




No 20-5100



ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN 02 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The
Supreme Court Of The United States
of America

STEVEN DEON TURNER JR. - PETITIONER


vs.

NINTH CIRCUIT COURT - RESPONDENTS

ON BILL OF COMMON LAW WRIT
OF CERTIORARI IN THE NATURE OF
A AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH, TO:

NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

THIRD PARTY INTERVENOR,
STEVEN DEON TURNER JR., SUJUDIS,
JURIS OF DE JURE AMERICANS,
MINISTER OF THE ULC, ON BEHALF
OF STEVEN DEON TURNER JR.
c/o 24900 HWY 202, TEMECULA,
CALIFORNIA 92551



BILL OF COMMON LAW WRIT
OF CERTIORARI IN THE NATURE OF
A AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH.

BILL

10/10

BY AA

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED
For Certification:

1.) Whether the PLAINTIFF appeal is frivolous, when it involves a trespass of constitutional dimension on the Freedom of Information Act, by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, in their failure to do their duty and disclose the information, when it was originally requested by the PLAINTIFF? No.

2.) Whether R. Gary Klacner, and Michael L. Willner did dismiss the PLAINTIFF complaint, under false pretence, to hide the fraud that the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA are willing participants in? Yes

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Ninth Circuit Court,
MOLLY C. DWYER clerk
OF COURT, JOSEPH WILLIAMS
Deputy clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
R. Gary Klaesner
Michael R. Willner

BILL

3 of 10

BY AK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

BILL

4 of 10

BY DR

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
BILL OF COMMON LAW WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN
THE NATURE OF A AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH.

Petitioner request that a common Law writ of certiorari issue
to review the judgment and actions below

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ FOR cases from courts of the United States:

The opinion of the Ninth Circuit or order appears at Appendix A
to the Petition and is not yet reported

The opinion of the United States District Court order is not
yet reported and appears at Appendix B to the Petition.

CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE

Justices' Court In and For (Los Angeles County) County Comptroller
Common Law Affidavit By the Sovereign (Steven Dean Turner Jr.)
"Without the United States" Memorandum of Law and Certification
of Facts appears at Appendix C to the Petition.

All enclosures are manifested in the proof of service
by mail without the United States 1746(1), Warranting
Mandatory Judicial Notice, and appears at Appendix D
to the Petition

JURISDICTION STATEMENT

If it may please the court, Petitioner invokes this noble Court Jurisdiction under rule 11, (see 28 U.S.C. § 2101(e))

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Article 1, Section 10, constitution of the United States of America

Freedom of Information Act (U.S.C.A. 5 § 552)

"non-disclosure" and "Failure of Fair Consideration"

BILL

TOP 10

BY CD

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Wherefore, if it please the court, petitioner seek Certiorari review to a United States Court of Appeals before judgment. See Rule 11, 28 U.S.C. § 2101(e). For that, on February 20, 2020, petitioner filed a formal request for disclosure of all criminal bonds, under the Freedom of Information Act / Privacy Act 5 U.S.C. § 552 / 5 U.S.C. § 552 a (b) (7), in accordance with 28 CFR § 1641 (d), with respect to his identity.

For that, petitioner contends that implementation of an entirely new Freedom of Information and Privacy Act, was imported by the U.S. District Court, in contrast to procedures of the original act. With limited exceptions not applicable here, the Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act, provides that public records be accessible at all times, during office hours. And every agency has a obligation and is responsible for assisting members of the public to obtain the information they seek from their agency. Various procedures of the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act, hereinafter "FOIA / PA", including 10 day response, and not withholding requested records, are mandated by the FOIA / PA to that end.

However, the U.S. District Court imported an entirely new system for members of the public, requesting disclosure of information under the FOIA / PA, and no effort was made to comply with the FOIA / PA by the U.S. District Court. Petitioner request was completely ignored, which substantiably affected his interest in the information he was requesting to be disclosed. This concerned prisoners, their families and friends, and members of the public, have been denied impact on these wholesale and basic changes in the operation of the FOIA / PA, warranting this court immediate review and intervention.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

*Please see Affidavit of Proth
Incorporated by this Reference.*

BILL

90410

BY ~~XX~~

CONCLUSION

*Please see Affidavit of Truth
Incorporated by this reference*


The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

*Attested by my hand and seal below.
On the 23 Day of the June month 2020*

Respectfully submitted,

151 Steven Dean Warner Jr
STEVEN DEAN WARNER JR, Third Party
INTERVENOR MINISTER OF THE ULC

Date: *June 23, 2020*



BILL

10 04 10

Circumspecte Aggatis. B. Edw. I (1285)

BY [Signature]

AFFIDAVIT of TRUTH

State of California)

County of Kern)

TO Respondents: NINTH CIRCUIT
COURT, MOLLY C. DWYER CLERK OF
COURT, JOSEPH WILLIAMS Deputy
Clerk, P.O. Box 193939 San Francisco,
California, 94119-3939

Re: STEVEN DEON TURNER JR

PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT/DEFENDANT,

V.

