others their freedom is life. Petitioner did not choose to file a lawsuit. Petitioner was attacked and chose to defend his life in the most peaceful way possible. The Justice system, or at least the civil side of it, was created for that purpose, not the opposite.

The choice should be simple. "Give [Petitioner] Justice[s], or give [Respondents & Does] death" via their getting sentenced to prison down the line, in this court or the next, from Petitioner's inevitable legal victory.

### CONCLUSION

*Due Process & Justice for Petitioner is The People*

In conclusion, irreparable damages have been done, to the Petitioner, and are still accumulating along with collateral damage. The enterprise of Respondents has not stopped, nor have they issued any demands to go along with their relentless terrorism. Petitioner has no intention of negotiating with people who act like terrorists, and neither should The United States of America. Respondents need to give up the loot or get terminated and go to prison. They still have not denied a single accusation in the face of indisputably clear and convincing evidence, which should speak in volumes about all that matters. The Court must assume Respondents will continue to violate not only the Petitioner, but also others, and with worse than civil war crimes. Please terminate obstruction of justice as soon as humanly possible in this lifetime and join in justice on the rise.

  
/s/ RUSSELL ROPE 10/17/2020  
*Petitioner & Petitioner In Pro Per*