

TO THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

October 7, 2019

Scott S. Harris., Clerk

Redmond K. Barnes


DeAnna Morrow
915 Lovvorn Rd apt 104D
Carrollton, Georgia 30117

RE: DeAnna Morrow vs. West Central Workforce
Development Corp:

USCA11# 17-15175

Motion to file out- of- time

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK:



I DeAnna Morrow direct the Clerk to file an out-of-time motion. And ask
that the court look at all dates of submission addressed to the court, you will

see from the lower court to the higher court all documents were sent in a timely manner. I have enclosed the postmark stating that the file was sent before the deadline. Shipment receipt with the tracking #9405510200830976722523 the package was received by the Clerk of Court on July 12, 2018.

Sincerely

X 
DeAnna Morrow

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-15175-HH

DEANNA MORROW,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

WEST CENTRAL GEORGIA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia

Before: MARTIN, JULIE CARNES and JILL PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

DeAnna Morrow's motion for reconsideration of our January 22, 2018, order dismissing this appeal for lack of jurisdiction is DENIED.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-15175-HH

DEANNA MORROW,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

WEST CENTRAL GEORGIA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia

Before: MARTIN, JULIE CARNES and JILL PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

This appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. Appellant DeAnna Morrow's notice of appeal, filed on November 20, 2017, was not filed within 30 days of the district court's October 16, 2017, judgment dismissing her *pro se* employment discrimination action. See 28 U.S.C. § 2107(a); Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A). Because the timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil action is a jurisdictional requirement, we cannot entertain this appeal. *Green v. Drug Enforcement Admin.*, 606 F.3d 1296, 1300-02 (11th Cir. 2010); see *Hamer v. Neighborhood Hous. Servs. of Chicago*, 138 S. Ct. 13, 20 (2017).

No motion for reconsideration may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R. 27-2 and all other applicable rules. All pending motions are DENIED as moot.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**