

September 30, 2020

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

Hon. Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *City of Miami Gardens v. Wells Fargo & Co., et al.*, No. 20-405

Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent respondents in the above-referenced petition for certiorari. A response is currently due on October 29, 2020. Respondent respectfully requests that the time to file a response be extended by 30 days, to and including November 30, 2020 (November 28 is a Saturday).

The extension is warranted because the undersigned counsel, Neal Katyal, must familiarize himself with the issues and record. In addition, Mr. Katyal has upcoming deadlines in several other matters that will require his attention over the coming weeks, including a petition for certiorari in *Market Graphics, Inc. v. Berge*, No. 18-6177 (6th Cir.), due October 5; a petition for certiorari in *Babcock v. Commissioner of Social Security*, No. 19-1687 (6th Cir.), due October 8; a petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc in *City of Oakland v. Wells Fargo & Co.*, No. 19-15169 (9th Cir.), due October 9; a petition for certiorari in *IQVIA Inc. v. Mussat*, No. 19-1204 (7th Cir.), due October 12; a petition for certiorari in *The Episcopal Church v. The Episcopal Diocese of Fort Worth*, No. 18-0438 (Tex.), due October 19; oral argument in *Fulton v. City of Philadelphia*, No. 19-123 (U.S.), on November 4; and a merits reply brief in *Nestlé USA, Inc. v. John Doe I*, No. 19-416 (U.S.), due November 13. Given these commitments, an extension of time is warranted to permit Mr. Katyal to prepare a response that fully analyzes and responds to the arguments raised in the petition for certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Neal Kumar Katyal
Neal Kumar Katyal

Counsel of Record for Respondents

cc: Robert S. Peck, Center for Constitutional Litigation, PC