JA

06/25/21
MD

No. 20-1815

In The Supreme Court of the United States

DALE J. RICHARDSON

Petitioner,

v.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE GORDEN P. GALLAGHER; and
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DALE J. RICHARDSON (PRO SE) (UNITY@DSRKARISCONSULTING.COM)
3130 Oakland Street, Aurora, CO 80010
(306) 441-7010

June 23rd, 2021

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JUNE 23RD, 2021

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Is it constitutional to leave a person in the custody of someone they alleged torture against?

2. Is it constitutional to use a grievance policy to obstructed justice?

3. Is it constitutional to expect perfect compliance with the rules for a pro se habeas corpus application who is being tortured and obstructed?

4. Is it constitutional to use the rules of the Court to justify torture?

5. If no exceptional circumstances be used to justify torture, can the rules of the court be used to justify torture?

6. Is it constitutional to use the rules of the Court to obstruct justice?

7. Is it constitutional to detain a person under any law that is not criminal law?

8. Is it constitutional for a person or persons to be deprived of life, liberty, or property by IMMIGRATION COURT when the same is not part of the UNITED STATES judicial branch responsible for the *due process of law*?

9. Given the common law nature of the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus,* does a corrupt court constitute a suspension of the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus* for person or persons held within its jurisdiction?

10. Is suspending the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus* for any reason and by any means not permitted by the *United States Constitution* an act of treason or the invariable pursuit of the Object?

SUSPENSION OF FREEDOM

The UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO (the "US DISTRICT COURT") received evidence of federal treason and the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT from the *Petitioner* with the petition for *Writ of Habeas Corpus* submitted on June 2 of 2021 and, to the knowledge of the *Petitioner*, has not taken *appropriate* measures given the *imperative public importance* of such evidence, but has suspended the application for *Writ of Habeas Corpus* in violation of the *United States Constitution*, 28 U.S.C. § 2243, 18 U.S.C. § 3771, and the *United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (the "*United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment*") which requires a court to "award the writ".

The aforementioned evidence detailed the mismanagement of the Covid emergency, as articulated by JESUIT affiliated CARLO MARIA VIGANÒ, Titular Archbishop of Ulpiana, when he said that the mismanagement of the Covid emergency was being used to build a world without freedom through the dissolution of social order: *Solve et Coagula* as the MASONIC adage teaches. Ignoring the *imperative public importance* of such evidence and the *United States Constitution* which forbids the suspension of the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus*, MAGISTRATE JUDGE GORDEN P. GALLAGHER of the US DISTRICT COURT, on May 26 of 2021, ordered the application deficient on the basis of no case number being provided on the application; the *Petitioner* has received no further orders from the US DISTRICT

COURT as of June 20 of 2021. The US DISTRICT COURT has continued a "long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism" in the suspension of the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus.*

HABEAS CORPUS GUARANTEES FREEDOM

The *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus* is guaranteed by the *United States Constitution* for the prevention or speedy relief of a person or persons seized or imprisoned without due process of law, which stands as the greatest bulwark against the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT; for when *habeas corpus* is executed and respected, it is impossible for Despotism to occur. In fact, the *Declaration of Independence* necessitates the existence of *habeas corpus*, as it stands in as the greatest defence mechanism for the preservation of liberty that dates back to the the *Magna Carta.* The persecution of the ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH during the PROTESTANT REFORMATION necessitated the development of a country that would explicitly execute and respect *habeas corpus*, the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, the land of the free. Such privilege guarantees that "You shall have the body" and when an Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is submitted to a court, justice, or judge on your behalf, the same shall forthwith direct the Writ to any person who has seized or imprisoned you, such person must bring or cause your body to be brought before the same within three days, unless distance requires additional time, for an investigation into the lawfulness of your seizure or imprisonment. The *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus* is a CHRISTIAN right that guards the Life and Liberty of all

people inside and outside of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. Any person or persons who attempts to suspend or worse abolish this CHRISTIAN right are ANTI-CHRISTIAN and seek to abolish true CHRISTIANITY, and the country which upholds it, the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

PARTIES

This petition stems from a *Writ of Mandamus to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit* proceeding in which the *Petitioner* is the Petitioner before the US COURT OF APPEALS. The *Respondent* MAGISTRATE JUDGE GORDEN P. GALLAGHER is a magistrate judge of the following and THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO is the court responsible for the suspension along with its judge.

RELATED PROCEEDINGS

1. *Richardson v. Attorney General of the United States et al*, No. 1:21-CV-01418-GPG, United States District Court for the District of Colorado. Judgment entered May 26 of 2021.

2. *Richardson v. Attorney General of the United States et al*, No. 1:21-CV-01618-GPG, United States District Court for the District of Colorado. Judgment entered June 15 of 2021.

3. *Kaysha Dery Richardson v. Attorney General of the United States, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Scott Robinson, ZCH*

193, Nevada Southern Detention Center and Brian Koehn, No. 2:20-cv-02218-JAD-DJA, United States District Court for the District of Nevada. Judgment entered January 27 of 2021 after three month suspension.

4. *DSR Karis North Consulting Inc. v. State of Delaware*, No. Obstructed, Supreme Court of the United States. Judgment never entered after arbitrary refusal by Case Analyst Clara Houghteling on December 15 of 2020.

5. *Robert A. Cannon on behalf of Kaysha F.N. Dery v. Attorney General of the United States, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Scott Robinson, ZCH 193, Nevada Southern Detention Center and Brian Koehn*, No. 21-15402, Supreme Court of the United States. Judgment never entered after arbitrary refusal by Case Analyst Clara Houghteling on December 31 of 2020.

6. *Kaysha Dery Richardson v. Attorney General of the United States, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Scott Robinson, ZCH 193, Nevada Southern Detention Center and Brian Koehn*, No. 21-15402, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Judgment never entered and currently suspended.

