



LAW OFFICES

GREGORY H. GUILLOT, P.C.

GREGORY H. GUILLOT  
ADMITTED IN TEXAS, D.C. AND LOUISIANA

May 13, 2021

Scott S. Harris, Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, DC 20543-0002

**Re: No. 20-1448, *Corbello v. Valli, et al.***

Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent the Petitioner in the above-captioned case. On May 12, 2021, the Respondents moved for a 25-day extension of time for their brief in opposition, up to and including, June 11, 2021.

Petitioner respectfully opposes the extension. Granting an extension for the requested period of time would defer the Court's consideration of this petition until after the summer recess, thereby resulting in more than three months of unnecessary delay for Petitioner.

As previously explained to Respondents' counsel, Petitioner would not oppose a shorter extension that would still result in the petition being considered before the Court's summer recess. For example, if Respondents receive a 7-day extension, up to and including May 24, 2021, the petition would be distributed on June 8, 2021, and considered before the summer recess at the Court's conference of June 24, 2021.

Petitioner empathizes with legitimate COVID-19 concerns. But the facts are that counsel in numerous firms throughout the country have become accustomed to working from home over the past year, and doing so at this point in the pandemic creates no special impediments to the conduct of research, or the preparation of a brief, which, standing alone, warrant deferring action on the petition. Moreover, the vast majority of New York residents within counsel's relevant demographic groups have now been fully vaccinated, and are capable of retrieving items from their mostly empty offices if needed.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory H. Guillot  
Counsel for Petitioner

cc: Counsel for Respondents (by email)