

No. 20-1342

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

TITUS LEE RADCLIFF — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES
STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF VOLUSHA

— RESPONDENT(S)

FILED

MAR 16 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ON PETITION FOR AN EXTRA-ORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS (1) WASHINGTON D.C.
UNITED STATES FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT (2) ORLANDO, FLORIDA

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR AN EXTRA-ORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

TITUS LEE RADCLIFF
(Your Name)

931 VERNON STREET; 528 BELLEVUE AVENUE
(Address)

DAYTONA BEACH, FLORIDA 32114
(City, State, Zip Code)

(CELL)-386-299-4772
(HOME)-386-226-2597

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- (1) IN LIGHT OF THE CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED IS THIS ADDITIONAL FILING FEE GOING TO DOCKET MY CASE? THE FILING FEE SENT IN ON 11/5/2016 TO THE CLERK AS LABELED EXHIBIT 13 (SIMULTANEOUS CONTESTED CLAIM)
- (2) WAS THIS 1-9-2015 LETTER SUPPOSE TO BE THE ANSWER FROM THE FILING LABELED (B) CASE 13-5186 PAID FEE IN WHICH MY CASE WAS NOT DOCKETED UNDER RULE 35(3)? ALONG WITH LETTER OF CASE# 11-9300 3/15/2012 LABELED (A)
- (3) WILL THE PAYING OF AN ADDITIONAL \$ 300.00 FILING FEE WHICH NOW TOTALS \$ 600.00 BE USED TO DOCKET THE SIMULTANEOUS CONTESTED CLAIM CASE AS 2 CASES IN ONE WITH ONE SIDE BEING REVIEWED?

NOTE:

I PAID FOR A FILING UNDER REVIEW WHICH WAS NOT DONE!!

IF WHAT I'M EXPLAINING IS TRUE, I SHOULDN'T HAVE TO FILL OUT ALL THE ADDITIONAL PAGES, IF THE CASE IS GOING TO BE REVIEWED?

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
931 VERNON STREET
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32114
7/31/2019

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT, PLANNING AND ANALYSIS (014)
BOARD OF VETERANS' APPEAL

P.O. BOX 27063

WASHINGTON, DC 20038

DEAR BOVA.

I REQUEST A NEW HEARING

C

Trump
J. Th. [Signature]

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

MARK S. JACH: SECRETARY OF THE DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS
SECR. OF THE DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS; STATE OF FL.
501 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET: OFFICIAL CAPACITY
TALLAHASSEE, FL. 32399-2500

ROBERT LEDOUX: VICE PRES. GENERAL COUNSEL
OFFICIAL CAPACITY: FLORIDA EAST COAST RAILROAD Co.
7150 PHILLIPS PARKWAY DR.: DUVAL COUNTY
JACKSONVILLE, FL. 32256

VOLUSIA COUNTY BRANCH JAIL
CALLER SERVICE BOX 2865
YONAH BEACH, FL. 32120

SNEEL; SNEEL PA /s/ WALTER J. SNEEL
FL. BAR# 729360
436 NORTH PENINSULA DR.
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32118

JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK
ACTING ASST. ATTORNEY GENERAL
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530
ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT

/s/ PATRICIA M. MCCARTHY
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530
U.S. COURTS OF FEDERAL CLAIMS
Room 103 HOWARD T. MARKLEY NAT. COURTS BLDG.
717 MADISON PLACE N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20439 PH# (202)-357-64100
VERNON RICK SCOTT

APITOL
TALLAHASSEE, FL. 32399-0001

DUSTIN P. ELIAS SENIOR APPELLATE ATTY.
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL (ORTE)
U.S. DEPT. OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
810 VERMONT AVE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20420

RUDRENDU SENHAMAHARATRA
CO-COUNSEL: OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL (ORTE)
U.S. DEPT. OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
810 VERMONT AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20420

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF VOLUSIA CO. FL.
LAURA E. ROTH

101 NORTH ALABAMA AVENUE
DELAND, FL. 32724

ROBERT E. KERSHMAN, JR.
DIRECTOR
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON D.C. 20530
ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT

KELLEY A. KRYSZYNSAK
TRIAL ATTORNEY: COMMERCIAL LITIGATION BRANCH CIVIL DIV.
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. TEL (202)-307-0163: FACSIMILE: (202)-591-8640

STATE OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL PAM BUNNET
444 SEABREEZE BLVD SUITE 500
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32118

SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES ROOM 504 DEPT.
OF JUSTICE
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20530-0002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION CASE NO: 6:98-CV-488-Orl-22 DCI DATED 1/9/2020
APPENDIX B	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION CASE NO: 6:20-CV-119-Orl-376JK DATED 3/12/2020
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	THE U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS ORDER SENT TO US ON 1/7/2021 SEEKING REVIEW BOOK "NO RIGHTS" IN LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES PRECEDENT SETTING. CONVOLUTED 37 CFR 1.1183
 ARMY BOARD
 PATENT SERIAL # 08/611,578 GROUP PAT UNIT 3203,3723
 E.I.N. 57-3696168 UTILITY PATENT: POWER ASSEMBLY PULLER FOR PISTON AND ROD ASSEMBLY
 I.R.S. FORM 843: LETTERS OF ADMINISTRATION, OR SIMILAR EVIDENCE

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES RULE 40,39,20,9: FLORIDA STATUTES 940.165 940.17
 SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION'S BUSINESS ACT 8a Program For Disadvantage Business
 RULE 35 (SUBSTITUTION)(3)(4)

J.P.S 843 FORM LINE 4 6404(E) 6212(A) GENERATIONAL SKIPPING PUTTING MY HOME IN DAUGHTERS
 NAME TO KEEP ME OUT 8-20-1998 THE TRAVELERS POLICY# 7352333

6325 (D) (2) CERTIFICATE OF SUBORDINATION - SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF HIGH COURT UNDER
 WRIT OF HABEAS BUSINESS LAW

I.R.S. FORM 851 AFFILIATES SCHEDULE 8-15-2003 pg 3 ITEM 3D PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF ANY ARRANGEMENTS

OTHER ARMY BOARD CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS: RULE 9(2) TITLE 10 SECTION 1552(8)(b)
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ORLANDO DIVISION 6 CASE NO. 04-98-CV-486-DH-22 a
 10-73-2000 (COUNCIL OF RECORD) LOWER LEFT CORNER OF DOCUMENT
 RULE 20 COURT OF ORIGINATOR (B) CASE NOT CAPITAL BUT EX-PARTE AS SHOWN IN BOOK AND RIGHTS
 RULE 34 NOT GRANTED IN LOWER COURT BUT PROSECUTED AS COUNCIL TAILORED
 RULE 40 VETERAN, PARENT AND RIGHTS EITHER WAY
 ARMY REGULATION 15-185 DD FORMS 149

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR AN EXTRA-ORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that an ~~EXTRA-ORDINARY WRIT OF~~
HABEAS CORPUS issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at 1/7/2021 U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS SENTIN; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A, B to the petition and is

☒ reported at 1/28/2020 & 3/12/2020 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for an ~~Extraordinary Writ of~~ ^{HABEAS CORPUS} was granted to and including _____ (date) on 4/15/2021 (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

NOT KNOWN
ONLY SENT ME
RUE BOOK FACE DOWN
BASE OF MY PETITION

14
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

ARTICLE IV, SECTION IV

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT VIII

ARTICLE I, SECTION VIII

AMENDMENT 13 [REPEALED DEC. 6, 1965], SECTION 1 ARTICLE VII

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT 5

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT 9

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT 14, SECTION 3

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT 4

17
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- (1) BEING A VICTIM OF A CONSOLIDATED CASE AND TO SHOW OTHERS, THERE IS JUSTICE.
- (2) THIS CASE AFFECTS THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE THROUGHOUT UNITED STATES AND WOULD AID IN THEM GETTING CLOSURE
- (3) IT WOULD BE A PATTERN TO SHOW INDIVIDUALS IN AUTHORITY, THEY HAVE A BOSS ALSO
- (4) BY GRANTING THIS PETITION, IT WOULD AID IN CUTTING DOWN THE NATIONAL DEBT; MAKE IT EASIER TO TEMPER JUSTICE
- (5) THE PETITION WILL AID IN MY V.A. CLAIM BEING SETTLED IN THAT MORE DETAILS OF MY TREATMENT FROM THE STATE OF FLORIDA AND THE V.A. WILL BE BROUGHT TO LIGHT