7. *Kaysha F.N. Dery v. Attorney General of the United States, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration*

Services, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Scott Robinson, ZCH 193, Nevada Southern Detention Center and Brian Koehn, No. 20-1282, Supreme Court of the United States. Judgment never entered after denial by the Court on April 19 of 2021.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF APPENDICES

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Statutes

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner DALE J. RICHARDSON (the "*Petitioner*" or "DALE") respectfully petition for a writ of certiorari to review the pending case for writ of mandamus in the UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT (the "US COURT OF APPEALS") pursuant to Rule 11 of the Rules of this Court and 28 U.S.C. § 1254 and § 2101(e) given the "imperative public importance" of the evidence federal treason and the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT, a *conspiracy* to restrict the liberty of the CHRISTIANS, CATHOLICS, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES in the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

CHRISTIANS, CATHOLICS, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES have had their rights and freedoms, specifically the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus*, the free exercise of RELIGION, and the unalienable rights to LIFE, LIBERTY, and pursuit of HAPPINESS, taken by the MASONIC conspirators through the mismanagement of the Covid emergency, as predicted by the JESUIT affiliated CARLO MARIA VIGANÒ, Titular Archbishop of Ulpiana, when he alleged that such mismanagement has furthered the dissolution of the social order so as to build a world without freedom: *Solve et Coagula*, as the MASONIC adage teaches. The supposed presidential elect JOSEPH R. BIDEN, has advocated further measures to be enforced in the name of the Covid emergency and the US DISTRICT COURT has endorsed his claim by suppressing evidence of its mismanagement and suspending the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus*.

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the US DISTRICT COURT in *Richardson v. Attorney General of the United States et al*, No. 1:21-CV-01418-GPG and 1:21-CV-01618-GPG, that the application and motion which purports torture are deficient and cannot be filed because a case number was not printed on them is Despotism and misidentifying the motion under 18 U.S.C. § 3771 which criminal as 28 U.S.C. 1915 which is civil is Despotism in the process denying the victims rights thereby circumventing the *UN Torture Convention*; the US DISTRICT COURT failed to mention that the application and motion included evidence of "imperative public importance" including torture, terrorism, genocide, and apartheid as part of federal treason and the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT.

JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction for this PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1254 and § 2101(e) "before or after rendition of judgment or decree". This application purports federal treason and the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT which is "imperative public importance as to justify deviation from normal appellate practice and to require immediate determination in this Court". This is the only Court that has the jurisdiction, the authority, and the power to whistle-blow the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT to the people of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and the INDIGENOUS PEOPLES.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

18 U.S.C. § 3771:

"...Motion for relief and writ of mandamus.—

The rights described in subsection (a) shall be asserted in the district court in which a defendant is being prosecuted for the crime or, if no prosecution is underway, in the district court in the district in which the crime occurred. The district court shall take up and decide any motion asserting a victim's right forthwith. If the district court denies the relief sought, the movant may petition the court of appeals for a writ of mandamus. The court of appeals may issue the writ on the order of a single judge pursuant to circuit rule or the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. The court of appeals shall take up and decide such application forthwith within 72 hours after the petition has been filed, unless the litigants, with the approval of the court, have stipulated to a different time period for consideration. In deciding such application, the court of appeals shall apply ordinary standards of appellate review. In no event shall proceedings be stayed or subject to a continuance of more than five days for purposes of enforcing this chapter. If the court of appeals denies the relief sought, the reasons for the denial shall be clearly stated on the record in a written opinion..."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A. Engineering Reimagined

DALE J. RICHARDSON (known as the *Petitioner*, hereinafter "DALE") and his daughter KAYSHA F.N. DERY ("KAYSHA") sought opportunity to minister SEVENTH-

DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH doctrine to the Battlefords and surrounding Indigenous communities. On April 1 of 2020, DALE founded DSR KARIS CONSULTING INC. ("DSR KARIS"), a Canadian federal corporation pursuant to the *Canada Business Corporations Act* which is a distinct natural person under subsection 15(1) of the same, to further this ministry, specifically in the field of mechanical engineering.

DSR KARIS, named after his infant daughter KARIS K.N. RICHARDSON ("KARIS"), sought to help local businesses with their Covid response by installing safe Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning systems that mitigate the spread of contagions, an *essential service*, and build a future for his children; DALE would do anything for his children. DSR KARIS was pursuing opportunities to help educate Indigenous persons and women in the field of engineering and offered its *essential services* at cost to all not-for-profits and houses of worship in the Battlefords and surrounding areas in an effort to help faith communities open their doors again, this is engineering reimagined. Unfortunately, due to a series of coordinated efforts by unscrupulous persons, this ministry was hindered.

B. Criminal Negligence

DSR KARIS was hindered by the criminally negligent recommendations for Covid response from the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY which motivated businesses, already cash-strapped from the global shutdown, to hire unqualified professionals to install Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning systems to mitigate the spread of contagions, such systems were not effective from an engineering perspective and threatened the safety of the general public. After

repeated pleas to the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY to have a qualified engineer review its recommendations, on July 7 of 2020, DSR KARIS notified INNOVATION CREDIT UNION about the criminal negligence requesting that it fulfill its fiduciary duty to its members by notifying them of the same as it related to the *Non-Disclosure Agreement* that exists between them. INNOVATION CREDIT UNION responded by conspiring to limit DSR KARIS's access to INNOVATION CREDIT UNION and its members by ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE intervention which was a breach of the *Non-Disclosure Agreement.* In response to a complaint of uttering threats made against DALE, he provided evidence to the contrary and on June 16 of 2020, the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE attempted to return part of that evidence without conducting a proper investigation. DSR KARIS made a complaint and provided evidence to the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE about the criminal negligence under sections 219 and 220 of the *Criminal Code of Canada* which to its knowledge was never investigated.

While DSR KARIS was pursuing the foregoing, its Chief Executive Officer, DALE, was being persecuted by the SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH in collusion with his wife KIMBERLY A. RICHARDSON ("KIM") for adhering to its doctrine and his infant daughter KARIS was wrongfully removed and retained by his wife KIM on June 1 of 2020 under threat of ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE intervention and tortured as a person and third person under 269.1 of the *Criminal Code of Canada.* The members responsible for such persecution advocate MASONIC dogma in the church and have ties to the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY, even possessing

the influence to hire DALE's daughter KAYSHA as a permanent employee and *peace officer* at SASKATCHEWAN HOSPITAL where she was tortured under 269.1 of the *Criminal Code of Canada.* KAYSHA made complaints to the CANADIAN UNION OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEES about workplace safety, having prior knowledge of the criminal negligence being the Chief Communication Officer of DSR KARIS, and about discrimination against those of INDIGENOUS and MÉTIS descent in her workplace to which she belongs as she identifies as EUROPEAN, CARIBBEAN, and MÉTIS. Such discrimination based on race by employees of SASKATCHEWAN HOSPITAL inflicts severe mental pain and suffering on such minorities in their care and is *torture* under 269.1 of the *Criminal Code of Canada* as all permanent employees of SASKATCHEWAN HOSPITAL are *peace officers* and *officials* under the same.

