

20-5142

No. _____

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN 22 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

AS; FOR/TO: WHATEVER; WHICHEVER; AND ANY AUDIENCE(S); AND:
IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WITHOUT LIMITED (ED/ING); BY/TO: MEDIA/UM(S) (NOW AND HENCE)
PRESENTED

ALL INCLUDING (SIMILARLY SITUATED)
(...): GLEN JONES WARD - UCCSS-1308(...) PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

OPPOSING PARTY(S) INCLUDING:
CORIZON HEALTH (&) I.B.O.C./I.D.O.C. — RESPONDENT(S)
THAT IS: IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION (I.B.O.C.) / (AS THE):
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS; FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT - #19-35510
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

(...): GLEN JONES WARD - O.C.C.SS-1308(...)
(Your Name) JBCC, IDOC # 111351 - BY: ICSS-18-2901...
CURRENTLY HELD CAPTIVE AT: I.B.O.C., I.D.O.C.
IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION (AS THE: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS)
POST-OFFICE; BOX: 0014 (IDAHO STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION)
(Address) I.S.C.I.

BOISE, IDAHO. 83707-0014

(City, State, Zip Code)

(208) 336-0740 [I.S.C.I. - OFFICE ONLY (NO CONTACT)]?
(Phone Number)

6/13/2020 -

AS:
"WARD VS." CORIZON (IBOC, IDOC)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

