

20-5236

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Russell Rope,


vs.

Petitioner,
ORIGINAL

Facebook, Inc., Apple, Inc., Alphabet, Inc., Twitter, Inc.,
JPMorgan Chase & Co., & John Does 1 to 10,

Respondents,

On Petition for Extraordinary Writ
Specifically for Writs of Mandamus & Prohibition
The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit &
The United States District Court for the Central District of California
#18-55782 & #2:17-cv-04921



IN RE RUSSELL ROPE. [EMERGENCY] PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT

Russell Rope

#1607 POB 1198
Sacramento, CA 95812
(310) 663-7655

Petitioner In Pro Per

Originally Submitted @ 2/4/2020
Not Received/Resubmitted @ 2/20/2020
Corrected & ReResubmitted @ 4/14/2020
Corrected & ReReResubmitted @ 5/8/2020
Corrected & ReReReResubmitted @ 6/10/2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

★ CASE SUMMARY.....3

★ LIST OF PARTIES.....5

★ QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....7

 ○ & “THE RELIEF SOUGHT”

★ INDEX TO APPENDICES.....7

★ TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED.....10

★ OPINIONS BELOW.....13

★ JURISDICTION.....16

★ CONSTITUTIONAL & STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED18

★ STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....21

 ○ “THE ISSUES PRESENTED”

 ○ “THE FACTS NECESSARY....”

★ REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT(S).....26

 ○ “THE REASONS WHY THE WRIT[(s)] SHOULD ISSUE”

★ CONCLUSION.....30

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Whether the Law Extends to All Citizens & Corporations in Modern Times?

- ★ Whether SCOTUS is ready to take action with integrity to thoroughly answer all of the questions presented in the *Petition for Writ of Certiorari*, to investigate issues raised in the *Petition for Rehearing*, and/or to award justice to progress?

RELIEF SOUGHT:

- ★ SCOTUS Takeover by Granting Not Limited to One of These Options for Progress:
 - Writ for Local (in Los Angeles) Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”)
 - Or Temp. Relocate Petitioner to Washington DC; Through Trial @ SCOTUS
 - Or Preferably Writs for Award of The Proposed Relief Sought from ADR
- ★ *Plus Original Relief that does Not Directly Involve Respondents as Follows*

Immediately Requested Writ(s) for Relief from Government Entities:

- ★ Writ of Mandamus for CalVCB to Provide \$100,000,000 of Victim Compensation
 - Discretionarily please, but a lawsuit was filed for this in 2014. It is only a loan based on inevitable justice funded by money taken from criminals. Fair regardless of a final civil decision on the case.
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for SSA to Provide Choice of Custom New Confidential SS#
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for CIA to Release of Information
 - To Petitioner Any & All Information Pertaining to Petitioner &/or This Case

- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Exemption from Pacer Fees
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Electronic Filing Access @ SCOTUS
- ★ Writ of Prohibition to Terminate Obstruction; Recusal of Previous District Judges
- ★ Writ of of Mandamus Requiring Reversal of Quashed Subpoenas
 - To Be Served By The Court

- ★ Writ of Prohibition for “Any & All Law Enforcement” to Terminate Obstruction
 - Symbolic Do Your Job or SCOTUS Recognizes The Right To Arrest Anyone
- ★ Writ of Mandamus to DOJ for Restoration of Right to Bear Arms
 - & For Preferable Federal / International CCW / Security Clearance
- ★ Writ of Mandamus to LASD for Return of Small Pistol & Issuance of CCW Permit
 - LASD to Provide Cash for Equal Replacement if Destroyed
- ★ Writ of Mandamus to Superior Court of California for Termination of both Cases #ZM025125 and #ZM029514 &/or Anything Mental Health Related
 - Copy of All Records, Reports, Transcripts, Evidence, etc. to be Delivered to Petitioner & Then Permanently Destroyed
 - Petitioner Deserves to Know What They Lied About & A True Clean Slate

- ★ Writ of Mandamus for International Security & Investigation/Support from Secret Service In Direct Communication with Petitioner; 18 USC §§ 3056 & 1030

- ★ Writ of Prohibition for Terminate Obstruction @ 9th Circuit
- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Appointment of Pro Bono (Assistant/Stand By) Counsel To Assist Petitioner in Either ADR & Discovery @ Central District or @ SCOTUS.

- ★ *Plus Relief or Progress Towards Relief From Damages & Punitive as Follows*

Immediately Requested Writ(s) for Discretionary Relief from Non-Government Entities:

- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Transfer of Domain Name “rise.com”
 - From [Any Party] to Petitioner; or for Their Arrest Under RICO

- ★ Writ of Mandamus for Fair Sale of Real Estate Known as “The Mountain” of BH
 - Located @ 1652 Tower Grove Dr., Beverly Hills, CA 90210
 - or From [Any Party] to Petitioner Upon Legal Victory

Reduced from Complaint/FAC Relief Proposed as Settlement Offer for ADR:

- ★ Writ of Mandamus for District Court to enter Judgement in favor of Petitioner and against Respondents jointly & severally, in the total amount of \$100,000,000,000.00 to be transferred via direct deposit(s) into Petitioner’s bank account(s).

