

20-5143
No. _____

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN 22 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

AS; FOR/TO: WHATEVER; WHICHEVER; AND ANY AUDIENCE(S); AND:
IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WITHOUT LIMIT(ED/ING); BY/TO: MEDIA/UM(S) (NOW AND HENCE)
PRESENTED

AS FOR; ANY/ALL: SIMILARLY SITUATED, INCLUDING:
(...): GLEN JONES WARD - U.C.C. SS-1-308 (...) — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.
OPPOSING PARTY(S); INCLUDING:
IDAHO [AS/AND THE]:
IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION (AS: ID. DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS; I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.-INCLUDED]) — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS, FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT - #19-35279
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

(...): GLEN JONES WARD - U.C.C. SS-1-308 (...)
(Your Name) I.B.O.C., I.D.O.C. #111351 - BY: IC SS-18-2901 ...
(CURRENTLY HELD CAPTIVE AT: I.B.O.C.; I.D.O.C.)
IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION (AS: THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS)
IDAHO STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION (I.S.C.I.)
POST-OFFICE; BOX: 0014
(Address)

BOISE, IDAHO. 83707-0014
(City, State, Zip Code)

(208) 336-0740 [I.S.C.I.-OFFICE ONLY <NO CONTACT>]?
(Phone Number)

...WARD vs. IBOC (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

★ AS UNTRAINED IN: LAW (NOT LAWYER) ★

- ★ 1. IS NOT FAILURE TO KEEP SECURITY (SAFETY - IN ONE'S PERSON [AND OR EFFECTS (EX: T-SHIRT (RIPPED); BRUISING - REPORTED -> VIOLATED [IN ONE'S OWN CELL (I.S.C.C. - X-19-A. (SUN.) 11/13/2016 (12:30 - 12:50 PM - APPX.)] BY ANOTHER - INTRODUCING (AND THREATENING) - INMATE (ROBBINS #88352) BECIDE OTHERS (NESHKOF #9); AND SECURITY VIOLATIONS - BY: STAFF (C.O. ROBERTS []; AND PROCTOR; AS WELL AS NUMEROUS OTHERS); AND FLAGRANT DISREGARD BY: PRISON ADMINISTRATION (WARDEN: RANDY BLADES #4316) [INFORMED: 11/1/2016 - OF FACILITY CONFLICTS] AND PRIOR WARNING (I.C.M.O. [OROFINO]); A CLEAR VIOLATION OF WARD'S 1ST AMENDMENT (FREEDOM TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT, FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES [SAFETY ALERT AGAINST BEING HOUSED AT: I.D.O.C., I.S.C.C.]; AND SUFFICIENT TO ASSERT DISCHARGE (FROM: PRISON) / DAMAGES (FOR TRAUMA).?
- AND 4TH AMENDMENT (...BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS; ...EFFECTS...) VIOLATIONS - AGAINST 'WARD'?
- B. 5TH AMENDMENT (...BE TWICE [JUDGMENT AND CONVICTION - & PRISON -]; THEN, THREAT AND REPEATED ASSAULTS - NESHKOF ROBBINS; AND [COLLUDING? PRISON ADMINISTRATION] PUT IN JEOPARDY OF LIFE AND LIMB -) VIOLATION OF 'WARD'S' ... CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?
- C. 7TH AMENDMENT (A TRIAL BY JURY - AS REQUESTED) VIOLATION; AGAINST 'WARD'? (IN: CIVIL CASES)? DENIED.
- D. 8TH AMENDMENT (...CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT [SAFETY/SECURITY VIOLATIONS (C.O. BAKER "CHARGE CHECK ON CELL 102..."; AND C.O. ROBERTS [PROPPING OFFICE DOOR OPEN...]; AND SUBSEQUENT INCIDENTS [ALL PRESENTED IN EXHIBITS - JOURNAL ENTRIES "CHECK CAMERAS"...]) - AND SUBSEQUENT THREATS AND ASSAULTS [IN OWN CELL - REPEATEDLY]... ARE SUCH NOT A REPEAT VIOLATION OF 'WARD'S' RIGHTS AGAINST "CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT"??
- E. 9TH AMENDMENT (RIGHTS RETAINED BY PEOPLE ...SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO DENY OR DISPARAGE) VIOLATION(S) AGAINST 'WARD'?
- F. 10TH AMENDMENT (RIGHTS RESERVED TO ...PEOPLE [:'WARD']), CONGRUENT [FURTHER] WITH:
- F/G. 14TH AMENDMENT (...EQUAL PROTECTION [SOVEREIGN(S)] VIOLATION(S) AGAINST 'WARD'?