***: AG WARD-ASSERTS: UNTRAINED IN LAW! ***

- *1. INTENTIONALLY CAUSING: "WARD" HARM (REFUSAL TO PROVIDE ACCOMMODATIVE DIET - OR EVEN AUTHORIZE FOOD ISSUES [RARE ALLERGY/INTOLERANCES TO: POTATOS; BEANS; SAGE [DISCOVERED IN: DETAINMENT]; RADISHES; CHOCOLATE; MONOSODIUM GLUTAMATE; ARTIFICIAL SWEETNER; CHERRIES; BLUEBERRIES; SWEETENERS [ARTIFICIAL] AND EVEN REFUSAL(S) TO EXCLUDE SUCH FOODS? - HAVE ALSO HAD SWORN WITNESSES (11/9/2019 & 1/4/2020) AND STAFF (CONSENTING) OBSERVE REACTIONS TO ATTEMPTED TREATMENTS; SUBSTITUTIONS (EX: MIGHTY SHAKES); AND COMPLIANCES WITH: ALL VIOLATING RIGHTS?
- *2. COURTS HAVE PROHIBITED PUBLICATION OF MEMORANDUM (CONSTRAINING: FREEDOM OF PRESS AND FREE SPEECH; PROTEST; ALONG WITH PETITIONING FOR RELIEF) ("NOT FOR PUBLICATION.")??
- *3. COURT(S); AND OPPOSING PARTIES HAVE, AS A RESULT, HAVE VIOLATED 'WARD'S' LEGAL, CONSTITUTIONAL, AND STATUTORY RIGHTS AS A CONSEQUENCE OF SUCH. HAVE THEY NOT?
- 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHTS (FREEDOM OF: SPEECH; OF: PRESS; OF: PETITION; OF: PROTEST; AND: GRIEVANCE(S))?
 - 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS (SECURE [SAFETY] AGAINST: PROPERTY; AND SAFETY [FROM: FOOD; AND THREAT(S), FROM: OFFICER & OFFENDER; AND AGAINST PROBLEM FOOD])?
 - 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS (DUE PROCESS OF LAW; AND JUST COMPENSATION [MEANING: DISCHARGE FROM PRISON; AND MONETARY (OR EQUIVALENT) DAMAGE(S) [FOR: TRAUMA (COUNSELING, ETC.); OR: \$2,000,000 AND AN ACCOMMODATIVE DIET]; \$2,000,000 DAMAGE(S) AWARDED REGARDLESS (FOR RESULTANT: HARDSHIP; THREAT; HARM; ABUSE; TRAUMA; AND PUNITIVE/NOMINAL DISCRIMINATION(S)).
 - 7TH AMENDMENT (TRIAL BY JURY IN CIVIL CASES [EXAMPLE: CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT 42 U.S.C. § 1983 WHICH IS BELIEVED AFFORDED EVEN BY: IDAHO CODE (I.C. §§ 18-7301 et. seq.)]??
 - 8TH AMENDMENT (000 CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT [WEIGHT LOSS (EVEN REACHED UNDERWEIGHT); THREAT; DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE [I.D.O.C./I.D.O.C. STAFF; MENTAL HEALTH; AND HEALTH CARE (CORIZON) 000 PERSONELL]; AND HARASSMENT FROM: OFFICER AND OFFENDER?
 - 9TH AMENDMENT (RIGHTS RETAINED BY PEOPLE -- SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO DENY OR DISPARAGE) VIOLATION(S) AGAINST: "...WARD"?
 - 10TH AMENDMENT (RIGHTS RESERVED TO 000 PEOPLE ["WARD"]); CONGRUENT [FURTHER] WITH: 14TH AMENDMENT (000 EQUAL PROTECTION [SOVEREIGN(S)]; VIOLATION(S) AGAINST: "...WARD"?
- *4. IS NOT THE IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION; AND: UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, AGAINST SUCH VIOLATIONS; BROUGHT AGAINST: "...WARD", AS A RESULT OF SUCH AGGRAVATING, AND HARMFUL CIRCUMSTANCES (AND CONDITION(S) OF CONFINEMENT)?
- (a) - IS NOT ALSO U.S. CODE (TITLES: 1; 6; 8; 9; 12; 15; 16; 18; 19; 20; 21; 22; 24; 26; 28; 29; 31; 38 [RESULT OF FALSE IMPRISONMENT; WARD WAS SPECIAL DETACHMENT - AIR FORCE: 1994.] 40; 41; 42; 48; 50 [RESULT OF DETAINMENT/CAPTIVITY (18 USC §§ 1201 et. seq. (18 USC §§ 18-2901-...))]; 53??
- (i) - [CIVILIAN/AIR FORCE: 1994 → 1995 (& SUBSEQUENT) SPECIAL [RESERVE DETACHMENT] DOES QUALIFY?
- *5. IS IT NOT ABUSE OF DISCRETION, TO DENY REQUEST OF LEGAL COUNSEL. ONLY TO THEN EXPECT ONE "...WARD" TO RISE UP TO STANDARD OF LAWYER (KNOWING ALL COURT: RULES; LAWS; PROCEDURES; AND THE LIKE); WITHOUT ERRORS. ONLY TO THEN DISMISS SUCH; FOR THE VERY SAME REASONS?? VIOLATING 6TH AMENDMENT (IN PART)?

*** SEE ALSO: TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED.

*** BOTH U.S. CODE; AND IDAHO CODE, HAVE BEEN CITED IN COURT COMPLAINTS (WOULD TAKE MORE THAN THIS PAGE)?

...WARD VS...CORIZON HEALTH (&) I.B.O.C. (AS:I.D.O.C.)

~~***~~ LIST OF PARTIES ~~***~~

- ~~AA~~ BEST ABLE? UNTRAINED IN LAW ~~AA~~ -

- [X] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. ^{COMMON} LIST OF PARTIES AND ATTACHED LIST OF PARTIES PAGES. (IN ACCORDANCE [AND CONTINUANCE] WITH 15 U.S.C. §§ 5 & 10 et seq.)
- PLEASE SEE ORIGINAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

~~***~~ TABLE OF CONTENTS ~~***~~
- BEST ABLE?? UNTRAINED IN LAW -

OPINIONS BELOW 1

JURISDICTION.....

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

CONCLUSION.....

INDEX TO APPENDICES?
- EXHIBIT(S) -?