— STATEMENT OF THE CASE —

VERY RARE CASE USING THE RULE 35 FOR REVIVAL CONNECTED TO A SIMULTANEOUS CONTESTED CLAIM CASE ATTACHING A SPECIAL CLAIM WITH DENIAL OF RETIREMENT, VA, MILITARY TIMES U.S. POSTAL SERVICE BENEFITS. PRESENT CASE IS 19-1010 IN THE U.S. COURT VETERANS CLAIMS. SPECIAL BENEFIT SEEKING TO RE-OPEN IN THE U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS UNDER RULE 59(D), USING MULTI-DISTRICT COMPLEX LITIGATION. THE MULTI- IS THE FEDERAL DISTRICTS OF THE STATE OF GA., CAMDEN COUNTY WHERE I OWN 10% OF A 105,089 PARCEL OF LAND IN THE STATE OF GA., FL. MIDDLE DISTRICT COURT OF FLORIDA IS THE DISTRICT I FILED UNDER LOCAL RULE 3.05 UNDER THE MANUAL FOR COMPLEX LITIGATION 10.123 CONNECTED TO 28 U.S.C. 1407(E) LABELED "EXHIBIT" 2 (1-5) EXPLAINS THE ARRANGEMENT AND HOW LOCAL CASE OF RADCLIFF V. GADSON IS USED TO FURTHER TWIST. THIS IS WHERE THE INVENTION SHOWS THE TWISTING.

MAJORITY OF THIS INFORMATION IS DOCKETED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS. THIS CASE WAS DERIVED OVER BASIC CHILD SUPPORT TURNED INTO A TRIBAL MARRIAGE RULED ON AND DISMISSED UNDER THE CASE 20-0821. I HAVE BEEN A VICTIM OF ALL OF THIS PROCEEDINGS. THE JUDGE IN ANOTHER LOCAL CASE ~~BOOKER V. RADCLIFF~~ (BOOKER V.) RADCLIFF WHICH WAS AN INJUNCTION FOR STALKING GRANTED BY JUDGE PERKINS, TERENCE, WHERE HE TURNED ME INTO A CRIMINAL OFF OF AN INJUNCTION WHICH CONFUSED YOUR FILING OF REVIVAL IN 2014 WHICH YOU USED TO NOT DOCKET, FROM THE FIRST \$300.00 PAID FILING FEE, ON 2/5/2021 JUDGE PERKINS WAS OVERTURNED FOR NOT FOLLOWING YOUR PRECEDENT'S CRIMINAL PROCEDURE RULE 3.111(D). I HAD FILED A COMPLAINT TO THE (J.R.C.) (JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION)'S RECOMMENDATION TO THE GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA ABOUT THE JUDGE. I ALSO WENT TO THE COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS. I FOUND A LOOPHOLE IN THE COMPLAINT FORM WHERE THERE IS NO BOX TO BE CHECKED FOR THE PERSON'S DISABILITY PERCENTAGE. INSTEAD THE JUDGES USE THIS TO GET OUT OF MISCONDUCT CHARGES. I'M ENCLOSING THE DOCKET #'S OF THE COMPLAINTS TO "EXHIBIT" 13, "EXHIBIT" 13(A) 10-16-2018 AND "EXHIBITS" 13(b-c) DATED 8/23/2017. IN ADDITION, I'LL BE SENDING A NEWS MEDIA ARTICLE LABELED, FORMER SHERIFF'S CAPTAIN GETS PRISON IN GUN SALES CASE DATED 3/12/2021 OF (9) "EXHIBITS" 13(1-9) PAGES. THIS ARTICLE SHOWS HOW THE COUNTY OF VOLUSIA IS INVOLVED WITH THE FELONY CHARGE TWISTED ON ME. A KEY POINT IN THIS ARTICLE WOULD BE COMMISSION OF A CRIME OR HOW THE STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION DID NOTHING AND PLAYING ME A COMMISSION AS IT RELATES TO ROYALTIES AND RETIREMENT. I HAVE PREVIOUSLY SENT YOU THE 21 PAGE DISMISSAL ON 1/7/2024, "EXHIBIT" 14 (1) FROM THE U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS. THIS IS ALSO THE 21 TIMES I WENT TO COURT IN LESS THAN 1 YEAR IN LOCAL COURT: REFS. (1992 YEAR). "EXHIBIT" 15 (A-C). THE FIRST PG. HAS MY INITIALS WHICH IS USED FOR TRADEMARKS. (APPRAISAL VOLUSIA COUNTY, FLA.)

