

20-5143
No. _____

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN 22 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

AS, FOR/TO: WHATEVER; WHICHEVER; AND ANY AUDIENCE(S); AND:
IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WITHOUT LIMIT(ED/INF); BY/TO: MEDIA/UM(S) (NOW AND HENCE)
PRESENTED

AS FOR; ANY/ALL: SIMILARLY SITUATED, INCLUDING:
(...) GLEN JONES WARD - U.C.C. #1-308 (...) — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

OPPOSING PARTY(S); INCLUDING:
IDAHO [AS/AND THE]:
IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION (AS: ID. DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTION; I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C. - INCLUDED]) — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS; FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT - #19-35279

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

(...) GLEN JONES WARD - U.C.C. #1-308 (...)

(Your Name) I.B.O.C., I.D.O.C. #111351-BY: I.C. #18-2901
IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION (AS: THE: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS)
IDAHO STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION (I.S.C.I.)
POST-OFFICE; BOX: 0014

(Address)

BOISE, IDAHO. 83707-0014

(City, State, Zip Code)

(208) 336-0740 [I.S.C.I. OFFICE ONLY <NO CONTACT>]

(Phone Number)

...WARD VS. IBOC (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED
★ AS UNTRAINED IN LAW (NOT LAWYER) ★

*1. IS NOT FAILURE TO KEEP SECURITY (SAFETY - IN ONE'S PERSON [AND] OR EFFECTS (EX: T-SHIRT (RIPPED); BRUSING - REPORTED -> VIOLATED [IN ONE'S OWN CELL (I.S.C.C.-X-19-A. (SUN.) 11/13/2016 [12:30 → 12:50 PM-APPX.])]) BY ANOTHER - INTRUDING (AND THREATENING) - INMATE (ROBBINS #88352) BECIDE OTHERS (NEHI KOF#?)); AND SECURITY VIOLATIONS - BY: STAFF (C.O. ROBERTS []); AND PROCTOR; AS WELL AS NUMEROUS OTHERS); AND FLAGRANT DISREGARD BY: PRISON ADMINISTRATION (WARDEN: RANDY BLADES #4316) [INFORMED: 11/1/2016 - OF FACILITY CONFLICTS] AND PRIOR WARNING (I.C.I.O. (URGENT))>; A CLEAR VIOLATION OF WARD'S 1ST AMENDMENT (FREEDOM TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT, FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES (SAFETY ALERT AGAINST BEING HOUSED AT: I.D.O.C. I.S.C.C.); AND SUFFICIENT TO ASSERT DISCHARGE (FROM: PRISON) / DAMAGES (FOR TRAUMA).? AND 4TH AMENDMENT (...BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS; ...EFFECTS...) VIOLATIONS - AGAINST 'WARD'?

B. 5TH AMENDMENT (...BE TWICE [JUDGMENT AND CONVICTION - & PRISON.]); THEN, THREAT AND REPEATED ASSAULTS (NEHI KOF ROBBINS; AND [COLLUDING? PRISON ADMINISTRATION] - PUT IN JEOPARDY OF LIFE AND LIMB...) VIOLATION OF 'WARD'S ... CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

C. 7TH AMENDMENT (A TRIAL BY JURY - AS REQUESTED) VIOLATION; AGAINST 'WARD'? (IN: CIVIL CASES)? DENIED.

D. 8TH AMENDMENT (...CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT [SAFETY/SECURITY VIOLATIONS (C.O. BAKER "CHARGE CHECK ON CELL 102..."); AND C.O. ROBERTS (PROPPING OFFICE DOOR OPEN...); AND SUBSEQUENT INCIDENTS [ALL PRESENTED IN EXHIBITS - JOURNAL ENTRIES ("CHECK CAMERAS...")]) - AND SUBSEQUENT THREATS AND ASSAULTS [IN OWN CELL - REPEATEDLY]... ARE SUCH NOT A REPEAT VIOLATION OF 'WARD'S' RIGHTS AGAINST (CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT)??

E. 9TH AMENDMENT (RIGHTS RETAINED BY PEOPLE ... SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO DENY OR DISPARAGE) VIOLATION(S) AGAINST 'WARD'?