In the interest of the general public, DSR KARIS with its low socioeconomic status, sought remedy by *pro se* legal representation against the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY for its criminal negligence under sections 219 and 220 of the *Criminal Code of Canada* with INNOVATION CREDIT UNION and the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE as joint respondents for conspiracy and accessory after the fact under sections 465(1) and 463 of the *Criminal Code of Canada* and with the SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH as a joint respondent for its members affiliation with the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY and their relentless persecution of its Chief Executive Officer, DALE, and Chief Communication Officer, KAYSHA, which seemingly happened in response to inquiry into the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH

AUTHORITY, INNOVATION CREDIT UNION, and the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE.

DSR KARIS submitted a *pro se* originating application in the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN IN THE JUDICIAL CENTRE OF BATTLEFORD on July 16 of 2020 which sought the following:

(i) orders for an investigation into INNOVATION CREDIT UNION under *The Credit Union Act, 1998*, a Saskatchewan statute, arising from the infringement of the *Non-Disclosure Agreement*;

(ii) orders for the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE to stop preventing DSR KARIS from contacting CONSTABLE SEKELA, the lead investigator for its complaint of criminal negligence; and

(iii) protective orders against the respondents as they had been threatening the officers of DSR KARIS.

The in chambers date for such application was scheduled for July 23 of 2020.

C. The July 23rd Terrorist Attacks

After many failed attempts by the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY and ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE to intimate and coerce KAYSHA and her father DALE from attending the hearing on behalf of DSR KARIS under the guise of the Covid emergency and self-isolation, KAYSHA and her father DALE decided in the interest of the general public and CHRISTIANS and CATHOLICS everywhere to attend

the hearing on behalf of DSR KARIS to expose the mismanagement of the Covid emergency in Saskatchewan.

On July 23rd of 2020 at approximately 10:00 AM CST, DALE, the power of attorney for DSR KARIS, was detained under *The Mental Health Services Act* and KAYSHA, the Chief Communication Officer for DSR KARIS, was detained under *The Public Health Act, 1994* while acting on behalf of DSR KARIS. DALE and KAYSHA were both detained at the same time and place by six ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE officers and the COURT DEPUTY SHERIFF for different reasons with no declared warrant in front of the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN IN THE JUDICIAL CENTRE OF BATTLEFORD minutes before they were to attend a hearing for DSR KARIS to expose the mismanagement of the Covid emergency in SASKATCHEWAN. As predicted by CONSTABLE READ during the unlawful arrest, JUSTICE R.W. ELSON adjourned the hearing; it was adjourned *sine die*, meaning it could not be reopened without the consent of the respondents.

While DSR KARIS was pursuing the foregoing litigation, DALE's wife filed for divorce under the legal counsel of PATRICIA J. MEIKLEJOHN of MATRIX LAW GROUP LLP, the partner of CLIFFORD A. HOLM who was one of the influential persons advocating MASONIC dogma in the BATTLEFORDS SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH. The in chambers date for such divorce petition was scheduled for July 23 of 2020 on the same docket seemingly as punishment for pursing litigation on behalf of DSR KARIS against the SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY, INNOVATION CREDIT UNION, and the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED

POLICE for the mismanagement of the Covid emergency in SASKATCHEWAN. JUSTICE R.W. ELSON also presided over DALE's divorce case and on July 22 of 2020 requested that his wife KIM draft an interim order for the hearing the following day; JUSTICE R.W. ELSON granted this interim order on July 23 of 2020 while DALE was absent, as he was detained for mental health, which gave his wife KIM possession of their house and DSR KARIS's corporate records and registered office and gave her custody of KARIS. Later that day, KIM with her family and in the presence of the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE came and took possession of DSR KARIS's property except for its corporate phone from its only remaining agent through intimation and coercion by armed ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE officers.

When the JUSTICE R.W. ELSON discovered DSR KARIS's articles of incorporation, specifically the share transfer restrictions clause, he realized their egregious failure. The shares could only be transferred upon consent through resolution by the sole director of DSR KARIS, DALE, and declaring him mentally insane was of no consequence, the shares could not be transferred to KIM. DSR KARIS offers *essential services* and interfering with or causing a severe disruption to an *essential service* is *terrorist activity* under subsection 83.01(1)(b)(ii)(E) of the *Criminal Code of Canada* and every person who knowingly participates in carrying out *terrorist activity* is guilty under 83.18(1) of the same. Since July 23 of 2020, DSR KARIS has been unable to conduct its *essential services*, and the MASONIC conspirators have sought to cover up their crime.

DALE and KAYSHA were both tortured by *peace officers* and *officials* under section 269.1 of the *Criminal Code of Canada* and the *United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* binding in CANADA during their arbitrary, unconstitutional, and unlawful detainment. DALE was taken to BATTLEFORDS MENTAL HEALTH CENTRE and was strapped to a bed by ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE while SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY *officials* drugged him against his will. DALE was administered drugs against his will whenever he asked for the warrant for his detainment which was finally given to him after a few days of detainment. DALE was *officially* admitted to BATTLEFORDS MENTAL HEALTH CENTRE on July 24 of 2020 for "paranoid religious, persecutory and grandiose delusions" *after* he was drugged on July 23 of 2020 and it was determined by *biased* medical professionals that he must be tied to a bed and drugged to cure him. CONSTABLE BURTON said "cause it's a little different—Saskatchewan health care compared to Manitoba" and that he had been there for about 7 years in response to DALE's mother AGATHA RICHARDSON saying "You should see his feet, I mean we don't restrain people like that". After being interrogated at BATTLEFORDS UNION HOSPITAL for hours, KAYSHA was taken by ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE to SASKATCHEWAN HOSPITAL, where she was also employed as a *peace officer* and had active complaints against through CANADIAN UNION OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEES regarding discrimination and occupational health and safety issues with its Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning systems. KAYSHA was detained while her union meeting was outstanding and she

has never had the opportunity to meet with the union since, but is still a permanent employee and *peace officer* at SASKATCHEWAN HOSPITAL. DALE and KAYSHA were only released from detainment after an *Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum* was filed for them.

D. Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum

ROBERT A. CANNON ("ROBERT") made repeated attempts to file an *Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum* for DALE and KAYSHA against the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY and ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE, first *ex parte* and after with notice with overwhelming evidence of their arbitrary, unconstitutional, and unlawful detainment which included video, audio, and documentary evidence; the application was submitted to a different judicial centre than BATTLEFORD, the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN IN THE JUDICIAL CENTRE OF SASKATOON in accordance with its court rules as it was closest to ROBERT's residential address. ROBERT's third amendment to the *Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum* was served to the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY, but the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE refused service for such application and stated that ROBERT's evidence would not be added to the ongoing criminal negligence investigation unless he was a witness, in which case he would have to attend the BATTLEFORDS ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE detachment, the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE detachment responsible for DALE's and KAYSHA's detainment. At the time, ROBERT did not feel comfortable leaving the jurisdiction of the SASKATOON POLICE SERVICE where the ROYAL CANADIAN

MOUNTED POLICE have no jurisdiction. KAYSHA was released before the third amendment and DALE was released shortly after the third amendment was served to the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY which is responsible for SASKATCHEWAN HOSPITAL, BATTLEFORDS UNION HOSPITAL, and BATTLEFORDS MENTAL HEALTH CENTRE.

ROBERT with DALE and KAYSHA proceeded to attend the hearing for the foregoing application supposedly scheduled for Aug 18 of 2020 to request that an investigation be conducted into their arbitrary, unconstitutional, and unlawful detainment. They were denied entry to the hearing as the registrar claimed that the such application did not exist, after such was disproven then claimed that it was never served, and after such was disproven then claimed that it was unfiled despite proof of the dependent notice of expedited procedure being filed. After these incoherent discussions with the registrar, ROBERT, DALE, and KAYSHA proceeded to flee the jurisdiction of SASKATCHEWAN without delay.

ROBERT later filed by mail the fourth and fifth amendments to the *Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum* which added DALE's infant daughter KARIS and his affiliate CHRISTY DAWN PENBRUM ("CHRISTY"), who was punished for associating with him during his detainment, to those applied for, additional respondents, and orders similar to those in the application by DSR KARIS for July 23 of 2020 for an investigation into INNOVATION CREDIT UNION that were judicially interfered with. JUSTICE N.D. CROOKS presided over this application on September 10 of 2020 and dismissed the matter in the first hearing on *fake*

technicalities and without hearing the evidence in court, despite purporting that she reviewed the evidence *in her official capacity*; JUSTICE N.D. CROOKS ordered ROBERT to pay costs which is expected in an *Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum* if it is determined by the justice to be frivolous and vexatious. On September 22 of 2020, ROBERT filed an appeal to JUSTICE N.D. CROOKS's decision in the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN. Given the corruption demonstrated in the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN, the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE which is the *national police force*, and the SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH which is a *centrally governed international church*, KAYSHA did not feel safe in CANADA anymore and decided to seek refuge in her ancestral homeland in the STATE OF MONTANA on October 1 of 2020.

On October 5 of 2020, JUSTICE J.A. SCHWANN of the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN ruled that ROBERT's lawful application for dispensing with service which was *intentionally* misinterpreted as *ex parte* would not be permitted despite the overwhelming evidence of corruption and she ordered that ROBERT would need to serve the respondents appeal books to proceed with the hearing which would take multiple months; such order constitutes a suspension of *Writ of Habeas Corpus* which is permissible in CANADA as the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* permits human rights violations if they are to *such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society*.

E. A Métis Plea for Safety and Asylum

On October 1 of 2020, ROBERT accompanied KAYSHA as she fled to the U.S.-CANADA BORDER at the SWEET GRASS port of entry seeking refuge under the Jay Treaty and asylum in the UNITED STATES from the persecution and torture she was subjected to in CANADA. KAYSHA brought her Canadian passport, Métis citizenship card, marriage certificate, many other forms of identification, and over a thousand pages of documentation with her to the border as part of her plea. After KAYSHA was refused entry to the UNITED STATES on the basis of being MÉTIS, she subsequently filed an approximately 1214-page asylum application with over 5 gigabytes of media and video footage of the events discussed in the previous sections.

Upon being provided the foregoing information and KAYSHA's claim for asylum, the *officials* of the UNITED STATES at the border isolated KAYSHA by escorting ROBERT off of the premises and began threatening KAYSHA with being taken into custody for applying for asylum and attempted to coerce her into returning to CANADA without filing the same. KAYSHA, fearing for her life, did not yield to their threats or coercion and filed for asylum and was subsequently taken into custody under the guise of *unsuitable travel documentation* and placed in an expedited removal. KAYSHA was first held in custody at the U.S.-Canada border in the STATE OF MONTANA, then transferred to the JEFFERSON COUNTY JAIL in the STATE OF IDAHO, then finally transferred to NEVADA SOUTHERN DETENTION CENTER

in the STATE OF NEVADA and was held in custody in the STATE OF UTAH during such transfer.

The asylum officer, SCOTT ROBINSON, ZCH 193, from the CHICAGO ASYLUM OFFICE in the STATE OF ILLINOIS, conducted KAYSHA's *credible fear of persecution* interview and made his decision on October 15 of 2020 alleging that she was credible, but did not believe that she had credible fear of being persecuted by her *centrally governed international church*, the corrupt courts, or the corrupt *national police force* again in CANADA despite her having filed for asylum from them, that her infant sister is still detained by their authority, and evidence that those of MÉTIS descent are persecuted in CANADA. KAYSHA was not given her prompt review of determination by an immigration judge within seven (7) days which is required by the *Immigration and Nationality Act* and was not given such review of determination until after an *Ex Parte Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus* was submitted on her behalf and filed on December 8 of 2020.

F. Another Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum

On November 27 of 2020, ROBERT submitted by mail from CANADA an *Ex Parte Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus* on behalf of KAYSHA to the US DISTRICT COURT at 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, NV 89101; such mail was suspended by CANADA POST, the primary postal operator in CANADA, under the guise of the Covid emergency and was not received until December 7 of 2020 at 11:38 AM MST; that very day in the afternoon, KAYSHA received word that she had been given an immigration hearing date that December 10 of 2020 and that she

would likely be deported. The petition was filed the day after it was received on December 8 of 2020 as a civil case with the case number of 2:20–cv–02218–JAD–DJA and was misinterpreted as *pro se* legal representation by KAYSHA instead of ROBERT and was suspended under the guise of the following: "Due to this court's extremely heavy case load this review process may take several weeks".