PETITION FOR
EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS

SPECIAL CLAIM FOR MAKING ME
A CRIMINAL WHEN I'VE BEEN CONTINUOUSLY
VICTIMIZED CONNECTED TO VICTIMIZATION

3/16/2021

John P. Smith

— STATEMENT OF THE CASE —

VERY RARE CASE USING THE RULE 35 FOR REVIVAL CONNECTED TO A SIMULTANEOUS CONTESTED CLAIM CASE ATTACHING A SPECIAL CLAIM WITH DENIAL OF RETIREMENT, VA, MILITARY TIMES US. POSTAL SERVICE BENEFITS. PRESENT CASE IS 19-1010 IN THE U.S. COURT VETERANS CLAIMS. SPECIAL BENEFIT SEEKING TO RE-OPEN IN THE U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS UNDER RULE 59(D), USING MULTI-DISTRICT COMPLEX LITIGATION. THE MULTI- IS THE FEDERAL DISTRICTS OF THE STATE OF GA., CAMDEN COUNTY WHERE I OWN 10% OF A 105,089 PARCEL OF LAND IN THE STATE OF GA., FL. MIDDLE DISTRICT COURT OF FLORIDA IS THE DISTRICT I FILED UNDER LOCAL RULE 3.05 UNDER THE MINIMUM FOR COMPLEX LITIGATION 10.123 CONNECTED TO 28 U.S.C. 1407(E) LABELED "EXHIBIT" 2 (1-5) EXPLAINS THE ARRANGEMENT AND HOW LOCAL CASE OF RADCLIFF V. GADSON IS USED TO FURTHER TWIST. THIS IS WHERE THE INVENTION SHOWS THE TWISTING.

MAJORITY OF THIS INFORMATION IS DOCKETED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS. THIS CASE WAS DERIVED OVER BASIC CHILD SUPPORT TURNED INTO A TRIBAL MARRIAGE RULED ON AND DISMISSED UNDER THE CASE 20-0821. I HAVE BEEN A VICTIM OF ALL OF THIS PROCEEDINGS. THE JUDGE IN ANOTHER LOCAL CASE ~~ROCK~~ RADCLIFF WHICH WAS AN INJUNCTION FOR STALKING GRANTED BY JUDGE PERKINS, TERENCE, WHERE HE TURNED ME INTO A CRIMINAL OFF OF AN INJUNCTION WHICH CONFUSED YOUR FILING OF REVIVAL IN 2014 WHICH YOU USED TO NOT DOCKET FROM THE FIRST \$300.00 PAID FILING FEE. ON 2/5/2021 JUDGE PERKINS WAS OVERTURNED FOR NOT FOLLOWING YOUR PRECEDENT'S CRIMINAL PROCEDURE RULE 3.112(D). I HAD FILED A COMPLAINT TO THE (J.R.C.) (JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION)'S RECOMMENDATION TO THE GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA ABOUT THE JUDGE. I ALSO WENT TO THE ^{U.S.} COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS.