EXHIBIT(S)
APPENDIX A - PETITION FOR REVIEW (RECONSIDER?)
WITH: WITNESS STATEMENTS; EVIDENCE OF NUTRITION CLASS; AND MEMORANDUM
DENYING ALL PENDING MOTIONS AND REQUESTS

EXHIBIT(S)
APPENDIX B - EXHIBITS (A) & (B) MEDICAL DISPOSITIONS (ADVISING [MERELY]: AVOID FOODS
SENSITIVE TO

EXHIBIT(S)
APPENDIX C - MANDATE. ESTABLISHING JUDGEMENT (DISMISSAL?) MAY, 13, 2020
TAKING EFFECT JUN. 4, 2020

EXHIBIT
APPENDIX D - IN FORMA PAUPIS

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

WARD vs. HORIZON HEALTH (B): I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C.)

~~***~~ **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED** ~~***~~
— BEST ABLE - NOT A LAWYER —

FEDERAL CASE LAWS PROHIBITED. PER: PARALEGAL (STEWART #6361) AND I.D.O.C. POLICY
PAGE NUMBER

CASES

Balla v. IDAHO STATE BOARD OF CORRECTIONS, 595 F. Supp. 1958 (D. IDAHO, 1984).
WAS GIVEN (ONLY) BY BALLA REPRESENTATIVE, BARRY SEARCY #2741??

DO NOT HAVE FURTHER (FAIR) ACCESS TO ANY OTHER CASE LAW [FEDERAL].

STATUTES AND RULES

- IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 20; [SECTION] 20-241A(4)(b) (FOOD AND CLOTHING)
- IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 15, §§ 15-7-101 et. seq. (TRUSTS; AND CUSTODIAL TRUST) (EE(S/S))
- IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 28 (COMMERCIAL CODE [§§ 1-204 [VALUE: \$2,000,000]; 1-103 (LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION OF CODE...); 1-305 (DAMAGES LIBERALLY ADMINISTERED)] [§§ 28-1-204; 28-1-103; 28-1-305]) AND OTHERS ALREADY CITED.
- IDAHO CODE; TITLE: 74 (TRANSPARENT AND ETHICAL GOVERNMENT)
- UNITED STATES CODE, TITLE (3): 12; 15 < WITH TITLE: 17; 22; 28; 42; & 48 (ALL) et. seq.
- (CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS) [SEE ALSO: QUESTION(S) PRESENTED.]:
- * UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION (SEE ALSO: QUESTION(S) PRESENTED)
- * IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION (SEE ALSO: QUESTIONS PRESENTED.)

OTHER

UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE (REFERENCE: U.S. CONSTITUTION; ART. 1 § 8 [CL. 3]; 59 [CL. 6]; ART. 2 § 2; ART. 3 § 2 & IDAHO CONSTITUTION; ART. 11 § 13).
BY: U.S. CONSTITUTION. ART. 6 [§ 1 → 3]; AND IDAHO STATE CONSTITUTION. ARTICLES: 1 § 3...; 21 § 20.

* REFERENCE (AND [SEE; ALSO]): QUESTION(S) PRESENTED.

WARD VS. "CORIZON HEALTH (&): I.B.O.C. (AS: I.B.O.C.)
AS FOR: WHATEVER AUDIENCE(S); AND:
IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
WITHOUT LIMITING AUDIENCE(S) (NOW AND HENCE) PRESENTED.

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

★★ OPINIONS BELOW ★★
— BEST UNDERSTOOD?? NOT A LAWYER? —

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished. ?? Apparently: "NOT FOR PUBLICATION."

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts: NA, ~~CV~~

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is - Dismissed w/o Prejudice
YET, BY: I.D.A.P.A. RULE; AND BALLA REPRESENTATIVE SEARCH#27413 "PROHIBITED."

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

... WARD VS. CORIZON HEALTH (& I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C.))

★★ JURISDICTION ★★
- BEST ABLE; UNTRAINED IN LAW? <

[x] For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MAY 13, 2020.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[x] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: THUR. JUNE 4, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[x] For cases from state courts: — N.A. — Dismissed W/O Prejudice PROHIBITED TO SERVE I.D.O.C. CORIZON
FILED: JUNE 2, 2017

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was CV01-17-10398.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

... WARD VS. CORIZON HEALTH (& I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C.))