- ★ Writ of Mandamus for 24/7/365 Petitioner access to Respondent system admins for purpose of stopping violations alleged by Petitioner; to reset settings preventing users from seeing Petitioner posts; to stop sabotage, hacks, censorship, and interference with connections, communications, business and personal life; to permit Petitioner access to private data based on probable cause.

- ★ Writ of Mandamus or injunction preventing Respondents from doing business with or providing service to or receiving goods or services from alleged by Plaintiff to be conspiring directly or indirectly with the criminal racket in any way Petitioner deems to be an illegal conflict of interest. Mostly referencing suspects identified in Exhibit “52” and anything questionable to be presented directly to Petitioner because discovery post Petitioner victory voids agreement.

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: 18-55782 (Cited & Attached)

- **Main Judgment for Supreme Review**
- United States Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
- Dismissed As “Frivolous” On 12/18/2018 @ Docket #24 (or #267 @ CACD)
- Out of Order / Before Appointment of Counsel & Filing Appeal/Brief
- Intentionally Neglecting/Obstructing Emergency Motion For Reconsideration, Requests For Explanation, & Corrected Filings

APPENDIX B: 2:17-cv-04921 (Cited & Attached)

- **Most Relevant Judgment for Additional Supreme Review**
- United States Central District Court of California
- FAC Illegally Dismissed Without Leave To Amend On 5/14/2018 @ Docket #247
- Dismissed With Leave To Amend/Requests Denied 12/20/2017 @ Docket #114
- Entire Docket/All Opinions Should Be Reviewed (Too Much To Print & Mail)
- Failure to State Claim & *Res Judicata* (Both Lies)

***NEW* APPENDIX H: Neglected Police Reports & False Arrest (Cited & Attached)**

- Defense Report Regarding False Arrest By UCLA PD
 - Charges(UCLA PD) Rejected by City Attorney’s Office
- Neglected Reports(& Supplemental) to Hollywood LAPD, OIG @ LAPD, BHPD
 - Followed Up w/Retaliation Attempted Entrapments/Stalking

Referenced Not Attached; Original Copy Can Be Provided Per Request:

APPENDIX C: BC607769 (vs. Tech/Comm)

- Stanley Mosk Courthouse / Los Angeles Superior Court of California
- Sustained Demurrer Without Leave To Amend On 9/14/2016
- Because Petitioner Was Falsely Imprisoned & Missed Court

APPENDIX D: BC608501 (vs. JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

- Stanley Mosk Courthouse / Los Angeles Superior Court of California
- Defendants Dismissed With Prejudice On 5/23/2016
- Because Petitioner Was Falsely Imprisoned & Missed Court

APPENDIX E: 2:14-cv-04900-VBK-GHK < FMM-UA &

APPENDIX F: 2:14-cv-04232-VBK-GHK < FMM-UA &

APPENDIX G: 2:14-cv-04002-VBK-GHK < FMM-UA

- United States Central District Court of California
- Erroneously Dismissed w/Out Definitive Reason; Because *In Forma Pauperis*

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

RICO - 18 USC § 1962(a)(c)

- 18 USC § 1964 – Civil Remedies
- Johnson v. GEICO Cas. Co., 516 F. Supp. 2d 351 (D. Del. 2007)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 1-4, 70-74

RICO/Civil Conspiracy - 18 USC §§ 1962(a)(b)(c)(d) & 1349

- Doctors' Co. v. Superior Court (1989) 49 Cal.3d 44, citing Mox Incorporated v. Woods (1927) 202 Cal. 675, 677-78.)' (Id. at 511.) & (Allied Equipment Corp. v. Litton Saudi Arabia Ltd., supra, 7 Cal.4th at 510-11.)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 74-76

FRAUD - PEN § 470, 18 USC § 1001, CIV § 1710, CIV § 3294

- Computer Fraud - 18 USC § 1030 (a)(2)(c) & (a)(4), 18 USC § 1030(b), 18 USC § 1030(g)
- Computer Fraud & Abuse Act (CFAA) § 1030(a)/(c)(4)(A)(i)(I)-(V)
- 18 USC §1961 Definitions (1)(B)(5)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 77-79

Fraud by Wire, Radio, or Television - 18 USC § 1343

- Manual of Model Criminal Jury Instructions for the District Courts of the 8th Circuit 6.18.1341 (West 1994)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 81-82

