
PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare that I am employed in the city of Los Angeles, California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and I am not a party to the within action.

On February 11th, 2021 I served the following document:

**APPELLANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND WRIT OF
CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
AND APPENDIXES**

[X] BY MAIL- I placed each such sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid for first class mail, for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, California, following ordinary business practices.

SEE SERVICE LIST

[] STATE- I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

**I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United
States of America the foregoing is true and correct.**

DATED: February 11th, 2021



Stan Bethel

improvements on the Shenandoah Property. It does not address the fact that Martin-Bragg herself testified to this in court. How is it then, that Mr. Moore has been found by this court, not to have an ownership interest in this case? Why was Judge Bendix mysteriously removed when she was assigned to this case for over a year with no objections from any party? How is it then that the Court of Appeals used the precedential case of Ford vs. Superior Court in deciding the Unlawful Detainer action, but completely avoided it and ignored it in the underlying action? This is the type of exceptional circumstances that warrant the use of this Court's power. This Court can put an end to this type of outrageous deplorable conduct.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, this Court should issue a writ of mandate ordering the California Supreme Court to reverse and remand its rulings January 15th, 2020 and or grant a Writ of Mandamus on the questions presented here. For the reversal of its ruling in Moore / Hills v Martin-Bragg and remand back to the Los Angeles Superior Court for new trial consistent with Ford v Superior Court. Order a new trial on all issues consistent with must protect the rights of the Petitioners in this case regarding real property and personal property rights. and mandate the State of California to do so. Petitioners have no other adequate remedy. Such serious and fundamental denials and violations of due process of law regarding real property and personal property must be stopped.

Respectfully Submitted


IVAN RENE MOORE

Dated: February 11th, 2021



RONALD HILLS

US SUPREME COURT RULE 33.2 CERTIFICATION

Petitioners Ronald Hills and Ivan Rene Moore do certify that this Writ has been prepared pursuant to US Supreme Court Rules, Rule 33.2, and that this writ is 39 (Thirty-Nine) pages long.

Dated: February 11th, 2021



Ronald Hills

Ivan Rene Moore

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**