On December 10 of 2020 and fifty-six (56) days after KAYSHA's *credible fear of persecution* interview, KAYSHA's review of determination was conducted by the JUDGE LINDSAY ROBERT which sought to uphold SCOTT ROBINSON, ZCH 193's credible fear findings and deport KAYSHA without reviewing the evidence, however, KAYSHA's lawyer LAWRENCE J. LITMAN ("JAY") argued that KAYSHA needed a continuance for the evidence to be reviewed and JUDGE LINDSAY ROBERT reluctantly granted such continuance and subsequently referred the case to JUDGE GLEN BAKER, a judge with a better reputation. The following Tuesday on December 15 of 2020, JAY presented much of the information and evidence provided in this application to JUDGE GLEN BAKER articulating the *terrorist activity* and KAYSHA testified of the facts that pertained to her. JUDGE GLEN BAKER was reluctant to give his decision in the court room and purported that he would review all the evidence *in his official capacity* and make his final decision at a later time.

KAYSHA's deportation was finalized on December 17 of 2020 a week after her first immigration hearing, when JUDGE GLEN BAKER concluded that (1) she had not been physically harmed during her arrest and thereby had not been tortured and

did not qualify under the *United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment*, and (2) she did not qualify under any of the five bases enumerated in section 101(a)(42) of the *Immigration and Nationality Act* which are *race*, *religion*, *nationality*, *membership in a particular social group*, or *political opinion*.

Given the information and evidence provided in this application, much of which was provided to JUDGE GLEN BAKER, his conclusions appear unfounded as the evidence provided delineated the apartheid system which is CANADA, the resulting genocide of those in KAYSHA's racial groups MÉTIS and BLACK-CANADIANS, Canadian justices exercising *extreme prejudice*, and how KAYSHA was primarily psychologically tortured but also physically tortured in such system as she was taken to a maximum security prison for the criminally insane without cause by the *national police force*, the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE, and held there in isolation for eight days as punishment for seeking remedy in court on behalf of a federal corporation. JUDGE GLEN BAKER's primary argument for deporting KAYSHA was that she could seek remedy for unlawful arrest in CANADA. JAY advised KAYSHA's father DALE that her deportation would cause the *Ex Parte Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus* to be moot. The petition was fourteen hundred eighty two (1482) pages spread over seven (7) volumes, each of which was titled: "Book of Torture". While KAYSHA was seeking asylum in the UNITED STATES, KAYSHA's father DALE remained in CANADA to continue the litigation on behalf of DSR KARIS

and the legal battle for custody of his infant daughter KARIS who was kidnapped by his wife KIM which was later endorsed by the courts with *extreme prejudice.*

G. Extreme Prejudice

KAYSHA's father DALE was released from BATTLEFORDS MENTAL HEALTH CENTRE on August 7 of 2020 fifteen (15) days after being abducted. The draft order granting custody of his infant daughter KARIS to his wife KIM was issued on July 23 of 2020 which meant that he had to appeal such draft order by August 22 of 2020 unless granted a motion to extend pursuant to the rules of the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN. When DALE was released he was still suffering side-effects of the drugs administered to him against his will in BATTLEFORDS MENTAL HEALTH CENTRE.

On September 18 of 2020, DALE on behalf of DSR KARIS submitted a Statement of Claim and Motion under case number T-1115-20 to the FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA which purported with evidence that the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN, the ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE, the SASKATCHEWAN HEALTH AUTHORITY and others committed various crimes as part of *terrorist activity*, that DSR KARIS needed protection and remedy for such, and that the Chief Communication Officer fled to the UNITED STATES to file asylum after being *tortured* by the same. The hearing for the motion to permit DALE to represent DSR KARIS under Rule 120 of the court and grant interim relief was dismissed and struck without leave to amend on October 5 of 2020 by JUSTICE ROBERT L. BARNES

despite the foregoing evidence demonstrating that this case was a special circumstance to permit DALE to represent under Rule 120.

On October 7 of 2020, DALE submitted a motion to extend and draft notice of appeal to the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN under case number CACV3717 for the draft order granted by JUSTICE R.W. ELSON on the basis that DALE was detained and recovering from drugs administered to him against his will during the appeal period and KARIS was not given fair representation. JUSTICE J.A. CALDWELL presided over such motion on October 28 of 2020, and concluded with extreme prejudice that granting the motion to give KARIS fair representation in an appeal was prejudice to KIM despite DALE's extraordinary circumstances and the infant KARIS being taken away from her father, her primary caregiver, without fair representation.

On November 13 of 2020 and following KAYSHA's arbitrary, unconstitutional, and unlawful detainment in the UNITED STATES in violation of *international instruments* binding in the same, DALE on behalf of DSR KARIS filed a Statement of Claim under the case number T-1403-20 in the FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA with motion to allow him to represent under Rule 120 of the court against the MASONIC GRAND LODGE OF SASKATCHEWAN, the SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN, the PROVINCIAL COURT OF SASKATCHEWAN, and the ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES and his agents which delineated a conspiracy by MASONS and those who believe or support those who believe MASONIC dogma to cover up the mismanagement of the Covid

emergency; the court refused to accept the affidavit of service which is proof of service and thereby declared the application to be abandoned on December 8 of 2020 under the guise that it lacked proof of service.

On November 26 of 2020, DALE attended a hearing to revisit custody of KARIS in which JUSTICE J. ZUK presided in the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN IN THE JUDICIAL CENTRE OF BATTLEFORD. JUSTICE J. ZUK exercised extreme prejudice and was hostile towards DALE seemingly as punishment for seeking remedy against the court. JUSTICE J. ZUK accepted an affidavit by KIM which was demonstrated to be perjured by DALE as the sole evidence upon which to uphold JUSTICE R.W. ELSON orders despite much evidence that demonstrated that KARIS should be in DALE's care. JUSTICE J. ZUK attempted to construe DALE as mentally ill and refused to accept new evidence to the contrary which he was permitted to do. After suspending his decision, JUSTICE J. ZUK finally concluded that KARIS should be in KIM's care on December 11 of 2020.

On November 17 of 2020, ROBERT served to the ATTORNEY GENERALS of the PROVINCES and CANADA constitutional questions and on November 19 of 2020 included such questions in the perfecting of his habeas corpus appeal in the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN under the case number CACV3708, questioning the constitutionality of allowing *forced medical and psychiatric treatment* in *The Mental Health Services Act* and *The Public Health Act, 1994*, and *torturing* corporations and using corporations to shield officials from responsibility for acts of *torture*.