Mail Fraud: 18 USC Ch. 63 & Other Fraud Offenses § 1341

- Cited in FAC at Pages 81-83

Criminal Threats - PEN § 422

- Cited in FAC at Pages 84-86

Obscene, Threatening, & Annoying Communications - PEN § 653m

- Cited in FAC at Pages 86-87

Stalking - PEN § 649(9)

- Cited in FAC at Pages 87-89

Assault & Battery - PEN §§ 240 & 242

- Lowry v. Standard Oil Co. of California (1944) 63 Cal.App.2d 1, 6—7 [146 P.2d 57]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 89-90

Espionage - Economic & Personal - 18 USC § 1831

- Cited in FAC at Pages 91-92

Theft of Trade Secrets - 18 USC §§ 1832 & 1836

- Cited in FAC at Pages 92-94

Obstruction of Justice - 18 USC §§ 1510, 1513, & 1985

- Cited in FAC at Pages 94-96

False Imprisonment - 1240-1: PEN §§ 210.5, 236; 42 USC § 1983

- Cited in FAC at Pages 98-99

Perjury - 18 USC § 1621; CPC § 118(a)

- Cited in FAC at Pages 99-101

Robbery & Theft/Burglary - 18 USC § 2113; PEN §§ 211, 484, & 458

- Cited in FAC at Pages 101-103

Attempted Murder (Assault & Battery) - 18 USC §§ 1113 & 113

- Cited in FAC at Pages 103-105

Defamation - CIV §§ 44(a)(b); 45-46

- Smith v. Maldonado (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th 637, 645 [85 Cal. Rptr. 2d 397]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 106-107

Unfair Competition - CBPC § 17200-17210

Intentional Interference with Economic Relations

- Unfair Competition Law (UCL) 288. CBPC § 17200 et seq.
- (UCL) (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200)
- Levine v. Blue Shield of California, 189 Cal. App. 4th 1117, 1136 (2010)
- Schwartz v. Provident Life & Accident Ins. Co., 216 Cal. App. 4th 607, 611 (2013)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 107-108

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress - Civil Tort

- Hughes v. Pair (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1035, 1050—1051 [95 Cal.Rptr.3d 636, 209 P.3d 963]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 108-113

Cybersquatting - ACPA @ USC 15 § 1125(d)

- Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act: 15 USC § 1125(D) Sec. 1125:
- False Designations of Origin, False Descriptions, and Dilution Forbidden
- [Fraudulent Misrepresentation]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 111-113

EEO Violations 42 USC § 2000e-2(a)

- Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Cited in FAC at Pages 113-114

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
EMERGENCY PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT(S)**

To Be Granted for The Best Reasons

Petitioner respectfully demands, by law and based on life-threatening emergency, not only that several writs issue for expedited progress in this collective case, but also for any relief SCOTUS is able to provide without further ado.

OPINIONS BELOW

Facts Above & Throughout

CASE # 18-55782: Most relevant to this Petition is the erroneous opinion of the United States Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, which appears at Appendix A to the Petition, is available at Docket Entry #24, and was filed on 12/18/2019. The not yet existent “Appeal” was criminally delayed then dismissed as “frivolous” without any explanation because there was no true justification for that act of inaction. Dismissal in the Ninth Circuit

should not be considered a final judgment because something that does not yet exist cannot be described or labeled as anything dismissable. The appellate court went out of order to obstruct justice before the actual appeal/opening brief was written let alone filed because going in order and granting counsel would have made it harder to try and defraud a pro se litigant with a slam dunk case.

CASE # 2:17-cv-04921: The erroneous opinion of the United States Central District Court appears at Appendix B to the petition, is available at Docket Entry #114, and was filed on 12/20/2017. Petitioner did everything right, or at least well enough in pro per, proved everyone wrong, made necessary corrections in the FAC, did everything right again, proved everyone wrong again, and everything was corrupt. Moreover, the upgraded FAC and progress with this Petition are proof that Petitioner was and is capable of continuing to correct alleged deficiencies had they existed in reality. Circuit obstruction supports District allegations.

CASE # BC607769: The opinion of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Courthouse, for a similar but different case against the Technology Defendants, CEOs, and John Does, appears at Appendix C to the Petition and was dismissed by sustained Demurrer without leave to amend on 9/14/2016 because Petitioner was falsely imprisoned, missed court dates. An appeal was not filed on the

state level because it made more sense to file a single new federal case for everything.

The opinion is easily available on The Court website via civil case search for #BC607769.

CASE # BC608501: The opinion of Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Courthouse for a similar but different case against JPMorgan Chase & Co., CEO, and John Does appears at Appendix D to the Petition and was dismissed by sustained Demurrer without leave to amend on 5/23/2016 because Petitioner was falsely imprisoned and missed court dates.. An appeal was not filed on the state level because it made more sense to file a single new federal case for everything. The opinion is easily available on The Court website via civil case search for #BC608501.