F. 10TH AMENDMENT (RIGHTS RESERVED TO ... PEOPLE [.. 'WARD']), CONGRUENT (FURTHER) WITH:

F/G. 14TH AMENDMENT (... EQUAL PROTECTION [SOVEREIGN(S)]) VIOLATION(S) AGAINST 'WARD'?

*2. IS NOT ANSWER - WITH CAPTION "NOT FOR PUBLICATION"; A VIOLATION OF WARD'S RIGHTS, TO:

A. FREEDOM TO PROTEST (Petition: Court(s); Media/um(s); and other Audience(s); for a redress of Grievances (1ST AMENDMENT - U.S. CONSTITUTION))?

B. FREEDOM TO PROTECT SELF (FURTHER [BY DENYING RELIEF - 'SAFETY HOLD AGAINST: I.S.C.C. - FACILITY - DUE TO ACKNOWLEDGED; AND: REFUSED, SAFETY (OF WARD'S PERSON) - 4TH AMENDMENT (U.S. CONSTITUTION).]?)

C. FREEDOM AGAINST (DOUBLE) JEOPARDY - THREATS; ASSAULTS; AND CONTINUED (AND REPEATED) SAFETY VIOLATION(S) TOWARD 'WARD', DUE TO RESTRICTIONS (CAUSING DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY; AND RISK OF LIFE OR LIMB - FURTHER, AS MENTIONED IN THE 5TH AMENDMENT (U.S. CONSTITUTION).)

D. FREEDOM AGAINST 'CRUEL' CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT - 8TH AMENDMENT (U.S. CONSTITUTION) RESULTING FROM SUCH RESTRAINT THREATS (BY: ASSAILANT(S) / DEFENDANT(S)); BY: SUCH WORDING?

E. FREEDOM RETAINED BY: WARD'S PERSON (BY SUBSEQUENT DISPARAGEMENT - FROM: ABOVE AS AFFORDED BY: 9TH AMENDMENT (U.S. CONSTITUTION))?

F. FREEDOM/RIGHTS RESERVED BY 'WARD' [PUBLICATION/PRESENTATION] FOR: RELIEF. A CONTINUING/PERPETUAL VIOLATION OF 'WARD'S' 10TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS (U.S. CONSTITUTION)??

G. EQUAL PROTECTION (VIOLATION(S)); BY SUCH DECREE, BARRING WARD FROM SEEKING AUDIENCE(S) EITHER PUBLIC/PRIVATE; TO ASSURE WARD'S SAFETY AND RELIEF? (U.S. 14TH AMENDMENT)??

*3. IS NOT THE IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION; AND - U.S. CONSTITUTION AGAINST THE VIOLATIONS, BROUGHT UPON 'WARD' AS A RESULT OF CONTINUED INCIDENTS PUT UPON THEM (WHICH HAVE [AND ARE] ESCALATED/ED/ING))? SUCH ATROCITIES ALSO IN VIOLATION OF THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE EVEN?

A. - [HAS] refused [to] assent to law the most wholesome and necessary for the public good?

B. - [Suspended - decisions] (until) assent [Affirmed] decisions [IN FAVOR - OF SOVEREIGN] is obtained, AGAINST: WARD [WHEN: CAMERAS; CONCERN FORMS; GRIEVANCE(S); AND EXHIBITS (JOURNAL ENTRIES) SPEAK CONTRARY]?

C. - FOR COTTING OF TRADE [LIBERALLY CONSTRUED (U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLES: 1 §8 [C.I.3]; §9 [C.I.6]; ART. 2 §5 - 28-1-103; 28-1-204; 28-1-305 (ALL) et. seq.) IN CONTINUED VIOLATION (AND HAVING VIOLATED) REMEDY(S)??

D. IS NOT THE CONSTITUTIONS [OF IDAHO: ARTICLE XI; SECTION 28 (COMBINATIONS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE PROHIBITED (COMPARE U.S. CONSTITUTION. ARTICLES: 1 §8 [C.I.3]; §9 [C.I.6]; ART. 2 §2; ART. 3 §2 & 3)]

- AND ARTICLE 6 [U.S. CONSTITUTION] SUPREME LAW (COMPARE ID. CONSTITUTION. ARTICLES: 1 §3; AND ARTICLE: XI, §20).] YET! HAS NOT SUCH - FOR: WARD - BEEN VIOLATED?

*4. REFERENCE/SEE ALSO: TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (T.E.P.)