NO. 20-55495

UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT D.C. NO 2:20-CV-02610-RCK-

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, MRW Central District of P

R. GARY KLAUSNER, MICHAEL California

R. WILLNER, DEFENDANT/RESPONDENTS/PLAINTIFFS

Steven Deon Turner Jr.

Third Party Intervenor, SURVIVOR,

WIS et de Jure American

The Undersigned Affiant, (Steven Deon Turner Jr.)-Third
Party Intervenor, hereinafter "Affiant" does solemnly
swear, declare and state as follows:

1. Affiant is competent to state to the matters set forth herein.

2. Affiant has personal knowledge of facts stated herein.

3. ALL the facts stated herein are true, correct and complete

AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH

10/7

BY DR

admissible as evidence, and if called upon as a witness, Affiant will testify to their veracity.

Plain Statement of Facts

4. For that, if it pleases the court, Affiant put forth a question to be certified: "How can the PLAINTIFF appear to be frivolous, when it involves a trespass of constitutional dimension on the Freedom of Information Act, by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, in their failure to do their duty, and disclose the information when it was originally requested by the PLAINTIFF?"

5. For that, if it pleases the court, Affiant put forth this question to be certified: "DID RESPONDENTS R. GARY KLAUSNER, MICHAEL R. WILLNER, **dismiss** PLAINTIFF COMPLAINT UNDER FALSE PRETENCE, TO HIDE THE FRAUD THAT THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ARE WILLING PARTICIPANTS IN?"

6. For that, if it pleases the court, On February 20, 2000 PLAINTIFF filed a formal request for disclosure of all criminal bonds under the Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act 5 U.S.C. § 552/5 U.S.C. § 552 (d)(1), in accordance with 28 CFR § 1641 (d), with respect to his identity. See request enclosed.

7. For that, the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, ignored the PLAINTIFF request, manifesting a breach of contract, by trespassing upon the Freedom of Information Act.

8. For that, the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act, provides that public records be accessible

at all times, during office hours. And every agency has a **Obligation** and is responsible for assisting members of the public to obtain the information they seek from their agency. Various procedures of Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act, hereinafter "FOIA-PA", including 10 day response and withholding requested records, which are mandated by the FOIA-PA, to that end. No effort was made by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA to comply with the FOIA-PA. Again, PLAINTIFF request completely ignored, which substantially affected his liberty interest, and the right to the information he was requesting to be disclosed.

9.) For that, on March 15, 2020, PLAINTIFF filed a Complaint for Writ of Mandate and Declaratory Relief-Mandamus with the United States District Court in and for the Central District of California, to compel the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, to disclose the requested information. PLAINTIFF was aware of the amendment of the title of the "District Court of the United States," see rules of Criminal Procedure for the United States District Courts, title amended Dec 27, 1948, effective Oct. 20, 1949, so the COURT could not claim it didn't have jurisdiction. See complaint enclosed.

10.) For that, on March 19, 2020, Deputy Clerk C. Sawyer sent PLAINTIFF a notice to pay the filing fee, or submit a request to proceed without paying the filing fee, to the following addresses:

• United States Courthouse 255 East Temple Street Suite
AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH 3047 BY SP

SUITE TS-134 Los Angeles, California 90012

• United States Courthouse 411 West Fourth St, Room 1253 Santa Ana California 92701-4516, and the

• United States Courthouse 3470 Twelfth St, Room 134 Riverside California 92501, in which the PLAINTIFF forwarded his request to. PLAINTIFF request was not even before - R. GARY KLAUSNER, MICHAEL R. WILLNER. See letter enclosed

11. For that, PLAINTIFF only desire his Liberty and Financial Security. With no further ado, let the truth be put, before this CANDID court... GO to a search engine and type in U.S. Court. Go to court links and click, which shows a map of the circuit courts, click on 9th circuit, a list of the 9th and 10th circuit courts will appear, click on California Central District Court, then click on Clerk's Office, then go to administrative services, then to Financial Department, you will see - Criminal Justice Act, Post Judgment Interest Rates, and list of sureties, click on sureties it will take you to FMS.TREAS.GOV., there on the left side you will see sureties listing, admitted reinsurers and forms, click on forms you will see Reinsurance Agreement for a Miller Act Performance Bond SF 273, and a SF 274 Payment Bond and a Reinsurance Agreement in Favor of the United States SF 275 and a List of Admitted Reinsurers, Pools and Associations, and Lloyd's Syndicates, You will also see a List of the Department of the Treasury's Listing of Approved Sureties [Department Circular 570] see above forms enclosed.