I FOUND A LOOPHOLE IN THE COMPLAINT FORM WHERE THERE IS NO BOX TO BE CHECKED FOR THE PERSON'S DISABILITY PERCENTAGE. INSTEAD THE JUDGES USE THIS TO GET OUT OF MISCONDUCT CHARGES. I'M ENCLOSING THE DOCKET #'S OF THE COMPLAINTS TO "EXHIBIT" 13, "EXHIBIT" 13(A) 10-16-2018 AND "EXHIBITS" 13(b-c) DATED 8/23/2017. IN ADDITION, I'LL BE SENDING A NEWS MEDIA ARTICLE LABELED, FORMER SHERIFF'S CAPTAIN GETS PRISON IN GUN SALES CASE DATED 3/12/2021 OF (9) "EXHIBITS" 13(1-9) PAGES. THIS ARTICLE SHOWS HOW THE COUNTY OF VOLUSIA IS INVOLVED WITH THE FELONY CHARGE TWISTED ON ME. A KEY POINT IN THIS ARTICLE WOULD BE COMMISSION OF A CRIME OR HOW THE STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION DID NOTHING AND PLAYING ME A COMMISSION AS IT RELATES TO ROYALTIES AND RETIREMENT. I HAVE PREVIOUSLY SENT YOU THE 11 PAGE DISMISSAL ON 1/7/2021, "EXHIBIT" 14 (1) FROM THE U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS. THIS IS ALSO THE 11 TIMES I WENT TO COURT IN LESS THAN 1 YEAR IN LOCAL COURT: REFS. (1992 YEAR), "EXHIBIT" 15(A-C), THE FIRST PG. HAS MY INITIALS WHICH IS USED FOR TRADEMARKS. (AMARISAL VOLUSIA COUNTY, FLA.)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

IN ADDITION TO EXHIBITS 13-14, MR. TOMMIE JENKINS WHO I DEVELOPED HIS CASE TO SHOW HIS CASE GOING TO THE STATE OF FLORIDA SUPREME COURT 7/6/2018 LABELED "EXHIBIT" 15 RECEIPT SHOWING LOWER TRIBUNAL CASE NUMBERS "EXHIBIT" 15(A). THIS DOCUMENT SHOWS HOW THE CREDIT UNION IS USED AS A PARTNER'S SUBSTITUTED TO MY CASE. USING MR. JENKINS PROFESSIONAL POSITION AS THE CHARACTER PLUTO 3/23/2017 WHICH IS THE CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION WITH A COPY OF THE CASHIERS' CHECK TO THE CLERK SENT IN AS A FILING FEE. THIS IN YOUR COURT WAS NOT DONE.

THE JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION (JQC.) COMPLAINTS ARE WITH A TOTAL OF (3) MILITARY VETERANS INCLUDING MYSELF TO SHOW NOT JUST DONE TO (1) INDIVIDUAL.