...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.B.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])
LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. COMMON
LIST OF PARTIES (CRIMINAL COMPLAINT)
AND ATTACHED LIST OF PARTIES PAGE (IN ACCORDANCE [AND CONTINUANCE] WITH 15 U.S.C. §§ 5, & 10 et. seq.)

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.J])

** TABLE OF CONTENTS **
- BEST ABLE / UNDERSTOOD? NOT →
A LAWYER -

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES ?
- EXHIBIT(S)?

EXHIBIT(S)?
APPENDIX A - PETITION FOR REHEARING/REVIEW

EXHIBIT(S)? INCLUDING MEMORANDUM - HEADED AS "NOT FOR PUBLICATION."
APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

... WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

★★ TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED ★★

— BEST ABLE, NOT A LAWYER —
Copy of Case law currently withheld BY I.D.O.C; along with other
Legal info. Pertaining to this case.

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Balla v. ID ST. Board of Correction - 1:81-cv-01165 B.I.W.

DO NOT HAVE (FAIR) ACCESS TO ANY (FEDERAL) (ASB LAW).

STATUTES AND RULES

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 18, [SECTION] 18-313 (PROTECTION OF PERSON OF INMATE...)

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 18, § 18-704 (INHUMANE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS...)

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 18, §§ 18-7701 (MALICIOUS HARASSMENT).

IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 28 [SECTIONS] 28-1-103, 28-1-308, 28-3-308; [WITHOUT LIMIT(ING) BY/TO THIS].

OTHER Idaho State Constitution; U.S. Constitution (COMMERCIAL CODE [UNIFORM]);

U.S. CONSTITUTION; AND IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION

- IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION. ARTICLE: 14; SECTION: 18.

- UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. ARTICLES: 1; SECTION 8; CLAUSES: 3; SECTION 9; CLAUSES: 6; SECTION: 2 (OF ARTICLE: 3 [SECTION: 1-3]).

- AND (U.S. CONSTITUTION) ARTICLE: 6 ([U.S. CONSTITUTION] "SUPREME LAW" (COMPARE

IDAHO CONSTITUTION [ART. 1 §§ 3; & ART. 21 § 20]).

★★ SEE ALSO: QUESTIONS PRESENTED.

AS: WHATEVER; AND WHOMEVER
AUDIENCE(S)
AS WELL AS INCLUDING (WITHOUT LIMITING):
IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.
-AS: WARD; NOT A LAWYER-

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A? to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished?

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

WARD, VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

JURISDICTION
BEST ABLB. ~ WARD; NOT
A LAWYER

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was May 13, 2020.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: (THU) JUN. 4, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix May 13, 2020; THU. JUN. 4, 2020

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. — A —.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. — A —.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.J])

WARD, YET TRAINED IN LAW, PRESENTED (ALREADY) UNDER PRESUMED PREJUDICE.
*** CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ***

BEST ABLE ★★ CONSTITUTIONAL ★★ NOT A LAWYER

U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL; AMENDMENT(S):

AMENDMENT 1. FREEDOM OF SPEECH; PRESS; PETITION [GOVERNMENT] < GRIEVANCE(S) >; SUPPRESSED/VIOLATED?

AMENDMENT 4. SECURITY AGAINST SEIZURES [OF: PERSON(S); HOUSE(S) FROM CA OWN (CAPTIVE) CELL]; PAPER(S) [CAUGHT ON CAMERAS (DESTRUCTIVE) ..] AND EFFECTS < NONE BELIEVED IN VIOLATION OF ANY PENAL CODE > AGAINST SEIZURES [BY: VIOLENT AND IMPOSED: ENCROACHMENT/TRESPASS, BY: ALL (OFFICERS, OFFENDERS, AND ADMINISTRATION)

AMENDMENT - 5. DUE PROCESS; AND JUST COMPENSATION. (5TH AMT.), JEOPARDY OF LIFE OR LIMB (CAUGHT ON CAMERAS)
- REPEATEDLY

5TH (ALSO). 6. CRIMINAL ACTIONS <.. WARD'S .. ASSAILANTS; AND, STAFF > AGAINST DEFENDANTS.. DENIED?
- 7TH. TRIAL BY JURY IN: CIVIL CASES (THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL - BY: JURY - SHALL BE PRESERVED)

- 8TH. CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT (MOST OCCURRING AFTER 11/13/2016).