CASE # 2:14-cv-04900: The opinion of United States Central District Court for a similar but different case against The Technology Defendants and John Does appears at Appendix E to the Petition and was illegally dismissed in 2014 through the screening process for cases filed *in forma pauperis* with no specific or definitive reason given and explanation intentionally neglected. The frivolous opinion of the court is available on the docket. This case was not dismissed with prejudice..

CASE #2:14-cv-04232: The opinion of United States Central District Court for a similar but different case against John Doe Domain Name Frauds appears at Appendix F to the Petition and was illegally dismissed in 2014 through the screening process for cases filed

in forma pauperis with no specific or definitive reason given and explanation intentionally neglected. The frivolous opinion of The Court is available on the docket. This case was not dismissed with prejudice.

CASE #2:14-cv-04002: The opinion of United States Central District Court for a similar but different case against CalVCB and justice obstructing John Does appears at Appendix G to the Petition and was illegally dismissed in 2014 through the screening process for cases filed *in forma pauperis* with no specific or definitive reason given and explanation intentionally neglected. The frivolous opinion is available on the docket. This case was not dismissed with prejudice.

JURISDICTION

The Highest Court Has It

The jurisdiction of **SCOTUS** is invoked under not limited to **28 USC §1254(1)**.

Article III, Section II of The Constitution establishes the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. The Court has original and appellate jurisdiction because the case involves points of constitutional and federal law, and the United States is a party on some levels.

The best-known power of the Supreme Court is the doctrine of judicial review established in the case of **Marbury v. Madison (1803)**. **The Judiciary Act of 1789** gave the Supreme Court original jurisdiction to issue Writs of Mandamus compelling government officials to act in accordance with the law. **Article VI of The Constitution** establishes the Constitution as the Supreme Law of the Land thereby establishing authority to strike down judgements made in state and subordinate courts.

The Fourteenth Amendment (1869) makes provisions of the Bill of Rights applicable to the federal and state government not limited to DUE PROCESS and **28 USC § 1651**:
"(a)The Supreme Court and all courts established by Act of Congress may issue all writs necessary agreeable to the usages and principles of law."

SCOTUS Rule 20: "1. Issuance by the Court of an extraordinary writ authorized by 28 U. S. C. §1651(a)..." is justified by "exceptional circumstances warrant[ing] the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers, and [] adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form." 2. Petitioner in forma pauperis is exempt from fees and copies. 3. (a) Petition seeks both writs of prohibition and mandamus, identifies court actors against whom relief is sought, and details relief sought and not/immediately available in any other court. Copies of the worst judgments with respect to writs sought, including reference to related opinions, are appended with other essential documents. (b) Petition was served with respect to relief sought.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Justice's Job is to Preserve Our Rights

First Amendment:

“freedom of speech... of the press.... right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.”

Respondents have been trying to use religion as behind the back false justification and not limited to judges are suspected of being cast like actors to block this very fundamental right and as previously explained and described in Exhibit 52. Petitioner is the modern press(media); which has been his primary business for over a decade, two plus decades upon consideration of work in college and high school. Not only have Respondents been censoring Petitioner, but obstruction of justice is resulting in a censorship where the press as a whole should be taking this very seriously. By cutting reach and communications, Respondents at this point are being enabled by justice obstructing judges thereby disrupting the ability to peacefully assemble and petition for not limited to possible redress from unfortunate corruption.

Second Amendment:

“...the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.”

The Respondent racket falsely imprisoned Petitioner on a 5150 hold not limited to for purpose of infringing on the right to bear arms after illegally delaying a carry concealed weapon permit Petitioner at the time only tried to acquire because law enforcement was neglecting serious criminal death threats, all of which resulted in Petitioner being kicked out of his home and forced to move thereby causing an entire chain reaction of racketeering activity not limited to additions to FAC since Complaint was filed in 2014.

Fourth Amendment:

“...against unreasonable searches and seizures...”

Petitioner’s firearm was illegally seized. On separate notes: not only did illegal search and seizure result in more false imprisonments, but obstruction led to illegal searches, to illegal seizure of Petitioner’s car, which caused grand theft of other physical property not limited to devices containing intellectual property, and to an illegal arrest at UCLA who was enabling violations while Petitioner was rightfully using library computers.

Fifth Amendment:

“...nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without **DUE PROCESS** of law...”

Respondents were originally enabling John Does, then considerately stating Respondents “coerced” over probably bribed, justice obstructors enabled Respondents, and now

SCOTUS until taking just action, is obstructing and enabling justice obstructors, all of which has been holding Petitioner captive through poverty, denial of service attacks (hacks), stalking, stealing property, not only on a literal level of false imprisonment, but stalling and obstructing, which is also depriving Petitioner of normal life and liberty.