THE PROOF OF SERVICE FROM WHICH SHOWS THAT THE PARTIES AT THAT TIME WERE SERVED AFTER THE DENIAL OF RE-HEARING ON 8/11/2014, "EXHIBIT" 16. THE APPENDED RULING FROM THE U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS THAT I AM A CRIMINAL WHICH IS PART OF THE (2) U.S. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT RULINGS (2) IN ORLANDO, FLA. THAT WERE BOTH DISMISSED. (1) UNDER NON-CONVENIENS LABELED 3/12/2020. THE OTHER WAS DISMISSED ON 1/9/2020. THE 1/9/2020 CASE WAS SENT IN TO ADDRESS THE VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION. THIS CASE WAS ACTUALLY DEALING WITH THE VIOLATIONS OF THE DENIAL TO TEACH TRAIN OR REAR MY DAUGHTER SHAWNDRREA R. RAOCLEFF. THESE ELEMENTS, AGAIN TWISTED INCORPORATING GENERATION SKIPPING AS SHOWN ON "EXHIBIT" 3 DATED 3/23/2021 UNDER THE FLORIDA NOT-FOR PROFIT CORPORATION ANNUAL REPORT TO THE STATE OF FLORIDA SECRETARY OF STATE 7288423689CC. THE LOCAL CASE WITH RAOCLEFF V. GASON WAS RELYING ON THIS RULING. SO I THEN FILED THE ADDITIONAL CASE IN THAT COURT 6:20-CV-119-DY-376JK. THIS CHALLENGE THE JAIL TIME; ILLEGAL COLLECTION OF CHILD-SUPPORT WHEN I WAS GIVEN CUSTODY BUT NOT CHILD-SUPPORT. THIS WAS TWISTED BY THE JUDGE OR JUDGES. ADDITIONALLY IN THIS CASE THE LEGACY APPEAL WAS USED WITH THE NAME INCH, MEANING WE AREN'T MOVING AN INCH IN YOUR BEHALF. IN BOTH OF THESE RULINGS, THE U.S. FEDERAL COURT IN THEIR RESPONSE LABEL ME AS A CRIMINAL 1/9/2020 REFER TO ME AS "A STATE COURT CONVICTION", FIRST PARAGRAPH. 2ND. CASE, FIRST PARAGRAPH PRISONER 6:20-CV-119-DY-376JK. THIS IS SHOWN TO ILLUSTRATE HOW THIS IS USED TO PASS IT OVER BY U.S. DISTRICT COURT. U.S. SUPREME COURT RULE 24(1)(G)(1). MOST OF THESE POINTS WERE LISTED IN THE LAST 2 FILINGS TO YOUR COURT. THE MAIN POINTS OF THIS CASE IS THAT I HAVE BEEN VICTIMIZED TO THE FACT THAT I HAVE BEEN WRITING'S DEVELOPING THIS CASE ON MY OWN WITH 100% DISABILITY FROM THE V.A. FOR CONTINUED WRITING, MORE PUNISHMENT IS PUT TO ME. AN EXAMPLE IS SINCE THE (2) FILINGS, THE LOCAL COURT HAS REMOVED EVIDENCE FROM MY RECORD UNDER "ESTRETURE". A KEY COMPONENT THAT WASN'T FILED IN THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS IS THE CURRENT USE ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE - PT283A WHICH ALLOWS THE PROPERTY IN THE STATE OF GA. TO BE PUT INTO A PROGRAM CALLED CONSERVATION EASEMENT, WHICH IS BE TWISTED AND USED TO TAKE AWAY MY FL. PROPERTY THRU LOCAL COURT'S UNILATERALLY TAKE ALL OF MY RIGHTS AWAY SIMILAR TO CHILD-SUPPORT SAGA'S MAKING OF ME TO BE A CRIMINAL; WHICH IS LABELED AS "EXHIBIT" 14. THEY USE OTHER LAWS FROM OTHER STATES. THE REGULATION HERE IS 31CFR 1.146 ELECTION OF SPECIES. THIS IS MY TWIN. THIS THE REASON FOR THE INVENTION OF THE POWER ASSEMBLY PULLER FOR PISTON & ROD ASSEMBLY W (2) RACKS IDENTICAL IN SIZE & HEIGHT THAT SHOW BOUNDARIES AS IN THE FISH CASE, I CITE Yates v. UNITED STATES, 574 US 528 (2015). THE NUMBER 528 IS MY HOME ADDRESS DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32114.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

NO FAIR JUSTICE OR "NO RIGHTS"

37 C.F.R. § 1.141

§ 1.141 Different inventions in one national application

(a) Two or more independent and distinct inventions may not be claimed in one national application, except that more than one species of an invention, not to exceed a reasonable number, may be specifically claimed in different claims in one national application, provided the application also includes an allowable claim generic to all the claimed species and all the claims to species in excess of one are written in dependent form (§ 1.75) or otherwise include all the limitations of the generic claim.

(b) Where claims to all three categories, product, process of making, and process of use, are included in a national application, a three way requirement for restriction can only be made where the process of making is distinct from the product. If the process of making and the product are not distinct, the process of using may be joined with the claims directed to the product and the process of making the product even though a showing of distinctness between the product and process of using the product can be made.

[52 FR 20046, May 28, 1987]

THE PETITION SHOULD BE GRANTED IN LIEU OF YOUR ERROR OF
DOCKETING ALONG WITH THE REQUEST FOR THIS HEARING IN LIGHT OF THE SIMULTANEOUS
CONTESTED CLAIM FOUND IN THE DOCKETING FROM COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERAN CLAIMS
ABOUT THE TYPE OF CASE & WHAT THE EVIDENCE SHOWS ABOUT "NO RIGHTS"; HOW THE
SUBSTITUTED PARTY IS GIVEN RIGHTS OVER TITUS L. RANCLIFF 3/5/2021, LABELED
"EXHIBIT" 17, WHICH SHOWS THE NOTICE OF ESTRETURE.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Titus L. Rancliff

Date: 3/16/2021