- ★ 2. IS NOT ANSWER - WITH CAPTION "NOT FOR PUBLICATION"; A VIOLATION OF WARD'S RIGHTS, TO:
- A. FREEDOM TO PROTEST (Petition: Court(s); Media/bm(s); and other Audience(s); for a redress of Grievances (1ST AMENDMENT - U.S. CONSTITUTION).?
- B. FREEDOM TO PROTECT SELF (FURTHER [BY DENYING RELIEF - 'SAFETY HOLD' AGAINST: I.S.C.C. - FACILITY - Due to acknowledged; and: refused, safety (of WARD'S PERSON) - 4TH AMENDMENT (U.S. CONSTITUTION).?
- C. FREEDOM AGAINST (DOUBLE) JEOPARDY: THREATS; ASSAULTS; AND CONTINUED (AND REPEATED) SAFETY VIOLATION(S) TOWARD 'WARD'. Due to restrictions (causing deprivation of liberty; AND RISK OF LIFE OR LIMB - FURTHER, AS MENTIONED IN THE 5TH AMENDMENT (U.S. CONSTITUTION).?
- D. FREEDOM AGAINST 'CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT' - 8TH AMENDMENT (U.S. CONSTITUTION) RESULTING FROM SUCH: RESTRAINT: THREATS (BY: ASSAULTS) / DEFENDANT(S); BY: SUCH WORDING?
- E. FREEDOM RETAINED BY: WARD'S PERSON (BY SUBSEQUENT REFUSAL OF OF TRIAL BY: JURY (IN: CIVIL CASES) 7TH AMENDMENT AS AFFORDED BY: 9TH AMENDMENT (U.S. CONSTITUTION)?
- F. FREEDOM / RIGHTS RESERVED BY 'WARD' [PUBLICATION / PRESENTATION] FOR: RELIEF. A CONTINUING / PERPETUAL VIOLATION OF 'WARD'S' 10TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS (U.S. CONSTITUTION)??
- G. EQUAL PROTECTION (VIOLATION(S); BY SUCH DECREE. BARRING WARD FROM SEEKING AUDIENCE(S) EITHER PUBLIC / PRIVATE; TO ASSURE 'WARD'S' SAFETY AND RELIEF? (U.S. 14TH AMENDMENT)?

- ★ 3. IS NOT THE IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION; AND - U.S. CONSTITUTION AGAINST THE VIOLATIONS, BROUGHT UPON 'WARD' AS A RESULT OF CONTINUED INCIDENCES PUT UPON THEM (WHICH HAVE [AND ARE] ESCALATED(ING))?
- SUCH ATROCITIES ALSO IN VIOLATION OF THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE EVEN?
- A. - [HAS] refused [to] assent to laws the most wholesome and necessary for the public good?
- B. - [suspended - decisions] (until) assent [affirmed] decisions [IN FAVOR - OF SOVEREIGN] IS OBTAINED, AGAINST: WARD [WHEN: CAMERAS; CONCERN FORMS; GRIEVANCE(S); AND EXHIBITS (JOURNAL ENTRIES) SPEAK CONTRARY]?
- C. - FOR CUTTING OF TRADE [LIBERALLY CONSTRUED (U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLES: 1 §8 [cl. 3]; §9 [cl. 6]; ART. 2 §2 [cl. 2 (TREATIES...)] & ART. 3 §§2 & 3 AS PROVIDED BY: U.C.C. §§ 1-103 [COMPARE ID. CODE TITLE: 28 & §§ 28-1-103; 28-1-204; 28-1-305 (ALL) et. seq.] IN CONTINUED VIOLATION (AND HAVING VIOLATED) REMEDY(S)??
- D. IS NOT THE CONSTITUTIONS [OF IDAHO: ARTICLE XI; SECTION 28 (COMBINATIONS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE PROHIBITED (COMPARE U.S. CONSTITUTION. ARTICLES: 1 §8 [cl. 3]; §9 [cl. 6]; ART. 2 §2; ART. 3 §§2 & 3) - AND ARTICLE 6 [U.S. CONSTITUTION] SUPREME LAW (COMPARE ID. CONSTITUTION. ARTICLES: 1 §3; AND ARTICLE: XXI, §20)] Y ET! HAS NOT SUCH - FOR: WARD[-] BEEN VIOLATED?
- ★ 4. REFERENCE/SEE, ALSO: TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED.