Sixth Amendment:

“...and to have the assistance of counsel for his defence...”

Petitioner was criminally denied real representation from public defenders when falsely imprisoned and has only been defending against evil unjustifiable attacks since day one. Justice is also being obstructed not only by preventing private counsel, but also through blocking pro bono assistant/stand by counsel for that “defence” by the Ninth Circuit who should have appointed requested counsel before considering dismissal.

Eighth Amendment:

“Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.”
Obstruction of justice is inflicting cruel and unusual punishment considering all previous statements and not limited to new and recent violations all of which have resulted in damages. False imprisonment included excessive bail instead of own recognisance.

Fourteenth Amendment:

“...nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without **DUE PROCESS** of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

The big one appears again meaning “due process” is a right so important that it has two amendments, either of which on their own merit are significant enough reason to issue a writ. Each time Petitioner mentions obstruction of justice or obstructors, on federal or state levels, Constitutional violations of DUE PROCESS should be inferred as no court or attorney has been able to provide a logical explanation for obstruction at any stage.

Case Law: Exception To Res Judicata:

“The United States Supreme Court has stated for at least ninety years that only ‘in the absence of fraud or collusion’ does a judgment from a court with jurisdiction operate as res judicata... The exception mentioned by the 4th Circuit in Resolute Ins. Co.—one for fraud, deception, accident, or mistake—is a classic example...”

The *res judicata* claim was a deceptive defense that did not fail because of fraud not regarding crooked judges. Ignoring Petitioner’s response to bogus MTDs based on the doctrine of *res judicata* was failure to recognize precedents already set by SCOTUS.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Honest Like Abe; Not Frivolous

THE ISSUES PRESENTED:

- ★ Obstruction of Justice; Not Limited to Due Process Rights are Being Violated
 - All Lower Court Judges Conspired to Obstruct Justice

- Law Enforcement Conspired to Obstruct Justice
- Filing New Case(s), w/Arrest Warrants, Could Bring Us Back Here
 - Then Justices Could Inevitably Become Defendants
- ★ Respondents Have Not Stopped Violating Petitioner's Rights
 - New Issues Requiring Amendment or Progress of Justice Leveling the Playing Field Thereby Creating Leverage for Fair Resolution
 - Specifically, but Not Limited to Real Estate Related Fraud
 - Respondents are Connected to Fake News Swamp FYI

**THE FACTS NECESSARY
TO UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE(S) PRESENTED BY THE PETITION:**

- ★ Justice is Mandatory as Petitioner is Being Honest
- ★ Complaints (Circuit Appeal Not Permitted = Obstructed) & Petitions = Legitimate
- ★ Justice is Being Obstructed on Every Level
- ★ The Laws Are Straightforward; All Elements Alleged
- ★ Clear & Convincing Evidence Supports Claims (Successfully Stated)
- ★ There is Nothing Frivolous About These (Forewarned) Complaints for Justice
- ★ *Res Judicata* is Irrelevant @ SCOTUS Has Overruled As Previously Cited
- ★ SCOTUS has a Responsibility to Grant Writs for Justice

Petitioner realleges that through an obvious pattern of racketeering activity, conspiring Respondents, and John Does who have not been dismissed by any court and are possibly pending amendment into this case, have continued attacking Petitioner and are and defrauding him of life, liberty, freedom, rights, time, money, relationships, and interstate

to international business. Respondents have directly and indirectly caused serious injury and irreparable damage. The root claim is brought pursuant to The Racketeer Influenced and **Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 (RICO), Title 18 USC §§ 1961 et seq.**, and more specifically under the civil law cause of action at **§ 1964(a)(c)**. Evolving in severity over at least a decade, Respondents have literally been terrorizing Petitioner in their intent to sabotage and steal/control both business and personal life through incessant and illegal actions not limited to fraud, espionage, defamation, grand theft, harassment, stalking, threats, physical assault, entrapment, false imprisonment, and obstruction of justice. Multiple reports have been criminally obstructed and neglected at pretty much all law enforcement agencies and for no good reason. Irreparable damages to Petitioner include but are not limited to the killing of relationships, loss of business, money, property, time, opportunity, and creation and exacerbation of health related issues. Respondents more recently caused Petitioner to break his foot and interfered with the healthcare process, then essentially stole his car before stealing his backpack with laptop, camera, wallet, etc. They have also stolen two smart-phones, are interfering with carriers' deliverance of communications, and all devices contained some data that had not been backed up because Petitioner regularly creates so much it that it becomes more difficult to keep up on all the little things, which in some instances are big things, and everything is both connected and adds up. Respondents are intentionally trying to bleed Petitioner to death by a thousand cuts in the back while forcing him to watch as others abduct and rape his pioneering claims where starving him into submission has proved to be impossible. This