On the November 20 of 2020 and December 1 of 2020, DALE included constitutional questions under the case number T-1229-20 and T-1367-20 in the FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA, respectively, which included the same questions from ROBERT's case above, in addition to questioning the constitutionality of requiring a lawyer to represent under the FEDERAL COURT RULES and using rules to hinder evidence of *torture* from entering court and violate the *fundamental principles of justice.*

On November 22 of 2020, DALE was disfellowshiped by the SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH without proper notice and in the disfellowship meeting CLIFFORD A. HOLM purported that DALE had defamed him.

On December 13 of 2020, DALE submitted an appeal under case number CACV3745 to the final orders of JUSTICE J. ZUK denying DALE custody of his daughter KARIS and on December 25 of 2020, the constitutional questions above were submitted to COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN under case number CACV3745 in addition to questioning the lack of *correction and control mechanisms* under *Royal Canadian Mounted Police Act*, lack of statutory provisions for preventing torture of children in the *Divorce Act* and *The Children's Law Act*, and the constitutionality of the ASSOCIATION OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND GEOSCIENTISTS OF SASKATCHEWAN being a corporation which shields *officials* from responsibility for committing acts of *torture*, criminal negligence, and participating in *terrorist activity.*

On February 2 of 2021 the REGISTRAR AMY GROOTHUIS of the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN conspired to remove the constitutional questions from the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN by refusing to allow DALE to perfect his appeal unless he agreed to remove the constitutional questions in contravention to *Court of Appeal Rules* and the *The Constitutional Questions Act, 2012* and evidence of torture in contravention to the *United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.*

H. Another Another Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus

After sunset on Thursday December 24 of 2020, ROBERT submitted an *Ex Parte & Pro Se Original Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus* on behalf of KAYSHA to the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES and personal delivery thereof was effected on Monday December 28 of 2020; the same was a successive original application and such application purported the suspension of the first application for writ of habeas corpus by the US DISTRICT COURT due to its "extremely heavy case load". The first application was misinterpreted as *pro se* legal representation by KAYSHA instead of ROBERT which also constituted suspension. The successive application made it explicitly clear that ROBERT was the applicant. There is no law of any kind that forbids successive applications for writ of habeas corpus by the same or *other* applicants for KAYSHA as she has never been sentenced by any court for any crime anywhere in the world.

ROBERT was unable to get in contact with the case analyst responsible for his name in the alphabet, CLARA HOUGHTELING, as she has yet to reciprocate contact by

phone as of May 10 of 2021. ROBERT was able to contact case analyst SUSAN of the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES on January 6 of 2021 and received a letter from CLARA HOUGHTELING allegedly sent on December 31 of 2020 in which she refused to accept the original application for writ of habeas corpus under the guise of the following: (1) the original application for writ of *habeas corpus* was interpreted as an extraordinary writ instead of original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and § 2242, (2) the application was not formatted as an extraordinary writ, (3) the application would need a motion for *forma pauperis* despite the $300 filing fee being provided as a cheque, (4) only an attorney can file *habeas corpus* for a detainee which contravenes the foregoing codes, and (5) the *ex parte* application must be served on the *Respondents*; these *egregious* lies in contravention to the *fundamental principles of justice* and all forms of law and subsequent return of documents and cheque by the court clerk constitute suspension and an attempt to keep evidence of treason and terrorism out of court.

Such refusal and subsequent return of documents by CLARA HOUGHTELING purported by SUSAN constitute suspension by the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES as CLARA HOUGHTELING is the only case analyst ROBERT can apply through as she was responsible for his name in the alphabet in accordance with the procedure of such Court according to SUSAN. When ROBERT purported to SUSAN that CLARA HOUGHTELING broke the law, she replied: "its our rules". In so doing, the Clerk exercised judicial authority to suspend the CHRISTIAN right of *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus* which is beyond the scope of its office in an effort to cover up

the mismanagement of the Covid emergency to build a world without freedom, a world without CHRISTIANITY.

I. Petition to Congress

On Monday January 4 of 2021, ROBERT, a UNITED STATES citizen living abroad in CANADA, attempted to exercise his first amendment right to petition congress for a redress of grievance and delivery thereof to the visitor entrance of the Cannon building of the UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES guarded by OFFICER PARKER and OFFICER LEE of the UNITED STATES CAPITAL POLICE. ROBERT was instructed by OFFICER PARKER that due to Covid only employees would have access to any of the government buildings in the capital and that ROBERT would be be required to contact his representative in the UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES; ROBERT explained that he was a nonresident living abroad that did not have a representative in CONGRESS and that the only way for him to petition congress was by delivering it to the UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES directly, the MÉTIS face the same barrier to petition.

OFFICER PARKER ignored ROBERT and instructed him to google a phone number and call someone and that he should do so outside. ROBERT purported that he was simply there to exercise his first amendment rights to petition and needed to effect delivery directly; OFFICER PARKER began threatening ROBERT with the statement "Do you want to be arrested?" to which the answer was "No". When ROBERT asked under what grounds could a person be arrested for when trying to exercise their first amendment rights to petition, OFFICER LEE responded with "Our

rules", a seemingly common trend. At no point did either OFFICER PARKER or OFFICER LEE provide any viable means for ROBERT to exercise his first amendment rights as a citizen living abroad. Eventually, OFFICER LEE turned to OFFICER PARKER and said I'm just going to do it, I'm going to arrest him. OFFICER LEE approached ROBERT and said put your hands behind your back to which ROBERT replied "Why?" having never been told that he was going to be arrested. OFFICER LEE replied because it was resisting arrest, an arrest which was never purported by anyone at any point to the knowledge of ROBERT.

ROBERT being detained in this manner and not given trial constitutes arbitrarily detention to prevent him from petitioning CONGRESS in person before Wednesday, January 6 of 2021. ROBERT was arbitrarily detained and denied access to a Bible under the colour of authority of the UNITED STATES attempting to exercise the constitutional right to petition for redress of grievance to CONGRESS with respect to and citing the *Ex Parte & Pro Se Original Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus* which was arbitrarily rejected by CLARA HOUGHTELING of the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES. DALE mailed a petition for Congress on January 13 of 2021. DALE later petitioned the Parliament of CANADA for the same.

