No. 20-1221

In The Supreme Court of
the United States of America

MICHAEL RAMON OCHOA,
Petitioner, pro se,
v.
DR. ERIN RUBIN,
Respondent, pro se.

On Petition for a Writ of *Certiorari*
To The Court of Civil Appeals of the State of Oklahoma
at DF-117153.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF *CERTIORARI*

Michael Ramon Ochoa
Petitioner, *pro se*
58 West Portal Ave #218
San Francisco, CA 94127
(415) 373-2172
michaelochoa@mac.com

February 18, 2021

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. The questions posed in the preceding course of appellate actions should be regarded as if entered here in their entirety.[1]

2. Is Exhibit G. Oklahoma Conversations a complete, consistent and coherent *model* of the facts of this case? Were those facts already cognizable to this Court and to federal law enforcement *de jure*? *de facto?*

3. Do the service history as well as the content of 11-07-16 Motion for Custody and Relief demonstrate a "legitimate purpose" *per* 22 O.S. §60?

4. Were U.S. Constitution Article IV Section I and Amendment I adequately raised before both the trial and appellate courts between 11-07-16 and 11-07-20?

5. Were my words and deeds those of a malicious and dangerous, ex-spouse? Or those of the proudest "Sooner" parent protecting my beloved *niñas perdidas?*

6. Does a search of the Linearized Root Data for "Hispanic" or "Mexican" reveal any elements of race or gender profiling in this case?

7. Did the conviction and removal of Judge Kendra Coleman for "oppression in office" prejudice the final disposition of this case?

---

[1] See attached Exhibit H. Questions or *Απορια*

LISTS OF PARTIES AND RELATED PROCEEDINGS

Contact information for the petitioner is on the cover. The complete list of respondents is attached as Exhibit A. Parties. A list of all proceedings in state and federal court which directly arise from the same 250 Root Facts are attached as Exhibit H. Items of Judicial Notice.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX OF APPENDICES

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

ὑπερβιαζομένου γὰρ τοῦ κακοῦ οἱ ἄνθρωποι, οὐκ ἔχοντες ὅτι γένωνται, ἐς ὀλιγωρίαν *ἐτράποντο καὶ ἱερῶν καὶ ὁσίων ὁμοίως. Thuc. 2.52*

PETITION FOR WRIT OF *CERTIORARI*

Petitioner prays that a writ of *certiorari* issue to review the judgements below.

OPINIONS BELOW

The orders and opinions of the highest state court to review the merits of this case appear at App.1-5 as Appendix A. The orders of the trial court appear at App.6-14 as Appendix B.

JURISDICTION

The date on which the highest state court decided this case was 09-21-20. Rehearing was not requested. Copies of that decision appear at App.15-16 as Appendix C. Time for filing this petition was extended to 150 days by this Court's 03-19-20 Order. *Per* this Court's 04-15-20 Order, this petition has been formatted under the standards set by Rule 33.2. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Constitutional and Statutory provisions previously presented to this Court should be regarded as if entered here in their entirety, especially:[1]

U.S. Constitution Article IV§1 Full Faith and Credit

Full Faith and Credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and judicial Proceedings of every other State. And the Congress may by general Laws prescribe the Manner in which such Acts, Records and Proceedings shall be proved, and the Effect thereof.

Also:

22 O.S. §60.1(5) Protection from Domestic Abuse Act

"Harassment" means a knowing and willful course or pattern of conduct by a family or household member or an individual who is or has been involved in a dating relationship with the person, directed at a specific person which seriously alarms or annoys the person, and which serves no legitimate purpose.

and:

U.S. Constitution Amendment I Freedom of Expression

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

---

[1] See attached Exhibit H. U.S. Supreme Court Conversations

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This U.S. Supreme Court is cognizant of the 250 Root Facts.[2]

On 11-07-16 I publicly begged the courts and federal law enforcement for relief for myself and for my beloved *niñas perdidas.*[3] That same information was sent to local law enforcement and to the fiduciary leaders of the institutions thought to be harboring the Respondent as she fled civil suit and criminal accusations in Allegheny County, Pa.. On that same day, 11-07-16, I submitted my doctoral dissertation to Tulane University.[4]

If the agents served on 11-07-16 are *nodes* and the service and replies are *edges*, then the *graph* of the *meta-data* shows who knew what, when and what they did or did not do about it. Comparing that *graph* to the internal data of each of those agencies would correct my inadvertent errors as well as reveal critical breaks in the truth networks which inform and empower our great Republic.

Quod Erat Demonstrandum

---

[2] See https://www.academia.edu/44185383/Root_Facts?source=swp_share

[3] See https://drive.google.com/file/d/1xnboNplaZvF4bzt27LwXQfav9n4rF1kf/view?usp=sharing

[4] See the most recent updated version: https://www.academia.edu/44185128/11_07_16_A_Philosophical_and_Mathematical_Model_of_Truth_or_NewOrganon?source=swp_share

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

On 11-07-16 our beloved United States of America was beset by an existential crisis involving information, belief and even our shared sense of reality. Unfortunately, the attention of this Court, as well as the other powers of state, have since been enthralled by the voice of *Thrasymachus*, the prince of lies. A better alternative is to turn your attention around to those honest and loyal citizens who have toiled to make their gentle voices heard concerning matters of justice for us all, thereby restoring the primacy of truth in public discourse.

CONCLUSION

By granting review, this Court will gently disabuse the Oklahoma Courts of their errors through the use of universalizable, modern tools of reasoning, which respect the precise words, best intentions and private faiths of the ancient Founders. This petition for a writ of *certiorari* should thus be granted. Then, as I promised the late, Hons. Antonin Scalia and Ruth Bader Ginsburg:

"Finally, by merely allowing yourselves to be seen looking in my direction, you will effect immediate, transformative good for all, while long being remembered as just and wise and merciful." - *10-24-13 U.S. Supreme Court 12-10508*

Terras Irradient!



Michael Ramón Ochoa
Petitioner, *pro se*
58 West Portal Ave #218
San Francisco, CA 94127
(415) 373-2172
michaelochoa@mac.com

February 18, 2021