

No. 20-5022

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

Derrick ZU. ALLEN SR. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

Sara Glines et. al. — RESPONDENT(S)
vs.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO



Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Derrick ZU. ALLEN SR.
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 51368

(Address)

DURHAM, N.C. 27717-1368
(City, State, Zip Code)

(919) 450-7497

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Petitioner, Derrick 34. ALLEN Sr., RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOLLOWING THE UNPUBLISHED PER CURIUM OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT DISMISSING PETITIONERS APPEAL.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED ARE, WHETHER PETITIONER COMPLAINT CONTAINS SUFFICIENT FACTUAL MATTER TO SURVIVE A MOTION AND/OR DISMISSAL; AND WHETHER UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS ARE DEEMED AS BINDING PRECEDENT IN THIS CIRCUIT?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

JIM PURYEAR, ROBYN TONLIN, JANE ELIZABETH, THE NEWS AND OBSERVER AND THE HERALD-SUN.

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

(1) ASHCROFT V. IGBAL, 556 U.S. 662 (2009); 129 S. CT. 1937, 173 L.ED. 2d. 868, 77 USLU 4387, 2009.
(2) BELL ATLANTIC CORP. V. TWOMBLY, 550 U.S. 544
(3) CONLEY V. GIBSON 335 U.S. 41 (1957)... 45-46
(4) HUPNAN V. COOK, 640 F.2d 497, 501, N7. (4TH CIR 1981; REYNOLDS 3RD RICHTMAN, SUPRA.)

King V. Blankenship, 636 F.2d 70 at 72 (4TH CIRCUIT 1980).

STATUTES AND RULES

42 U.S.C. 1983

28 U.S.C. 1331

OTHER

FED. RULE OF CIV. PROCEDURE 8(A)(2).

RULES OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT 18(d)(i) -- AN UNPUBLISHED
OPINION MAY BE CITED FOR WHAT PRECEDENTIAL
VALUE IT MAY HAVE. ID. 18(d)(iii).

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at 20-1131; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at 1:19-cv-00793; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 06/23/2020, 05/21/2020.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 06/23/2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. §1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS
GUARANTEED BY SECTION ONE OF THE 14TH
AMENDMENT.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

BOTH THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA, AND THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT DISMISSED PETITIONERS COMPLAINT -- COURT OF APPEALS AFFIRMED... THE DISTRICT COURT RECOMMENDED ACTION OR RATHER ACCEPTED.

IN ORDER TO SURVIVE A MOTION TO DISMISS, COMPLAINT MUST CONTAIN SUFFICIENT FACTUAL MATTER ACCEPTED AS TRUE TO STATE A CLAIM OF RELIEF THAT IS PLAUSIBLE ON ITS FACE; CLAIM HAS FACTUAL PLAUSIBILITY WHEN PLAINTIFF PLEADS FACTUAL CONTENT THAT ALLOWS THE COURT TO DRAW AN REASONABLE INFERENCE THAT DEFENDANT IS CIVILY LIABLE FOR MISCONDUCT.

MOREOVER, UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS ARE NOT BINDING PRECEDENT AND ARE MERELY ACCEPTED WHEN THERE'S NO CASE CITATION THAT'S ON POINT.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THIS PETITION SHOULD BE GRANTED
BECAUSE UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS ARE NOT
BINDING PRECEDENT. NOR SHOULD UNPUBLI-
ISHED OPINIONS BE UTILIZED AS AN
CRUDE AND/OR MECHANISM TO SITON
THE RIGHTS OF ANY INDIVIDUAL DEEMED
AN CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES.

IN SOOTH, PETITIONER COMPLAINT
CONTAINS MERIT AND CONTAINS SUFFICIENT
FACTUAL MATTER TO SURVIVE A MOTION TO
DISMISS; THEREFORE, THIS COURT SHOULD
GRANT PETITIONER PETITION.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Derrick Allen Jr.

Date: 06/25/2020