...WARD vs. F.B.O.C. (As: F.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])
LIST OF PARTIES

- [X] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. ^{COMMON} LIST OF PARTIES (CRIMINAL COMPLAINT).
AND ATTACHED LIST OF PARTIES PAGE (IN ACCORDANCE [AND CONTINUANCE] WITH 15 U.S.C. §§ 5, & 10 et. seq.)
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of
all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this
petition is as follows:

...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.]

...

★★ **TABLE OF CONTENTS** ★★
- BEST ABLE/UNDERSTOOD? NOT →
A LAWYER -

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES ?
- EXHIBIT(S)?

EXHIBIT(S)?
APPENDIX A - PETITION FOR REHEARING/REVIEW

EXHIBIT(S) INCLUDING MEMORANDUM - HEADED - AS "NOT FOR PUBLICATION."
APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.]
www

★TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED★

— BEST ABLE, NOT A LAWYER —
Copy of Case law currently withheld BY I.D.O.C.; along with other
Legal info. Pertaining to this case.

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

~~Balla v. ID ST. Board of Correction - 1:81-cv-01165 B.T.W.~~

DO NOT HAVE (FAIR) ACCESS TO ANY (FEDERAL) CASE LAW.

STATUTES AND RULES

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 18; [SECTION] 18-313 (PROTECTION OF PERSON OF INMATE...)

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 18; § 18-704 (INHUMANE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS...)

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 18; § 18-7701 (MALICIOUS HARASSMENT).

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 18; § 18-7701 (MALICIOUS HARASSMENT).

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 28 [SECTIONS] 28-1-103; 28-1-308; 28-3-308; [WITHOUT LIMIT(ING) BY/TO THIS].

OTHER Idaho State Constitution; U.S. Constitution (COMMERCIAL CODE [UNIFORM]):

U.S. CONSTITUTION; AND IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION

- IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION. ARTICLE: 11; SECTION: 18.

- UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. ARTICLES: 1; SECTION 8; CLAUSE 3; SECTION 9; CLAUSE 6; SECTION: 2 (OF ARTICLE: 3 [SECTIONS: 1-3]).

- AND (U.S. CONSTITUTION) ARTICLE 6 [(U.S.) CONSTITUTION "SUPREME LAW" (COMPARE IDAHO CONSTITUTION [ART. 1 § 3; & ART. 21 § 20].

★ SEE ALSO: QUESTIONS PRESENTED.

AS: WHATEVER; AND WHOMEVER
AUDIENCE(S)
AS WELL AS INCLUDING (WITHOUT LIMITING):
IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

...WARD. VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.]
~~WARD~~)

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.
- AS: ...WARD; NOT A LAWYER -

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A? to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.?

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

WARD, vs. I.B.O.C. (As: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

JURISDICTION

BEST ABLE TO WARD; NOT
A LAWYER

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was May 13, 2020.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: (THU.) JUN. 4, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix May 13, 2020; THU. JUN. 4, 2020

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

WARD; YET TRAINED IN LAW. PRESENTED (ALREADY) UNDER PRESUMED PREJUDICE.
*** CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ***
BEST ABLE *** CONSTITUTIONAL *** NOT A LAWYER

U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL; AMENDMENT(S):

AMENDMENT 1. FREEDOM OF: SPEECH; PRESS; PETITION [GOVERNMENT] < GRIEVANCE(S) >; SUPPRESSED/VIOLATED?

AMENDMENT 4. SECURITY AGAINST SEIZURES [OF: PERSON(S); HOUSE(S) ~~FROM~~ OWN (CAPTIVE) CELL]; PAPER(S) [CAUGHT ON CAMERA < DESTRUCTIVE > ..] AND EFFECTS < NONE BELIEVED IN VIOLATION OF ANY PENAL CODE > AGAINST SEIZURES / [BY: VIOLENT AND IMPOSED: ENCRoACHMENT / TRESPASS, BY: ALL (OFFICERS, OFFENDERS, AND ADMINISTRATION)]

AMENDMENT - 5. DUE PROCESS; AND JUST COMPENSATION. (5TH AMT.). JEOPARDY OF LIFE OR LIMB (CAUGHT ON CAMERA(S) - REPEATEDLY)

5TH (ALSO). 6. CRIMINAL ACTIONS < ..WARD'S .. [ASSAILANTS; AND, STAFF] > AGAINST DEFENDANTS .. DENIED?
- 7TH. TRIAL BY JURY IN: CIVIL CASES (THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL - BY: JURY - SHALL BE PRESERVED)

- 8TH. CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT (MOST OCCURRING AFTER 11/13/2016).