J. More Extreme Prejudice

After failing to unlawfully deport KAYSHA which is a MÉTIS card holding citizen, JUDGE JENNIFER A. DORSEY of the US DISTRICT COURT proceeded to hear the petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* on January 27 of 2021 and dismissed it. JUDGE JENNIFER A. DORSEY recognized that "Richardson alleges that the petition

arises under the United States Constitution, the Immigration and Nationality Act ("INA"), the United Nations Convention Against Torture, and the United Nations Refugee Convention. She claims that her detention is arbitrary, unlawful, and violates the Fourth, Fifth, and Eighth Amendments. In the prayer for relief, Richardson asks the court to assume jurisdiction over this matter, order respondents to release her on her own recognizance, and grant any other relief deemed proper"; however, the judge ignored her claim under the *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples* as a MÉTIS card holding citizen and her claim that she was not given her immigration hearing within seven days pursuant to 235(b)(1)(B)(iii)(III) of the *Immigration and Nationality Act* which both qualify KAYSHA for *immediate* release.

JUDGE JENNIFER A. DORSEY also ignored purports of *United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* violations relating to the credible fear of persecution interview process not being a *competent authority* and thereby *acquiesced.* JUDGE JENNIFER A. DORSEY claimed that KAYSHA was challenging the order of removal which is at no point was purported; KAYSHA received her final order for deportation on December 17 of 2020 which is *reasonably demonstrable* as a result of the petition for a writ of *habeas corpus.* JUDGE JENNIFER A. DORSEY "took judicial notice of the status of the proceedings in Richardson's immigration case before the Las Vegas Immigration Court", a status that did not exist at the time of filing. JUDGE JENNIFER A. DORSEY proceeded to order KAYSHA to "sign and submit any future documents personally"

claiming that ROBERT was involved in the "unauthorized practice of law" for filing for an application for a writ of habeas corpus on behalf of KAYSHA.

On January 26 of 2021, ROBERT received notice of an upcoming hearing for the appeal to the first habeas corpus in CANADA suspended by JUSTICE J.A. SCHWANN and submitted four months prior on September 23 of 2020; the appeal was to be heard on March 1 of 2021 and ROBERT would be given four hours to present the case. On January 29 of 2021, ROBERT attempted to file an *Ex Parte Motion for Leave to Appeal to the Supreme Court for Writ of Habeas Corpus* which purported the prejudice demonstrated by JUSTICE J.A. SCHWANN and JUSTICE J.A. CALDWELL of the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN and requested the *habeas corpus* to be referred to the SUPREME COURT OF CANADA; otherwise, the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN would have to decide whether to put JUSTICE J.A. SCHWANN and JUSTICE J.A. CALDWELL in prison. Such motion was denied by JUSTICE RALPH K. OTTENBREIT purporting that he did not have the authority to file it. Under the instruction of JUSTICE RALPH K. OTTENBREIT, ROBERT served and filed a *Motion to Adduce Fresh Evidence for a Writ of Habeas Corpus* which included such request to refer the case to a higher authority and included evidence of the involvement the rogue agents of INNOVATION CREDIT UNION in the July 23rd Terrorist Attacks such agents stood the most to gain from the fraudulent orders of JUSTICE R.W. ELSON.

On February 24 of 2021, JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF of the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN presided over writ of mandamus and prohibition in chambers;

during such hearing, he presumed to shield opposing counsel from questions as to where the sudden windfall came to pay for the previously infeasible legal fees on appeal purporting that such had no relevance. DALE learned on March 14 of 2021 that KIM came into money from mortgage fraud which included rogue elements of INNOVATION CREDIT UNION by the fraudulent sale of his house without his knowledge or consent and the unlawful transfer of the title. JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF then proceeded to participate in the *unauthorized practice of law* when he *assumed* the role of opposing council to strike down the writ which was to force the *officials* of the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN to follow their own laws and rules to accept evidence of *torture* and *judicial interference* to allow *due process of law* in his appeal for the *right of custody.*

JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF was unable to declare DALE mentally ill in chambers due to the overwhelming evidence to the contrary and was forced to simply construe him as such in his subsequent brief of law disguised as court orders which purported that DALE being strapped to a bed and drugged against his will and the abduction of his children was not *torture.* JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF refused to make a decision based on the facts and legal arguments presented in the hearing; in the absence of PATRICIA J. MEIKLEJOHN making any legal arguments or presenting any evidence, JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF went and created legal arguments for her and disregarded compelling evidence to the contrary in order to commit purgery in his brief of law to shield INNOVATION CREDIT UNION, the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN, the mortgage fraud involving both as the

court would possess the funds pursuant to the final orders of JUSTICE R.W. ELSON disguised an interim orders. JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF was caught exercising *extreme prejudice* and misrepresenting the law in an attempt to avoid the responsibility of his position and his responsibilities under the *United Nations Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.*

On March 1 of 2021, ROBERT was ambushed by a panel of judges of the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN, specifically JUSTICE JACELYN RYAN-FROSLIE, JUSTICE GEORGINA JACKSON, and JUSTICE B.A. BARRINGTON-FOOTE (the "***Panel***") as he was not notified that DALE would be speaking in the hearing. The *Panel* attempted to *exceed* their jurisdiction purporting that they would decide on whether the constitutional questions pertaining to *forced medical treatment* would be permitted in the court room which the law does not permit. After witnessing the respondents request the court to punish ROBERT on their word alone in order to *torture* DALE, KARIS, and KAYSHA, the *Panel* decided to suspend their decision which *tortured* them anyway even after MICHAEL B. GRIFFIN was caught implicating all of the respondents in purgery and conspiracy to commit torture and terrorism when he claimed that DALE and DSR KARIS were ROBERT's clients and that ROBERT should be held financially responsible for their actions, both of which were lies. Almost all of the counsel which incriminated themselves in the March 1 of 2021 hearing with Robert, specifically not denying *torturing* DALE or being a conspirator to *terrorist activity*, were the counsel in the case management on March

23 of 2021 which undermines the integrity of the entire judicial system and violates the distinct natural person as DSR KARIS was never notified or allowed to defend itself from the remedy of case management which caused it irreparable harm and caused a server disruption of an essential service in CANADA and hindered the development of critical infrastructure in the UNITED STATES crippling its AMERICAN associate, DSR KARIS NORTH and further enabling the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT.

