

**20-5044**

**ORIGINAL**

FILED  
JUN 22 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE MATTER OF ILYA LIVIZ D.L.D. — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT OF MASSACHUSETTS  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ILYA LIVIZ D.L.D.  
(Your Name)

12 HEMENWAY ST. RM. 415  
(Address)

BOSTON, MA, 02115  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(978) 606-5326  
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED  
JUL - 1 2020  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

**QUESTION(S) PRESENTED**

1. Can the Government compel speech without a warrant, nor a subpoena?
2. Can an attorney be held in contempt for failing to cooperate with the Government if there was no warrant, nor a subpoena?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

For Question 1: Janus v. AFSCME, 585 U.S. \_\_ (2018), & Abood v. Detroit Board of Education, 431 U.S. 209 (1977).

For Question 2: Spevack v. Klein, 385 U.S. 511 (1967)

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A        Opinion of the SJC, May 12, 2020

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

SEE RELATED CASES LIST

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

1<sup>st</sup> & 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment

5

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[x] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[x] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.  
\_\_\_\_\_

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was May 12, 2020.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.  
\_\_\_\_\_

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

First & Fifth Amendment

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

1. The Government can't compel speech without a warrant or subpoena.
2. Attorney does not need to respond to the Government if there is no warrant, or subpoena issued against him.

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

This case concerns fundamental right to speech. SCOTUS held an attorney may not be disciplined solely for asserting a Fifth Amendment privilege. And, if speech is required, it can't be compelled without a warrant, nor subpoena.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

ILYA LIVIZ D.L.D.

Date: JUNE 22, 2020