

No. 20-1503

---

---

In The  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

—◆—  
U.S., ex rel., Robert C. Laity,

*Petitioner,*

v.

Purported Vice President Kamala Devi Harris,

*Respondent.*

—◆—  
**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari  
To The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The District Of Columbia Circuit**

—◆—  
**PETITION FOR REHEARING**

—◆—  
ROBERT C. LAITY, Pro Se  
43 Mosher Drive  
Tonawanda, New York 14150  
(716) 260-1392  
robertlaity@roadrunner.com

---

---

## PETITION FOR REHEARING

The Petitioner hereby Petitions this court for (1) An Order vacating its denial of a Writ of Certiorari entered on June 1, 2021. (2) A rehearing of the June 1, 2021 denial of a writ of certiorari in the above-captioned matter. (3) Granting of a Writ of Certiorari to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. (4) Issuance of a Writ of Quo Warranto pursuant to Chapter 35 of the D.C. Code against the Respondent Purported Vice-President Kamala Devi Harris.

1. Kamala Devi Harris is NOT the bona-fide Vice-President of the United States. She is not an Article II, Sec. 1, Clause 5 “Natural Born Citizen”. On the basis of her birth circumstances to two non-citizen permanent residents, one of which was a diplomat, there is evidence that she may not even be lawfully naturalized. The issuance of a Writ of Quo Warranto is necessary and is the appropriate legal action to address this issue and to remedy it.
2. This court has long established that a “Natural Born Citizen” is one born in the United States to parents who are both U.S. Citizens themselves.
3. The respondent, purported Vice-President Kamala Devi Harris is required by law to meet *all* legal criteria mandated by Article II, Sec. 1, Clause 5 of the U.S. Constitution. She does not meet all the criteria required by law.

4. The U.S. District Court for the District of D.C. is judicially empowered, as a matter of law, to address and remedy “Information(s) in the form of Quo Warranto at Common Law”. It is in the interest of the government to weed out frauds and usurpers from the ranks of its public officials and if found not eligible to hold said office, to defenestrate such usurper from said office.
5. The Constitutional requirement that a President and Vice-President be “Natural Born Citizen(s)” cannot be abrogated by “evading” the issue. It is the sworn duty of *all* federal public officers and employees to obey the Constitution of the United States and to defend against *all* encroachments of said Constitution that is brought to their attention. The purposeful “Evading” of addressing said encroachments constitutes Misprision, Malfeasance in Office and Non-feasance.
6. The Petitioner was wrongfully denied “standing” in this matter, upon the proper motion for leave to proceed, after every U.S. Attorney since Bill Barr failed to proceed on usurpation by the Respondent. The real question is why those Public Officials who are charged with enforcing the Constitution have failed to do so? Some matters are so grave to the very existence of the Republic that they *must* be addressed. This is one of them.

7. This case has been reviewed by at least (24) Judges and/or Justices in this court and the DC Courts below. That a majority of those Judges saw nothing of a threatening nature, intrinsic with the usurpation of our highest offices, is quite disturbing and unconscionable. That not one of the courts felt compelled to exercise the Judiciary's lawful authority given to the D.C. Courts, to defenestrate a usurper and fraud, on a Writ of Quo Warranto, is a travesty.
8. The Petitioner, as outlined in his submissions below has met his burden of proof that he has standing. This on the basis of having established Injury having nexus to the illegal act(s) of the respondent and having identified a remedy that this court is empowered by law to grant.
9. Remedy cannot be had in any other court. The DC District court has the sole authority to address and remedy Information(s) in the form of Quo Warranto at common law concerning public officials holding offices, in DC, under the United States. Ineligibility to hold a public office in DC, such as President of the United States and Vice-President of the United States, is not a political question that can only be answered by Congress. The DC Courts have been given the legal authority to adjudicate Quo Warranto issues of Public Officials who hold office in DC, pursuant to Chapter 35 of the D.C. Code.

10. It is a moral imperative that this court dig deep into its repertoire of judicial authority and exercise that authority in order to save this nation from the clear and present danger that presents itself by allowing frauds and usurpers to continue, unabated and unfettered in their infiltration and undermining of our nation's highest offices.

---

◆

### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this Petition for Rehearing should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,  
ROBERT C. LAITY, Pro Se  
43 Mosher Drive  
Tonawanda, New York  
14150  
(716) 260-1392  
robertlaity@roadrunner.com

---

◆

**CERTIFICATION OF PETITIONER, PRO SE**

I certify that this Petition for Rehearing is restricted to the grounds specified in Rule 44.2 and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay.

---

ROBERT C. LAITY, Pro Se  
Petitioner  
43 Mosher Drive  
Tonawanda, New York 14150  
(716) 260-1392  
Email: robertlaity@roadrunner.com