— ★ BEST ABLE, UNTRAINED IN LAW? —

★★ CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ★★

★★★ CONSTITUTIONAL ★★★

1. U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS: 1 (FREEDOM OF SPEECH, PRESS, AND PROTEST); 4 (JUST COMPENSATION)
AMENDMENTS: 6 (RIGHT OF COUNSEL [Due to inability to state effective claim])
AMENDMENT(S): 8 (CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT—THREATS (OFFICERS AND OFFENDERS)
— RELINQUISHMENT OR FORFEITURE(S) OF MEALS [DUE NUMEROUS FOOD (CO-MINGLED & MIXED ISSUE FOOD [EX: CHILI WITH MEAT & BEANS]) ISSUES]; DUE TO: MEDICAL DIRECTIVE STATING (MERELY): "AVOID FOODS (THAT) [MAKE YOU SICK]." — AND 7TH AMENDMENT (JURY TRIAL),

2. ^x
AMENDMENT(S): 9TH (RIGHTS RETAINED BY PEOPLE [WARD]); 10 (..OR PEOPLE: 'WARD')
— 14 (EQUAL PROTECTION [STATE(S) IMMUNITIES EQUAL TO THAT OF CITIZENS [STATE & US.]]).
→ U.S. CODE, TITLE(S): 15 (COMMERCE AND TRADE [§§ 5, 40, AND SUCH AS HAS BEEN CITED IN DOCKET # 19-35510; 1:18-cv-471-D.C.N.])

→ ★★ SEE ALSO QUESTIONS PRESENTED.

...WARD VS. CORIZON HEALTH(&): I.D.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C.)