is much more serious than many instances of attempted murder. Bad karma for what? Greed and envy are sins not excuses. Respondents/John Does have received money not only through unfair competition, but also from abuse of power theft exhibited in but not limited to a very easy to follow paper trail of cash being stolen directly from the Petitioner's illegally "terminated" Chase bank account. That money still has not been returned and damage to excellent credit at the time remains another undeniable proof of a downward trending non-pre existing condition rooted in Respondent misbehavior. They have also invested money and other resources into unfair competition connected to the enterprise and relevant to intellectual property claims, which has caused serious problems for Petitioner. Injury is of a personal, social, and commercial nature. The enterprise affects interstate commerce in that both parties conduct business nationwide to internationally. Direct causation of damages is proven by clear and convincing facts and evidence. The injuries were proximately caused and would not have occurred but for the activity of the enterprise first noticed at Facebook, which is where the nexus to affairs connecting the conspiracy and pattern of racketeering activity appears to have emerged and spread to neighboring Respondents. Regardless of where attacks may have technically started, Facebook was the first recognizable trigger pulling enabler, and the main connection between Petitioner's layers of personal and professional networks, all of which have been affected. Respondent John Does have only been able to commit many or most if not all offenses solely by virtue of their positions in the enterprise and connections to Respondent (inclusive to other John Doe) corporations where power is

still being criminally abused. The case is currently in a frozen state here in SCOTUS because of DUE PROCESS disruption as if both Respondents and obstructors think Petitioner is going to tragically more than magically disappear or forgive the unforgivable while submitting to peonage with Respondents not getting out of the way of this goodness. Respondents and corrupt court actors have been trying to cheat Petitioner out of his days in court through trickery that has probably plagued less intelligent pro se litigants for too long; beyond time to move forward.

Further Satisfying Rule 20: All judges assigned to this case appear not only to have been individually cast like bad actors based on what was labeled "name hacks" corresponding to evidence linked to the FAC, but also to act like a jury of one-sided attorneys protecting Defendants with stall tactics and illegal dismissals. Please recuse relevant judges and take over @ SCOTUS or issue Writs of Mandamus and Prohibition for recusal and relief, or progress as requested, appointment of standby counsel @ 9th Circuit and Discovery and ADR @ Central District. Judges who should be no less than removed from this case should include all who touched any of Petitioner's cases not limited to the following most relevant and served:

- Edward Leavy, Senior Circuit Judge @ 9th Circuit
- Jay Scott Bybee, Senior Circuit Judge @ 9th Circuit
- Andrew David Hurwitz, Circuit Judge @ 9th Circuit
- Michael Walter Fitzgerald, District Judge @ Central District
- Paul Lewis Abrams, Chief Magistrate Judge @ Central District

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Human Rights + The Law of Our Land & Beyond

WHY THE WRIT(S) SHOULD ISSUE:

- ★ This is the LAW & it is JUST
- ★ A Purpose of RiCO Permits Civilians to End a Majority Criminal/Corrupt Mob
- ★ Original SCOTUS & Lower Court Denials Were Not Supposed to be Discretionary
- ★ This is Extraordinary Over Discretionary
- ★ Obstruction of Justice is Criminal; Not Discretionary @ Any Level
- ★ Save Time.... is Life & Liberty Depend On It
- ★ Set Good Example of Peaceful Conflict Resolution

DUE PROCESS deals with the administration of justice and acts as a safeguard from arbitrary denial of life, liberty, or property by the government outside the sanction of law. Not limited to Central District and Ninth Circuit violated this Constitutional right through unacceptable obstructions. No one should ever be victimized by The Court or other authorities like this, and punishment for obstructors should be a precedent setting deterrent; could become an arbitrary decision that ADR or orders granting relief leave solely to discretion of the government. Additionally, the court should enable e-filing for pro se Petitioner(s), for reasons not limited to *in forma pauperis* not undergoing impractical financial burden of unnecessarily printing and mailing documents. Petitioner filed documents and motions for not limited to e-filing access that are not on the docket. There is too much room for interference in obsolete ways that need digital upgrades @ SCOTUS; simultaneously, it is outrageous that Petitioner has not been able to have a

