

1a

**APPENDIX A**

---

No. 19-2987

---

Benito Casanova,

*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

v.

International Association of Machinists, Local 701

*Defendant-Appellee.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division.  
No. 1:19-cv-00428 – Sharon Johnson Coleman,  
*Judge.*

---

Before  
KENNETH F. RIPPLE, *Circuit Judge*  
DAVID F. HAMILTON, *Circuit Judge*  
AMY C. BARRETT, *Circuit Judge*

---

February 11, 2020

---

**ORDER**

The following are before the court:

1. MOTION FOR SUMMARY AFFIRMANCE, filed on January 23, 2020, by counsel for the appellant.

2a

2. DEFENDANT-APPELLEE'S RESPONSE  
TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY AFFIR-  
MANCE, filed on January 29, 2020, by  
counsel for the appellee.

IT IS ORDERED, the motion is GRANTED. The judg-  
ment is summarily AFFIRMED pursuant to *Janus v.*  
*AFSCME*, 942 F.3d 352 (7th Cir. 2019), and *Mooney*  
*v. Ill. Educ. Ass'n*, 942 F.3d 368 (7th Cir. 2019).

**APPENDIX B**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN  
DIVISION

---

No. 1:19-cv-00428

---

Benito Casanova,

*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

v.

International Association of Machinists, Local 701

*Defendant-Appellee.*

---

Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman

---

**NOTIFICATION OF DOCKET ENTRY**

This docket entry was made by the Clerk on Wednesday, September 11, 2019:

MINUTE entry before the Honorable Sharon Johnson Coleman: Before the Court is defendant International Association of Machinists Local 701's motion to dismiss [12]. Plaintiff alleges Local 701 is liable under Section 1983 for the fair-share fees collected by the union prior to the Supreme Court's decision in *Janus v. AFSCME Council 31*, 138 S.Ct. 2448, 201 L.Ed.2d 924 (2018). Local 701 asserts that before the decision the collection of such fees was authorized by Illinois state law and existing Supreme Court precedent pursuant to *Abood v. Detroit Board of Education*, 431 U.S.

209, 97 S.Ct. 1782, 52 L.Ed.2d 261 (1977). As such, Local 701 contends that the good-faith defense to Section 1983 liability disposes of the claim as a matter of law. Every court that has considered this issue has uniformly held that a plaintiff cannot collect damages for fair-share fees collected by a union before the change in Supreme Court precedent where a defendant establishes good-faith reliance as a matter of law. See, e.g., *Janus v. AFSCME Council 31*, No. 15 C 1235, 2019 WL 1239780 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 18, 2019) (Gettleman, J.); *Danielson v. AFSCME Council 28*, 340 F. Supp. 3d 1083 (W.D. Wash. 2018); *Lee v. Ohio Educ. Ass'n*, 366 F. Supp. 3d 980 (N.D. Ohio 2019). Casanova, however, did not address the cases that had been decided by the date he filed his opposition brief nor did he seek leave of Court to respond to any of the various notices of supplemental authority that Local 701 filed with the Court. The Court finds these cases, which include many decided at the motion to dismiss juncture, factually indistinguishable and persuasive. Casanova alleges Local 701 collected fees in accordance with Illinois's laws. Casanova further alleges Local 701 ceased collecting the fees once the Supreme Court decided *Janus*. Thus, the Court agrees that Local 701 satisfied the good-faith defense and declines to open discovery into the matter. The Court grants Local 701's motion [12] and dismisses Casanova's complaint with prejudice. Civil case terminated. Mailed notice. (ym, )