12. For that, the U.S. District Courts are buying up the State Court default judgments, when you refuse to pay or dishonor AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH 4 OF 7 BY ~~XX~~

the debt. Contractors and Insurance Companies are -
bidding on the default or judgments with a Bid Bond,
then a Reinsurance Company comes in and purchases a
Performance Bond as a surety for the Bid Bond. The Perfor-
mance Bond is then under written by a Payment Bond,
the Payment Bond is then under written by an Affidavit
of Individual Surety, this is usually done by an investment
company or investment banker. When these Bonds are pooled
they become mortgage back securities or surety bonds. This
is why all the DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS/PLAINTIFFS refused
to produce the bonds requested or even hear the complaint, because
it will expose their own THEFT, ROBBERY and FRAUD.
THE NAMED DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS/PLAINTIFFS have used
their public positions as officers of the inferior tribunal court to
disobey the LAW of the Land in America, meaning these
several States in this Union of fifty (50) separate states in -
America, and abused their personal public trust under bond
by public oath of office under pains and penalty (ies) of perjury
to deliberately conspire with name DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS/
PLAINTIFFS and their willing and knowing accomplices to
defraud the PLAINTIFF Freeman character of his Rightful
PRIVATE property and Rights of Inheritance from Almighty
Yahweh, and have defamed the good name and good character
of PLAINTIFF Freeman character before his family, his friends,
his PRIVATE associates, and his neighbors through their -
(public hirelings) fraudulent orders, decisions, opinions. Said
named DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS/PLAINTIFFS and
their accomplices and consortium of judges, attorneys and
AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH SOFT BLOX

Lawyers have deliberately conspired with the named DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS/PLAINTIFFS Bank(s) and Bank(s) officer(s) and their non-registered foreign agents to defraud the PLAINTIFF freeman character of his PRIVATE property exempt from assessment when they should have known the named DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS/PLAINTIFFS and their Bank(s) made a fraudulent claim upon PLAINTIFF freeman character via STATE COURT via the UNITED STATES BUREAU OF INTERNAL REVENUE. They should have known they were in default and were in a breach of a simple contract agreement for failure of any consideration sufficient to support a simple contract with PLAINTIFF freeman character. The named DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS/PLAINTIFFS and their Bank(s) and its officer are subject to the PLAINTIFF freeman character for confiscating and fraudulently obtaining and disposing of this PLAINTIFF freeman character PRIVATE property by means of fraudulent misrepresentations of both actual Law and fact. For the above stated reasons, PLAINTIFF appeal is not frivolous, and must be allowed to go forward. Furthermore, the PLAINTIFF filed a appearance brief, a civil docketing statement, Affidavit in support of Appearance brief, Notice of Surety Act and Bond, for payment of cost on appeal (see FRAP 7), Silver Surety Bond, Justices Court common Law affidavit, by the sovereign, and amongst other things. Use 22 form converted to a Proof of Service. So respectfully, HE will not be before this court, appearing as a - PAUPER or proceeding pro se. Please correct the caption and AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH 60F7 BY ~~XXX~~

the docket to reflect the civil docketing statement. Please find your form returned. Please take mandatory judicial notice of all enclosures.

Verification

13. The undersigned Affiant, (Steven Deon Turner Jr), certifies on Affiant's commercial liability that Affiant has read this Affidavit and issues the same with intent and understanding of purpose - and does solemnly swear, declare and state that the statements, allegations, and the suite demands and contents contained herein are true, correct, and complete, not misleading, the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

NOTICE TO THE PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO THE AGENTS
NOTICE TO THE AGENTS IS NOTICE TO THE PRINCIPAL

Date: June 23rd, 2020 Signed

(Steven Deon Turner Jr) Third Party
Intervenor on behalf of the PLAINTIFF/
APPELLANT/DEFENDANT CP 2490 WMY
202, Tehachap, California 93561

Attested by my hand subscribed and Seal Circumscribed and
affixed on this 23rd day of June 2020

IS/A Steven Deon Turner Jr

(Steven Deon Turner Jr) SWIMMERS
MINISTER OF THE MINISTERS
LIFE CHURCH

ATTENTION OF THE LIFE CHURCH
BY