face-to-face conversation with a judge in four separate courts over six years of suffering since filing with countless pleas for support from all authorities and evidence on the docket since day one. WTF!? SCOTUS needs to create or sustain a precedent that people in positions of power and authority have to follow, for the wellbeing of The People, all of whom have a reliance upon an untainted justice system, secure communications, and honest information technology. The law needs to be applied equally to all including corporations. The odds of a squeaky wheel getting oiled and entertained by SCOTUS become more favorable to Petitioner in the escalation of levels from Certiorari to Rehearing to Extraordinary and not only because the rules give priority to the extraordinary based on the Emergency Application. Factor in quality, divided by the effect of limited resources, plus pro se, multiplied by *in forma pauperis* status, and please terminate any doubt of righteousness. Conflicts of law are present and SCOTUS has to step in and decide this case not only so all areas of the country can then operate in unison, but to stop the powers that should not be from abusing others, and also so we do not end up here again because Petitioner had to refile against other Respondents connected to the enterprise. This case is most important, a major social issue, and more pertinent than unusual. SCOTUS should also hear it because lower courts exhibited disregard for past decisions and Constitutional rights. This Court is supposed to liberally construe pro se allegations as the **1972 SCOTUS precedent Haines v. Kerner** dictates. The **Judiciary Act of 1789** states that "in all courts of the United States, the parties may plead and manage their own causes personally." It follows that

federal judges must respect the pro se litigants' right to represent themselves. Thus, SCOTUS has means to remedy the problems with judges who disrespect and ignore the aforementioned rights. By law, every federal judge takes an oath affirming to "administer justice without respect to person [or government employee, or corporation], and do equal right to the poor and to the rich," and to "faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as judge under the Constitution and laws of the United States." Petitioner took the right actions to secure a position that must be honored. SCOTUS needs to disrupt violators for reasons not limited to what was referenced in prior Petitions inclusive to this "extraordinary" and fine tuned straight to the point version. Petitioner still believes in writing the future can create change, that this case can be used as foundation for ridding our society of evil while making a statement that the American dream is still very much alive. Petitioner and Respondents in collaboration could end and prevent both present and future crimes not limited to online. SCOTUS can affirm that all citizens have equal rights while shedding new lights on grey areas of the law where discrimination such as false entitlement based on birth order, ageism, relationship or parental status, and religious intolerance; none of which are acceptable. Much of this can be accomplished simply by granting relief and permitting Petitioner's success. There is much more to possibly discuss or amend, some of which has been previously mentioned elsewhere as a prequel to elaboration, is pending Discovery, or we can preferably end conflict by focusing on solutions. Do not deny this moral excellence. Trust the OG to MAGA. Petitioner originally proposed a

very thoughtful solution in Complaint and has offered Respondents generous equity in exchange for what are going to be record breaking direct deposits. A new and more enticing offer was also laid out on the settlement table that enables Respondents to share financial losses with Does while conditionally relieving amenable parties from adverse action, but that offer has an expiration date of when Petitioner can see the finish line being any progress in this has been an inevitable Petitioner win since the beginning; also excludes new violators post cease and desist attached to said offer. Respondents are encouraged to file support for this as Petitioner does not intend to settle for anything less than not filing for arrest warrants and is presenting viable solutions. Respondents should be punished from no less than the equivalent of their own perspectives as to deter from future wrongdoing; and faced with a choice between losing their business and freedom, or in taking serious dents to finances and power; plus loss of the domain name that should not be in possession of anyone other than Petitioner, and now real estate that would have been afforded to Petitioner if not for finance, real estate, and justice obstructing RICO fraud in play since before the property hit the market. Respondents and John Doe for no legit reason more than tried to take the Petitioner's life, time, freedom, business, money, relationships, physical and intellectual property. They tried to steal everything and therefore should be required to give up anything. Petitioner, unlike other parties, is always good, a proven provider of solutions, and will put the money, domain name, land, and power to honorable use. Please open the flood gates of communication, ask your own questions, or simply permit access to progress.

CONCLUSION

Due Process & Justice For All

Times have changed, and precedences must be set or maintained in order to uphold the sanctity of our Constitution and liberty. There are real solutions to big problems with a vision greater than presented, in this instance coming from Petitioner in pro per who also happens to be an expert witness with an elite foundation. Our government would be foolish not to align with rare expertise and a once in a lifetime opportunity for truly representative of The People access that is unique to Petitioner's self-made independence. We need modern checks and balances that extend to Respondents' corporations. This case can create at some order where it does not but should exist, and with relief sought being granted, will do so while remaining above any influence. Petitioner can go into detail regarding any statement or claim with a preponderance of proof in support of this reality. Years of legal work could take much longer if this goes through trial and there is no excuse for delay. Time is most precious. Please immediately terminate obstruction of justice and exercise your discretionary power by granting as much relief as possible. There may not be a time limit on a Petition for Extraordinary Writ, but there is a time limit on life. Please reconsider previous Petitions, the FAC, everything on the dockets/lodged, and issue writs for the extraordinary rise to success.