~~***~~ STATEMENT OF THE CASE ~~***~~

— BEST ABLE? NOT A LAWYER —

1. UPON ENTRY AND PROCESSING [Reception Diagnostic Unit (R.D.U.) & B.O.C.] TO IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS [INSISTED - UNLAWFULLY CONVICTED] 'WARD [I.D.O.C. #11351]' ALERTED THAT HE HAD NUMEROUS FOOD ISSUES, AS EARLY AS: 5/2015
2. SUBSEQUENT TO THIS DATE, 'WARD' HAS HAD NUMEROUS INCIDENCES - ALL REPORTED (TO BOTH HEALTHCARE AND SECURITY STAFF [INCLUDING MENTAL HEALTH]) - REGARDING 'ILLNESS'; REACTIONS TO FOODS; TREATS FROM OTHER INMATES (UNEATEN FOOD - REFUSING TO GIVE TO OTHER INMATES [DUE TO IT BEING AGAINST POLICY; AND 'WARD' HAVING WITNESSED VIOLENCE OVER SUCH MATTERS]); AND RIDICULE [FROM: I.D.O.C. STAFF] OVER SUCH MATTERS; REPEATEDLY. FROM: 2015 - TO: PRESENT (2020).
3. 'WARD' HAS FOLLOWED ALL UNDERSTOOD PROTOCOLS. CONSULTING WITH STAFF [PRISON; MENTAL HEALTH; MEDICAL; AND BALLA REPRESENTATIVE (BARRY SEARCV #27413)] WHO ALL RECOMMENDED (AND ADVISED) FILING A 42 U.S.C. § 1983, FOR RELIEF. 2016 (STATE COURT ATTEMPTED FIRST) ONLY TO BE LATER TOLD [AND INFORMED] THAT STATE FILED CASES WERE PROHIBITED? DUE TO IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS PROCEDURAL RULES.
'WARD' WHEN FILING SUIT (42 U.S.C. § 1983 [& OTHER TITLES AND SECTIONS] PER: BALLA REP. BARRY SEARCV #27413? AND BY SELF HELP LEGAL PACKET DIRECTIVE (HABEAS CORPUS)).
4. COURT [U.S. DISTRICT-IDAHO (HON.) DAVID C. NYE]; THEN CRITICISED 'WARD' AS FAILING TO STATE AN EFFECTIVE CLAIM; (2) FURTHER CRITICIZING WARD AS FILING A 42 U.S.C. § 1983; WHERE A FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS (CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT) WAS THE CORRECT COMPLAINT METHOD (WARD'S REQUEST FOR LEGAL COUNSEL; WAS REPEATEDLY DENIED - DUE TO INABILITY TO STATE AN EFFECTIVE CLAIM; WARD - LEGALLY-IMPAIRED)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. CONDITION(S) OF CONFINEMENT (CHRONIC; & ESCALATING?); AND BOTH MEDICAL (CORIZON/IDOC) AND IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTIONS; REFUSE TO MAKE ANY ACCOMMODATIONS; [WARD] HAS EVEN ATTEMPTED TO REQUEST [POLITELY] MEALS - EXCLUDING (ISSUE) FOODS [TO SAVE \$DOC... MONEY] ONLY TO BE ADAMANTLY REFUSED, REPEATEDLY
2. NUMEROUS OTHER SWORN WITNESSES; HAVE SEEN 'WARD' REACT TO FOOD; 'WARD' HAS DULY REPORT SUCH TO MEDICAL SERVICE PROVIDER - CORIZON HEALTH CARE SERVICES INCORPORATED, ALONG WITH ALL (DOZENS) OF HEALTH SERVICES REQUESTS. ONLY FOR BOTH IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION (AS THE: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS); AND CORIZON TO DISMISS SUCH, WITHOUT REGARD FOR 'WARD'S' WELFARE.
'WARD' HAS EXPERIENCED REPEATED REFUSALS, OF ACCOMMODATIVE DIET (EVEN BEEN ON - TEMPORARILY - A 'NON-PORK' DIET, ONLY TO BE KICKED-OFF OF IT - DUE TO IDENTICAL FOOD ISSUES) TIME AND TIME AGAIN.
3. WARD; HAS ATTEMPTED - REPEATEDLY (MEDICATION; TREATMENTS; 'HIGHLY-SHAKES'; ETC.), BY: MEDICAL CORIZON (AND: I.D.O.C. STAFF [INCLUDING MENTAL HEALTH RECOMMENDATIONS]); ONLY FOR SUCH TO BACKFIRE. WARD; AND HIS SWORN WITNESSES, EVEN ATTEST TO SUCH ATTEMPTS; HAVING SEEN HIS DOCUMENTARY ATTEMPTS TO: COMPLY; COOPERATE; AND AVOID (FOODS); WHILE PARTICIPATING IN - TREATMENT(S); ALTERNATIVE(S); AND PROGRAMING [NUTRITION. GROUP; ETC.], TO FACILITAE I.D.O.C. (AT: ALL I.D.O.C. LOCATION(S); WARD, HAS BEEN).
4. WARD; UNTRAINED IN LAW (YET EXPECTED TO PERFORM TO FULL LEGAL STANDARD(S)) HAS STRUGGLED - BEING TENTATIVELY DIAGNOSED WITH A LEARNING IMPAIRMENT. TO, YET, PERFORM TO A PREJUDICIALLY HIGH STANDARD. ALL REQUESTS FOR ATTORNEY - DENIED/REFUSED.
5. APPELLANT COURT REFUSE(S) TO GRANT PUBLICATION (AUDIENCE(S) OF CHOOSING); TO OBTAIN RELIEF; AGAINST CONSTITUTIONAL; AND UNDERSTOOD LEGAL PROVISIONS, AFFORDED.

...WARD VS. ORIZON HEALTH(&): I.B.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C.)

CONCLUSION

'WARD' REQUESTS THAT THIS COURT (AUDIENCE) REMAND CASE [REOPEN & JURY TRIAL]; BACK TO U.S. DISTRICT COURT (WITH RECOMMENDATION [MEDICAL DISCHARGE-CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT]) FOR JUDGEMENT - IN FAVOR OF 'WARD'; OR; THAT 'WARD' BE AFFORDED FULL LATITUDE TO PUBLISH THEIR HARDSHIP TO ANY/ALL AUDIENCE(S) OF THEIR CHOISING FOR RELIEF (DISCHARGE [PRISON] & DAMAGES [\$2,000,000]).
The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted, AND ACCRUING
FOLLOWING: MAIL BOX-RULE Application
VCC81-308

Jim Ward

Date: FRI 6/19/2020