One of the main perpetrators of the mortgage fraud, VIRGIL A. THOMSON of OWZW LLP, was not present and the only intervenor for the constitutional questions, LYNN CONNELLY representing the ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN, was not present—leaving the factums requesting the questions be struck down defenceless.

On February 28 of 2021, KAYSHA submitted from federal prison to the US COURT OF APPEALS and the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES applications relating to habeas corpus and the whistling-blowing the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT perpetuated by the PROVINCE TO THE NORTH, a country known for *torturing* its citizens abroad, CANADA.

On March 26 of 2021, JUSTICE J.A. SCHWANN of the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN dismissed a motion made by DALE on behalf of DSR KARIS for stay of execution on a prerogative writ which included evidence of mortgage fraud and the transfer and requested that the transfer be halted and title be held by the DSR KARIS until the same was investigated. JUSTICE J.A. SCHWANN lied about the

evidence purporting that she did not see the rental contract in the materials provided to her and that the unlawful varying of JUSTICE R.W. ELSON by JUSTICE B.R. HILDEBRANDT without the consent of the both parties exceeded the jurisdiction of the COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN and usurped the authority of COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN and due process process of law. JUSTICE J.A. SCHWANNhad clear evidence of mortgage fraud with documents from the opposition which purported that CLIFFORD A. HOLM was the representing lawyer for DALE in the transfer despite the fact that DALE was suing him and obvious fraudulent activity which KIM signing for DALE and her name being listed as buyer in the documents submitted to JUSTICE B.R. HILDEBRANDT.

On April 1 of 2021, DALE was denied a review of JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF orders by a panel of judges of the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN, specifically JUSTICE PETER A. WHITMORE, JUSTICE ROBERT W. LEURER, and JUSTICE JEROME A. THOLL (the "***2nd Panel***"). The *2nd Panel*, sitting with the powers of the Court, officially endorsed the orders of JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF thereby participating in his orders making the COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN party to his crimes and party to the mortgage fraud. The *2nd Panel* was told about the mortgage fraud and how JUSTICE J.D. KALMAKOFF shielded counsel from the same and acted as a lawyer on their behalf producing a brief of law in place of orders. With the addition of these three, all eleven justices of the court of last resort in SASKATCHEWAN, are implicated in the foregoing crimes.

ARGUMENT

The foregoing statement of facts and the appendices to this application present evidence of officials in both the CANADIAN and UNITED STATES governments working to deprive the people of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA of Christian Rights and Freedoms, among them the free exercise of Religion and the unalienable rights to Life, Liberty, and pursuit of Happiness, which is a matter of "imperative public importance". The same evidence resulted in the *Petitioner* discovering acts of federal treason and terrorism by government *officials* and the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT in both countries.

A. This Court Should Grant Certiorari to Affirm the Mismanagement of the Covid Emergency to Dissolve the Social Order so as to Build a World Without Freedom: Solve et Coagula, as the Masonic Adage Teaches, which is Articulated by Jesuit Affiliated Carlo Maria Viganò

The criminally negligent engineering control guidelines in SASKATCHEWAN in the country of CANADA with respect to the mismanagement of the Covid emergency which DALE presented to the CANADIAN government and was tortured for presenting and for which his daughter the *Petitioner* was tortured for presenting to the UNITED STATES government, warrant an investigation into the engineering and other recommendations within the UNITED STATES with respect to the Covid emergency. The subsequent detainment of the *Petitioner* and his daughter KAYSHA without cause and refusal of court officials to follow due process of law to cover up the same warrant an investigation into the activities of the ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES, the US DISTRICT COURT, the US COURT OF APPEALS, and the

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, and the UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES as many of their agents have been complicit in these crimes.

B. This Court Should Grant Certiorari to Affirm that Agents of the United States Government have Participated in the Persecution of the Christians, Catholics, and the Indigenous Peoples for the Mismanagement of the Covid Emergency

The ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES physically detained the *Petitioner* when attempting to enter the country as a essential service worker and upon refusal claiming asylum from torture and the US DISTRICT COURT suspended the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus* for such detainment which resulted in the concealment of the mismanagement of the Covid emergency in CANADA and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; the suspension of the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus* for any reason or any means not permitted by the *United States Constitution* as a part of a conspiracy to cover up the mismanagement of the Covid emergency is an act of federal treason and the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT. The *Petitioner* was punished for acting in accordance with the *Declaration of Independence*. The *Petitioner* since entering the UNITED STATES from by the agents of Homeland Security by way of the border guard including without limitation hindering the *Petitioner* from delivering documents to a judge of the United States with information relating to the commission of a federal offence thereby giving aid and comfort to the enemies of the UNITED STATES, ICE officers including without limitation Garcia punishing the *Petitioner* for exercising his article 13 rights under the *UN Torture Convention*, and agents of the AURORA ICE PROCESSING CENTER.

The Covid emergency measures have been used to suppress the Christian Rights and Freedoms, among them the free exercise of Religion and the unalienable rights to Life, Liberty, and pursuit of Happiness. Churches are being forcibly closed, INDIGENOUS PEOPLES are being forcibly transferred, and people are being tortured by the threat or actual realization of forced medical treatment by Covid testing and vaccination in addition to other forms of treatment. The people of the UNITED STATES have been refused the right to "Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms" as "repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury".

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated in this application, the *Petitioner* respectfully petition for a writ of certiorari to review the pending case for writ of mandamus in the US COURT OF APPEALS pursuant to Rule 11 of the Rules of this Court and 28 U.S.C. § 1254 and § 2101(e) given the "imperative public importance" of the evidence federal treason and the invariable pursuit of the OBJECT, a *conspiracy* to restrict the liberty of the CHRISTIANS, CATHOLICS, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES in the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; the same have had their rights and freedoms, specifically the *Privilege of Writ of Habeas Corpus*, the free exercise of Religion, and the unalienable rights to Life, Liberty, and pursuit of Happiness, taken by the MASONIC conspirators through the mismanagement of the Covid emergency, as predicted by the JESUIT affiliated CARLO MARIA VIGANÒ, Titular Archbishop of Ulpiana, when he alleged that such mismanagement has furthered the dissolution of the social order so as to build a world without freedom: *Solve et Coagula*, as the MASONIC adage teaches.

June 23rd, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

DALE J. RICHARDSON
3130 Oakland Street,
Aurora, CO 80010
(306) 441-7010
unity@dsrkarisconsulting.com

_____

DALE J. RICHARDSON