/s/ **RUSSELL ROPE** 6/10/2020
Petitioner & Petitioner In Pro Per

CASE SUMMARY

Proper Pro Per Complaints; Terminate Obstruction

Petitioner is filing this *Petition for Extraordinary Writ(s)* seeking multiple mandates; as many as SCOTUS is willing to issue, individually given consideration, requested with hope and intent for an as prompt and peaceful as possible resolution to a complicated conflict that has for too long been relentlessly and criminally attacking Petitioner through a pattern of racketeering activity evolving into toxic culture as coercion and bribes are suspected to have obstructed justice thereby permitting evil to grow. Petitioner requires relief from SCOTUS that terminates hate with what is good and legal.

Briefly and distinctly stated, this Petition is necessary, not limited to grounds not previously presented, but delivered in a remixed fashion and minus pages of words, cut back so SCOTUS can focus on progress first, but also including a new Appendix H in support of the urgent need for SCOTUS to step in, is based on constitutional due process rights being violated, by extraordinary discretion affording more leniency to the impractical situation, life still in danger, and humanitarian rights of citizens at stake. Petitioner stands by the previous statement about anything that cannot be explained is usually wrong, or worse being deceptive concealment aka fraud. Petitioner knows that no explanation is often the case when denied in SCOTUS, and while The Court probably tends to be correct, this “extraordinary” crisis situation demands attention from the

Justices in direct communication with Petitioner; electronic filing, and if necessary oral argument, are again requested over further blocks because there is immediate reliance on communication and concealment of requested information and neglect for relief sought are causing damage. Moreover, this Petition is accompanied by a separate but connected Emergency Application, which according to SCOTUS rules, requires the assigned Justice to make specific notations thereby rendering explanation mandatory.

The Complaint is REAL and Petitioner has been following the rules. No one has questioned or directly criticized the authenticity of claims, accusations have not been denied by Respondents, and continuous violations have not stopped. Petitioner hardly reported all the terrible things Respondents have done, tried to do, caused, or tried to cause. Due Process must trump obstruction. Incessant attacks technically continue to extend the process and statutes of limitations where evolution calls for emergency resolution. Respondents keep using the same tactics with different actors, expecting different results, but mostly indicating an inevitable error causing tragedy, and their incompetence is truly insanity not generating results other than leaving a trail of clear and convincing facts and evidence proving the obvious pattern of racketeering activity. The entire defense, not limited to what is docketed, is fraud; a misdirecting framework based on bluff fluff and criminality such as trying to make the witness/Petitioner disappear by false imprisonment. The framework of The Constitution is what matters. Justice has been obstructed on every level and the highest court must overrule all the lies. What is to stop Respondents from obstructing justice again in another case?

SCOTUS needs to end the cycle before it restarts. Enough is enough. The Petition(s), Complaint(s), & Appeal are not “frivolous” and claims were successfully stated in the FAC if not Complaint, certainly well enough for a judge to recognize a right to legal remedy. SCOTUS must grant progress now, which is in the best interest of the USA because it will be an example of civil resolution that also sets questionable truths regarding our rights in stone. Denying this case is like killing the American Dream, which is still kicking and screaming for a fresh breath of justice. Modern issues regarding this case that also affect society need to be addressed by law. Very serious problems require no less than thorough investigation, which would certainly turn up more evidence in support of existing claims and give way to possible solutions regarding not limited to additional issues raised in the *Petition for Rehearing* and draining “The [Not Limited To Fake News] Swamp,” which is connected to relevant abuses of power. This Petition is presented in great faith. Please grant fair and vital justice as proposed.

LIST OF PARTIES

Requires Option to Amend if Necessary

A. PETITIONER:

Russell Rope is an honest, hardworking, law-abiding citizen fighting for our rights, introduced himself in the previous Petition for Writ of Certiorari, and has a blog with more relevant information in the about section @ russellrope.com/blog/?=30.

B. RESPONDENTS:

1. ABSOLUTELY "IDENTIFIED" & FILED AGAINST RESPONDENTS:

- Facebook, Inc. is located in Menlo Park, CA.
- Apple, Inc. is located in Cupertino, CA.
- Alphabet, Inc. is located in Mountain View, CA.
- Twitter, Inc. is located in San Francisco, CA.
- JPMorgan Chase & Co. is located in New York, NY.
- John Does Possibly To Be Amended (Sealed Exhibit 52)

2. MORE THAN SUSPECT & UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS:

- Suspect John Doe Mark Zuckerberg, CEO @ Facebook, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Sean Parker of Not Limited to @ Facebook, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Peter Thiel of Not Limited to @ "PayPal Mafia"
- Suspect John Doe Tim Cook, CEO @ Apple, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Larry Page & Sergey Brin @ Alphabet, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Jack Dorsey, CEO @ Twitter, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe James Diamon, CEO @ JPMorgan Chase
- Suspect John Doe Tom Tate allegedly located in Sunnyvale, C
- Possibly To Be Amended HP, Inc. is located in Palo Alto, CA
- Possibly To Be Amended Anonymous For Security & Pending Discovery