MICHAEL N. FEUER City Attorney

May 10, 2021

## Via Electronic Filing and U.S. Mail

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543

Re: Cal Cartage Transp. Express, LLC v. California, No. 20-1453

Dear Mr. Harris:

Respondent the People of the State of California have received the Court's request for a response to the petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-referenced case. The response is currently due June 3, 2021. Pursuant to Rule 30.4 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Respondent respectfully requests a 30-day extension, making Respondent's deadline to file a response to the petition July 6, 2021.

This request is not made for the purposes of delay, but to ensure that my office has sufficient time to respond to the petition. I will have principal responsibility for preparing the brief in opposition to the petition. I have upcoming deadlines in other matters that will prevent me from having adequate time to devote to the response absent an extension, including a dispositive-motion hearing on May 18, 2021. In addition, the petition raises several important new issues that will require significant research and analysis, including issues of this Court's jurisdiction and the law of other states and courts. A 30-day extension will allow my office to devote adequate time to the issues raised in the petition and prepare a response that is most helpful to the Court.

Accordingly, Respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing a response to the petition for writ of certiorari be extended by 30 days. Petitioners do not oppose this request.

Sincerely,

Danielle L. Goldstein Deputy City Attorney