→ *** SEE ALSO QUESTIONS PRESENTED.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. ON: ~~Tue~~ FEB. 2, 2016. ONE (CORRECTIONAL OFFICER "JANE DOE [BAKER]") CALLED IN A PUBLICALLY ANNOUNCED "CHARGE CHECK" ON INMATE [INSISTED - UNLAWFULLY CONVICTED] 'WARD [I.D.O.C. #111351]' COMPROMISING INSTRUCTED SECURITY PROTOCOLS [PER: DEPUTY WARDEN 'JAY CHRISTIANSEN' (AT: TIME)].
- SUBSEQUENT TO THIS INCIDENT, ALL STAFF (SECURITY; AND SUPPORT) WERE ALL DULY NOTIFIED OF ALL (INCIDENCES) CONCERNS [SAFETY ISSUES; THREATS; AND REPROCUSSIONS], YET ALL ELECTED TO DISREGARD THEM.
2. ON: SUN. NOV. 13, 2016 (AND PREVIOUSLY [ALONG WITH SUBSEQUENTLY]). 'WARD' WAS MALICIOUSLY THREATENING; VIOLENTLY ATTACKED [AGGRAVATED ASSAULT(?) BY: ROBBINS #88352; AND SUBSEQUENT THREATS (EVEN WRITTEN [NOTE (H) HANDED TO STAFF (Cpl. COX) ^{SAME DAY}]) FROM (ALL) ASSAILING (AND NAMED [EXCLUDING: SCOT BRUCE WARD; PATRICK EUGENE BIGELOW]) INMATES.
A. PRIOR TO THIS DATE. PATRICK BIGELOW (103073)? WITNESSED NUMEROUS THREATS (BY: OFFICERS & OFFENDERS).
3. SUBSEQUENT, TO SAID INCIDENTS (ON/PRIOR TO: 11/13/2016). ASSAULTS (AND) THREATS CONTINUED TO ESCALATE.

WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. INMATES: SCOT BRUCE WARD #79600; PATRICK EUGENE BIGALOW #103703 AND
NUMEROUS OTHER STAFF HAVE WARNED (AND INMATES) "IF YOU RETURN (TO: I.S.C.C.) YOU WON'T
LIVE TO SEE ANY APPEAL/NEXT MOVE [BASED ON WHAT OTHERS HAVE TOLD: SCOT WARD; PATRICK BIGELOW].
2. ~~NUMEROUS OTHER SWORN WITNESSES; HAVE SEEN 'WARD' REACT TO FOOD; 'WARD' HAS DUTY
REPORT SUCH TO MEDICAL SERVICE PROVIDER - CORIZON HEALTHCARE SERVICES INCORPORATED;
ALONG WITH ALL (DOZENS) OF HEALTH SERVICES REQUESTS. ONLY FOR BOTH THE IDAHO BOARD
OF CORRECTION (AS THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION~~
2. ALL WITNESSING; AND OTHERS HAVE WARNED 'WARD' WERE THEY TO RETURN TO I.S.C.C.
THAT THEY.. 'WARD'.. WOULD UNLIKELY LIVE LONG ENOUGH TO REQUIRE ANY NEED FOR
APPEAL (WARD - ATTEMPTING TO APPEAL INNOCENCE).
- MEANING OTHERS (EVEN WARD) HAVE HEARD THAT INMATES WANT TO KILL HIM; SHOULD
THEY RETURN TO: I.S.C.C.

...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.]


CONCLUSION

CASE NEEDS TO BE REMANDED BACK TO DISTRICT [FEDERAL] COURT; FOR JURY TRIAL (AND/OR) COURT ORDER TO PROHIBIT 'WARD' FROM BEING HOUSED AT: I.S.C.C.; AND THAT CRIMINAL CHARGES BE FILED AGAINST: CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS; AND ASSAILING INMATES, ALONG WITH ALL (FAIRLY-WARNED) STAFF AND PRISON ADMINISTRATION (\$250,000 IN DAMAGES ALSO). OR AUTHORIZATION FOR WARD TO FREELY PUBLISH ALL BEFORE ANY AUDIENCE(S) TO OBTAIN RELIEF REQUESTED.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

FOLLOWING: MAIL-BOX RULE APPLICATION
v: 081-308



Date: FRI 6/19/2020