

Derron R. Pittman

1984 Akron Dr S.E.

Atlanta Georgia 30315

404-627-6542

September 17, 2019

Supreme Court of the United States Office of the Clerk Washington, DC 20543-001

Dear Your Honor,

My petition for a writ of certiorari was postmarked January 30, 2019 received February 5, 2019. Dear Your Honor I am asking for a motion to have case Pittman V DAL Global Srvcs USCA 11 # 18-12909. OUT-OF-Time because the government was closed on January 22, 2019 and did not open until January 25, 2019. The government was closed for 21 days and was closed on January 22, 2019

Warm Regards

Derron R Pittman

Supreme Court judges

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-12909-A

DERRON RONZAY PITTMAN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

DAL GLOBAL SERVICES,
JACK WINGO,
ROB SANTEE,
DIANA LNU,
DON BARNES,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia

Before: TOJFLAT, MARCUS and ROSENBAUM, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

Derron Ronzay Pittman's motion for reconsideration of our August 28, 2018 order
dismissing this appeal for lack of jurisdiction is DENIED.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-12909-A

DERRON RONZAY PITTMAN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

DAL GLOBAL SERVICES,
JACK WINGO,
ROB SANTEE,
DIANA LNU,
DON BARNES,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia

Before: TJOFLAT, MARCUS and ROSENBAUM, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

This appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. We construe the instant notice of appeal as challenging the district court's October 4, 2013 dismissal of Derron Ronzay Pittman's complaint and its October 31, 2013 denial of Mr. Pittman's application for leave to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). Mr. Pittman's notice of appeal, which was filed on July 10, 2018, is not timely to challenge either order, and we therefore lack jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2107(a); Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A); *Hamer v. Neighborhood Hous. Servs. of Chicago*, 138 S. Ct. 13, 21 (2017); *Green v. Drug Enforcement Admin.*, 606 F.3d 1296, 1300-02 (11th Cir. 2010). In addition, the denial of Mr. Pittman's application for leave to proceed on appeal IFP is

not a final appealable order that we have jurisdiction to review. *See Gomez v. United States*, 245 F.2d 346, 347 (5th Cir. 1957); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5) & advisory committee note (1967).

All pending motions are DENIED as moot. No motion for reconsideration may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R. 27-2 and all other applicable rules.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**