→ ★★ SEE ALSO QUESTIONS PRESENTED.

...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. ON: [REDACTED] FEB. 2, 2016. ONE (CORRECTIONAL OFFICER "JANE DOE [BAKER]") CALLED IN A PUBLICALLY ANNOUNCED "CHARGE CHECK". ON INMATE [INSTISTED - UNLAWFULLY CONVICTED] 'WARD [I.D.O.C. #131351]' COMPROMISING INSTRUCTED SECURITY PROTOCOLS [PER: DEPUTY WARDEN 'JAY CHRISTIANSON' (AT: TIME)].
- SUBSEQUENT TO THIS INCIDENCE, ALL STAFF (SECURITY; AND SUPPORT) WERE ALL DULY NOTIFIED OF ALL (INCIDENCES) (CONCERN) (SAFETY ISSUES; THREATS; AND REPROCUTIONS), YET ALL ELECTED TO DISREGARD THEM.
2. ON: SUN. NOV. 13, 2016 (AND PREVIOUSLY [ALONG WITH SUBSEQUENTLY]). 'WARD' WAS MALICIOUSLY THREATEND; VIOLENTLY ATTACKED [AGGRAVATED ASSAULT]?, BY: ROBBINS #8885; AND SUBSEQUENT THREATS (EVEN WRITTEN) [NOTE(S) HANDED TO STAFF (CPL. COX)] FROM (ALL) ASSAULTING (AND NAMED [EXCLUDING: SCOT BRUCE WARD; PATRICK EUGENE BIGELOW]) INMATES.
A. PRIOR TO THIS DATE, PATRICK BIGELOW (103073) WITNESSED NUMEROUS THREATS (BY: OFFICERS & OFFENDERS).
3. SUBSEQUENT; TO SAID INCIDENCES (ON/PRIOR TO: 11/13/2016). ASSAULTS (AND) THREATS CONTINUED TO ESCALATE.

... WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. INMATES: SCOT BRUCE WARD #79600; PATRICK EUGENE BIGALOW #103703 AND NUMEROUS OTHER STAFF HAVE WARNED (AND INMATES) "IF YOU RETURN (TO I.S.C.C.), YOU WON'T LIVE TO SEE ANY APPEAL/NEXT MOVE [BASED ON WHAT OTHERS HAVE TOLD SCOT WARD; PATRICK BIGALOW].
2. ~~NUMEROUS OTHER SWORN WITNESSES; HAVE SEEN 'WARD' REACT TO FOOD; 'WARD' HAS DULY REPORT SUCH TO MEDICAL SERVICE PROVIDER - CORTIZON HEALTH CARE SERVICES INCORPORATED, ALONG WITH ALL (A DOZEN'S) OF HEALTH SERVICES REQUESTS, ONLY FOR BOTH THE IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION (AS THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION~~
ALL WITNESSING; AND OTHERS HAVE WARNED 'WARD' WERE THEY TO RETURN TO I.S.C.C. THAT THEY .. 'WARD'.. WOULD UNLIKELY LIVE LONG ENOUGH TO REQUIRE ANY NEED FOR APPEAL (WARD ATTEMPTING TO APPEAL INNOCENCE).
- MEANING OTHERS (EVEN WARD) HAVE HEARD THAT INMATES WANT TO KILL HIM; SHOULD THEY RETURN TO I.S.C.C.

...WARD VS. I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. [I.S.C.C.])

CONCLUSION

CASE NEEDS TO BE REMANDED BACK TO DISTRICT [FEDERAL] COURT; FOR JURY TRIAL (AND/OR) COURT ORDER TO PROHIBIT 'WARD' FROM BEING HOUSED AT: I.S.C.C.; AND THAT CRIMINAL CHARGES BE FILED AGAINST: CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS; AND ASSAILING INMATES, ALONG WITH ALL (FAIRLY-WARNED) STAFF AND PRISON ADMINISTRATION (\$250,000 IN DAMAGES ALSO). OR AUTHORIZATION FOR WARD TO FREELY PUBLISH ALL BEFORE ANY AUDIENCE(S) TO OBTAIN RELIEF REQUESTED
The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,
FOLLOWING: MAIL-BOX RULE APPLICATION
v.481-308

John Ward

Date: FRI 6/19/2020